

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT Eastern District of California Honorable René Lastreto II Department B - Courtroom #13 Fresno, California

Hearing Date: Wednesday, November 13, 2024

Unless otherwise ordered, all matters before the Honorable René Lastreto II, shall be simultaneously: (1) In Person at, Courtroom #13 (Fresno hearings only), (2) via ZoomGov Video, (3) via ZoomGov Telephone, and (4) via CourtCall. You may choose any of these options unless otherwise ordered or stated below.

All parties or their attorneys who wish to appear at a hearing remotely must sign up by 4:00 p.m. one business day prior to the hearing. Information regarding how to sign up can be found on the Remote Appearances page of our website at https://www.caeb.uscourts.gov/Calendar/CourtAppearances. Each party/attorney who has signed up will receive a Zoom link or phone number, meeting I.D., and password via e-mail.

If the deadline to sign up has passed, parties and their attorneys who wish to appear remotely must contact the Courtroom Deputy for the Department holding the hearing.

Please also note the following:

- Parties in interest and/or their attorneys may connect to the video or audio feed free of charge and should select which method they will use to appear when signing up.
- \bullet Members of the public and the press who wish to attend by ZoomGov may only listen in to the hearing using the Zoom telephone number. Video participation or observing are not permitted.
- Members of the public and the press may not listen in to trials or evidentiary hearings, though they may attend in person unless otherwise ordered.

To appear remotely for law and motion or status conference proceedings, you must comply with the following guidelines and procedures:

- 1. Review the Pre-Hearing Dispositions prior to appearing at the hearing.
- 2. Parties appearing via CourtCall are encouraged to review the CourtCall Appearance Information. If you are appearing by ZoomGov phone or video, please join at least 10 minutes prior to the start of the calendar and wait with your microphone muted until the matter is called.

Unauthorized Recording is Prohibited: Any recording of a court proceeding held by video or teleconference, including "screen shots" or other audio or visual copying of a hearing is prohibited. Violation may result in sanctions, including removal of court-issued media credentials, denial of entry to future hearings, or any other sanctions deemed necessary by the court. For more information on photographing, recording, or broadcasting Judicial Proceedings, please refer to Local Rule 173(a) of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR PRE-HEARING DISPOSITIONS

Each matter on this calendar will have one of three possible designations: No Ruling, Tentative Ruling, or Final Ruling. These instructions apply to those designations.

No Ruling: All parties will need to appear at the hearing unless otherwise ordered.

Tentative Ruling: If a matter has been designated as a tentative ruling it will be called, and all parties will need to appear at the hearing unless otherwise ordered. The court may continue the hearing on the matter, set a briefing schedule, or enter other orders appropriate for efficient and proper resolution of the matter. The original moving or objecting party shall give notice of the continued hearing date and the deadlines. The minutes of the hearing will be the court's findings and conclusions.

Final Ruling: Unless otherwise ordered, there will be <u>no</u>
<u>hearing on these matters</u>. The final disposition of the matter is set forth in the ruling and it will appear in the minutes. The final ruling may or may not finally adjudicate the matter. If it is finally adjudicated, the minutes constitute the court's findings and conclusions.

Orders: Unless the court specifies in the tentative or final ruling that it will issue an order, the prevailing party shall lodge an order within 14 days of the final hearing on the matter.

Post-Publication Changes: The court endeavors to publish its rulings as soon as possible. However, calendar preparation is ongoing, and these rulings may be revised or updated at any time prior to 4:00 p.m. the day before the scheduled hearings. Please check at that time for any possible updates.

9:30 AM

1. 24-12413-B-13 IN RE: ROYCE DUNCAN

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE TO PAY FEES 10-24-2024 [35]

DISMISSED 10/30/24

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Dropped and taken off calendar.

NO ORDER REQUIRED.

An order dismissing the case was entered on October 30, 2024. Doc. #40. Accordingly, this Order to Show Cause will be taken off calendar as moot. No appearance is necessary.

