# UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

Eastern District of California

Honorable Fredrick E. Clement Bankruptcy Judge

2500 Tulare Street, Fifth Floor Department A, Courtroom 11 Fresno, California

# WEDNESDAY

DECEMBER 17, 2014

### PRE-HEARING DISPOSITIONS

### GENERAL DESIGNATIONS

Each pre-hearing disposition is prefaced by the words "Final Ruling," "Tentative Ruling" or "No Tentative Ruling." Except as indicated below, matters designated "Final Ruling" will not be called and counsel need not appear at the hearing on such matters. Matters designated "Tentative Ruling" or "No Tentative Ruling" will be called.

# MATTERS RESOLVED BEFORE HEARING

If the court has issued a final ruling on a matter and the parties directly affected by a matter have resolved the matter by stipulation or withdrawal of the motion before the hearing, then the moving party shall, not later than 4:00 p.m. (PST) on the day before the hearing, inform the following persons by telephone that they wish the matter to be dropped from calendar notwithstanding the court's ruling: (1) all other parties directly affected by the motion; and (2) Kathy Torres, Judicial Assistant to the Honorable Fredrick E. Clement, at (559) 499-5860.

# ERRORS IN FINAL RULINGS

If a party believes that a final ruling contains an error that would, if reflected in the order or judgment, warrant a motion under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 52(b), 59(e) or 60, as incorporated by Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, 7052, 9023 and 9024, then the party affected by such error shall, not later than 4:00 p.m. (PST) on the day before the hearing, inform the following persons by telephone that they wish the matter either to be called or dropped from calendar, as appropriate, notwithstanding the court's ruling: (1) all other parties directly affected by the motion; and (2) Kathy Torres, Judicial Assistant to the Honorable Fredrick E. Clement, at (559) 499-5860. Absent such a timely request, a matter designated "Final Ruling" will not be called.

1. <u>13-17405</u>-A-7 GARY KINDLUND JTW-2 JANZEN, TAMBERI, & WONG/MV MOTION FOR COMPENSATION FOR JANZEN, TAMBERI & WONG, ACCOUNTANT(S).

11-14-14 [79]

MARK ZIMMERMAN/Atty. for dbt.

# Final Ruling

Application: Allowance of Final Compensation and Expense Reimbursement

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Approved
Order: Civil minute order

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P.55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

#### COMPENSATION AND EXPENSES

Section 330(a) of the Bankruptcy Code authorizes "reasonable compensation for actual, necessary services" rendered by a trustee, examiner or professional person employed under § 327 or § 1103 and "reimbursement for actual, necessary expenses." 11 U.S.C. § 330(a)(1). Reasonable compensation is determined by considering all relevant factors. See id. § 330(a)(3).

Exhibit A shows that some expenses are included in the total amount requested. The applicant did not identify the expense reimbursement portion of the amount requested in the application and the prayer ("wherefore clause") seeks "compensation" for "accounting services rendered" in the amount of \$1,522.76. The court will therefore treat the application as requesting \$1,517.00 of compensation and \$5.76 of reimbursement of expenses.

The court finds that the compensation and expenses sought are reasonable, and the court will approve the application on an interim basis as to the amounts requested.

### CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The application of Janzen, Tamberi & Wong for allowance of final compensation and reimbursement of expenses has been presented to the court. Having considered the well-pleaded facts of the application, and having entered the default of respondent for failure to appear, timely oppose or otherwise defend in the matter,

IT IS ORDERED that the application is approved on a final basis. The court allows final compensation in the amount of \$1,517.00 and

reimbursement of expenses in the amount of \$5.76.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the trustee is authorized without further order of this court to pay from the estate the aggregate amount allowed by this order in accordance with the Bankruptcy Code and the distribution priorities of § 726.

2. 14-12107-A-7 AMADO GOMEZ

JES-3

JAMES SALVEN/MV

OSCAR SWINTON/Atty. for dbt.

JAMES SALVEN/Atty. for mv.

ORDER 11/17/14, RESPONSIVE

RESTORED HEARING RE: OBJECTION TO DEBTOR'S CLAIM OF EXEMPTIONS 9-30-14 [43]

# Final Ruling

PLEADING

The court's approval of the trustee's compromise of controversy in this case fully resolves the trustee's objection to the debtor's amended exemptions using provisions of § 704. The objection will be overruled as moot.

3. <u>14-12107</u>-A-7 AMADO GOMEZ JES-4 JAMES SALVEN/MV MOTION TO COMPROMISE CONTROVERSY/APPROVE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT WITH AMADO LARA GOMEZ 11-14-14 [52]

OSCAR SWINTON/Atty. for dbt. JAMES SALVEN/Atty. for mv.

# Final Ruling

**Motion:** Approve Compromise or Settlement of Controversy **Notice:** LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

**Disposition:** Granted

Order: Prepared by moving party

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

In determining whether to approve a compromise under Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9019, the court determines whether the compromise was negotiated in good faith and whether the party proposing the compromise reasonably believes that the compromise is the best that can be negotiated under the facts. In re A & C Props., 784 F.2d 1377, 1381 (9th Cir. 1982). More than mere good faith negotiation of a compromise is required. The court must also find that the compromise is fair and equitable. Id. "Fair and equitable" involves a consideration of four factors: (i) the probability of success in the litigation; (ii) the difficulties to be encountered in collection; (iii) the complexity of the litigation, and expense, delay and

inconvenience necessarily attendant to litigation; and (iv) the paramount interest of creditors and a proper deference to the creditors' expressed wishes, if any. *Id*. The party proposing the compromise bears the burden of persuading the court that the compromise is fair and equitable and should be approved. *Id*.

Based on the motion and supporting papers, the court finds that the compromise is fair and equitable given that creditors will be paid in full by the settlement funds. The compromise will be approved.

4. <u>14-13415</u>-A-7 RON/KARRIE HATLEY DRJ-1 RON HATLEY/MV

11-26-14 [<u>55</u>]

MOTION TO AVOID LIEN OF Y BOOK,

DAVID JENKINS/Atty. for dbt.

