



**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
Eastern District of California
Honorable Jennifer E. Niemann
Hearing Date: Thursday, October 26, 2023
Department A – Courtroom #11
Fresno, California**

Unless otherwise ordered, all hearings before Judge Niemann are simultaneously: (1) **IN PERSON** in Courtroom #11 (Fresno hearings only), (2) via **ZOOMGOV VIDEO**, (3) via **ZOOMGOV TELEPHONE**, and (4) via **COURTCALL**. You may choose any of these options unless otherwise ordered.

To appear via zoom gov video or zoom gov telephone for law and motion or status conference proceedings, you must comply with the following new guidelines and procedures:

1. Review the [Pre-Hearing Dispositions](#) prior to appearing at the hearing.
2. Review the court's [Zoom Policies and Procedures](#) for these and additional instructions.
3. Parties appearing through CourtCall are encouraged to review the [CourtCall Appearance Information](#).

Parties in interest and members of the public may connect to ZoomGov, free of charge, using the information provided:

Video web address:

<https://www.zoomgov.com/j/1613226277?pwd=VmxkUlJadmZhQzV4MEVROGJzaldpQT09>

Meeting ID: 161 322 6277

Password: 300616

Zoom.Gov Telephone: (669) 254-5252 (Toll Free)

Please join at least 10 minutes before the start of your hearing. You are required to give the court 24 hours advance notice on [Court Calendar](#).

Unauthorized Recording is Prohibited: Any recording of a court proceeding held by video or teleconference, including "screenshots" or other audio or visual copying of a hearing, is prohibited. Violation may result in sanctions, including removal of court-issued media credentials, denial of entry to future hearings, or any other sanctions deemed necessary by the court. For more information on photographing, recording, or broadcasting Judicial Proceedings please refer to Local Rule 173(a) of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR PRE-HEARING DISPOSITIONS

Each matter on this calendar will have one of three possible designations: No Ruling, Tentative Ruling, or Final Ruling. These instructions apply to those designations.

No Ruling: All parties will need to appear at the hearing unless otherwise ordered.

Tentative Ruling: If a matter has been designated as a tentative ruling it will be called, and all parties will need to appear at the hearing unless otherwise ordered. The court may continue the hearing on the matter, set a briefing schedule, or enter other orders appropriate for efficient and proper resolution of the matter. The original moving or objecting party shall give notice of the continued hearing date and the deadlines. The minutes of the hearing will be the court's findings and conclusions.

Final Ruling: Unless otherwise ordered, there will be no hearing on these matters. The final disposition of the matter is set forth in the ruling and it will appear in the minutes. The final ruling may or may not finally adjudicate the matter. If it is finally adjudicated, the minutes constitute the court's findings and conclusions.

Orders: Unless the court specifies in the tentative or final ruling that it will issue an order, the prevailing party shall lodge an order within 14 days of the final hearing on the matter.

THE COURT ENDEAVORS TO PUBLISH ITS RULINGS AS SOON AS POSSIBLE. HOWEVER, CALENDAR PREPARATION IS ONGOING AND THESE RULINGS MAY BE REVISED OR UPDATED AT ANY TIME PRIOR TO 4:00 P.M. THE DAY BEFORE THE SCHEDULED HEARINGS. PLEASE CHECK AT THAT TIME FOR POSSIBLE UPDATES.

1. [23-10344](#)-A-13 **IN RE: SUSAN QUINVILLE AND LOARINA DOMENA-QUINVILLE**
[JRL-1](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
9-28-2023 [\[36\]](#)

TRUSTEES OF THE GRANT F. SCHREIBER FAMILY TRUST/MV
BENNY BARCO/ATTY. FOR DBT.
JERRY LOWE/ATTY. FOR MV.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

TENTATIVE RULING: This matter will proceed as scheduled.

DISPOSITION: Denied.

ORDER: The minutes of the hearing will be the court's findings
and conclusions. The court will issue the order.

