

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

Eastern District of California

Honorable Ronald H. Sargis

Bankruptcy Judge
Sacramento, California

December 9, 2014 at 1:30 p.m.

1. [14-30885](#)-E-13 CAROL FRANK
LDH-1 Pro se

MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM
AUTOMATIC STAY AND/OR MOTION
FOR ADEQUATE PROTECTION
11-12-14 [[13](#)]

Final Ruling: The case having previously been dismissed on November 21, 2014 (Dckt. 22), the Motion is dismissed as moot. The Motion for Relief does not seek to annul the automatic stay, other retroactive relief, or relief pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(4).

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion for Relief from Automatic Stay having been presented to the court, the case having been previously dismissed, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion (for which relief was requested only pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(1) prospectively) is dismissed as moot, the case having been dismissed.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the court denies without prejudice the request for injunctive relief (180 day bar on filing further bankruptcy case effecting this property) as no adversary proceeding seeking such relief has been filed. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7001.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the court denies without prejudice that the order granting relief be binding in any future case during the 180 day period after the issuance of the order as no adversary proceeding was commenced and the relief requested is not relief pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(4), which could be requested by a Contested Matter.

December 9, 2014 at 1:30 p.m.