# Tentative Ruling

Motion: Avoid Lien that Impairs Exemption

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required

**Disposition:** Granted

Order: Prepared by moving party

Liens Plus Exemption: \$140,369.53

Property Value: \$114,000.00

Judicial Lien Avoided: \$13,869.53

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true.  $TeleVideo\ Sys.$ ,  $Inc.\ v.\ Heidenthal$ , 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

Section 522(f) of the Bankruptcy Code authorizes the court to avoid a lien "on an interest of the debtor in property to the extent that such lien impairs an exemption to which the debtor would have been entitled." 11 U.S.C. § 522(f)(1). There are four elements to avoidance of a lien that impairs an exemption: (1) there must be an exemption to which the debtor would have been entitled; (2) the property must be listed on the schedules and claimed as exempt; (3) the lien must impair the exemption claimed; and (4) the lien must be a judicial lien or nonpossessory, nonpurchase-money security interest in property described in § 522(f)(1)(B). Goswami v. MTC Distrib. (In re Goswami), 304 B.R. 386, 390-91 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2003). Impairment is statutorily defined: a lien impairs an exemption "to the extent that the sum of-(i) the lien; (ii) all other liens on the property; and (iii) the amount of the exemption that the debtor could claim if there were no liens on the property; exceeds the value that the debtor's interest in the property would have in the absence of any liens." 11 U.S.C.  $\S$  522(f)(2)(A).

The responding party's judicial lien, all other liens, and the exemption amount together exceed the property's value by an amount greater than or equal to the debt secured by the responding party's lien. As a result, the responding party's judicial lien will be avoided entirely.

5. <u>14-13415</u>-A-7 RON/KARRIE HATLEY
DRJ-2
RON HATLEY/MV

MOTION TO AVOID LIEN OF
AMERICAN CONTRACTORS INDEMNITY
COMPANY
11-26-14 [58]

DAVID JENKINS/Atty. for dbt.

# Tentative Ruling

Motion: Avoid Lien that Impairs Exemption

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required

**Disposition:** Granted

Order: Prepared by moving party

Liens Plus Exemption: \$137,895.61

Property Value: \$114,000.00

Judicial Lien Avoided: \$11,395.61

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

Section 522(f) of the Bankruptcy Code authorizes the court to avoid a lien "on an interest of the debtor in property to the extent that such lien impairs an exemption to which the debtor would have been entitled." 11 U.S.C. § 522(f)(1). There are four elements to avoidance of a lien that impairs an exemption: (1) there must be an exemption to which the debtor would have been entitled; (2) the property must be listed on the schedules and claimed as exempt; (3) the lien must impair the exemption claimed; and (4) the lien must be a judicial lien or nonpossessory, nonpurchase-money security interest in property described in § 522(f)(1)(B). Goswami v. MTC Distrib. (In re Goswami), 304 B.R. 386, 390-91 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2003). Impairment is statutorily defined: a lien impairs an exemption "to the extent that the sum of—(i) the lien; (ii) all other liens on the property; and (iii) the amount of the exemption that the debtor could claim if there were no liens on the property; exceeds the value that the debtor's interest in the property would have in the absence of any liens." 11 U.S.C.  $\S$  522(f)(2)(A).

The responding party's judicial lien, all other liens, and the exemption amount together exceed the property's value by an amount greater than or equal to the debt secured by the responding party's lien. As a result, the responding party's judicial lien will be avoided entirely.

6. <u>11-18035</u>-A-7 MADERA MEDICAL MKK-2 ASSOCIATES, INC. M. KLEIN/MV THOMAS ARMSTRONG/Atty. for dbt.

MOTION FOR COMPENSATION FOR M. KATHLEEN KLEIN, ACCOUNTANT(S). 7-10-14 [81]

#### Final Ruling

Application: First and Final Application for Compensation and Expense

Reimbursement

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Approved
Order: Civil Minute Order

Applicant: M. Kathleen Klein Compensation approved: \$4,052.00

Costs approved: \$271.78

Aggregate fees and costs approved in this application: \$4,323.78

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P.55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

#### DISCUSSION

Section 330(a) of the Bankruptcy Code authorizes "reasonable compensation for actual, necessary services" rendered by a trustee, examiner or professional person employed under § 327 or § 1103 and "reimbursement for actual, necessary expenses." 11 U.S.C. § 330(a)(1). Reasonable compensation is determined by considering all relevant factors. See id. § 330(a)(3).

The court finds that the compensation and expenses sought are reasonable, and the court will approve the application on a final basis as to the amounts requested.

# CREDITORS MATRIX

For matters requiring notice to all creditors and parties in interest, the court prefers that a current copy of the ECF master address list, accessible through PACER, be attached to the certificate of service to indicate that notice has been transmitted to all creditors and parties in interest. The copy of the master address list should indicate a date near in time to the date of service of the notice. In addition, governmental creditors must be noticed at the address provided on the Roster of Governmental Agencies, Form EDC 2-785, so the master address list and schedule of creditors must be completed using the correct addresses shown on such roster. See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(j), 5003(e); LBR 2002-1.

# CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The First and Final Fee Application filed by M. Kathleen Klein, certified public accountant, having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

It is hereby ordered that the motion is granted and that: (1) the defaults of the respondents are entered; (2) compensation of \$4,052.00 is approved on a final basis; (2) costs of \$271.78 are approved on a final basis; (3) Chapter 7 trustee, Peter L. Fear, may said amounts immediately and without further order of this court, if the case is administratively solvent; and (4) if Chapter 7 trustee Peter L. Fear pays said amounts and the case is later determined to be administratively insolvent, the payment is without prejudice to recovery of those by trustee Fear or such other person authorized by law to act on behalf of the estate.

7. <u>13-16457</u>-A-7 DES BANGAR TGM-3 MOTION FOR COMPENSATION FOR TRUDI G. MANFREDO, TRUSTEE'S ATTORNEY(S).
11-19-14 [82]

GARY HUSS/Atty. for dbt.

