

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT Eastern District of California

Chief Judge Fredrick E. Clement

Sacramento Federal Courthouse 501 I Street, 7th Floor Courtroom 28, Department A Sacramento, California

DAY: TUESDAY

DATE: DECEMBER 19, 2023

CALENDAR: 9:00 A.M. CHAPTER 13 CASES

Unless otherwise ordered, all matters before Chief Judge Fredrick E. Clement shall be heard simultaneously: (1) IN PERSON in Courtroom 28, (2) via ZOOMGOV VIDEO, (3) via ZOOMGOV TELEPHONE, and (4) via COURTCALL.

You may choose any of these options unless otherwise ordered.

Parties in interest and members of the public may connect to the ZoomGov video and audio feeds, free of charge, using the connection information provided:

Video web address:

https://www.zoomgov.com/j/1611874231?pwd=NFF1YXdFNlhScG1zS1V5Y VBSMmdNQT09

Meeting ID: 161 187 4231

Passcode: 837108

ZoomGov Telephone: (669) 254-5252 (Toll Free)

To appear remotely for law and motion or status conference proceedings, you must comply with the following guidelines and procedures:

- 1. Review the <u>Pre-Hearing Dispositions</u> prior to appearing at the hearing.
- 2. Review the court's **Zoom Procedures and Guidelines** for these, and additional instructions.
- 3. Parties appearing via CourtCall are encouraged to review the CourtCall Appearance Information.

Please join at least 10 minutes prior to the start of the calendar. You are required to give the court 24 hours advance notice on the Court Calendar.

Unauthorized Recording is Prohibited: Any recording of a court proceeding held by video or teleconference, including screen shots or other audio or visual copying of a hearing is prohibited. Violation may result in sanctions, including removal of court-issued media credentials, denial of entry to future hearings, or any other sanctions deemed necessary by the court. For more information on photographing, recording, or broadcasting Judicial Proceedings, please refer to Local Rule 173(a) of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California.

PRE-HEARING DISPOSITION INSTRUCTIONS

RULINGS

Each matter on this calendar will have one of three possible designations: No Ruling, Tentative Ruling, or Final Ruling.

"No Ruling" means the likely disposition of the matter will not be disclosed in advance of the hearing. The matter will be called; parties wishing to be heard should rise and be heard.

"Tentative Ruling" means the likely disposition, and the reasons therefor, are set forth herein. The matter will be called. Aggrieved parties or parties for whom written opposition was not required should rise and be heard. Parties favored by the tentative ruling need not appear. However, non-appearing parties are advised that the court may adopt a ruling other than that set forth herein without further hearing or notice.

"Final Ruling" means that the matter will be resolved in the manner, and for the reasons, indicated below. The matter will not be called; parties and/or counsel need not appear and will not be heard on the matter.

CHANGES TO PREVIOUSLY PUBLISHED RULINGS

On occasion, the court will change its intended ruling on some of the matters to be called and will republish its rulings. The parties and counsel are advised to recheck the posted rulings after 3:00 p.m. on the next business day prior to the hearing. Any such changed ruling will be preceded by the following bold face text: "[Since posting its original rulings, the court has changed its intended ruling on this matter]".

ERRORS IN RULINGS

Clerical errors of an insignificant nature, e.g., nomenclature ("2017 Honda Accord," rather than "2016 Honda Accord"), amounts, ("\$880," not "\$808"), may be corrected in (1) tentative rulings by appearance at the hearing; or (2) final rulings by appropriate ex parte application. Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(a) incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9024. All other errors, including those occasioned by mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect, must be corrected by noticed motion. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 60(b), incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9023.

1. $\frac{21-23300}{DPC-1}$ -A-13 IN RE: CAROLYN DIANDA

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 11-20-2023 [27]

JEFFREY OGILVIE/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: December 5, 2023

Opposition Filed: Unopposed

Cause: 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(6) - Plan Delinquency

Best Interests of Creditors/Estate: Dismiss

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

CASE DISMISSAL

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this chapter 13 case for delinquency in payments under the confirmed chapter 13 plan. For the reasons stated in the motion, cause exists under \$ 1307(c)(6) to dismiss the case. Payments under the confirmed plan are delinquent in the amount of \$3,474.00 with one payment(s) of \$3,474.00 due prior to the hearing on this motion.

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)

Except as provided in subsection (f) of this section, on request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, or may dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause, including—

. . .

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

The court finds that dismissal is in the best interests of the creditors and the estate. This case has not been previously converted from a chapter 7.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss this chapter 13 case has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent debtor for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted because of the delinquency under the confirmed chapter 13 plan in this case. The court hereby dismisses this case.

2. $\frac{23-22101}{DPC-2}$ -A-13 IN RE: JOHN/NICOLE RADULOVICH

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 11-7-2023 [41]

LE'ROY ROBERSON/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted
Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: December 5, 2023

Opposition Filed: Unopposed

Cause: 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1) - Plan Delinquency, failure to file

plan

Best Interests of Creditors/Estate: Dismiss

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

CASE DISMISSAL

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this chapter 13 case for delinquency in payments under the chapter 13 plan. For the reasons stated in the motion, cause exists under \$ 1307(c)(1) to dismiss the

case. Payments under the plan are delinquent in the amount of \$20,172.00 with one payment(s) of \$5,043 due prior to the hearing on this motion.

The trustee also moves to dismiss the case as the debtors have failed to file an amended Chapter 13 plan after the court sustained the objection(s) to the most recently filed plan.

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)

Except as provided in subsection (f) of this section, on request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, or may dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause, including—

. . .

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

The court finds that dismissal is in the best interests of the creditors and the estate. This case has not been previously converted from a chapter 7.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss this chapter 13 case has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent debtor for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted because of the delinquency under the chapter 13 plan in this case, and because the debtors have failed to propose an amended plan. The court hereby dismisses this case.

3. $\frac{23-23310}{AB-1}$ -A-13 IN RE: CHRIS JOHNSON

MOTION TO AVOID LIEN OF LCS CAPITAL 11-20-2023 [26]

AUGUST BULLOCK/ATTY. FOR DBT. TRUSTEE NON-OPPOSITION

Final Ruling

Motion: Avoid Lien that Impairs Exemption

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Prepared by moving party

Subject Property: 2283 East 8th St, Chico, California

Judicial Lien Avoided: \$48,509.76 (LCS Capital, LLC)

All Other Liens:

- Deed of Trust \$94,378 (LoanCare, LLC)

Exemption: \$355,622

Value of Property: \$450,000

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

The debtor seeks an order avoiding the judicial lien of LCS Capital, LLC, under 11 U.S.C. \S 522(f).

LIEN AVOIDANCE

Section 522(f) of the Bankruptcy Code authorizes the court to avoid a lien "on an interest of the debtor in property to the extent that such lien impairs an exemption to which the debtor would have been entitled." 11 U.S.C. § 522(f)(1). There are four elements to avoidance of a lien that impairs an exemption: (1) there must be an exemption to which the debtor would have been entitled; (2) the property must be listed on the schedules and claimed as exempt; (3) the lien must impair the exemption claimed; and (4) the lien must be a judicial lien or nonpossessory, nonpurchase-money security interest in property described in § 522(f)(1)(B). Goswami v. MTC Distrib. (In re Goswami), 304 B.R. 386, 390-91 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2003). Impairment is statutorily defined: a lien impairs an exemption "to the extent that the sum of - (i) the lien; (ii) all other liens on the property; and (iii) the amount of the exemption that the debtor could claim if there were no liens on the property;

exceeds the value that the debtor's interest in the property would have in the absence of any liens." 11 U.S.C. § 522(f)(2)(A).

The responding party's judicial lien, all other liens, and the exemption amount together exceed the property's value by an amount greater than or equal to the judicial lien. As a result, the responding party's judicial lien will be avoided entirely.

4. $\frac{23-23310}{DPC-1}$ -A-13 IN RE: CHRIS JOHNSON

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P. CUSICK 11-15-2023 [22]

AUGUST BULLOCK/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Objection: Trustee's Objection to Confirmation of Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4), 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition

required

Disposition: Overruled
Order: Civil minute order

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The Chapter 13 trustee objects to confirmation of the plan contending that the debtor has not yet obtained an order avoiding the judicial lien of LCS Capital, LLC. This is the sole basis for the trustee's objection.

The debtor filed a motion to avoid the creditor's lien. The court has granted that motion (AB-1). Accordingly, the court will overrule the trustee's objection to confirmation.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The chapter 13 trustee's objection to confirmation has been presented to the court. Having considered the objection, oppositions, responses and replies, if any, and having heard oral argument presented at the hearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the objection is overruled. The debtor shall submit an order confirming the plan which has been approved by the Chapter 13 trustee.

5. $\frac{22-23014}{PSB-2}$ -A-13 IN RE: DANIEL/VICKI JACOBS

OBJECTION TO CLAIM OF NETCREDIT, CLAIM NUMBER 6-1 10-24-2023 [44]

PAULDEEP BAINS/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Objection: Objection to Claim

Notice: LBR 3007-1(b)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Overruled without prejudice

Order: Civil minute order

The debtors, object to the allowance of Claim No. 6 filed by CNC Financial. The court will overrule the objection without prejudice for the reasons discussed.

SERVICE INSUFFICIENT

Rule 3007 requires service of claim objections. It provides: "The objection and notice shall be served on a claimant by first-class mail to the person most recently designated on the claimant's original or amended proof of claim as the person to receive notices, at the address so indicated[.]" Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007(a)(2)(A).

The present objection has not been served on the claimant as required by Rule 3007. The objection has not been mailed to the correct address shown on the claimant's proof of claim as the place where notices to the claimant must be sent.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

The debtors' claim objection has been presented to the court. Given the procedural deficiencies discussed by the court in its ruling,

IT IS ORDERED that the objection is overruled without prejudice.

