

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

Eastern District of California

Honorable Michael S. McManus
Bankruptcy Judge
Sacramento, California

December 16, 2015 at 9:30 a.m.

-
- | | | |
|----|---|---|
| 1. | 14-29601-A-7 ANDREW KIM 14-2343 FERNANDEZ V. KIM | CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE 12-22-14 [1] |
|----|---|---|

Tentative Ruling: Appearances required.

While the plaintiff has filed a discovery plan, the defendant has not or agreed to the plaintiff's plan. Therefore, the discovery plan will be approved by the court and it shall be binding on all parties.

On October 21, 2015, the court ordered the defendant to file an amended answer on or before November 4. The answer filed by the defendant does not comply with Fed. R. Civ. P 8(b) as incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7008. The defendant has not complied with the October 21 order and filed an amended answer. Absent good cause demonstrated at the status conference for this noncompliance, the answer filed by the defendant will be stricken and the plaintiff ordered to proceed with the defendant's default.

- | | | |
|----|---|----------------------------------|
| 2. | 15-27601-A-11 ELK GROVE COMMUNICATIONS 15-2196 TOWER, INC. U.S. TRUSTEE V. ELK GROVE COMMUNICATION TOWER, INC. | STATUS CONFERENCE 10-5-15 [1] |
|----|---|----------------------------------|

Tentative Ruling: Appearances required. The complaint has been served and an answer has been filed. The parties have filed a joint discovery plan. That plan will be approved by the court. Consistent with the schedule for discovery, the status conference will be continued to April 13, 2016 at 9:30 AM for the assignment of a trial date.

- | | | |
|----|--|---|
| 3. | 15-20102-A-7 MUKHTIAR TAKHER 15-2156 RICHARDS V. TAKHER | CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE 8-7-15 [1] |
|----|--|---|

Tentative Ruling: Appearances required. The complaint has been served and an answer has been filed. The parties have filed a joint discovery plan. That plan will be approved by the court. Consistent with the schedule for discovery, the status conference will be continued to April 13, 2016 at 9:30 AM for the assignment of a trial date.

- | | | |
|----|--|---|
| 4. | 14-31810-A-7 MAHMOOD DEAN 15-2050 JOHNSON ET AL V. DEAN | CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE 3-4-15 [1] |
|----|--|---|

Tentative Ruling: Appearances required. The complaint has been served and an answer has been filed. The parties filed a joint discovery plan, the court

December 16, 2015 at 9:30 a.m.

approved it, and the time to conduct discovery has expired. Accordingly, the court will set a trial at the conference. The trial time estimate by the plaintiff appearances excessive given the requirements of Local Bankruptcy Rule 9017-1. Counsel shall be familiar with such rule by the conference and be prepared with a revised time estimate for trial.

5. 14-31810-A-7 MAHMOOD DEAN CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE
15-2052 3-6-15 [1]
AGRICREDIT ACCEPTANCE, L.L.C. V. DEAN

Tentative Ruling: Appearances required. The complaint has been served and an answer has been filed. The parties filed a joint discovery plan, the court approved it, and the time to conduct discovery has expired. Accordingly, the court will set a trial at the conference.

6. 13-23517-A-7 TRACY GATEWAY, LLC CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE
15-2055 7-17-15 [16]
FUKUSHIMA V. SUTTER CENTRAL
VALLEY HOSPITALS ET AL

Final Ruling: No appearances. To permit the trustee to document the compromise with some of the defendants and to move to approve that compromise, and to facilitate the negotiations with the remaining defendant, the status conference will be continued to March 16, 2016 at 9:30 AM.

7. 15-27217-A-13 DARREL BAKER STATUS CONFERENCE
15-2200 10-14-15 [1]
U.S. TRUSTEE V. BAKER

Final Ruling: No appearances. The defendant failed to timely respond to the complaint and a default has been entered by the clerk. The plaintiff shall move for a default judgment in accordance with the default ordered dated November 25, 2015.

8. 15-25040-A-7 JOSE OSORTO STATUS CONFERENCE
15-2201 10-15-15 [1]
U.S. TRUSTEE V. AMER. SVCS., L.L.C., ET AL.

Final Ruling: No appearances. A stipulated judgment has been entered. Because a judgment has been entered, no status conference will be conducted.

9. 14-29148-A-13 PAVEL/NATALYA FOKSHA CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE
15-2171 8-26-15 [1]
FOKSHA ET AL V. MARLER

Tentative Ruling: Appearances are required.

10. 11-25961-A-7 SANTOKH SINGH CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE
11-2436 6-17-11 [1]
WARRAICH V. SINGH

Final Ruling: No appearances. The status conference will be continued to April 13, 2016 at 9:30 AM.

11. 15-20865-A-7 JOHN/MERRIE HOLMAN CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE
15-2123 6-10-15 [1]
U.S. TRUSTEE V. HOLMAN ET AL

Tentative Ruling: The case is at issue and discovery has been completed. The parties shall appear at the status conference for trial setting.

12. 11-48272-A-7 ANNE MARQUEZ STATUS CONFERENCE
15-2190 9-25-15 [1]
DIDRIKSEN V. CUMMINGS ET AL

Tentative Ruling: Appearances are required.

13. 15-23876-A-7 RUBEN REYNOSO STATUS CONFERENCE
15-2195 10-1-15 [1]
BELL V. REYNOSO, JR.

Tentative Ruling: Appearances required. The complaint has been served and an answer has been filed. The parties have filed a joint discovery plan. That plan will be approved by the court. Consistent with the schedule for discovery, the status conference will be continued to October 19, 2016 at 9:30 AM for the assignment of a trial date.

14. 15-25585-A-7 MATTHEW WATERS CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE
15-2162 8-14-15 [1]
FERLMANN V. MCCracken

Final Ruling: Given the schedule set in the approved discovery plan, the status conference is continued to July 20, 2016 at 9:30 AM at which the court will set a trial date.

15. 14-27987-A-7 JAMES PARKER CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE
14-2305 11-7-14 [1]
FORD V. PARKER

Final Ruling: No appearances. The parties have stipulated to the dismissal of the adversary proceeding. The clerk shall close the case.

16. 13-28491-A-7 JAMES ENGLISH CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE
15-2117 6-5-15 [1]
HUSTED V. FAQUIRYAN ET AL

Tentative Ruling: Appearance by the plaintiff required. A request for entry of the defaults of the two defendants was filed on October 8. That request was defective because as noted by the clerk in a Memorandum dated October 13. The request for entry of the defaults was re-filed on October 14. The clerk entered the defaults on October 15 and the court ordered the plaintiff to move ex parte for a default judgment within 30 days thereafter. No such motion was filed. Therefore, the plaintiff shall appear and show cause why this adversary proceeding should not be dismissed.