# Final Ruling

Application: First and Final Application for Compensation and Expense

Reimbursement

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Approved
Order: Civil Minute Order

Applicant: Trudi G. Manfredo Compensation approved: \$4,354.00

Costs approved: \$191.45

Aggregate fees and costs approved in this application: \$4,545.45

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P.55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

### DISCUSSION

Section 330(a) of the Bankruptcy Code authorizes "reasonable compensation for actual, necessary services" rendered by a trustee, examiner or professional person employed under § 327 or § 1103 and "reimbursement for actual, necessary expenses." 11 U.S.C. § 330(a)(1). Reasonable compensation is determined by considering all relevant factors. See id. § 330(a)(3).

The court finds that the compensation and expenses sought are reasonable, and the court will approve the application on a final basis as to the amounts requested.

### CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The First and Final Fee Application filed by Trudi G. Manfredo, attorney at law, having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

It is hereby ordered that the motion is granted and that: (1) the defaults of the respondents are entered; (2) compensation of \$4,354.00 is approved on a final basis; (2) costs of \$191.45 are approved on a final basis; (3) Chapter 7 trustee, James E. Salven, may said amounts immediately and without further order of this court, if the case is administratively solvent; and (4) if Chapter 7 trustee James E. Salven pays said amounts and the case is later determined to be administratively insolvent, the payment is without prejudice to recovery of those by trustee Salven or such other person authorized by law to act on behalf of the estate.

8. <u>13-11665</u>-A-7 DENNIS MCGOWAN JTW-2 JANZEN, TAMBERI AND WONG/MV

MOTION FOR COMPENSATION FOR JANZEN, TAMBERI AND WONG, ACCOUNTANT(S).
11-14-14 [69]

PETER BUNTING/Atty. for dbt.

# Final Ruling

Application: Allowance of Final Compensation and Expense Reimbursement

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Approved
Order: Civil minute order

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P.55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

### COMPENSATION AND EXPENSES

Section 330(a) of the Bankruptcy Code authorizes "reasonable compensation for actual, necessary services" rendered by a trustee, examiner or professional person employed under § 327 or § 1103 and "reimbursement for actual, necessary expenses." 11 U.S.C. § 330(a)(1). Reasonable compensation is determined by considering all relevant factors. See id. § 330(a)(3).

Exhibit A shows that some expenses are included in the total amount requested. The applicant did not identify the expense reimbursement portion of the amount requested and the prayer ("wherefore clause")

seeks "compensation" for "accounting services rendered" in the amount of \$1,891.06. The court will therefore treat the application as requesting \$1,868.50 of compensation and \$22.56 of reimbursement of expenses.

The court finds that the compensation and expenses sought are reasonable, and the court will approve the application on an interim basis as to the amounts requested.

# CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

Janzen, Tamberi & Wong's application for allowance of final compensation and reimbursement of expenses has been presented to the court. Having considered the well-pleaded facts of the application, and having entered the default of respondent for failure to appear, timely oppose or otherwise defend in the matter,

IT IS ORDERED that the application is approved on a final basis. The court allows final compensation in the amount of \$1,868.50 and reimbursement of expenses in the amount of \$22.56.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the trustee is authorized without further order of this court to pay from the estate the aggregate amount allowed by this order in accordance with the Bankruptcy Code and the distribution priorities of § 726.

9. 14-12575-A-7 ALICE RODRIGUEZ

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR DISGORGEMENT 11-17-14 [120]

RICHARD MENDEZ/Atty. for dbt.

# Final Ruling

The Order to Show Cause is discharged. A new Order to Show Cause has issued and is scheduled for hearing on January 13, 2015, at 9:00 a.m.

OPPOSITION RE: TRUSTEE'S MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO APPEAR AT SEC. 341(A) MEETING OF CREDITORS

11-14-14 [11]

ERIC ESCAMILLA/Atty. for dbt.

### Tentative Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case and Extend Trustee's Deadlines

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required or case

dismissed without hearing

Disposition: Conditionally denied in part, granted in part

Order: Civil minute order

The Chapter 7 trustee has filed a Motion to Dismiss for Failure to Appear at the  $\S$  341(a) Meeting of Creditors and Motion to Extend Deadlines for Filing Objections to Discharge. The debtor opposes the motion.

#### DISMISSAL

Chapter 7 debtors shall attend the § 341(a) meeting of creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 343. A continuing failure to attend this meeting is cause for dismissal of the case. See 11 U.S.C. §§ 105(a), 343, 707(a); see also In re Nordblad, No. 2:13-bk-14562-RK, 2013 WL 3049227, at \*2 (Bankr. C.D. Cal. June 17, 2013).

The court finds that the debtor has failed to appear at the first date set for the meeting of creditors. Because the debtor's failure to attend the required § 341 creditors' meeting has occurred only once, the court will not dismiss the case provided the debtor appears at the continued date of the creditor's meeting. This means that the court's denial of the motion to dismiss is subject to the condition that the debtor attend the continued meeting of creditors. But if the debtor does not appear at the continued meeting of creditors, the case will be dismissed on trustee's declaration without further notice or hearing.

### EXTENSION OF DEADLINES

The court will grant the motion in part to the extent it requests extension of the trustee's deadlines to object to discharge and to dismiss the case for abuse, other than presumed abuse. Such deadlines will be extended so that they run from the next continued date of the § 341(a) meeting of creditors rather than the first date set for the meeting of creditors. The following deadlines are extended to 60 days after the next continued date of the creditors' meeting: (1) the trustee's deadline for objecting to discharge under § 727, see Fed. R. Bankr. P. 4004(a); and (2) the trustee's deadline for bringing a motion to dismiss under § 707(b) or (c) for abuse, other than presumed abuse, see Fed. R. Bankr. P. 1017(e).

# CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court will issue a minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes of the hearing.