6. $\frac{23-23514}{DPC-1}$ -A-13 IN RE: IGNATIUS HARRIS

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P. CUSICK $11-21-2023 \quad [14]$

MARIO BLANCO/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Objection: Trustee's Objection to Confirmation of Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4), 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition

required

Disposition: Continued to January 30, 2024, at 9:00 a.m.

Order: Civil minute order

The Chapter 13 trustee objects to confirmation of the debtor(s) plan.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court will continue the hearing on this objection to allow the parties to augment the evidentiary record.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED THAT the hearing on this objection will be continued to January 30, 2024, at 9:00 a.m.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the debtor(s) elect not to oppose the objection then the debtor(s) shall file and serve a statement of non-opposition no later than January 9, 2024.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that unless this case is voluntarily converted to chapter 7, dismissed, or the trustee's objection to confirmation is withdrawn, the debtor(s) shall file and serve a written response to the objection not later than January 9, 2024. The response shall specifically address each issue raised in trustee's objection to confirmation, state whether the issue is disputed or undisputed, and include admissible evidence in support of the debtor's position. If the debtors elect to file a modified plan in lieu of filing a response, then a modified plan shall be filed, served, and set for hearing not later than January 9, 2024.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the trustee shall file and serve a reply, if any, no later than January 16, 2024. The evidentiary record will

close after January 16, 2024. If the debtors do not timely file a modified plan or a written response, this objection will be sustained on the grounds stated in the objection without further notice or hearing.

7. $\frac{23-21621}{\text{SMJ}-2}$ -A-13 IN RE: ANGELO CHICO

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN 10-31-2023 [32]

SCOTT JOHNSON/ATTY. FOR DBT. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

Motion: Confirm Chapter 13 Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(d)(1), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed by

the trustee

Disposition: Denied

Order: Civil minute order

The motion requests confirmation of the Chapter 13 plan in this case. See 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1323, 1325; Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(b); LBR 3015-1(d)(1)-(2). The Chapter 13 trustee opposes the motion, objecting to confirmation.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

MATHEMATICAL FEASIBILITY

The trustee opposes confirmation of the plan contending the plan is not mathematically feasible. The trustee calculates that the plan will take 104 months to fund as proposed.

The plan does not provide for payments to the trustee in an amount necessary for the execution of the plan. See 11 U.S.C. \S 1322(a)(1). The court cannot confirm a plan with a period longer than 60 months. See 11 U.S.C. \S 1322(d).

The court will deny confirmation of the debtor's plan.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The debtor's motion to confirm a chapter 13 plan has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion together with papers filed in support and opposition to it, and having heard the arguments of counsel, if any, and good cause appearing, presented at the hearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is denied. The court denies confirmation of the chapter 13 plan.

8. $\underbrace{23-23323}_{\text{DPC}-1}$ -A-13 IN RE: CASEY WOODBURY

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P. CUSICK $11-15-2023 \quad [30]$

MARK SHMORGON/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Objection: Trustee's Objection to Confirmation of Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4), 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition

required

Disposition: Continued to January 30, 2024, at 9:00 a.m.

Order: Civil minute order

The Chapter 13 trustee objects to confirmation of the debtor(s) plan.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court will continue the hearing on this objection to allow the parties to augment the evidentiary record.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED THAT the hearing on this objection will be continued to January 30, 2024, at 9:00 a.m.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the debtor(s) elect not to oppose the objection then the debtor(s) shall file and serve a statement of non-opposition no later than January 9, 2024.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that unless this case is voluntarily converted to chapter 7, dismissed, or the trustee's objection to confirmation is withdrawn, the debtor(s) shall file and serve a written response

to the objection not later than January 9, 2024. The response shall specifically address each issue raised in trustee's objection to confirmation, state whether the issue is disputed or undisputed, and include admissible evidence in support of the debtor's position. If the debtors elect to file a modified plan in lieu of filing a response, then a modified plan shall be filed, served, and set for hearing not later than January 9, 2024.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the trustee shall file and serve a reply, if any, no later than January 16, 2024. The evidentiary record will close after January 16, 2024. If the debtors do not timely file a modified plan or a written response, this objection will be sustained on the grounds stated in the objection without further notice or hearing.

9. $\frac{23-23323}{\text{JCW}-1}$ IN RE: CASEY WOODBURY

MARK SHMORGON/ATTY. FOR DBT. JENNIFER WONG/ATTY. FOR MV.

Final Ruling

Objection: Creditor's Objection to Confirmation of Plan **Notice:** LBR 3015-1(c)(4), 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition

required

Disposition: Continued to January 30, 2024, at 9:00 a.m.

Order: Civil minute order

Creditor, Wilmington Savings, FSB, objects to confirmation of the debtor(s) plan.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court will continue the hearing on this objection to allow the parties to augment the evidentiary record.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED THAT the hearing on this objection will be continued to January 30, 2024, at 9:00 a.m.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the debtor(s) elect not to oppose the objection then the debtor(s) shall file and serve a statement of non-opposition no later than January 9, 2024.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that unless this case is voluntarily converted to chapter 7, dismissed, or the creditor's objection to confirmation is withdrawn, the debtor(s) shall file and serve a written response to the objection not later than January 9, 2024. The response shall specifically address each issue raised in creditor's objection to confirmation, state whether the issue is disputed or undisputed, and include admissible evidence in support of the debtor's position. If the debtors elect to file a modified plan in lieu of filing a response, then a modified plan shall be filed, served, and set for hearing not later than January 9, 2024.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the creditor shall file and serve a reply, if any, no later than January 16, 2024. The evidentiary record will close after January 16, 2024. If the debtors do not timely file a modified plan or a written response, this objection will be sustained on the grounds stated in the objection without further notice or hearing.

10. $\underline{23-23323}$ -A-13 IN RE: CASEY WOODBURY MWP-1

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN 11-8-2023 [25]

MARK SHMORGON/ATTY. FOR DBT.
MARTIN PHILLIPS/ATTY. FOR MV.

Final Ruling

Objection: Creditor's Objection to Confirmation of Plan **Notice:** LBR 3015-1(c)(4), 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition

required

Disposition: Continued to January 30, 2024, at 9:00 a.m.

Order: Civil minute order

Creditors Kandi Dudley, Akira Shinoda et. al., object to confirmation of the debtor(s) plan.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court will continue the hearing on this objection to allow the parties to augment the evidentiary record.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED THAT the hearing on this objection will be continued to January 30, 2024, at 9:00 a.m.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the debtor(s) elect not to oppose the objection then the debtor(s) shall file and serve a statement of non-opposition no later than January 9, 2024.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that unless this case is voluntarily converted to chapter 7, dismissed, or the creditor's objection to confirmation is withdrawn, the debtor(s) shall file and serve a written response to the objection not later than January 9, 2024. The response shall specifically address each issue raised in creditor's objection to confirmation, state whether the issue is disputed or undisputed, and include admissible evidence in support of the debtor's position. If the debtors elect to file a modified plan in lieu of filing a response, then a modified plan shall be filed, served, and set for hearing not later than January 9, 2024.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the creditor shall file and serve a reply, if any, no later than January 16, 2024. The evidentiary record will close after January 16, 2024. If the debtors do not timely file a modified plan or a written response, this objection will be sustained on the grounds stated in the objection without further notice or hearing.

11. $\frac{23-22825}{DPC-1}$ -A-13 IN RE: KAREN JOHNSON

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P. CUSICK

10-11-2023 [21]

PETER MACALUSO/ATTY. FOR DBT. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

Objection: Trustee's Objection to Confirmation of Plan

Notice: Continued from November 7, 2023 Disposition: Withdrawn by moving party

Order: Civil minute order

The hearing on the Chapter 13 trustee's objection to confirmation of the debtor's plan was continued to allow the parties to augment the evidentiary record.

CONFIRMATION

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The trustee objected to the plan contending the plan was not feasible under 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(6) because: 1) the debtor admitted that the bankruptcy schedules and statements might contain inaccuracies; and 2) the plan was not feasible considering the claim filed by creditor Bambino Family Trust, Claim No. 3.

The debtor filed a response as ordered on November 28, 2023. In addition, the debtor filed amended schedules.

TRUSTEE REPLY - Fed. R. Civ. P. 41

The trustee filed a timely request to withdraw his objection under Fed. R. Civ. P. 41; Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9014, 7041.

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41 governs the circumstances where a party may withdraw a motion or objection. Fed. R. Civ. P. 41, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7041, 9014(c) (applying rule dismissal of adversary proceedings to contested matters). A motion or objection may be withdrawn without a court order only if it has not been opposed or by stipulation "signed by all parties who have appeared." Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A). In all other instances, a motion or objection may be withdrawn "only by court order, on terms that the court considers proper." Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(2).

Here, the Chapter 13 trustee has signaled his abandonment of his objection to confirmation. Neither the debtor(s), nor any creditor, has expressed opposition to the withdrawal of the trustee's objection. No unfair prejudice will result from withdrawal of the objection and the court will accede to the trustee's request.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

IT IS ORDERED that the trustee's objection to confirmation is withdrawn.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the debtor shall submit an order confirming the plan which has been approved by the Chapter 13 trustee and provides an interest rate of 9% for the secured creditor Bambino Family Trust.

12. $\underline{23-23225}$ -A-13 IN RE: ANDREY PENKOV DDR-1

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN 11-13-2023 [40]

DANIEL REPP/ATTY. FOR DBT. TRUSTEE NON-OPPOSITION

Final Ruling

Motion: Confirm Chapter 13 Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(d)(1), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Prepared by the movant, approved by the trustee

Subject: First Amended Chapter 13 Plan, filed November 8, 2023

DEFAULT OF RESPONDENT

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

The debtor seeks confirmation of the First Amended Chapter 13 Plan, ECF No. 28. The Chapter 13 trustee has filed a non-opposition to the motion, ECF No. 49.

CHAPTER 13 PLAN CONFIRMATION

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court finds that the debtor has sustained that burden, and the court will approve confirmation of the plan.

13. $\frac{21-23728}{DPC-1}$ IN RE: DESIREE JACKSON

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 11-20-2023 [32]

ANH NGUYEN/ATTY. FOR DBT. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed by debtors

Disposition: Continued to January 17, 2024, at 9:00 a.m.

Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: December 5, 2023

Opposition Filed: December 5, 2023 - timely

Motion to Modify Plan Filed: December 5, 2023 - timely

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this case, asserting that cause exists under \S 1307(c)(6) as the debtor has failed to make all payments due under the plan. The trustee contends that the debtor is delinquent in the amount of \S 1,526.13 with one payment(s) of \S 763.69 due prior to the hearing date on this motion.

A modified plan has been timely filed and set for hearing in this case. The scheduled hearing on the modification is January 17, 2024, at 9:00 a.m. The court will continue the hearing on this motion to dismiss to coincide with the hearing on the plan modification. If the modification is disapproved, and the motion to dismiss has not been withdrawn or otherwise resolved, the court may dismiss the case at the continued hearing.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

IT IS ORDERED that the chapter 13 trustee's motion to dismiss is continued to January 17, 2024, at 9:00 a.m.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the trustee elects to oppose the debtor's motion to modify, then not later than 14 days prior to the continued hearing date the trustee shall file a status report updating this motion to dismiss. The status report shall provide a concise list explaining the remaining issues in the motion to dismiss and indicate the amount of any plan delinquency. The status report shall be succinct and shall not consist of a cut and paste of the opposition filed by the trustee in response to a motion to amend or modify the debtor's plan.

14. $\frac{23-22229}{\text{TLA}-1}$ -A-13 IN RE: UYEN TRAN

MOTION TO MODIFY PLAN 11-7-2023 [18]

THOMAS AMBERG/ATTY. FOR DBT. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

Motion: Modify Chapter 13 Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(d)(2), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed by

the trustee

Disposition: Denied

Order: Civil minute order

The motion requests modification of the Chapter 13 plan in this case. See 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325, 1329; Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(b); LBR 3015-1(d) (2). The Chapter 13 trustee opposes the motion, objecting to the modification.

Chapter 13 plan modification is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1323, 1325, 1329 and by Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(a)(5) and 3015(g) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. "[T]he only limits on modification are those set forth in the language of the Code itself, coupled with the bankruptcy judge's discretion and good judgment in reviewing the motion to modify." In re Powers, 202 B.R. 618, 622 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1996).

The Chapter 13 trustee opposed the motion contending that the plan was not proposed in good faith given the debtors' voluntary contributions to retirement plans. As a courtesy to the court the debtors file a reply stating that it was their intention to file a further modified plan. Reply, ECF No. 28.

Accordingly, the court will deny the motion.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The debtor's motion to modify a chapter 13 plan has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion together with papers filed in support and opposition to it, and having heard the arguments of counsel, if any, and good cause appearing, presented at the hearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is denied. The court denies modification of the chapter 13 plan.

15. 23-23829-A-13 IN RE: AARON MCCONVILLE

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE TO PAY FEES 12-1-2023 [30]

Tentative Ruling

If the filing fee has not been paid in full by the time of the hearing, the case may be dismissed without further notice or hearing.

16. $\frac{23-20831}{FF-2}$ -A-13 IN RE: ELIZABETH RODAS BARRIOS

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN 11-14-2023 [33]

GARY FRALEY/ATTY. FOR DBT. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

Motion: Confirm Chapter 13 Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(d)(1), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed by

secured creditor
Disposition: Denied

Order: Civil minute order

The motion requests confirmation of the Chapter 13 plan in this case. See 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1323, 1325; Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(b); LBR 3015-1(d)(1)-(2). The Chapter 13 trustee has filed a non-opposition to the motion. The motion is opposed by secured creditor The Bank of New York Mellon.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

PLAN FEASIBILITY

The proposed plan must be feasible. 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(6). Feasibility is a "factual determination" as to the plan's "reasonable likelihood of success." First Nat'l Bank of Boston v. Fantasia (In re Fantasia), 211 B.R. 420, 423 (B.A.P. 1st Cir. 1997). The bankruptcy court needs to "be satisfied that the debtor has the present as well as the future financial capacity to comply with the terms of the plan." Id. As one court summarized feasibility, "Thus, a plan is not feasible and is not confirmable if a debtor's income will not support the plan's proposed payments. In re Barnes, 275 B.R. 889, 894 (Bankr. E.D. Cal.2002) ("[T]he debtors showed no

disposable income with which to fund a plan... [T]he debtors have been unable to actually pay the amount projected ... to the trustee."); In re Bernardes, 267 B.R. 690, 695 (Bankr. D.N.J. 2001) ("While the feasibility requirement is not rigorous ... the plan proponent must, at minimum, demonstrate that the Debtor's income exceeds expenses by an amount sufficient to make the payments proposed by the plan."); In re Wilkinson, 99 B.R. 366, 369 (Bankr. N.D. Ohio 1989) ("[D]ebtors will not be able to comply with the plan and make all payments thereunder.")." In re Buccolo, 397 B.R. 527, 530 (Bankr. D.N.J. 2008), aff'd, 2009 WL 2132435 (D.N.J. July 13, 2009).

The instant bankruptcy petition was filed March 17, 2023. The debtor has failed to confirm a plan.

The opposing creditor holds a deed of trust in the debtor's

The opposing creditor holds a deed of trust in the debtor's residence. The claim filed by the creditor shows that the arrears on the petition date totaled \$122,846.60. Claim No. 1.

The proposed plan calls for adequate protection payments to the secured creditor in the amount of \$1,739.54. First Amended Chapter 13 Plan, Section 3.07, ECF No. 37. However, the plan does not call for monthly payments toward the arrears owed on the claim. Rather, the plan calls for the sale of the real property not later than March 31, 2024. *Id.*, Section 7.04. The court notes that the proposed sale date is more than one year since the filing of the petition.

The secured creditor opposes the motion contending: 1) the plan is not feasible as it is speculative; 2) the debtor lacks sufficient funds to make monthly arrears payments; 3) the plan impermissibly modifies the secured creditor's obligation.

The court has reviewed the evidence filed by the debtor noting that the debtor has failed to file a reply to the opposition. The debtor's declaration states that the property is currently listed for sale, that she has received a couple of offers which she has not accepted, and that she anticipates that she will sell the real property for \$375,000. Declaration, 2:23-25, ECF No. 36.

The evidence submitted by the debtor is insufficient to show that the property will be sold by March 31, 2024, as proposed. First, there is currently no pending motion to approve the sale of the property. Second, the debtor has not provided the name of the listing agent, or the list price. Third, the debtor has failed to describe her efforts to list and sell the property during the preceding 10 months of this case. Finally, the debtor has not provided any admissible evidence that the property would sell for the proposed \$375,000. Accordingly, the court cannot find that the plan is feasible as the debtor has not shown sufficient evidence that a sale will be concluded by March 31, 2024.

The court will deny the motion.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The debtor's motion to confirm a chapter 13 plan has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion together with papers filed in support and opposition to it, and having heard the arguments of counsel, if any, and good cause appearing, presented at the hearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is denied. The court denies confirmation of the chapter 13 plan.

17. $\underline{23-23531}$ -A-13 IN RE: DIEGO MUNOZ-ROCHA DPC-1

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P. CUSICK 11-22-2023 [15]

AUGUST BULLOCK/ATTY. FOR DBT. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

Objection: Trustee's Objection to Confirmation of Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4), 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition

required

Disposition: Continued to January 30, 2024, at 9:00 a.m.

Order: Civil minute order

The Chapter 13 trustee objects to confirmation of the debtor(s) plan.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court will continue the hearing on this objection to allow the parties to augment the evidentiary record.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED THAT the hearing on this objection will be continued to January 30, 2024, at 9:00 a.m.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the debtor(s) elect not to oppose the objection then the debtor(s) shall file and serve a statement of non-opposition no later than January 9, 2024.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that unless this case is voluntarily converted to chapter 7, dismissed, or the trustee's objection to confirmation is withdrawn, the debtor(s) shall file and serve a written response to the objection not later than January 9, 2024. The response shall specifically address each issue raised in trustee's objection to confirmation, state whether the issue is disputed or undisputed, and include admissible evidence in support of the debtor's position. If the debtors elect to file a modified plan in lieu of filing a response, then a modified plan shall be filed, served, and set for hearing not later than January 9, 2024.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the trustee shall file and serve a reply, if any, no later than January 16, 2024. The evidentiary record will close after January 16, 2024. If the debtors do not timely file a modified plan or a written response, this objection will be sustained on the grounds stated in the objection without further notice or hearing.

18. $\frac{23-22236}{DPC-1}$ -A-7 IN RE: STEVEN POWERS

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P. CUSICK $10-6-2023 \quad [28]$

JIN KIM/ATTY. FOR DBT. CASE CONVERTED 11/27/23

Final Ruling

This case was converted to Chapter 7 on November 27, 2023. Accordingly, this objection will be removed from the calendar as moot.

19. $\frac{23-20040}{YK-2}$ -A-13 IN RE: YAROSLAV TKACHUK

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN 10-27-2023 [52]

YAROSLAV TKACHUK/ATTY. FOR MV. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

Motion: Confirm Chapter 13 Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(d)(1), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Prepared by the movant, approved by the trustee

Subject: Second Amended Chapter 13 Plan, filed October 27, 2023

DEFAULT OF RESPONDENT

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

The debtor seeks confirmation of the Second Amended Chapter 13 Plan, ECF No. 55. The Chapter 13 trustee has filed a non-opposition to the motion, 57. As there is no opposition to the instant motion the debtor's subsequently filed ex-parte application to continue the hearing on this motion is denied and the court will grant the motion to confirm.

CHAPTER 13 PLAN CONFIRMATION

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court finds that the debtor has sustained that burden, and the court will approve confirmation of the plan.