2. $\frac{24-12317}{LGT-3}$ -B-13 IN RE: KHALID CHAOUI

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 10-29-2024 [54]

KHALID CHAOUI/MV KHALID CHAOUI/ATTY. FOR MV.

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Denied as moot.

ORDER: The court will issue an order.

An order dismissing this case was already entered effective on November 7, 2024. Doc. #59. The motion will be DENIED AS MOOT.

3. $\underbrace{24-11835}_{LGT-1}$ -B-13 IN RE: GRANT BROWN

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 10-7-2024 [21]

LILIAN TSANG/MV

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Granted.

ORDER: The minutes of the hearing will be the court's

findings and conclusions. The court will issue an

order.

The chapter 13 trustee asks the court to dismiss this case under 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1) for unreasonable delay by Grant Brown ("Debtor") that is prejudicial to creditors and 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(4) Debtor's failure to commence making plan payments. Doc. #21. Grant Brown ("Debtor") did not oppose.

Unless the trustee's motion is withdrawn before the hearing, the motion will be GRANTED without oral argument for cause shown.

This motion was set for hearing on 28 days' notice as required by Local Rule of Practice ("LBR") 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the creditors, the debtor, the U.S. Trustee, or any other party in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B) may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion. Cf. Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. See Boone v. Burk (In re Eliapo), 468 F.3d 592 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the above-mentioned parties in interest are entered and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. Upon default, factual allegations will be taken as true (except those relating to amount of damages). Televideo Systems, Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917 (9th Cir. 1987). Constitutional due process requires that a plaintiff make a prima facie showing that they are entitled to the relief sought, which the movant has done here.

The record shows that there has been unreasonable delay by the debtor that is prejudicial to creditors (11 U.S.C. \S 1307(c)(1)). The debtor failed to

- Appear at the initial 341 Meeting of Creditors and the continued 341 Meeting of Creditors;
- Provide required documentation to the trustee;
- File a Plan;

- Set a plan for hearing with notice to creditors;
- Failed to make timely plan payments and is delinquent in the amount of \$2,685.10.

Doc. #23.

Debtor is ineligible to be a debtor in a Chapter 13. Debtor has failed to provide a Credit Counseling Certificate. Doc. #23.

Trustee is unable to determine liquidation in this case as Debtor has filed inaccurate and/or incomplete schedules.

Accordingly, the motion will be GRANTED and the case dismissed.

4. $\frac{24-11837}{\text{JCW}-1}$ -B-13 IN RE: DAVID/RICCI COMBS

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY LANGLEY FEDERAL CREDIT UNION 8-13-2024 [18]

LANGLEY FEDERAL CREDIT UNION/MV TIMOTHY SPRINGER/ATTY. FOR DBT. JENNIFER WONG/ATTY. FOR MV.

After posting the original pre-hearing dispositions, the court has modified its intended ruling on this matter.

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing in this matter.

DISPOSITION: Withdrawn

ORDER: The court will prepare the order

On November 12, 2024, this court approved a Stipulation resolving this matter and withdrawing the Objection. Accordingly, this matter is WITHDRAWN.

5. $\frac{24-11837}{\text{JCW}-2}$ -B-13 IN RE: DAVID/RICCI COMBS

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY LANGLEY FEDERAL CREDIT UNION 8-13-2024 [22]

LANGLEY FEDERAL CREDIT UNION/MV TIMOTHY SPRINGER/ATTY. FOR DBT. JENNIFER WONG/ATTY. FOR MV.

After posting the original pre-hearing dispositions, the court has modified its intended ruling on this matter.

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing in this matter.

DISPOSITION: Withdrawn

ORDER: The court will prepare the order

On November 12, 2024, this court approved a Stipulation resolving this matter and withdrawing the Objection. Accordingly, this matter is WITHDRAWN.