The trustee's Motion to Dismiss for Failure to Appear at § 341(a) Meeting of Creditors and Motion to Extend the Deadlines for Filing Objections to Discharge and Motions to Dismiss having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is denied on the condition that the debtor attend the continued § 341(a) meeting of creditors scheduled for December 22, 2014, at 8:30 a.m. But if the debtor does not appear at this continued meeting, the case will be dismissed on trustee's declaration without further notice or hearing.

IT IS ALSO ORDERED that following deadlines shall be extended to 60 days after the continued date of the creditors' meeting: (1) the trustee's deadline for objecting to discharge under § 727, see Fed. R. Bankr. P. 4004(a); and (2) the trustee's deadline for bringing a motion to dismiss under § 707(b) or (c) for abuse, other than presumed abuse, see Fed. R. Bankr. P. 1017(e).

11. <u>14-14889</u>-A-7 DONALD BROWN TMT-1

OPPOSITION RE: TRUSTEE'S MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO APPEAR AT SEC. 341(A) MEETING

OF CREDITORS 11-14-14 [16]

# Tentative Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case and Extend Trustee's Deadlines

**Notice:** LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required or case

dismissed without hearing

Disposition: Conditionally denied in part, granted in part

Order: Civil minute order

The Chapter 7 trustee has filed a Motion to Dismiss for Failure to Appear at the § 341(a) Meeting of Creditors and Motion to Extend Deadlines for Filing Objections to Discharge. The debtor opposes the motion.

## DISMISSAL

Chapter 7 debtors shall attend the § 341(a) meeting of creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 343. A continuing failure to attend this meeting is cause for dismissal of the case. See 11 U.S.C. §§ 105(a), 343, 707(a); see also In re Nordblad, No. 2:13-bk-14562-RK, 2013 WL 3049227, at \*2 (Bankr. C.D. Cal. June 17, 2013).

The court finds that the debtor has failed to appear at the first date set for the meeting of creditors. Because the debtor's failure to attend the required § 341 creditors' meeting has occurred only once, the court will not dismiss the case provided the debtor appears at the continued date of the creditor's meeting. This means that the court's denial of the motion to dismiss is subject to the condition that the debtor attend the continued meeting of creditors. But if the debtor does not appear at the continued meeting of creditors, the case will

be dismissed on trustee's declaration without further notice or hearing.

### EXTENSION OF DEADLINES

The court will grant the motion in part to the extent it requests extension of the trustee's deadlines to object to discharge and to dismiss the case for abuse, other than presumed abuse. Such deadlines will be extended so that they run from the next continued date of the § 341(a) meeting of creditors rather than the first date set for the meeting of creditors. The following deadlines are extended to 60 days after the next continued date of the creditors' meeting: (1) the trustee's deadline for objecting to discharge under § 727, see Fed. R. Bankr. P. 4004(a); and (2) the trustee's deadline for bringing a motion to dismiss under § 707(b) or (c) for abuse, other than presumed abuse, see Fed. R. Bankr. P. 1017(e).

# CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court will issue a minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes of the hearing.

The trustee's Motion to Dismiss for Failure to Appear at § 341(a) Meeting of Creditors and Motion to Extend the Deadlines for Filing Objections to Discharge and Motions to Dismiss having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is denied on the condition that the debtor attend the continued  $\S$  341(a) meeting of creditors scheduled for December 22, 2014, at 8:30 a.m. But if the debtor does not appear at this continued meeting, the case will be dismissed on trustee's declaration without further notice or hearing.

IT IS ALSO ORDERED that following deadlines shall be extended to 60 days after the continued date of the creditors' meeting: (1) the trustee's deadline for objecting to discharge under § 727, see Fed. R. Bankr. P. 4004(a); and (2) the trustee's deadline for bringing a motion to dismiss under § 707(b) or (c) for abuse, other than presumed abuse, see Fed. R. Bankr. P. 1017(e).

12. <u>14-14293</u>-A-7 ANTONIO/THERESA JEBIAN KDG-1 ANTONIO JEBIAN/MV HAGOP BEDOYAN/Atty. for dbt.

CONTINUED MOTION TO AVOID LIEN OF ROBERT H. SCRIBNER 10-10-14 [18]

# Tentative Ruling

Motion: Avoid Lien that Impairs Exemption

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Prepared by moving party

Liens Plus Exemption: \$495,242.00

**Property Value:** \$450,000.00

Judicial Lien Avoided: \$49,427.88

The notice of continued hearing states that the motion is being filed and served pursuant to LBR 9014-1(f)(1) and (2). But then the notice requires written opposition not less than 14 days before the hearing pursuant to LBR 9014-1(f)(2). Because the notice of hearing is ambiguous about the notice procedure used, the court will deem the motion to have been noticed under LBR 9014-1(f)(2) and permit opposition at the hearing.

Section 522(f) of the Bankruptcy Code authorizes the court to avoid a lien "on an interest of the debtor in property to the extent that such lien impairs an exemption to which the debtor would have been entitled." 11 U.S.C. § 522(f)(1). There are four elements to avoidance of a lien that impairs an exemption: (1) there must be an exemption to which the debtor would have been entitled; (2) the property must be listed on the schedules and claimed as exempt; (3) the lien must impair the exemption claimed; and (4) the lien must be a judicial lien or nonpossessory, nonpurchase-money security interest in property described in § 522(f)(1)(B). Goswami v. MTC Distrib. (In re Goswami), 304 B.R. 386, 390-91 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2003). Impairment is statutorily defined: a lien impairs an exemption "to the extent that the sum of-(i) the lien; (ii) all other liens on the property; and (iii) the amount of the exemption that the debtor could claim if there were no liens on the property; exceeds the value that the debtor's interest in the property would have in the absence of any liens." 11 U.S.C.  $\S$  522(f)(2)(A).

The responding party's judicial lien, all other liens, and the exemption amount together exceed the property's value by an amount greater than or equal to the debt secured by the responding party's lien. As a result, the responding party's judicial lien will be avoided entirely.