20. $\frac{23-21645}{DPC-1}$ -A-13 IN RE: RICHARD/ANGELA PARRISH

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 11-20-2023 [63]

PETER MACALUSO/ATTY. FOR DBT. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed by the debtor

Disposition: Granted
Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: December 5, 2023

Opposition Filed: December 5, 2023 - timely
Cause: 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(6) - Plan Delinquency

Best Interests of Creditors/Estate: Dismiss

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this case, asserting that cause exists under \$ 1307(c)(6) as the debtor has failed to make all payments due under the confirmed plan. The trustee contends that the plan payments are delinquent in the amount of \$3,400.00, with one payment(s) of \$3,400.00 due before the hearing on this motion.

LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B)

Opposition. Opposition, if any, to the granting of the motion shall be in writing and shall be served and filed with the Court by the responding party at least fourteen (14) days preceding the date or continued date of the hearing. Opposition shall be accompanied by evidence establishing its factual allegations. Without good cause, no party shall be heard in opposition to a motion at oral argument if written opposition to the motion has not been timely filed. Failure of the responding party to timely file written opposition may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion or may result in the imposition of sanctions.

LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B) (emphasis added).

On December 5, 2023, the debtor filed an opposition to the trustee's motion. The opposition consists solely of an unsworn statement filed by the debtor's attorney which indicates that the plan payments will be brought current by the date of the hearing on the motion. Opposition, ECF No. 67.

The opposition does not comply with LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). A declaration is required to prove the contentions in the opposition and to provide additional relevant information. For example, there is no evidence indicating why the debtors became delinquent or how they will have the ability to bring the plan payments current.

The debtor's opposition does not fully resolve the grounds for dismissal. A delinquency still exists as of the date of the opposition. A statement of intent to pay the delinquency on or before a future date is not equivalent to cure of the delinquency. The court is unable to deny the motion given the outstanding delinquency.

The court gives no weight to an opposition which fails to provide sworn testimony by the party opposing the motion. Unsworn statements by counsel are not evidence and will not be considered.

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)

Except as provided in subsection (f) of this section, on request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, or may dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause, including—

. . .

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

The court finds that dismissal is in the best interests of the creditors and the estate. This case has not been previously converted from a chapter 7. The court will dismiss the case.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion, the opposition, responses, and oral argument at the hearing, if any, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted. The debtor has failed to make all payments due under the confirmed chapter 13 plan in this case. Delinquency constitutes cause to dismiss this case. 11 U.S.C. \$ 1307(c)(1), (6). The court hereby dismisses this case.

21. $\underline{23-23949}$ -A-13 IN RE: TANGELA BABBITT MS-1

MOTION TO VALUE COLLATERAL OF CARVANA, LLC 11-17-2023 [16]

MARK SHMORGON/ATTY. FOR DBT. TRUSTEE NON-OPPOSITION

Final Ruling

Motion: Value Collateral [Personal Property; Motor Vehicle]

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Civil minute order

Subject: 2014 BMW 535i Sedan

Value: \$9,901.00

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the respondent is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

The debtor seeks an order valuing the collateral of Carvana, LLC, a 2014 BMW 535i Sedan, at \$9,901.00.

VALUATION OF COLLATERAL

Chapter 13 debtors may value collateral by noticed motion. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3012. Section 506(a) of the Bankruptcy Code provides, "An allowed claim of a creditor secured by a lien on property in which the estate has an interest . . . is a secured claim to the extent of the value of such creditor's interest in the estate's interest in such property" and is unsecured as to the remainder. 11 U.S.C. § 506(a). For personal property, value is defined as "replacement value" on the date of the petition. Id. § 506(a) (2). For "property acquired for personal, family, or household purposes, replacement value shall mean the price a retail merchant would charge for property of that kind considering the age and condition of the property at the time value is determined." Id. The costs of sale or marketing may not be deducted. Id.

A debtor's ability to value collateral consisting of a motor vehicle is limited by the terms of the hanging paragraph of § 1325(a). See 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a) (hanging paragraph). Under this statute, a lien secured by a motor vehicle cannot be stripped down to the collateral's value if: (i) the lien securing the claim is a purchase money security interest, (ii) the debt was incurred within the 910-day period preceding the date of the petition, and (iii) the motor vehicle was acquired for the debtor's personal use. 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a) (hanging paragraph).

In this case, the debtor seeks to value collateral consisting of a motor vehicle described as a 2014 BMW 535i Sedan. The debt owed to the respondent is secured by a purchase money security interest. See 11 U.S.C. \S 1325(a) (hanging paragraph). The court values the vehicle at \$2014 BMW 535i Sedan.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The debtor's motion to value collateral consisting of a motor vehicle has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted. The personal property collateral described as a 2014 BMW 535i Sedan has a value of \$9901.00. No senior liens on the collateral have been identified. The respondent has a secured claim in the amount of \$9901.00 equal to the value of the collateral that is unencumbered by senior liens. The respondent has a general unsecured claim for the balance of the claim.

22. $\frac{22-20551}{DPC-1}$ -A-13 IN RE: AURELIO/MARISSA VIOLA

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 11-20-2023 [19]

MOHAMMAD MOKARRAM/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: December 5, 2023

Opposition Filed: Unopposed

Cause: 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(6) - Plan Delinquency

Best Interests of Creditors/Estate: Dismiss

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

CASE DISMISSAL

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this chapter 13 case for delinquency in payments under the confirmed chapter 13 plan. For the reasons stated in the motion, cause exists under \$ 1307(c)(6) to dismiss the case. Payments under the confirmed plan are delinquent in the amount of \$5,800.00 with one payment(s) of \$2,900.00 due prior to the hearing on this motion.

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)

Except as provided in subsection (f) of this section, on request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, or may dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause, including—

. . .

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

The court finds that dismissal is in the best interests of the creditors and the estate. This case has not been previously converted from a chapter 7.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss this chapter 13 case has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent debtor for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted because of the delinquency under the confirmed chapter 13 plan in this case. The court hereby dismisses this case.

23. $\underline{23-24154}$ -A-13 IN RE: WANMUENG WADKHIAN MJD-1

MOTION TO EXTEND AUTOMATIC STAY 12-5-2023 [12]

MATTHEW DECAMINADA/ATTY. FOR DBT.

No Ruling

24. $\underline{23-22960}$ -A-13 IN RE: LORRIE BARNES DPC-1

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID CUSICK 10-17-2023 [23]

LE'ROY ROBERSON/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

Objection: Trustee's Objection to Confirmation of Plan

Notice: Continued from November 7, 2023

Disposition: Withdrawn by the objecting party

Order: Civil minute order

The hearing on this objection to confirmation was continued to allow the parties to augment the evidentiary record.

The debtor has failed to file any response to the objection as ordered.

On December 4, 2023, the Chapter 13 trustee filed a further response indicating that the issues raised in the objection have been resolved and that he no longer opposes confirmation of the plan. Given that the debtor has not appeared in opposition to the objection the court will allow the withdrawal of the trustee's objection pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The Chapter 13 trustee's objection to confirmation has been presented to the court. Having considered the objection, oppositions, responses and replies, if any,

IT IS ORDERED that the objection is withdrawn.

25. $\underline{23-22960}$ -A-13 IN RE: LORRIE BARNES KMM-1

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY FEDERAL HOME LOAN MORTGAGE CORPORATION 9-29-2023 [19]

LE'ROY ROBERSON/ATTY. FOR DBT. KIRSTEN MARTINEZ/ATTY. FOR MV. STIPULATION, ECF NO. 32

Final Ruling

Objection: Creditor's Objection to Confirmation of Plan

Notice: Continued from November 7, 2023

Disposition: Withdrawn by the objecting party

Order: Civil minute order

The hearing on this objection to confirmation was continued to allow the parties to augment the evidentiary record.

On November 27, 2023, the parties executed a stipulation resolving the matter, and on December 11, 2023, the court entered an order approving the stipulation, ECF No. 38.

On December 13, 2023, the objecting creditor filed a withdrawal of its objection pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41. Withdrawal, ECF No. 39. Given that the debtor has not appeared in opposition to the objection the court will allow the withdrawal.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation's objection to confirmation has been presented to the court. Having considered the objection, oppositions, responses and replies, if any,

IT IS ORDERED that the objection is withdrawn.

26. 23-23663-A-13 IN RE: VALERIE WILLIAMS

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE TO PAY FEES 11-21-2023 [14]

THOMAS AMBERG/ATTY. FOR DBT. 11/28/23 INSTALLMENT FEE PAID \$158

Final Ruling

As the installment fee has been paid, the order to show cause is discharged. The case will remain pending.

27. 23-23664-A-13 IN RE: JEFFREY/LAURIE SWENSON

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE TO PAY FEES 11-21-2023 [46]

GARY FRALEY/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

As the installment fees have been paid in full, the order to show cause is discharged. The case will remain pending.

28. $\frac{23-23664}{FF-4}$ -A-13 IN RE: JEFFREY/LAURIE SWENSON

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN 11-14-2023 [38]

GARY FRALEY/ATTY. FOR DBT. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

Motion: Confirm Chapter 13 Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(d)(1), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed by

the trustee

Disposition: Denied

Order: Civil minute order

The motion requests confirmation of the Chapter 13 plan in this case. See 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1323, 1325; Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(b); LBR 3015-1(d)(1)-(2). The Chapter 13 trustee opposes the motion, objecting to confirmation. The debtors have failed to file any reply to the trustee's opposition.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

PLAN FEASIBILITY

The proposed plan must be feasible. 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(6). Feasibility is a "factual determination" as to the plan's "reasonable likelihood of success." First Nat'l Bank of Boston v. Fantasia (In re Fantasia), 211 B.R. 420, 423 (B.A.P. 1st Cir. 1997). The bankruptcy court needs to "be satisfied that the debtor has the present as well as the future financial capacity to comply with the terms of the plan." Id. As one court summarized feasibility, "Thus, a plan is not feasible and is not confirmable if a debtor's income will not support the plan's proposed payments. In re Barnes, 275 B.R. 889, 894 (Bankr. E.D. Cal.2002) ("[T]he debtors showed no disposable income with which to fund a plan.... [T]he debtors have been unable to actually pay the amount projected ... to the trustee."); In re Bernardes, 267 B.R. 690, 695 (Bankr. D.N.J. 2001) ("While the feasibility requirement is not rigorous ... the plan proponent must, at minimum, demonstrate that the Debtor's income exceeds expenses by an amount sufficient to make the payments proposed by the plan."); In re Wilkinson, 99 B.R. 366, 369 (Bankr. N.D. Ohio 1989) ("[D]ebtors will not be able to comply with the plan and make all payments thereunder.")." In re Buccolo, 397 B.R. 527, 530 (Bankr. D.N.J. 2008), aff'd, 2009 WL 2132435 (D.N.J. July 13, 2009).

