UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

Eastern District of California

Honorable Ronald H. Sargis

Chief Bankruptcy Judge Modesto, California

November 30, 2017, at 10:00 a.m.

1. <u>17-90813</u>-E-7 JAMES PETTY Shane Reich

MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY 10-18-17 [10]

NATIONSTAR MORTGAGE, LLC VS.

Final Ruling: No appearance at the November 30, 2017 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—No Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, Chapter 7 Trustee, and Office of the United States Trustee on October 18, 2017. By the court's calculation, 43 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion for Relief from the Automatic Stay has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party's failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the non-responding parties and other parties in interest are entered. Upon review of the record, there are no disputed material factual issues, and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. The court will issue its ruling from the parties' pleadings.

The Motion for Relief from the Automatic Stay is granted.

Nationstar Mortgage LLC d/b/a Mr. Cooper ("Movant") seeks relief from the automatic stay with respect to James Petty's ("Debtor") real property commonly known as 12537 Spruce Street, Desert Hot Springs, California ("Property"). Movant has provided the Declaration of Chastity Wilson to introduce evidence to authenticate the documents upon which it bases the claim and the obligation secured by the Property.

The Wilson Declaration states that there are three pre-petition payments in default, with a pre-petition arrearage of \$6,508.91.

From the evidence provided to the court, and only for purposes of this Motion for Relief, the total debt secured by this property is determined to be \$255,146.03, including Movant's first deed of trust, as stated in the Wilson Declaration and Schedule D. The value of the Property is determined to be \$249,457.00, as stated in Schedule D.

Whether there is cause under 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(1) to grant relief from the automatic stay is a matter within the discretion of a bankruptcy court and is decided on a case-by-case basis. See JE Livestock, Inc. v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. (In re J E Livestock, Inc.), 375 B.R. 892 (B.A.P. 10th Cir. 2007) (quoting In re Busch, 294 B.R. 137, 140 (B.A.P. 10th Cir. 2003)) (explaining that granting relief is determined on a case-by-case basis because "cause" is not further defined in the Bankruptcy Code); In re Silverling, 179 B.R. 909 (Bankr. E.D. Cal. 1995), aff'd sub nom. Silverling v. United States (In re Silverling), No. CIV. S-95-470 WBS, 1996 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 4332 (E.D. Cal. 1996). While granting relief for cause includes a lack of adequate protection, there are other grounds. See In re J E Livestock, Inc., 375 B.R. at 897 (quoting In re Busch, 294 B.R. at 140). The court maintains the right to grant relief from stay for cause when a debtor has not been diligent in carrying out his or her duties in the bankruptcy case, has not made required payments, or is using bankruptcy as a means to delay payment or foreclosure. W. Equities, Inc. v. Harlan (In re Harlan), 783 F.2d 839 (9th Cir. 1986); Ellis v. Parr (In re Ellis), 60 B.R. 432 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1985). The court determines that cause exists for terminating the automatic stay because Movant's claim is not adequately protected and because Debtor has not claimed any interest in the Property. 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(1); In re Ellis, 60 B.R. 432. On Schedule A, Debtor checks a box for "No" to the question of whether he owns or has any legal or equitable interest in any residence, building, land, or similar property. Dckt. 1.

A debtor has no equity in property when the liens against the property exceed the property's value. *Stewart v. Gurley*, 745 F.2d 1194, 1195 (9th Cir. 1984). Once a movant under 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(2) establishes that a debtor or estate has no equity in property, it is the burden of the debtor or trustee to establish that the collateral at issue is necessary to an effective reorganization. 11 U.S.C. § 362(g)(2); *United Sav. Ass'n of Texas v. Timbers of Inwood Forest Assocs. Ltd.*, 484 U.S. 365, 375–76 (1988). Movant has argued only that there is no equity in the Property. Movant fails to satisfy an element of 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(2): alleging that the Property is not necessary for an effective reorganization. Relief from the automatic stay is not granted pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(2).