13. <u>12-11899</u>-A-7 CRAIG/SANDRA SCHARPENBERG CONTINUED MOTION TO PAY DMG-4 11-19-14 [<u>85</u>] VINCENT GORSKI/MV LEONARD WELSH/Atty. for dbt.

# Tentative Ruling

D. GARDNER/Atty. for mv.

Motion: For Order Directing Chapter 7 Trustee to Pay Final Fees and

Expenses

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required

Disposition: Granted in part, denied in part

Order: Prepared by moving party consistent with this ruling

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

The movant, attorney D. Max Gardner, represents that attorneys' fees of \$9,964.00 and costs of \$247.16 were previously approved by an order entered August 28, 2014. The movant further asserts that the case is administratively solvent and an approximate 34% dividend will be paid to unsecured creditors in the amount of \$377,000.00. For the reasons stated in the motion, the court will grant the motion in part and issue an order authorizing the trustee to pay the fees and costs described in the motion. But the court will deny the motion in part to the extent it seeks an order directing the chapter 7 trustee to pay the fees and costs. The trustee's decision as to when to pay fees and costs is governed by the priorities of the Code, by bankruptcy procedure, and by the U.S. Trustee's policies and oversight.

#### 9:15 a.m.

1.  $\underline{14-10910}$ -A-7 CLAUDE/ERLINDA TEISINGER  $\underline{14-1115}$ 

CADLES OF GRASSY MEADOWS II, LLC. V. TEISINGER ET AL HOLLY WALKER/Atty. for pl. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

No tentative ruling.

CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE RE: COMPLAINT 9-30-14 [1]

### 10:00 a.m.

1. 14-15113-A-7 VICENTE REYNOSO
KAZ-1
U.S. BANK TRUST, N.A./MV
KRISTIN ZILBERSTEIN/Atty. for mv.

MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY 11-12-14 [26]

# Final Ruling

Motion: Stay Relief

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Prepared by moving party

Subject: 18536 East Lincoln Avenue, Reedley, California

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P.55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been

filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys.*, *Inc.* v. *Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

Section 362(d)(2) authorizes stay relief if the debtor lacks equity in the property and the property is not necessary to an effective reorganization. 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(2). Chapter 7 is a mechanism for liquidation, not reorganization, and, therefore, property of the estate is never necessary for reorganization. In re Casgul of Nevada, Inc., 22 B.R. 65, 66 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1982). In this case, the aggregate amount due all liens exceeds the value of the collateral and the debtor has no equity in the property. The motion will be granted, and Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 4001(a)(3) will be waived. No other relief will be awarded.

2. 14-14890-A-7 MICHAEL/PAULA BULLOCK
KAZ-1
BAYVIEW LOAN SERVICING, LLC/MV
MARC CARASKA/Atty. for dbt.
KRISTIN ZILBERSTEIN/Atty. for mv.

MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY 11-19-14 [13]

# Final Ruling

Motion: Stay Relief

**Notice:** LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

**Disposition:** Granted

Order: Prepared by moving party

Subject: 1718 Teak Avenue, Merced, California

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P.55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

Section 362(d)(2) authorizes stay relief if the debtor lacks equity in the property and the property is not necessary to an effective reorganization. 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(2). Chapter 7 is a mechanism for liquidation, not reorganization, and, therefore, property of the estate is never necessary for reorganization. In re Casgul of Nevada, Inc., 22 B.R. 65, 66 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1982). In this case, the aggregate amount due all liens exceeds the value of the collateral and the debtor has no equity in the property. The motion will be granted, and Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 4001(a)(3) will be waived. No other relief will be awarded.

1. <u>14-14741</u>-A-7 DENITA RAZO

REAFFIRMATION AGREEMENT WITH AMERICREDIT FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC  $11-25-14\ [\frac{13}{3}]$ 

JEFFREY ROWE/Atty. for dbt.

No tentative ruling.

2. 14-14256-A-7 TONG XIONG

PRO SE REAFFIRMATION AGREEMENT WITH WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A 11-25-14 [  $\underline{18}$  ]

No tentative ruling.

3. <u>14-14380</u>-A-7 LILIANA VALDIVIA MACIAS

PRO SE REAFFIRMATION AGREEMENT WITH WELLS FARGO DEALER SERVICES 11-24-14 [15]

No tentative ruling.

1:30 p.m.

1. <u>10-12709</u>-A-11 ENNIS COMMERCIAL LRP-25 PROPERTIES, LLC DAVID STAPLETON/MV

MOTION TO COMPROMISE CONTROVERSY/APPROVE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT WITH CITIZENS BUSINESS BANK 11-19-14 [1443]

PETER FEAR/Atty. for dbt. MICHAEL GOMEZ/Atty. for mv.

# Final Ruling

Motion: Plan Administrator's Motion for Order Approving Compromise

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

**Disposition:** Granted

Order: Prepared by the movant pursuant to the instructions below

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

For the reasons stated in the motion and supporting papers, the court will approve the compromise. The order shall attach as an exhibit a copy of the settlement agreement signed by all the parties thereto.

2. <u>10-12709</u>-A-11 ENNIS COMMERCIAL LRP-27 PROPERTIES, LLC DAVID STAPLETON/MV

MOTION TO CLARIFY APPLICATION OF PLAN ADMINISTRATOR TO EMPLOY THE STAPLETON GROUP AS PROPERTY MANAGER 11-19-14 [1436]

PETER FEAR/Atty. for dbt. MICHAEL GOMEZ/Atty. for mv.

No tentative ruling.

3. 10-62315-A-11 BEN ENNIS
LRP-36
DAVID STAPLETON/MV
RILEY WALTER/Atty. for dbt.
MICHAEL GOMEZ/Atty. for mv.