Plan Delinquency

The trustee indicates that the plan payments are delinquent in the amount of \$4,362.89. The plan cannot be confirmed if the plan payments are not current.

MATHEMATICAL FEASIBILITY

The trustee opposes confirmation of the plan contending the plan is not mathematically feasible. The trustee calculates that the plan will exceed the proposed 60-month term of the plan.

The plan does not provide for payments to the trustee in an amount necessary for the execution of the plan. See 11 U.S.C. \S 1322(a)(1). The court cannot confirm a plan with a period longer than 60 months. See 11 U.S.C. \S 1322(d).

The court will deny confirmation of the debtor's plan.

LIQUIDATION

. . .

(4) the value, as of the effective date of the plan, of property to be distributed under the plan on account of each allowed unsecured claim is not less than the amount that would be paid on such claim if the estate of the debtor were liquidated under chapter 7 of this title on such date;

. . .

11 U.S.C. \S 1325(a)(4).

The debtor has proposed a 0% payment to unsecured creditors. The trustee calculates that the debtor's nonexempt assets are valued at \$7,180.00. Thus, the plan fails the liquidation test. The debtors have failed to refute the trustee's opposition. Accordingly, court need not reach the remaining contentions in the trustee's opposition. The court will deny the motion.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The debtor's motion to confirm a chapter 13 plan has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion together with papers filed in support and opposition to it, and having heard the

arguments of counsel, if any, and good cause appearing, presented at the hearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is denied. The court denies confirmation of the chapter 13 plan.

29. $\frac{20-22267}{RDW-1}$ -A-13 IN RE: KEVIN NORMAN

CONTINUED MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY 6-21-2023 [180]

MARY TERRANELLA/ATTY. FOR DBT.
REILLY WILKINSON/ATTY. FOR MV.
SUTTER COMMERCIAL CAPITAL INC. VS.; WITHDRAWN BY M.P.

Final Ruling

Motion: Stay Relief

Notice: Continued from October 17, 2023

Disposition: Withdrawn by the moving party

Order: Civil minute order

The hearing on Sutter Commercial Capital, Inc.'s motion for stay relief was continued so that the parties could be assured of the status of payments due under the Chapter 13 plan.

On November 28, 2023, the moving party filed a withdrawal of its motion for stay relief. Withdrawal, ECF No. 219.

Fed. R. Civ. P. 41

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41 governs the circumstances where a party may withdraw a motion or objection. Fed. R. Civ. P. 41, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7041, 9014(c) (applying rule dismissal of adversary proceedings to contested matters). A motion or objection may be withdrawn without a court order only if it has not been opposed or by stipulation "signed by all parties who have appeared." Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A). In all other instances, a motion or objection may be withdrawn "only by court order, on terms that the court considers proper." Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(2).

Here, although both the Chapter 13 trustee and the debtor have filed responses to the motion the moving party has signaled its abandonment of the motion. Neither the debtor(s), the trustee, nor any creditor, has expressed opposition to the withdrawal of the motion. No unfair prejudice will result from withdrawal of the motion and the court will accede to the moving party's request.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

IT IS ORDERED that the motion for stay relief is withdrawn.

30. $\frac{21-23868}{DPC-4}$ -A-13 IN RE: BRANDON/REBECA DOMINGUES HENDERSON

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 11-20-2023 [138]

CANDACE BROOKS/ATTY. FOR DBT. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Withdrawn by moving party

Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: December 5, 2023

Opposition Filed: December 5, 2023, timely

Cause: 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(6) - Plan Delinquency

Best Interests of Creditors/Estate: Dismiss

CASE DISMISSAL

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this chapter 13 case for delinquency in payments under the confirmed chapter 13 plan. The debtors opposed the motion.

TRUSTEE REPLY - Fed. R. Civ. P. 41

The trustee filed a timely request to dismiss his motion under Fed. R. Civ. P. 41; Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9014, 7041, ECF No. 146.

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41 governs the circumstances where a party may withdraw a motion or objection. Fed. R. Civ. P. 41, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7041, 9014(c) (applying rule dismissal of adversary proceedings to contested matters). A motion or objection may be withdrawn without a court order only if it has not been opposed or by stipulation "signed by all parties who have appeared." Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A). In all other instances, a motion or objection may be withdrawn "only by court order, on terms that the court considers proper." Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(2).

Here, the Chapter 13 trustee has signaled his abandonment of his motion to dismiss. Neither the debtor(s), nor any creditor, has expressed opposition to the withdrawal of the trustee's motion. No unfair prejudice will result from withdrawal of the motion and the court will accede to the trustee's request.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

IT IS ORDERED that the motion to dismiss is withdrawn.

31. $\underline{23-21868}$ -A-13 IN RE: JEREMY NAVA-SALINAS MDM-5

CONTINUED MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN 9-25-2023 [47]

MATTHEW METZGER/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Confirm Chapter 13 Plan

Notice: Continued from November 7, 2023

Disposition: Continued to January 30, 2024, at 9:00 a.m.

Order: Civil minute order

The motion requests confirmation of the Chapter 13 plan in this case. See 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1323, 1325; Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(b); LBR 3015-1(d)(1)-(2). The Chapter 13 trustee opposes the motion, objecting to confirmation.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. In re Andrews, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); In re Barnes, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

This case was continued to allow compliance with the following order:

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that not later than one week hence, Mr. Medina shall file an application for admission to the Eastern District or this matter will automatically be granted without further notice or hearing. In addition, Mr. Medina shall file and serve full opposition, including declarations, not later than two weeks prior to the continued hearing.

Order, ECF No. 61.

Attorney Medina, who represents creditor Reimundo Rubio-Lopez, has subsequently been granted admittance to the Eastern District. However, the full opposition has not been filed as

ordered, neither is there an indication that the creditor intends to cede his opposition to confirmation.

The court will continue the hearing on this matter one final time.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED THAT the hearing on this motion will be continued to January 30, 2024, at 9:00 a.m.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if creditor Reimundo Rubio-Lopez elects not to oppose the motion then he shall file and serve a statement of non-opposition no later than January 9, 2024.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that unless this case is voluntarily converted to chapter 7, dismissed, or the debtor's motion to confirm is withdrawn, then creditor Reimundo Rubio-Lopez shall file and serve written opposition to the motion not later than January 9, 2024.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Chapter 13 trustee and the debtor shall file and serve a reply, if any, no later than January 16, 2024. The evidentiary record will close after January 16, 2024. The court may rule on this motion without further notice or hearing.

32. $\underline{23-23071}$ -A-13 IN RE: ROBIN IMFELD CJK-1

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY MATRIX FINANCIAL SERVICES CORPORATION 10-19-2023 [18]

MICHAEL HAYS/ATTY. FOR DBT. CHRISTINA KHIL/ATTY. FOR MV. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

Objection: Creditor's Objection to Confirmation of Plan

Notice: Continued from November 7, 2023

Disposition: Sustained and confirmation denied

Order: Civil minute order

The hearing on the objecting creditor's objection to confirmation of the debtor's plan was continued to allow the parties to augment the evidentiary record. The court ordered as follows:

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that unless this case is voluntarily converted to chapter 7, dismissed, or the trustee's objection to confirmation is withdrawn, the debtor(s) shall file and serve a written response to

the objection not later than November 28, 2023. The response shall specifically address each issue raised in trustee's objection to confirmation, state whether the issue is disputed or undisputed, and include admissible evidence in support of the debtor's position. If the debtors elect to file a modified plan in lieu of filing a response, then a modified plan shall be filed, served, and set for hearing not later than November 28, 2023.

Order, ECF No. 27, (emphasis added).

CONFIRMATION

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. In re Andrews, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); In re Barnes, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

Creditor Matrix Financial Services Corporation (Claim No. 9) objected to the plan contending the plan impermissibly modified its loan which is secured by the debtor's primary residence located at 3690 Marguerite Avenue, Corning, California. Claim No. 9 shows that as of the petition date the arrears on the loan totaled \$82,522.96.

The debtor filed an initial response to the motion indicating that the debtor had applied for a loan modification and had been approved for a trial modification. The debtor further requested a continuance of the matter to December 5, 2023.

The debtor filed a supplemental response as ordered. However, the response is not supported by any admissible evidence as ordered, and there is no indication that a final loan modification has been approved. Neither is there a motion on the court's docket requesting approval of a loan modification. Accordingly, for the following reasons the court will sustain the objection.

$\underline{\text{11 U. S. C.}}$ $\underline{\text{1325(a)(5)(B)(ii):}}$ Improper Classification of Secured Claim

The objecting creditor objects to confirmation, contending that as residential home mortgage payments were delinquent on the date of the petition that classification of that claim in Class 4 (direct payment) is improper.

Section 1325(a) (5) prescribes the treatment of an allowed secured claim provided for by the plan. This treatment must satisfy one of three alternatives described in paragraph (5) of § 1325(a). In summary, these mandatory alternatives are: (1) the secured claim holder's acceptance of the plan; (2) the plan's providing for both (a) lien retention by the secured claim holder and (b) payment distributions on account of the secured claim having a present value "not less than the allowed amount of such claim"; or (3) the plan's

providing for surrender of the collateral to the secured claim holder. See 11 U.S.C. \S 1325(a)(5).