The court shall issue an order terminating and vacating the automatic stay to allow Movant, and its agents, representatives and successors, and all other creditors having lien rights against the Property, to conduct a nonjudicial foreclosure sale pursuant to applicable nonbankruptcy law and their contractual rights, and for any purchaser, or successor to a purchaser, at the nonjudicial foreclosure sale to obtain possession of the Property.

Request for Waiver of Fourteen-Day Stay of Enforcement

Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 4001(a)(3) stays an order granting a motion for relief from the automatic stay for fourteen days after the order is entered, unless the court orders otherwise. Movant requests, for no particular reason, that the court grant relief from the Rule as adopted by the United States

Supreme Court. With no grounds for such relief specified, the court will not grant additional relief merely stated in the prayer.

Movant has not pleaded adequate facts and presented sufficient evidence to support the court waiving the fourteen-day stay of enforcement required under Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 4001(a)(3), and this part of the requested relief is not granted. In fact, Movant argues that foreclosure proceedings have not even begun, which is a process that will take more than fourteen days.

Request for Prospective Injunctive Relief

Movant makes an **additional request stated in the prayer**, for which no grounds are clearly stated in the Motion. Movant's further relief requested in the prayer is that this court make this order, **as opposed to every other order issued by the court**, binding and effective despite any conversion of this case to another chapter of the Code. Though stated in the prayer, no grounds are stated in the Motion for grounds for such relief from the stay. The Motion presumes that conversion of the bankruptcy case will be reimposed if this case were converted to one under another Chapter.

As stated above, Movant's Motion does not state any grounds for such relief. Movant does not allege that notwithstanding an order granting relief from the automatic stay, a stealth stay continues in existence, waiting to spring to life and render prior orders of this court granting relief from the stay invalid and rendering all acts taken by parties in reliance on that order void.

No points and authorities is provided in support of the Motion. This is not unusual for a relatively simple (in a legal authorities sense) motion for relief from stay as the one before the court. Other than referencing the court to the legal basis (11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(3) or (4)) and then pleading adequate grounds thereunder, it is not necessary for a movant to provide a copy of the statute quotations from well known cases. However, if a movant is seeking relief from a possible future stay, which may arise upon conversion, the legal points and authorities for such heretofore unknown nascent stay is necessary.

As noted by another bankruptcy judge, such request (unsupported by any grounds or legal authority) for relief of a future stay in the same bankruptcy case:

[A] request for an order stating that the court's termination of the automatic stay will be binding despite conversion of the case to another chapter unless a specific exception is provided by the Bankruptcy Code is a common, albeit silly, request in a stay relief motion and does not require an adversary proceeding. Settled bankruptcy law recognizes that the order remains effective in such circumstances. Hence, the proposed provision is merely declarative of existing law and is not appropriate to include in a stay relief order.

Indeed, requests for including in orders provisions that are declarative of existing law are not innocuous. First, the mere fact that counsel finds it necessary to ask for such a ruling fosters the misimpression that the law is other than it is. Moreover, one who routinely makes such unnecessary requests may eventually have to deal with an

opponent who uses the fact of one's pattern of making such requests as that lawyer's concession that the law is not as it is.

In re Van Ness, 399 B.R. 897, 907 (Bankr. E.D. Cal. 2009) (citing Aloyan v. Campos (In re Campos), 128 B.R. 790, 791–92 (Bankr. C.D. Cal. 1991); In re Greetis, 98 B.R. 509, 513 (Bankr. S.D. Cal. 1989)).

As noted in the 2009 ruling quoted above, the "silly" request for unnecessary relief may well be ultimately deemed an admission by Nationstar Mortgage LLC d/b/a Mr. Cooper and its counsel that all orders granting relief from the automatic stay are immediately terminated as to any relief granted Nationstar Mortgage LLC d/b/a Mr. Cooper and other creditors represented by counsel, and upon conversion, any action taken by such creditor is a *per se* violation of the automatic stay.

