

# UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT Eastern District of California

### Chief Judge Fredrick E. Clement

Sacramento Federal Courthouse 501 I Street, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor Courtroom 28, Department A Sacramento, California

DAY: MONDAY

DATE: DECEMBER 18, 2023

CALENDAR: 9:00 A.M. CHAPTER 9 AND 11 CASES

Unless otherwise ordered, all matters before Chief Judge Fredrick E. Clement shall be heard simultaneously: (1) IN PERSON in Courtroom 28, (2) via ZOOMGOV VIDEO, (3) via ZOOMGOV TELEPHONE, and (4) via COURTCALL.

You may choose any of these options unless otherwise ordered.

Parties in interest and members of the public may connect to the ZoomGov video and audio feeds, free of charge, using the connection information provided:

### Video web address:

https://www.zoomgov.com/j/1610335008?pwd=YzFVeVVBRTdUWDk3RFZ1M 0IzdEx6UT09

Meeting ID: 161 033 5008

Passcode: 803219

ZoomGov Telephone: (669) 254-5252 (Toll Free)

To appear remotely for law and motion or status conference proceedings, you must comply with the following guidelines and procedures:

- 1. Review the <u>Pre-Hearing Dispositions</u> prior to appearing at the hearing.
- 2. Review the court's **Zoom Procedures and Guidelines** for these, and additional instructions.
- 3. Parties appearing via CourtCall are encouraged to review the CourtCall Appearance Information.

Please join at least 10 minutes prior to the start of the calendar. You are required to give the court 24 hours advance notice on the Court Calendar.

Unauthorized Recording is Prohibited: Any recording of a court proceeding held by video or teleconference, including screen shots or other audio or visual copying of a hearing is prohibited. Violation may result in sanctions, including removal of court-issued media credentials, denial of entry to future hearings, or any other sanctions deemed necessary by the court. For more information on photographing, recording, or broadcasting Judicial Proceedings, please refer to Local Rule 173(a) of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California.

#### PRE-HEARING DISPOSITION INSTRUCTIONS

#### RULINGS

Each matter on this calendar will have one of three possible designations: No Ruling, Tentative Ruling, or Final Ruling.

"No Ruling" means the likely disposition of the matter will not be disclosed in advance of the hearing. The matter will be called; parties wishing to be heard should rise and be heard.

"Tentative Ruling" means the likely disposition, and the reasons therefor, are set forth herein. The matter will be called. Aggrieved parties or parties for whom written opposition was not required should rise and be heard. Parties favored by the tentative ruling need not appear. However, non-appearing parties are advised that the court may adopt a ruling other than that set forth herein without further hearing or notice.

"Final Ruling" means that the matter will be resolved in the manner, and for the reasons, indicated below. The matter will not be called; parties and/or counsel need not appear and will not be heard on the matter.

### CHANGES TO PREVIOUSLY PUBLISHED RULINGS

On occasion, the court will change its intended ruling on some of the matters to be called and will republish its rulings. The parties and counsel are advised to recheck the posted rulings after 3:00 p.m. on the next business day prior to the hearing. Any such changed ruling will be preceded by the following bold face text: "[Since posting its original rulings, the court has changed its intended ruling on this matter]".

## ERRORS IN RULINGS

Clerical errors of an insignificant nature, e.g., nomenclature ("2017 Honda Accord," rather than "2016 Honda Accord"), amounts, ("\$880," not "\$808"), may be corrected in (1) tentative rulings by appearance at the hearing; or (2) final rulings by appropriate ex parte application. Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(a) incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9024. All other errors, including those occasioned by mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect, must be corrected by noticed motion. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 60(b), incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9023.

# 1. $\frac{22-21583}{AF-9}$ -A-11 IN RE: KAREN SINNUNG

AMENDED MOTION FOR FINAL DECREE 11-20-2023 [145]

ARASTO FARSAD/ATTY. FOR DBT.

### Final Ruling

Motion: Enter Final Decree (Administrative Only) Closing Chapter 11

Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Prepared by moving party

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

Under § 350(a) and Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 3022, the court must enter a final decree closing a case when the estate has been "fully administered." 11 U.S.C. § 350(a); Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3022. "However, neither the Bankruptcy Code nor the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure define the term 'fully administered.'" See In re Ground Sys., Inc., 213 B.R. 1016, 1018 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1997) (denying motion for entry of final decree because debtor's plan required estate to remain open pending completion of plan payments and such a plan requirement did not run afoul of the Code and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure).

The Advisory Committee Note to Rule 3022 lists a number of factors for courts to consider in determining whether the estate has been fully administered. See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3022 advisory committee's note—1991 Am. These factors present a court with "flexibility in determining whether an estate is fully administered," and "not all of the factors . . . need to be present to establish that a case is fully administered for final decree purposes." In re Provident Fin., Inc., Nos. MT-10-1134-JuPaD, MT-10-1135-JuPaD, Bankr. No. 09-61756, 2010 WL 6259973 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. Oct. 12, 2010) (unpublished opinion).

The Advisory Committee Note also states that entry of a final decree "should not be delayed solely because the payments required by the plan have not been completed." Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3022 advisory committee's note—1991 Am. It further provides that "[t]he court should not keep the case open only because of the possibility that the court's jurisdiction may be invoked in the future. A final decree closing the case after the estate is fully administered does not deprive the court of jurisdiction to enforce or interpret its

own orders and does not prevent the court from reopening the case for cause pursuant to \$ 350(b) of the Code." Id.

Here, the debtor seeks only administrative closure pending completion of the plan. No creditor has opposed the motion. And the motion will be granted.

2.  $\frac{22-23186}{CAE-1}$ -A-11 IN RE: C S I ROOF REMOVAL, INC.

CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE RE: VOLUNTARY PETITION 12-9-2022 [ $\underline{1}$ ]

MATTHEW DECAMINADA/ATTY. FOR DBT.

## No Ruling

3.  $\underline{22-23186}$ -A-11 IN RE: C S I ROOF REMOVAL, INC.  $\underline{\text{MJD-6}}$ 

CONFIRMATION OF PLAN 10-23-2023 [105]

MATTHEW DECAMINADA/ATTY. FOR DBT.

## No Ruling

4.  $\frac{23-23996}{CAE-1}$  IN RE: 9250 BIG HORN HOLDINGS, INC.

STATUS CONFERENCE RE: VOLUNTARY PETITION 11-7-2023 [1]

GABRIEL LIBERMAN/ATTY. FOR DBT.

# No Ruling

5.  $\underline{23-24467}$ -A-11 IN RE: GREATER LIGHT BAPTIST CHURCH OF SACRAMENTO GEL-1

MOTION TO USE CASH COLLATERAL , MOTION FOR ADEQUATE PROTECTION , MOTION/APPLICATION TO SCHEDULE DEADLINES RELATING TO A FINAL HEARING ON USE OF CASH COLLATERAL O.S.T. 12-14-2023 [5]

GABRIEL LIBERMAN/ATTY. FOR DBT.

# No Ruling