In most instances, the validity and amount of a secured debt is determined by state, not federal, law. 11 U.S.C. § 502(b)(1), \$1322(e) ("the amount necessary to cure the default, shall be determined in accordance with the underlying agreement and applicable nonbankruptcy law"). Where, as here, the claim arises from a secured claim against the debtor's residence the "allowed amount of the secured claim" will be determined by the underlying note and deed of trust. A creditor expresses that "allowed amount" by filing a Proof of Claim; absent objection, the amount stated in the Proof of Claim, including the amount of the ongoing mortgage payment and any arrearage, is "deemed" allowed. 11 U.S.C. § 502(a).

Here, the plan places the secured creditor's claim in Class 4, yet the claim is in default and includes a pre-petition arrearage in the amount of \$82,522.96. *Compare* Claim No. 9 (reflecting delinquency) with 11 U.S.C. 502(a) (deemed allowance).

Two principles control this analysis. First, Chapter 13 debtors do not have an absolute right to make payments to unimpaired claims directly to the creditor effected. In re Giesbrecht, 429 B.R. 682, 685-86 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2010); Cohen v. Lopez (In re Lopez), 372 B.R. 40 (9th Cir. BAP 2007), aff'd, and adopted by Cohen v. Lopez (In re Lopez), 550 F.3d 1202 (9th Cir.2008) ("a debtor has no absolute right to make such [direct] payments"). The decision to allow, or to not allow, a Chapter 13 payments directly has always been discretionary. Giesbrecht, 429 B.R. at 690.

Thus, bankruptcy courts have been afforded the discretion to make the determination of when direct payments may or may not be appropriate based upon the confirmation requirements of § 1325, policy reasons, and the factors set forth by case law, local rules or guidelines. Lopez, 372 B.R. at 46-47 ("Reflecting the discretion granted by the Code, different courts and different circuits have different rules on the permissibility of direct payment, a fact unchanged by or since [Fulkrod v. Barmettler (In re Fulkrod), 126 B.R. 584 (9th Cir. BAP 1991) aff'd sub. nom., Fulkrod v. Savage (In re Fulkrod), 973 F.2d 801 (9th Cir.1992)].")

In re Giesbrecht, 429 B.R. at 690 (emphasis added).

Second, at least where a residential mortgage is delinquent on the petition date, merely providing in the plan that the debtor will pay the claim directly does not satisfy \S 1325(a)(5). As Judge Lundin commented:

A bald statement that a creditor will be dealt with "outside the plan" fails to satisfy any of the statutory ways in which the Chapter 13 plan can provide for an allowed secured claim under 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(5)—unless the creditor "accepts" being "outside" for whatever it might mean. "Outside" does not preserve the

lien of the affected creditor and does not guarantee present value of collateral—rights the secured creditor otherwise has at confirmation under § 1325(a)(5). Placing a secured claim "outside the plan" cannot rescue confirmation of a plan that does not satisfy the confirmation tests for treatment of secured claims.

Keith M. Lundin, Lundin On Chapter 13, \S 74.8, at \P 5.

Argument might be interposed to distinguish the classification problem described by Judge Lundin with respect to § 1325(a)(5) where the residential mortgage is not delinquent on the petition date because as a matter of law those mortgages cannot be modified. 11 U.S.C. § 1322(b)(2),(b)(5), (c)(2) (prohibiting a debtor from modifying a deed of trust applicable to their principal residence, except to cure a delinquency or extending the "last original payment schedule" to a date not later than plan completion).

Moreover, the mandatory form plan in the Eastern District of California Bankruptcy Court specifically contemplates and addresses this eventuality. LBR 3015-1(a). It provides:

Class 1 includes all delinquent secured claims that mature after the completion of this plan, including those secured by Debtor's principal residence.

(a) Cure of defaults. All arrears on Class 1 claims shall be paid in full by Trustee. The equal monthly installment specified in the table below as the Arrearage dividend shall pay the arrears in full.

. . .

(b) Maintaining payments. Trustee shall maintain all post-petition monthly payments to the holder of each Class 1 claim whether or not this plan is confirmed or a proof of claim is filed.

Chapter 13 Plan § 3.07, EDC 3-080.

In contrast, Class 4 of the plan for the Eastern District of California contemplates a debtor whose mortgage is fully current on the date the case is filed. It provides:

Class 4 includes all secured claims paid directly by Debtor or third party. Class 4 claims mature after the completion of this plan, are not in default, and are not modified by this plan. These claims shall be paid by Debtor or a third person whether or not a proof of claim is filed[,] or the plan is confirmed.

Id. at § 3.10.

Here, the treatment of the delinquent mortgage in Class 4 (direct payment by the debtor) does not satisfy § 1325(a)(5). See 11 U.S.C.

§ 1325(a)(5)(B)(ii); Lundin On Chapter 13 at § 74.8. The creditor has not expressly accepted this treatment in the plan; this court will not infer acceptance from the creditor's silence. 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(5)(A); In re Pardee, 218 B.R. 916, 939-40 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1998), aff'd, 193 F.3d 1083 (9th Cir. 1999) (Klein, J. concurring and dissenting) ("[I]mplied acceptance is a troublesome theory that has been largely discredited in all but one application: the formality of acceptance of a chapter 13 plan by a secured creditor whose claim is not being treated in accord with statutory standards may be implied from silence"). In the alternative, the plan does not provide for payment of the allowed amount of the claim, i.e., ongoing mortgage plus the arreage. 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(5)(B). Finally, the plan does not provide for surrender of the collateral. 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(5)(C). Moreover, the classification does not comply with the terms of the mandatory form plan for the Eastern District. Plan § 3.07, EDC 03-080; LBR 3015-1(a).

As a result, the plan does not comply with \$1325(a)(5)\$ and will not be confirmed.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

Matrix Financial Services Corporation's objection to confirmation has been presented to the court. Having considered the objection, oppositions, responses and replies, if any, and having heard oral argument presented at the hearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the objection is sustained. The court denies confirmation of the chapter 13 plan.

33. $\frac{23-23471}{\text{JCW}-1}$ -A-13 IN RE: MARY SCOTT

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION $11-22-2023 \quad [22]$

HELGA WHITE/ATTY. FOR DBT.
JENNIFER WONG/ATTY. FOR MV.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

No Ruling

34. $\frac{19-23272}{SS-11}$ -A-13 IN RE: ALLEN FOWLER

CONTINUED MOTION FOR COMPENSATION FOR SCOTT SHUMAKER, DEBTORS ATTORNEY(S) $10-16-2023 \quad [177]$

SCOTT SHUMAKER/ATTY. FOR DBT.

No Ruling

35. $\underline{23-22972}$ -A-13 IN RE: LISSETTE MUNOZ DPC-1

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P. CUSICK

10-11-2023 [<u>15</u>]

GEOFF WIGGS/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Matter: Objection to Confirmation of Chapter 13 Plan

Notice: Continued from November 7, 2023

Disposition: Overruled as moot

Order: Civil minute order

Chapter 13 debtors may modify the plan before confirmation. 11 U.S.C. \S 1323(a). If the debtor files a modification of the plan under \S 1323, the modified plan becomes the plan. 11 U.S.C. \S 1323(b). Filing a modified plan renders moot any objection to confirmation of the prior plan. The debtor has filed a modified plan after this objection to confirmation was filed. The objection will be overruled as moot.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the objection to confirmation is overruled as moot.

36. $\frac{23-22972}{GW-1}$ -A-13 IN RE: LISSETTE MUNOZ

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN 11-1-2023 [22]

GEOFF WIGGS/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

Motion: Confirm Chapter 13 Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(d)(1), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed by

the trustee

Disposition: Denied without prejudice

Order: Civil minute order

The motion requests confirmation of the Chapter 13 plan in this case. See 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1323, 1325; Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(b); LBR 3015-1(d)(1)-(2). The motion will be denied without prejudice for the following reasons.

SERVICE AND NOTICE

As of November 1, 2022, the court adopted Local Bankruptcy Rules 2002-3, 9036-1 and 7005-1 (requiring attorneys and trustees to use a standardized Certificate of Service, EDC 7-005).

Use of Form EDC 7-005 is Mandatory

The service of pleadings and other documents in adversary proceedings, contested matters in the bankruptcy case, and all other proceedings in the Eastern District of California Bankruptcy Court by either attorneys, trustees, or other Registered Electronic Filing System Users shall be documented using the Official Certificate of Service Form (Form EDC 007-005) adopted by this Court.

LBR 7005-1 (emphasis added).

The form certificate of service is intended to allow parties to memorialize service efficiently and accurately, and to aid the court in ensuring sufficient service is achieved in each proceeding. Pursuant to LBR 7005-1 use of Form EDC 7-005 is mandatory in this matter.

Dismissal of Action for Failure to Comply with Local Rules

Failure of counsel or of a party to comply with these Rules, with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, or with any order of the Court may be grounds for imposition of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or rule or

within the inherent power of the Court, including, without limitation, dismissal of any action, entry of default, finding of contempt, imposition of monetary sanctions or attorneys' fees and costs, and other lesser sanctions.

LBR 1001-1(g) (emphasis added).

The debtor has failed to use Form EDC 7-005 in memorializing service in this matter. Both the initial certificate and the certificate accompanying the amended notice of hearing fail to use Form EDC 7-005. Certificate of Service, ECF Nos. 27, 32. The motion will be denied without prejudice.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

The debtor's motion to confirm Chapter 13 plan has been presented to the court. Given the procedural deficiencies discussed by the court in its ruling,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is denied without prejudice.

37. 23-23672-A-13 IN RE: NAWAL BSHARAH

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE TO PAY FEES 11-21-2023 [37]

CLAY PRESLEY/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

As the installment fees have been paid in full, the order to show cause is discharged. The case will remain pending.

38. $\frac{21-20774}{DPC-1}$ -A-13 IN RE: MARIA ELIAS

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 11-20-2023 [28]

MIKALAH LIVIAKIS/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: December 5, 2023

Opposition Filed: Unopposed

Cause: 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(6) - Plan Delinquency

Best Interests of Creditors/Estate: Dismiss

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

CASE DISMISSAL

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this chapter 13 case for delinquency in payments under the confirmed chapter 13 plan. For the reasons stated in the motion, cause exists under \$ 1307(c)(6) to dismiss the case. Payments under the confirmed plan are delinquent in the amount of \$6,623.88 with one payment(s) of \$2,412.48 due prior to the hearing on this motion.