6. $\frac{24-11341}{\text{JDR}-2}$ -B-13 IN RE: JOHN/CARLA ZAYAC

MOTION TO INCUR DEBT 10-23-2024 [28]

CARLA ZAYAC/MV JEFFREY ROWE/ATTY. FOR DBT. WITHDRAWN

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing in this matter.

DISPOSITION: Withdrawn.

No order is required.

On October 25, 2024, the Debtors withdrew this Application to Incur Further Indebtedness. Doc. #34. Accordingly, this motion is WITHDRAWN.

7. 24-12449-B-13 IN RE: REBECCA PAFFORD

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE TO PAY FEES 10-28-2024 [32]

TENTATIVE RULING: This matter will proceed as scheduled.

DISPOSITION: The minutes of the hearing will be the court's

findings and conclusions.

ORDER: The court will issue an order.

This matter will proceed as scheduled. If the fees due at the time of the hearing have not been paid prior to the hearing, the case will be dismissed on the grounds stated in the OSC.

If the installment fees due at the time of hearing are paid before the hearing, the order permitting the payment of filing fees in installments will be modified to provide that if future installments are not received by the due date, the case will be dismissed without further notice or hearing.

8. $\frac{19-12058}{LGT-1}$ -B-13 IN RE: RICHARD/DAWN MARTINES

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 10-7-2024 [152]

LILIAN TSANG/MV TIMOTHY SPRINGER/ATTY. FOR DBT.

After posting the original pre-hearing dispositions, the court has modified its intended ruling on this matter.

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Withdrawn.

No order is required.

On November 7, 2024, the Trustee filed a Notice of Withdrawal of this *Motion to Dismiss.* Doc. #156. Accordingly, this Motion is WITHDRAWN.

Here, Debtors modified their Chapter 13 Plan on March 4, 2021, to increase the plan term to 64 months. Doc. #154. Month 64 was September 2024. As of October 7, 2024, plan payments are delinquent in the amount of \$1,520.00 to complete the case.

9. $\frac{24-12658}{\text{KMM}-1}$ -B-13 IN RE: GILBERT/REYNA VALLE

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORPORATION 10-22-2024 [20]

TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORPORATION/MV PETER BUNTING/ATTY. FOR DBT. KIRSTEN MARTINEZ/ATTY. FOR MV. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

TENTATIVE RULING: This matter will proceed as scheduled.

DISPOSITION: Overruled.

ORDER: The court will prepare the order.

Toyota Motor Credit Corporation ("Toyota") objects to confirmation of the *Chapter 13 Plan* filed by Gilbert and Reyna Valle (collectively "Debtors") on September 12, 2024, on the grounds that the plan does not provide for treatment of its claim in the amount of \$7,425.95 which is secured by a 2018 Toyota C-HR ("the Vehicle"). Doc. #20.

This motion was filed and served pursuant to Local Rule of Practice ("LBR") 9014-1(f)(2) and will proceed as scheduled. Written opposition was not required and may be presented at the hearing. The Debtors have already filed a Response as discussed below. If additional opposition is presented at the hearing, the court will consider the opposition and whether further hearing is proper pursuant to LBR 9014-1(f)(2). The court will issue an order if a further hearing is necessary. Based on the evidence presented thus far, the court is inclined to OVERRULE the Objection.

As noted, Debtors have filed a Response, which states as follows:

- 1. Debtors Schedule A/B identifies the Vehicle and states that it is being "paid for and driven by co-debtor."
- 2. Debtors do not intend to make payments for Toyota.
- 3. There is no appropriate Class into which this claim could fit even if the Debtors did want to make the payments.
- 4. The Plan states in Section 3.11(b) that "[s]ecured claims not listed as Class 1, 2, 3, or 4 claims are not provided by this plan. While this may be cause to terminate the automatic stay, such relief must be separately requested by the claim holder."