MOTION TO SELL FREE AND CLEAR OF LIENS 11-20-14 [1797]

# Tentative Ruling

**Motion:** Sell Real Property and Compensate Real Estate Broker **Notice:** LBR 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required

Disposition: Pending

Order: Pending

Property: 303 W. Henderson Ave., Porterville, CA Buyer: Junior Gill but not to his undisclosed nominee

**Sale Price**: \$128,000

Sale Type: Private sale subject to overbid opportunity

Real Estate Broker: Colliers Tingey International, Inc. (Plan

Administrator's broker)

**Compensation Requested:** 6% commission on the total purchase price: 3% for Plan Administrator's real estate broker and another 3% commission for the buyer's real estate broker

At the hearing, the court may discuss with counsel for the movant the following issues to the extent necessary: (i) the bidding procedures proposed and the requirements for an overbid; (ii) the amount of the overbid as a percentage of the proposed sale price; (iii) whether this court has authorized the broker to be retained by the Plan Administrator by a prior order pursuant to an application brought pursuant to the terms of the plan; (iv) service of the motion pursuant to Rule 7004 on all respondents affected by the request for § 363(f) relief, or waiver of any deficiency in such service by respondents' appearance at the hearing through counsel, and (v) any other issues the court may deem material to raise at the hearing.

4. <u>10-62315</u>-A-11 BEN ENNIS LRP-37 MOTION TO COMPROMISE CONTROVERSY/APPROVE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT WITH CITIZENS BUSINESS BANK, ET AL. 11-19-14 [1791]

RILEY WALTER/Atty. for dbt. MICHAEL GOMEZ/Atty. for mv.

# Final Ruling

**Motion:** Approve Compromise or Settlement of Controversy **Notice:** LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

**Disposition**: Granted

Order: Prepared by moving party

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

In determining whether to approve a compromise under Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9019, the court determines whether the compromise was negotiated in good faith and whether the party proposing the compromise reasonably believes that the compromise is the best that can be negotiated under the facts. In re A & C Props., 784 F.2d 1377, 1381 (9th Cir. 1982). More than mere good faith negotiation of a compromise is required. The court must also find that the compromise is fair and equitable. Id. "Fair and equitable" involves a consideration of four factors: (i) the probability of success in the litigation; (ii) the difficulties to be encountered in collection;

(iii) the complexity of the litigation, and expense, delay and inconvenience necessarily attendant to litigation; and (iv) the paramount interest of creditors and a proper deference to the creditors' expressed wishes, if any. *Id*. The party proposing the compromise bears the burden of persuading the court that the compromise is fair and equitable and should be approved. *Id*.

Based on the motion and supporting papers, the court finds that the compromise is fair and equitable considering the relevant A & C Properties factors. The compromise will be approved.

5. <u>10-62315</u>-A-11 BEN ENNIS LRP-40 DAVID STAPLETON/MV

OF PLAN ADMINISTRATOR TO EMPLOY
THE STAPLETON GROUP AS PROPERTY

MANAGER

11-19-14 [1784]

MOTION TO CLARIFY APPLICATION

RILEY WALTER/Atty. for dbt. MICHAEL GOMEZ/Atty. for mv.

No tentative ruling.

6. 10-62315-A-11 BEN ENNIS
LRP-41
DAVID STAPLETON/MV
RILEY WALTER/Atty. for dbt.
MICHAEL GOMEZ/Atty. for mv.

MOTION TO SELL 11-26-14 [1804]

# Tentative Ruling

**Motion:** Sell Real Property and Compensate Real Estate Broker **Notice:** LBR 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required

Disposition: Pending

Order: Pending

Property: 409 N. Main Street, Porterville, CA

Buyer: Kevin L. Puett and Catherine Adams-Puett but not to their

undisclosed nominee
Sale Price: \$225,000

Sale Type: Private sale subject to overbid opportunity

Real Estate Broker: Colliers Tingey International, Inc. (Plan Administrator's broker)

**Compensation Requested:** 6% commission on the total purchase price: 3% for Plan Administrator's real estate broker and another 3% commission for the buyer's real estate broker

At the hearing, the court may discuss with counsel for the movant the following issues to the extent necessary: (i) the bidding procedures proposed and the requirements for an overbid; (ii) the amount of the overbid as a percentage of the proposed sale price; (iii) whether this court has authorized the broker to be retained by the Plan Administrator by a prior order pursuant to an application brought pursuant to the terms of the plan; (iv) service of the motion pursuant to Rule 7004 on all respondents affected by the request for § 363(f)

relief, or waiver of any deficiency in such service by respondents' appearance at the hearing through counsel, and (v) any other issues the court may deem material to raise at the hearing.

7. 10-62315-A-11 BEN ENNIS
LRP-42
DAVID STAPLETON/MV
RILEY WALTER/Atty. for dbt.
MICHAEL GOMEZ/Atty. for mv.

MOTION TO AMEND 11-26-14 [1812]

No tentative ruling.

8. <u>13-17136</u>-A-11 BHAVIKA'S PROPERTIES, DISCLOSURE STATEMENT FILED BY EVN-11 LLC DEBTOR BHAVIKA'S PROPERTIES,

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT FILED BY DEBTOR BHAVIKA'S PROPERTIES, LLC 11-14-14 [224]

ELAINE NGUYEN/Atty. for dbt. RENOTICED FOR 1/13/15, ECF NO. 241

# Final Ruling

The hearing renoticed for January 13, 2015, at 1:30 p.m., this matter is dropped as moot.

9. <u>14-14241</u>-A-11 ARTHUR FONTAINE DMG-6 ARTHUR FONTAINE/MV CONTINUED MOTION TO AUTHORIZE USE OF PROPERTY AND/OR MOTION TO ASSUME LEASE OR EXECUTORY CONTRACT

10-29-14 [74]

D. GARDNER/Atty. for dbt.

No tentative ruling.

10. <u>13-17744</u>-A-11 SREP V, LLC

CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE RE: VOLUNTARY PETITION 12-6-13 [ $\underline{1}$ ]

PETER FEAR/Atty. for dbt. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

No tentative ruling.

11. <u>13-17744</u>-A-11 SREP V, LLC PLF-2

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT FILED BY DEBTOR SREP V, LLC 11-14-14 [182]

PETER FEAR/Atty. for dbt.