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)

Except as provided in subsection (f) of this section, on request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, or may dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause, including—

. . .

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

The court finds that dismissal is in the best interests of the creditors and the estate. This case has not been previously converted from a chapter 7.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss this chapter 13 case has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent debtor for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted because of the delinquency under the confirmed chapter 13 plan in this case. The court hereby dismisses this case.

39. $\frac{21-22675}{DPC-3}$ -A-13 IN RE: DEDAN KIMANI

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 11-20-2023 [67]

STEVEN ALPERT/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted
Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: December 5, 2023

Opposition Filed: Unopposed

Cause: 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(6) - Plan Delinquency

Best Interests of Creditors/Estate: Dismiss

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

CASE DISMISSAL

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this chapter 13 case for delinquency in payments under the confirmed chapter 13 plan. For the reasons stated in the motion, cause exists under § 1307(c)(6) to dismiss the case. Payments under the confirmed plan are delinquent

in the amount of \$2,567.92 with one payment(s) of \$856.48 due prior to the hearing on this motion.

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)

Except as provided in subsection (f) of this section, on request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, or may dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause, including—

. . .

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

The court finds that dismissal is in the best interests of the creditors and the estate. This case has not been previously converted from a chapter 7.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss this chapter 13 case has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent debtor for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted because of the delinquency under the confirmed chapter 13 plan in this case. The court hereby dismisses this case.

40. $\frac{21-21480}{DPC-1}$ -A-13 IN RE: THANH TRAN

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 11-20-2023 [27]

MATTHEW DECAMINADA/ATTY. FOR DBT. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed by the debtor

Disposition: Withdrawn
Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: December 5, 2023

Opposition Filed: December 5, 2023 - timely Cause: 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(6) - Plan Delinquency

Best Interests of Creditors/Estate: Dismiss

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this case, asserting that cause exists under \$ 1307(c)(6) as the debtor has failed to make all payments due under the confirmed plan.

The debtor has filed a timely opposition which is accompanied by the Declaration of the Debtor, ECF Nos. 31, 32.

TRUSTEE REPLY - Fed. R. Civ. P. 41

The trustee filed a timely request to dismiss his motion under Fed. R. Civ. P. 41; Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9014, 7041, ECF No. 34.

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41 governs the circumstances where a party may withdraw a motion or objection. Fed. R. Civ. P. 41, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7041, 9014(c) (applying rule dismissal of adversary proceedings to contested matters). A motion or objection may be withdrawn without a court order only if it has not been opposed or by stipulation "signed by all parties who have appeared." Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A). In all other instances, a motion or objection may be withdrawn "only by court order, on terms that the court considers proper." Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(2).

Here, the Chapter 13 trustee has signaled his abandonment of his motion to dismiss. Neither the debtor(s), nor any creditor, has expressed opposition to the withdrawal of the trustee's motion. No unfair prejudice will result from withdrawal of the motion and the court will accede to the trustee's request.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

IT IS ORDERED that the motion to dismiss is withdrawn.

41. $\underline{23-23381}$ -A-13 IN RE: JASON TOLAND

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P. CUSICK 11-16-2023 [20]

CHRISTOPHER LANGLEY/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

This case was dismissed on December 7, 2023. Accordingly, this Objection is removed from the calendar as moot. No appearances are required.

42. $\frac{23-23381}{\text{LEF}-1}$ -A-13 IN RE: JASON TOLAND

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY MATAVOS DAVOODIAN, KATHLEEN DAVOODIAN, JANEY HUMESTON, DAVID VANNN HUMESTON AND CASSANDRA BARROWS $11-16-2023 \quad \ \ [24]$

CHRISTOPHER LANGLEY/ATTY. FOR DBT. LAZARO FERNANDEZ/ATTY. FOR MV.

Final Ruling

This case was dismissed on December 7, 2023. This Objection is removed from the calendar as moot. No appearances are required.

43. $\frac{23-23381}{5KI-1}$ -A-13 IN RE: JASON TOLAND

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY MERCEDES-BENZ FINANCIAL SERVICES USA LLC 11-8-2023 [15]

CHRISTOPHER LANGLEY/ATTY. FOR DBT. SHERYL ITH/ATTY. FOR MV.

Final Ruling

This case was dismissed on December 7, 2023. This Objection is removed from the calendar as moot. No appearances are required.

44. $\frac{23-20883}{PLC-4}$ -A-13 IN RE: MELISSA CHAVEZ

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN 11-9-2023 [$\underline{60}$]

PETER CIANCHETTA/ATTY. FOR DBT. TRUSTEE NON-OPPOSITION

Final Ruling

Motion: Confirm Chapter 13 Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(d)(1), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Prepared by the movant, approved by the trustee

Subject: Amended Chapter 13 Plan, filed November 9, 2023

DEFAULT OF RESPONDENT

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

The debtor seeks confirmation of the Amended Chapter 13 Plan, ECF No. 63. The Chapter 13 trustee has filed a non-opposition to the motion, 68.

CHAPTER 13 PLAN CONFIRMATION

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation.

In re Andrews, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); In re Barnes,
32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court finds that the debtor has sustained that burden, and the court will approve confirmation of the plan.

45. 23-23788-A-13 IN RE: LYNDA LOPEZ

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE TO PAY FEES 11-29-2023 [22]

MATTHEW DECAMINADA/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

As the installment fee has been paid, the order to show cause is discharged. The case will remain pending.

46. $\frac{22-21690}{RJ-5}$ -A-13 IN RE: TRACI HAMILTON

MOTION TO VALUE COLLATERAL OF CREDIT ACCEPTANCE CORPORATION 11-7-2023 [168]

RICHARD JARE/ATTY. FOR DBT. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

Motion: Value Collateral [Personal Property; Motor Vehicle]

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Civil minute order

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the respondent is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

The debtor seeks an order valuing the collateral of Credit Acceptance Corporation, a 2009 Toyota Camry at \$4,000.00. The Chapter 13 trustee objects to the motion as the value in the motion differs from the value of the vehicle in the proposed plan which is pending confirmation on January 17, 2024. The trustee's opposition should be raised in the context of plan confirmation.

The respondent creditor has failed to oppose the motion. The court will grant the motion to value.

VALUATION OF COLLATERAL

Chapter 13 debtors may value collateral by noticed motion. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3012. Section 506(a) of the Bankruptcy Code provides, "An allowed claim of a creditor secured by a lien on property in which the estate has an interest . . . is a secured claim to the extent of the value of such creditor's interest in the estate's interest in such property" and is unsecured as to the remainder. 11 U.S.C. § 506(a). For personal property, value is defined as "replacement value" on the date of the petition. Id. § 506(a)(2). For "property acquired for personal, family, or household purposes, replacement value shall mean the price a retail merchant would charge for property of that kind considering the age and condition of the property at the time value is determined." Id. The costs of sale or marketing may not be deducted. Id.

A debtor's ability to value collateral consisting of a motor vehicle is limited by the terms of the hanging paragraph of § 1325(a). See 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a) (hanging paragraph). Under this statute, a lien secured by a motor vehicle cannot be stripped down to the collateral's value if: (i) the lien securing the claim is a purchase money security interest, (ii) the debt was incurred within the 910-day period preceding the date of the petition, and (iii) the motor vehicle was acquired for the debtor's personal use. 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a) (hanging paragraph).

In this case, the debtor seeks to value collateral consisting of a motor vehicle described as a 2009 Toyota Camry. The debt owed to the respondent is secured by a purchase money security interest. See 11 U.S.C. \S 1325(a) (hanging paragraph). The court values the vehicle at \$4,000.00.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The debtor's motion to value collateral consisting of a motor vehicle has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted. The personal property collateral described as a 2009 Toyota Camry has a value of \$4,000.00. No senior liens on the collateral have been identified. The respondent has a secured claim in the amount of \$4,000.00 equal to the value of the collateral that is unencumbered by senior liens. The respondent has a general unsecured claim for the balance of the claim.

47. $\frac{23-23390}{DPC-1}$ -A-13 IN RE: AARON/REBECCA ULDALL

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P. CUSICK $11-14-2023 \quad \mbox{[23]}$

ARETE KOSTOPOULOS/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Matter: Objection to Confirmation of Chapter 13 Plan
Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4); no written opposition required

Disposition: Overruled as moot

Order: Civil minute order

Chapter 13 debtors may modify the plan before confirmation. 11 U.S.C. \S 1323(a). If the debtor files a modification of the plan under \S 1323, the modified plan becomes the plan. 11 U.S.C. \S 1323(b). Filing a modified plan renders moot any objection to confirmation of the prior plan. The debtor has filed a modified plan after this objection to confirmation was filed. The objection will be overruled as moot.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the objection to confirmation is overruled as $\ensuremath{\mathsf{moot}}.$

48. $\frac{20-22794}{DPC-2}$ -A-13 IN RE: WILLIAM LOPEZ AND GEIZOL VILANOVA

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 11-20-2023 [77]

CHAD JOHNSON/ATTY. FOR DBT. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Withdrawn
Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: December 5, 2023

Opposition Filed: December 5, 2023, timely

Cause: 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(6) - Plan Delinquency

Best Interests of Creditors/Estate: Dismiss

CASE DISMISSAL

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this chapter 13 case for delinquency in payments under the confirmed chapter 13 plan. The debtors opposed the motion.

TRUSTEE REPLY - Fed. R. Civ. P. 41

The trustee filed a timely request to dismiss his motion under Fed. R. Civ. P. 41; Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9014, 7041, ECF No. 86.

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41 governs the circumstances where a party may withdraw a motion or objection. Fed. R. Civ. P. 41, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7041, 9014(c) (applying rule dismissal of adversary proceedings to contested matters). A motion or objection may be withdrawn without a court order only if it has not been opposed or by stipulation "signed by all parties who have appeared." Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A). In all other instances, a motion or objection may be withdrawn "only by court order, on terms that the court considers proper." Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(2).