Doc. #29. The Debtors also request in their Response that the court treat this Objection as if it were a motion for stay relief and that such stay relief be granted for cause shown. *Id.*

The exhibits included with the Objection clearly identify April Valle as the co-debtor on this loan. Doc. #22. The precise relationship

between Debtors and April Valle is unknown, though she resides in the same home as Debtors, and the name clearly suggests either a child or sibling of Mr. Valle. She is not a party to this bankruptcy, however, nor is she subject to any obligation under the plan.

Debtors have pointed to specific language in the plan stating that secured claims not listed in Class 1, 2, 3, or 4 will not be treated by the plan. Consequently, if Toyota has grounds to seek repossession of the Vehicle, such relief must be sought outside the scope of the bankruptcy case and directly against April, whether through a motion to lift the co-debtor stay or some other appropriate means. But Toyota cannot achieve what it wants through an Objection to Confirmation of a plan which does not contemplate paying its claim at all or asserting any ownership interest over its collateral.

Finally, the court declines Debtors invitation to "treat" this Objection as a Motion for Relief from Stay. The two legal processes are very different and have very different objections and standards, to say nothing of the fact that if Toyota wishes to seek automatic stay relief against April, it must pay court fees to do so. An Objection to Confirmation is not the proper avenue for the relief that Toyota seeks.

Written opposition was not required, and further opposition may be presented at the hearing. In the absence of such opposition, the court in inclined to OVERRULE this Objection.

10. $\frac{24-12658}{LGT-1}$ -B-13 IN RE: GILBERT/REYNA VALLE

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY LILIAN G. TSANG 10-23-2024 [26]

LILIAN TSANG/MV
PETER BUNTING/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

After posting the original pre-hearing dispositions, the court has modified its intended ruling on this matter.

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Continued to December 18, 2024, at 9:30.

ORDER: The court will issue an order.

Chapter 13 trustee Lilian G. Tsang ("Trustee") objects to confirmation of the Chapter 13 Plan filed by Gilbert and Reyna Valle ("Debtors") on September 12, 2024, on the following grounds:

1. Debtors' plan relies on a Motion to Value Collateral being filed for Driveway Finance Corp. ("DFC") listed in Class 2B and valuing a 2021 Toyota 4Runner TRD Off Road Premium ("the 4Runner"). If that motion is not granted, the plan is not feasible.

Doc. #26.

On November 4, 2024, after notice and a hearing, the court granted the motion for valuation of the 4Runner and fixed the secured claim at issue at \$43,882.00, the amount requested by Debtors and provided for under the Plan. Doc. #35.

Subsequently, the Trustee filed a Supplemental Objection raising additional issues:

- 1. After entry of the order on the motion for valuation for the 4Runner, DFC filed a proof of claim on the 4Runner with a secured value of only \$41,392.51, less than the value entered on the court's November 4, 2024, order.
- 2. Debtors' plan also provides for DFC as a Class 2(B) for a 2021 Toyota Tundra ("the Tundra") with a scheduled value of \$52,198.00 and to be paid pursuant to a motion to value collateral at \$46,513.00. DFC has since filed a proof of claim asserting a secured value of \$49,217.55. Debtors have not yet filed a motion for valuation as to the Tundra.

Doc. #37.

As the Supplemental Objection raises additional grounds not presented in the original objection, this matter will be CONTINUED to **December 13**, 2024, at 9:30. Unless this case is voluntarily converted to chapter 7, dismissed, or the objection to confirmation is withdrawn, the Debtors shall file and serve a written response to the Supplemental Objection not later than 14 days before the hearing. The response shall specifically address each issue raised in the objection to confirmation, state whether the issue is disputed or undisputed, and include admissible evidence to support the Debtors' position. Any reply shall be served no later than 7 days before the hearing.

If the Debtors elect to withdraw the plan and file a modified plan in lieu of filing a response, then a confirmable, modified plan shall be filed, served, and set for hearing not later than 7 days before the hearing. If the Debtors do not timely file a modified plan or a written response, this objection will be sustained on the grounds stated in the objection without further hearing.