# Tentative Ruling

Matter: Approval of Disclosure Statement

**Notice:** LBR 9014-1(f)(1) / Continued date of the hearing; written

opposition required

Disposition: Approved

Order: Prepared by the court

Unopposed matters are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

Before the disclosure statement and proposed plan may be sent to all creditors and parties in interest, the disclosure statement must be approved by the court. 11 U.S.C. § 1125(b). Under § 1125 of the Bankruptcy Code, a disclosure statement accompanying a proposed chapter 11 plan must contain adequate information "that would enable [an investor typical of holders of claims or interests of the relevant class] to make an informed judgment about the plan." 11 U.S.C. § 1125(a)(1). "The determination of what is adequate information is subjective and made on a case by case basis. This determination is largely within the discretion of the bankruptcy court." In re Brotby, 303 B.R. 177, 193 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2003) (citation omitted) (internal quotation marks omitted).

The court will approve the disclosure statement. At the hearing on this matter, the court will set procedural deadlines for taking action relating to the disclosure statement, balloting, and plan confirmation.

12. <u>14-10851</u>-A-11 JOHN/BETTY VAN DYK HAR-3 MOTION FOR COMPENSATION BY THE LAW OFFICE OF MCCORMICK, BARSTOW, SHEPPARD, WAYTE & CARRUTH FOR HILTON A. RYDER, CREDITOR COMM. ATY(S). 11-18-14 [297]

RILEY WALTER/Atty. for dbt.

### Tentative Ruling

Application: Second Interim Application Compensation and Expense

Reimbursement

**Notice:** LBR 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required

Disposition: Approved
Order: Civil Minute Order

Applicant: McCormick Barstow

Compensation approved: \$12,975.00

Costs approved: \$202.52

Aggregate fees and costs approved in this application: \$13,177.52

Retainer held: \$0.00

Amount to be paid as administrative expense: \$13,177.52

#### DISCUSSION

Section 330(a) of the Bankruptcy Code authorizes "reasonable compensation for actual, necessary services" rendered by an employed professional in a Chapter 11 case and "reimbursement for actual, necessary expenses." 11 U.S.C. § 330(a)(1). Reasonable compensation is determined by considering all relevant factors. See id. § 330(a)(3).

The court finds that the compensation and expenses sought are reasonable, and the court will approve the application on an interim basis. Such amounts shall be perfected, and may be adjusted, by a final application for compensation and expenses, which shall be filed prior to case closure.

#### CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Second Interim Fee Application filed by McCormick Barstow, attorneys at law, having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

It is hereby ordered that the motion is granted and that: (1) compensation of \$12,975.00 is approved on an interim basis; (2) costs of \$202.52 are approved on an interim basis; and (3) such awards shall be finalized prior to the close of the case.

13. 14-10851-A-11 JOHN/BETTY VAN DYK
WW-20
JOHN VAN DYK/MV
RILEY WALTER/Atty. for dbt.
OST 12/2/14,

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 12-2-14 [329]

# Tentative Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Chapter 11 Case

**Notice:** LBR 9014-1(f)(3) and order shortening time; written opposition

required 2 days before the hearing

**Disposition:** Granted

Order: Prepared by the movant

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 2 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true.  $TeleVideo\ Sys.$ ,  $Inc.\ v.\ Heidenthal$ , 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

For the reasons stated in the motion, the court will grant the motion and dismiss the case for cause under  $\S 1112(b)$ . The court may not convert the case given the debtors' status as farmers.  $\S 1112(c)$ . The motion asserts that unsecured creditors have each consented to the dismissal and will be paid 85% of their allowed claims.

14. <u>13-13284</u>-A-11 NICOLETTI OIL INC.

CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE RE: VOLUNTARY PETITION 5-7-13 [1]

DAVID GOLUBCHIK/Atty. for dbt.

No tentative ruling.

15.  $\frac{13-13284}{LC-4}$ -A-11 NICOLETTI OIL INC.

LARRY CLEVELAND/MV
DAVID GOLUBCHIK/Atty. for dbt.

MOTION FOR COMPENSATION FOR LARRY CLEVELAND, ACCOUNTANT(S). 11-19-14 [384]

# Final Ruling

Application: Second Interim Application for Compensation and Expense

Reimbursement

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Approved
Order: Civil Minute Order

Applicant: Larry Cleveland

Compensation approved: \$10,834.00

Costs approved: \$0.00

Aggregate fees and costs approved in this application: \$10,834.00

Retainer held: \$0.000

Amount to be paid as administrative expense: \$10,834.00

# DISCUSSION

Section 330(a) of the Bankruptcy Code authorizes "reasonable compensation for actual, necessary services" rendered by an employed professional in a Chapter 11 case and "reimbursement for actual, necessary expenses." 11 U.S.C. § 330(a)(1). Reasonable compensation is determined by considering all relevant factors. See id. § 330(a)(3).

The court finds that the compensation and expenses sought are reasonable, and the court will approve the application on an interim

basis. Such amounts shall be perfected, and may be adjusted, by a final application for compensation and expenses, which shall be filed prior to case closure.

### CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Second Interim Fee Application filed by Larry Cleveland, certified public accountant, having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

It is hereby ordered that the motion is granted and that: (1) compensation of \$10,834.00 is approved on an interim basis; (2) no costs are approved; and (3) such award shall be finalized prior to the close of the case.

16.  $\frac{13-13284}{\text{LNB}-2}$ -A-11 NICOLETTI OIL INC.

MOTION FOR COMPENSATION BY THE LAW OFFICE OF LEVENE, NEALE, BENDER, YOO & BRILL LLP FOR KURT RAMLO, DEBTOR'S ATTORNEY(S)
11-19-14 [379]

DAVID GOLUBCHIK/Atty. for dbt.

# Tentative Ruling

Application: Interim Compensation and Expense Reimbursement

**Notice:** LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Approved
Order: Civil Minute Order

Applicant: Levene, Neal, Bender et. al.