Here, the Chapter 13 trustee has signaled his abandonment of his motion to dismiss. Neither the debtor(s), nor any creditor, has expressed opposition to the withdrawal of the trustee's motion. No unfair prejudice will result from withdrawal of the motion and the court will accede to the trustee's request.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

49. $\frac{23-23896}{PSB-1}$ -A-13 IN RE: CERVANTES/SHERRI EDWARDS

MOTION TO VALUE COLLATERAL OF ONEMAIN FINANCIAL GROUP, LLC $11-16-2023 \quad [10]$

PAULDEEP BAINS/ATTY. FOR DBT. TRUSTEE NON-OPPOSITION

Final Ruling

Motion: Value Collateral - Motor Vehicle

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted
Order: Civil minute order

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). The default of the respondent is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

The debtors seek an order valuing the collateral of OneMain Financial Group, LLC, a 2012 Toyota Camry, at \$7,762.00.

VALUATION OF COLLATERAL

Chapter 13 debtors may value collateral by noticed motion. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3012. Section 506(a) of the Bankruptcy Code provides, "An allowed claim of a creditor secured by a lien on property in which the estate has an interest . . . is a secured claim to the extent of the value of such creditor's interest in the estate's interest in such property" and is unsecured as to the remainder. 11 U.S.C. § 506(a). For personal property, value is defined as "replacement value" on the date of the petition. Id. § 506(a)(2). For "property acquired for personal, family, or household purposes, replacement value shall mean the price a retail merchant would charge for property of that kind considering the age and condition of the property at the time value is determined." Id. The costs of sale or marketing may not be deducted. Id.

A debtor's ability to value collateral consisting of a motor vehicle is limited by the terms of the hanging paragraph of § 1325(a). See 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a) (hanging paragraph). Under this statute, a lien secured by a motor vehicle cannot be stripped down to the collateral's value if: (i) the lien securing the claim is a purchase money security interest, (ii) the debt was incurred within the 910-day period preceding the date of the petition, and (iii) the motor vehicle was acquired for the debtor's personal use. 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a) (hanging paragraph).

In this case, the debtor seeks to value collateral consisting of a motor vehicle described as a 2012 Toyota Camry. The debt owed to the respondent is not secured by a purchase money security interest. See 11 U.S.C. \S 1325(a) (hanging paragraph). The court values the vehicle at \$7,762.00.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The debtor's motion to value collateral consisting of a motor vehicle has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted. The personal property collateral described as a 2012 Toyota Camry has a value of \$7,762.00. No senior liens on the collateral have been identified. The respondent has a secured claim in the amount of \$7,762.00 equal to the value of the collateral that is unencumbered by senior liens. The respondent has a general unsecured claim for the balance of the claim.

50. $\underline{21-21297}$ -A-13 IN RE: RONALD/TERRY BERT MOH-2

MOTION TO RECONSIDER 12-5-2023 [$\underline{63}$]

MICHAEL HAYS/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Motion to Reconsider

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required

Disposition: Denied

Order: Civil minute order

The debtors seek an order reconsidering the court's denial (without prejudice) of their motion to approve compromise of controversy and approval of attorney compensation (MOH-1).

The motion was filed on September 15, 2023. The motion was continued for two reasons. First, the motion was continued so that proper notice of the motion could be given under Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(a)(3) and 9019(a). The rules require no less than 21 days' notice of the hearing on approval of the compromise or settlement of a controversy other than approval of an agreement pursuant to Rule 4001(d) (agreements relating to stay

relief, adequate protection, cash collateral use, obtaining credit, prohibiting or conditioning the use, sale or lease of property). Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(a)(3). See Civil Minutes, ECF No. 43.

The motion was also continued to allow the debtors to augment the deficient evidentiary record as follows:

The hearing will be continued to allow the debtors to: 1) file and serve a notice of continued hearing on all interested parties; 2) file and serve evidence from the debtors' personal injury counsel regarding his qualifications to represent the debtors under 11 U.S.C. § 330; 3) file and serve exhibits evidencing the agreement for compensation and reimbursement of expenses between the debtors and personal injury counsel; 4) file and serve evidence of the debtors' support of the motion; 5) file and serve any amended bankruptcy schedules required in support of the motion; 6) file and serve an accounting by personal injury counsel detailing the receipt and disbursement of any and all proceeds received on behalf of the debtors in the personal injury cause of action, this accounting shall be in the form of admissible evidence; and 7) file and serve any additional evidence in support of this motion.

Civil Minutes, ECF No. 43.

The court continued the hearing until November 21, 2023, at 9:00 a.m. to allow for the debtors to augment the evidentiary record and to properly serve notice of the hearing on all creditors as follows:

IT IS ORDERED THAT the hearing on this motion will be continued to November 21, 2023, at 9:00 a.m.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the debtor(s) shall file and serve a notice of continued hearing and additional evidence in support of the motion, in compliance with this court's ruling, on all interested parties not later than October 24, 2023.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the trustee and all other interested parties shall file and serve a response, if any, no later than November 7, 2023. The evidentiary record will close after November 7, 2023.

Order, ECF No. 44 (emphasis added).

The debtors filed and served the notice of continued hearing and a Curriculum Vitae of Larry S. Buckley on October 23, 2023. See ECF Nos. 47, 48, 49.

On November 6, 2023, the Chapter 13 trustee filed opposition to the motion indicating that the evidence requested by the

court had not been provided and that accordingly he was unable to support the motion. Opposition, ECF No. 52.

On November 7, 2023, the evidentiary record closed.

On November 15, 2023, the debtors filed additional evidence in support of the motion. The debtors made no request to extend the deadlines for submission of evidence nor did they request to continue the hearing indicating that evidence was unavailable for timely filing. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9006(b).

ORAL ARGUMENT

The issues in this matter having been sufficiently briefed by the debtors, the court finds that the matter does not require oral argument. LBR 9014-1(h); $Morrow\ v.\ Topping$, 437 F.2d 1155, 1156 (9th Cir. 1971) (approving local rules that authorize disposition without oral argument).

RECONSIDERATION

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 59(e) permits motions to alter or amend a judgment. Fed. R. Civ. P. 59(e), incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9023. "Reconsideration of a judgment after its entry is an extraordinary remedy which should be used sparingly." Id. at 1255 n.1 (quoting 11 Charles Alan Wright et al., Federal Practice and Procedure § 2810.1 (2d. ed. 1995)).

"A motion for reconsideration under Rule 59(e) should not be granted, absent highly unusual circumstances, unless the district court is presented with newly discovered evidence, committed clear error, or if there is an intervening change in the controlling law." *McDowell v. Calderon*, 197 F.3d 1253, 1255 (9th Cir. 1999) (emphasis omitted) (internal quotation marks omitted). A clear or manifest error of law or fact "is the wholesale disregard, misapplication, or failure to recognize controlling precedent." Oto v. Metro. Life Ins. Co., 224 F.3d 601, 606 (7th Cir. 2000). "A 'manifest error' is not demonstrated by the disappointment of the losing party." Id.

More recently, the Ninth Circuit has established "four basic grounds upon which a Rule 59(e) motion may be granted: (1) if such motion is necessary to correct manifest errors of law or fact upon which the judgment rests; (2) if such motion is necessary to present newly discovered or previously unavailable evidence; (3) if such motion is necessary to prevent manifest injustice; or (4) if the amendment is justified by an intervening change in controlling law." Allstate Ins. Co. v. Herron, 634 F.3d 1101, 1111 (9th Cir. 2011) (citing McDowell v. Calderon, 197 F.3d 1253, 1255 n.1 (9th Cir. 1999) (en banc) (per curiam)).

Carroll v. Nakatani, 342 F.3d 934, 945 (9th Cir. 2003) held that such a "motion may not be used to raise arguments or present evidence for the first time when they could reasonably have been raised earlier in the litigation." Stated differently, "[a] district court does not abuse its discretion when it disregards legal arguments made for the first time on a motion to amend, and a

party that fails to introduce facts in a motion or opposition cannot introduce them later in a motion to amend by claiming that they constitute 'newly discovered evidence' unless they were previously unavailable." Zimmerman v. City of Oakland, 255 F.3d 734, 740 (9th Cir. 2001) (citation omitted); accord Sch. Dist. No. 1J, Multnomah Cty., Or. v. ACandS, Inc., 5 F.3d 1255, 1263 (9th Cir. 1993) ("The overwhelming weight of authority is that the failure to file documents in an original motion or opposition does not turn the late filed documents into 'newly discovered evidence.'").

Late Filed Evidence is Not Newly Discovered Evidence

The debtors contend that the documents filed on November 15, 2023, are newly discovered evidence and thus are properly considered in the instant motion for reconsideration. This is incorrect.

The court requested the evidence in its ruling on October 6, 2023, when the debtors failed to produce the evidence in support of the motion. The court provided additional time for the debtors to make their prima facie case for approval of the compromise and the related attorney compensation. The debtors were given the opportunity to augment the record and they failed to timely do so. The debtors have provided no information to the court indicating why the evidence was not previously available. Neither did the debtors request an extension of the time to provide the evidence as ordered.

Motion for Reconsideration was not Properly Served

The motion seeks reconsideration of a motion for approval of compromise or settlement and approval of compensation under Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(a)(3). As such notice to all creditors must be given notice of the motion.

The debtors filed two certificates of service in support of the motion for reconsideration. Certificate of Service, ECF Nos. 65, 67. Neither certificate indicates that all creditors in this case were served with the motion nor is the clerk's matrix of creditors attached to either certificate of service. *Id.*

The court will deny the motion for reconsideration. The motion to approve compromise and attorney compensation was denied without prejudice. The debtors may refile and serve a new motion for approval of the compromise and attorney compensation.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

The debtors' Motion to Reconsider has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion together with papers filed in support and opposition, and having heard the arguments of counsel, if any,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is denied.