11. $\frac{22-10562}{TCS-1}$ -B-13 IN RE: SYLVIA OGDEN

MOTION FOR COMPENSATION BY THE LAW OFFICE TIMOTHY C. SPRINGER, DEBTORS ATTORNEY(S) 10-30-2024 [29]

TIMOTHY SPRINGER/ATTY. FOR DBT.

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Denied without prejudice.

ORDER: The court will issue an order.

This motion will be DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE for failure to comply with the Local Rules of Practice ("LBR").

For motions filed on less than 28 days' notice, LBR 9014-1(f)(2)(C) requires the movant to notify respondents written opposition is not required and any opposition to the motion must be presented at the hearing.

This motion was filed and served on October 30, 2024, and set for hearing on November 13, 2024. Docs. #29 et seq. October 30, 2024, is 14 days before November 13, 2024. Therefore, this motion was set for hearing on less than 28 days' notice under LBR 9014-1(f)(2). Nevertheless, the notice stated:

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that pursuant to Local Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014-(f)(1), opposition, if any, to the Court granting this Motion, shall be in writing and shall be served and filed with the Clerk by the Responding Party not less than Fourteen Calendar Days (14) preceding the date or continued date of the hearing. Opposition shall be accompanied by evidence establishing its factual allegations. Without good cause, no party shall be heard in opposition to the motion at oral argument if written opposition to the motion has not been timely filed. Failure of the responding party to timely file written opposition may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the Motion with further argument or may result in the imposition of sanctions.

Doc. #30 (Notice of Hearing). This is incorrect. Motions noticed less than 28 days before the hearing are deemed brought pursuant to LBR 9014-1(f)(2). The notice should have informed respondents that written opposition was not required, and opposition, if any, shall be presented at the hearing. If opposition is presented, or if there is other good cause, the court may continue the hearing to permit the filing of evidence and briefs. Therefore, the notice was materially deficient because the respondents were told to file and serve written

opposition even though it was not necessary. Thus, interested parties may be deterred from opposing the motion or from appearing at the hearing.

For the above reason(s), this motion will be DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE

12. $\frac{24-10581}{LGT-2}$ -B-13 IN RE: JULIO CABALLEROS ROMAN

CONTINUED MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 9-5-2024 [32]

RYAN WOOD/ATTY. FOR DBT.

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Denied as moot.

ORDER: The court will issue an order.

Chapter 13 Trustee Lilian G. Tsang ("Trustee") moved to dismiss this case for unreasonable delay by debtor that is prejudicial to creditors and failure to confirm a Chapter 13 plan. Doc. #32. On this date, the court confirmed Debtor's Second Amended Plan. See Item #13, below. Accordingly, this motion will be DENIED AS MOOT.

13. $\frac{24-10581}{RCW-2}$ -B-13 IN RE: JULIO CABALLEROS ROMAN

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN 10-7-2024 [57]

JULIO CABALLEROS ROMAN/MV RYAN WOOD/ATTY. FOR DBT. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Granted.

ORDER: The Moving Party shall submit a proposed order in

conformance with the ruling below.

Julio Caballeros Roman ("Debtor") seeks an order confirming the *Second Amended Chapter 13 Plan* dated October 7, 2024. Doc. #56. No plan has been confirmed so far. The Chapter 13 Trustee filed an Objection to Confirmation and subsequently withdrew it. Docs. #66, #70. The 60-month plan proposes the following terms:

1. Debtor's monthly plan payment will be \$1,023.00.

- 2. Outstanding Attorney's fees in the amount of \$5,700.00 to be paid through the plan.
- 3. Secured creditors to be sorted into appropriate Classes and paid as follows:
 - a. BMO Harris Bank (Class 3). 2022 Chevrolet Tahoe Tracker 200S to be surrendered in satisfaction of all secured claims
 - b. Toyota Financial Services (Class 3). 2022 Toyota Highlander to be surrendered in satisfaction of all secured claims.
 - c. Freedom Mortgage Corp. (Class 4). Debtor to pay directly \$1,695.00 per month.
- 4. A dividend of 0% to unsecured creditors.