Compensation approved: \$191,447.50

Costs approved: \$7,805.57

Aggregate fees and costs approved in this application: \$199,353.07

**Retainer held:** \$91,824.50

Amount to be paid as administrative expense: \$107,528.57

# DISCUSSION

Section 330(a) of the Bankruptcy Code authorizes "reasonable compensation for actual, necessary services" rendered by counsel for the debtor in possession in a Chapter 11 case and "reimbursement for actual, necessary expenses." 11 U.S.C. § 330(a)(1). Reasonable compensation is determined by considering all relevant factors. See id. § 330(a)(3).

The court finds that the compensation and expenses sought are reasonable, and the court will approve the application on an interim basis. Such amounts shall be perfected, and may be adjusted, by a final application for compensation and expenses, which shall be filed

prior to case closure. The moving party is authorized to draw on any retainer held.

### CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The First Interim Application for Compensation filed by Levene, Neale, Bender et. al., having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

It is hereby ordered that: (1) compensation of \$191,447.50 is approved on an interim basis; (2) costs of \$7,805.57 are approved on an interim basis; (3) said amounts aggregate to \$199,353.07; (4) the applicant has \$91,824.50 in trust, which it may apply to the interim fees and costs described herein; (5) the debtor in possession may pay the applicant \$107,528.57 as an administrative expense without further order, provided the estate is administratively solvent; and (6) the applicant shall perfect those amounts by final application prior to the closure of the case.

<u>14-11595</u>-A-11 RAY FISHER PHARMACY, DISCLOSURE STATEMENT FILED BY 17. PWC-4 INC.

DEBTOR RAY FISHER PHARMACY, INC. 11-19-14 [122]

ALAN KINDRED/Atty. for dbt. VACATED BY ORDER #125

# Final Ruling

The hearing vacated by Order, ECF #125, this matter is dropped as moot.

18. 12-17310-A-11 JOHN/GRACE VISSER RAC-47 JOHN VISSER/MV

CONTINUED MOTION FOR FINAL DECREE AND ORDER CLOSING CASE AND/OR MOTION FOR ENTRY OF DISCHARGE 11-19-14 [<u>103</u>6]

RONALD CLIFFORD/Atty. for dbt.

# Tentative Ruling

Motion: Enter Final Decree Closing Chapter 11 Case and Enter Discharge

of Debtors

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(2) / Continued hearing date; no written

opposition required **Disposition:** Granted

Order: Prepared by the moving party

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

#### FINAL DECREE

Under § 350(a) and Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 3022, the court must enter a final decree closing a case when the estate has been "fully administered." 11 U.S.C. § 350(a); Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3022. "However, neither the Bankruptcy Code nor the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure define the term 'fully administered.'" See In re Ground Sys., Inc., 213 B.R. 1016, 1018 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1997) (denying motion for entry of final decree because debtor's plan required estate to remain open pending completion of plan payments and such a plan requirement did not run afoul of the Code and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure).

The Advisory Committee Note to Rule 3022 lists a number of factors for courts to consider in determining whether the estate has been fully administered. See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3022 advisory committee's note—1991 Am. These factors present a court with "flexibility in determining whether an estate is fully administered," and "not all of the factors . . . need to be present to establish that a case is fully administered for final decree purposes." In re Provident Fin., Inc., Nos. MT-10-1134-JuPaD, MT-10-1135-JuPaD, Bankr. No. 09-61756, 2010 WL 6259973 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. Oct. 12, 2010) (unpublished opinion).

The Advisory Committee Note also states that entry of a final decree "should not be delayed solely because the payments required by the plan have not been completed." Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3022 advisory committee's note—1991 Am. It further provides that "[t]he court should not keep the case open only because of the possibility that the court's jurisdiction may be invoked in the future. A final decree closing the case after the estate is fully administered does not deprive the court of jurisdiction to enforce or interpret its own orders and does not prevent the court from reopening the case for cause pursuant to § 350(b) of the Code." Id.

Here, factors supporting a finding of full administration of the estate have been satisfied. The order confirming the plan has become final pursuant to Rule 8002. The motion asserts that the Reorganized Debtors have paid in full, with interest where required, all claims—thus, all plan payments have been made. All motions, other than this motion, contested matters, and adversary proceedings have been finally resolved. Other factors addressed in the motion support entry of the final decree closing the case. No other factors listed in the advisory committee note have been contested by any creditor or party in interest.

At the continued hearing, the court will grant the motion as to the final decree and issue an order closing the case assuming all other aspects of the motion are able to be resolved at that time.

# DISCHARGE OF DEBTORS

The debtors have paid in full, with interest where required under the Plan, all unclassified and classified claims, and the motion further states that all Plan payments have been made. § 1141(d)(5)(A).

Supplemental declarations have been filed addressing the provisions of § 1141(d)(C)(i)-(ii). For the reasons stated in the motion, the court finds that entry of discharge is appropriate under § 11 U.S.C. 1141(d)(5).

1:45 p.m.

<u>10-12709</u>-A-11 ENNIS COMMERCIAL 10-12709-A-11 ENNIS COMMERCIAL CONTINUED MOTION 14-1062 PROPERTIES, LLC LRP-4 DEFAULT JUDGMENT ENNIS COMMERCIAL PROPERTIES, LLC ET AL V. ENNIS DEVELOPMENT MICHAEL GOMEZ/Atty. for mv.

CONTINUED MOTION FOR ENTRY OF 10-3-14 [<u>33</u>]

No tentative ruling.

<u>10-62315</u>-A-11 BEN ENNIS 13-1108 LRP-5 STAPLETON ET AL V. NICHOLSON MICHAEL GOMEZ/Atty. for mv. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT 11-19-14 [<u>94</u>]

No tentative ruling.

3. 10-12709-A-11 ENNIS COMMERCIAL 14-1062 PROPERTIES, LLC ENNIS COMMERCIAL PROPERTIES, LLC ET AL V. ENNIS DEVELOPMENT MICHAEL GOMEZ/Atty. for pl.

CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE RE: COMPLAINT 6-16-14 [<u>1</u>]

No tentative ruling.