No other or additional relief is granted by the court.

The court shall issue an order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion for Relief from the Automatic Stay filed by Nationstar Mortgage LLC d/b/a Mr. Cooper ("Movant") having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the automatic stay provisions of 11 U.S.C. § 362(a) are vacated pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(1) to allow Nationstar Mortgage LLC d/b/a Mr. Cooper, its agents, representatives, and successors, and trustee under the trust deed, and any other beneficiary or trustee, and their respective agents and successors under any trust deed that is recorded against the Property to secure an obligation to exercise any and all rights arising under the promissory note, trust deed, and applicable nonbankruptcy law to conduct a nonjudicial foreclosure sale and for the purchaser at any such sale to obtain possession of the real property commonly known as 12537 Spruce Street, Desert Hot Springs, California.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the fourteen-day stay of enforcement provided in Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 4001(a)(3) is not waived for cause.

No other or additional relief is granted.

2. <u>17-90762</u>-E-7 RICHARD/ALTAGRACIA CLAY MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY 11-1-17 [12]

STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE COMPANY VS.

Tentative Ruling: Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court's resolution of the matter.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Hearing Required.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor (*pro se*), Chapter 7 Trustee, parties requesting special notice, and Office of the United States Trustee on November 1, 2017. By the court's calculation, 29 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion for Relief from the Automatic Stay has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party's failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). The defaults of the non-responding parties and other parties in interest are entered.

The Motion for Relief from the Automatic Stay is granted.

State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company ("Movant") seeks relief from the automatic stay to proceed only against the available insurance assets of Richard Clay and Altagracia Clay ("Debtor") in a civil matter entitled *State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company v. Alta Gracia Clay*. Stanislaus County Superior Court Case No. 9000363. Recovery will be limited to available insurance coverage, if any. The moving party has provided the Declaration of Richard Mahfouz, II, to provide evidence that the state court proceeding is pending and that there would be no personal exposure for Debtor.

A party may seek relief from stay when the party needs to obtain a judgment against the debtor in name only in order to recover from the debtor's insurer. *IBM v. Fernstrom Storage & Van Co.* (*In re Fernstrom Storage & Van Co.*), 938 F.2d 731 (7th Cir. 1991). When the court is reasonably confident that the policy proceeds will be sufficient to satisfy the creditor's claims paid under the policy, the court should grant relief from the stay to permit an action. Because the policy proceeds will be available only to the creditors with claims covered by the policy, there is no depletion of assets that would otherwise be available to general, unsecured claims, and there is no reason to delay the creditor seeking to recover under the policy. 3 COLLIER ON BANKRUPTCY ¶ 362.07[3][a] (Alan N. Resnick & Henry J. Sommer eds. 16th ed.)

Given that Movant would not seek to enforce any judgments against Debtor and will proceed against Debtor only to the extent its claims can be satisfied from Debtor's insurance proceeds, the court concludes that cause exists for the granting of relief form the automatic stay.

The court shall issue a minute order modifying the automatic stay, pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(1), to allow Movant to prosecute the claims against Debtor, but not enforce any judgments against Debtor or the Estate other than against available insurance coverage, if any.

Movant has not pleaded adequate facts and presented sufficient evidence to support the court waiving the fourteen-day stay of enforcement required under Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 4001(a)(3), and this part of the requested relief is not granted.

No other or additional relief is granted by the court.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion for Relief From the Automatic Stay filed by State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company ("Movant") having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the automatic stay provisions of 11 U.S.C. § 362(a) are modified to allow State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company, its agents, representatives, and successors to allow Movant to prosecute the claims against Altagracia Clay, but not enforce any judgments against Richard Clay and Altagracia Clay ("Debtor") or the Estate other than against available insurance coverage, if any, in the pending California Superior Court, County of Stanislaus, Action No. 9000363, and any appeals therefore.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the fourteen-day stay of enforcement provided in Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 4001(a)(3) is not waived for cause.

No other or additional relief is granted.