This motion was set for hearing on 35 days' notice as required by Local Rule of Practice ("LBR") 3015-1(d)(1). The failure of the creditors, the chapter 13 trustee, the U.S. Trustee, or any other party in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B) may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion. Cf. Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. See Boone v. Burk (In re Eliapo), 468 F.3d 592 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the above-mentioned parties in interest are entered and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. Upon default, factual allegations will be taken as true (except those relating to amounts of damages). Televideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917 (9th Cir. 1987). Constitutional due process requires that a plaintiff make a prima facie showing that they are entitled to the relief sought, which the movant has done here.

This motion will be GRANTED. The confirmation order shall include the docket control number of the motion and reference the plan by the date it was filed.

11:00 AM

1. $\frac{23-10801}{23-1032}$ -B-7 IN RE: GILBERT CABRERA

PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE RE: AMENDED COMPLAINT 1-22-2024 [37]

BUENROSTRO ET AL V. CABRERA JOSEPH WEST/ATTY. FOR PL.

NO RULING.

2. $\frac{24-11813}{24-1034}$ -B-7 IN RE: MARIA MACHAIN AND MIGUEL NUNEZ HERNANDEZ CAE-1

STATUS CONFERENCE RE: COMPLAINT 9-18-2024 [1]

IBARRA V. MACHAIN ET AL MARC VOISENAT/ATTY. FOR PL. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

NO RULING.

3. $\frac{23-12831}{24-1005}$ -B-7 IN RE: EMANUEL SILVA

CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE RE: COMPLAINT 4-8-2024 [$\underline{1}$]

EDMONDS V. SILVA, JR. ET AL ANTHONY JOHNSTON/ATTY. FOR PL.

NO RULING.

4. $\frac{24-11845}{24-1029}$ -B-7 IN RE: LUIS CALDERON

STATUS CONFERENCE RE: COMPLAINT 9-10-2024 [$\underline{1}$]

CALDERON V. UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION LATIFE NEU/ATTY. FOR PL.

NO RULING.

5. $\frac{23-11175}{23-1047}$ -B-7 IN RE: JASWINDER SINGH

CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE RE: AMENDED COMPLAINT 5-3-2024 [24]

VETTER V. SINGH ET AL D. GARDNER/ATTY. FOR PL.

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing in this matter.

DISPOSITION: Continued to December 18, 2024, at 11:00 a.m.

ORDER: The court will prepare the order.

The Trustee has requested a continuance while he evaluates a no proposed settlement offer. Accordingly, this matter will be CONTINUED to December 18, 2024, at 11:00 a.m. The Trustee shall file and serve a status report seven (7) days before the continued hearing date.

6. $\frac{23-11175}{DMG-1}$ -B-7 IN RE: JASWINDER SINGH

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO DEBTOR'S CLAIM OF EXEMPTIONS 9-5-2023 [38]

JEFFREY VETTER/MV VINCENT GORSKI/ATTY. FOR DBT. D. GARDNER/ATTY. FOR MV.

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing in this matter.

DISPOSITION: Continued to December 18, 2024, at 11:00 a.m.

ORDER: The court will prepare the order.

The Trustee has requested a continuance while he evaluates a no proposed settlement offer. Accordingly, this matter will be CONTINUED to December 18, 2024, at 11:00 a.m. The Trustee shall file and serve a status report seven (7) days before the continued hearing date.

7. $\frac{24-12297}{24-1022}$ -B-7 IN RE: STEVEN WILCOX

CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE RE: COMPLAINT 8-9-2024 [1]

WILCOX V. UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

NO RULING.