This motion was set for hearing on at least 28 days' notice as required by Local Rule of Practice ("LBR") 9014-1(f)(1). The debtors timely filed written opposition on October 12, 2023. Doc. #48. The failure of creditors, the U.S. Trustee, or any other party in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B) may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion. Cf. Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Therefore, the defaults of the non-responding parties in interest are entered.

Trustees of the Grant F. Schreiber Family Trust ("Creditor") moved to dismiss this chapter 13 bankruptcy case under 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1) and (c)(6) for the failure of the debtors to make all payments due under the plan. Motion, Doc. #36. Plan payments are delinquent in the amount of \$3,887.09 as of September 28, 2023. Id.

On October 12, 2023, the debtors responded to the motion stating that the debtors have cured the plan default by making a payment via TFS in the amount of \$1,650.00 on October 10, 2023, and another payment in the amount of \$1,629.10 on October 11, 2023 via MoneyGram, for a total of \$ 3,279.10, which is the amount the debtors were delinquent. Doc. #48. The debtors anticipate making their October plan payment on or before October 25, 2023. Id.

Under 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c), the court may convert or dismiss a case, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause. "A debtor's unjustified failure to expeditiously accomplish any task required either to propose or to confirm a chapter 13 plan may constitute cause for dismissal under § 1307(c)(1)." Ellsworth v. Lifescape Med. Assocs., P.C. (In re Ellsworth), 455 B.R. 904, 915 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2011). Because it appears that the debtors are now current on their plan payments, there is no "cause" for dismissal under 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1) or (c)(6).

Accordingly, this motion will be DENIED.

2. [23-10344](#)-A-13 **IN RE: SUSAN QUINVILLE AND LOARINA DOMENA-QUINVILLE**
[JRL-2](#)

MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY
9-28-2023 [39]

TRUSTEES OF THE GRANT F. SCHREIBER FAMILY TRUST/MV
BENNY BARCO/ATTY. FOR DBT.
JERRY LOWE/ATTY. FOR MV.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

TENTATIVE RULING: This matter will proceed as scheduled.

DISPOSITION: Denied.

ORDER: The minutes of the hearing will be the court's findings and conclusions. The court will issue the order.

This motion was set for hearing on at least 28 days' notice as required by Local Rule of Practice ("LBR") 9014-1(f)(1). The debtors timely filed written opposition on October 12, 2023. Doc. #51. The failure of creditors, the U.S. Trustee, or any other party in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B) may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion. Cf. Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Therefore, the defaults of the non-responding parties in interest are entered.

The movant, Trustees of the Grant F. Schreiber Family Trust ("Movant"), seeks relief from the automatic stay under 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(1) with respect to real property located at 2943 E Street, Selma, California 93662 ("Property"). Doc. #39.

11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(1) allows the court to grant relief from the stay for cause, including the lack of adequate protection. "Because there is no clear definition of what constitutes 'cause,' discretionary relief from the stay must be determined on a case by case basis." In re Mac Donald, 755 F.2d 715, 717 (9th Cir. 1985).

Movant asserts that cause exists to lift the automatic stay because the debtors have failed to make at least two plan payments. Movant has produced evidence that the debtors are delinquent in their plan payments by at least \$ \$3,887.09. Decl. of Jeffrey Lowe, Doc. #42. The debtors respond that they have cured the default by making a payment via TFS in the amount of \$1,650.00 on October 10, 2023 and \$1,629.10 on October 11, 2023 via MoneyGram for a total of \$ 3,279.10, which is the amount the debtors were delinquent. Doc. #51. The debtors anticipate making their October plan payment on or before October 25, 2023. Id.

Accordingly, because it appears that the debtors are now current on their plan payments, there is no "cause" for to lift the automatic stay under 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(1).

Accordingly, this motion will be DENIED.

3. [23-10947](#)-A-13 **IN RE: SONIA LOPEZ**
[MHM-2](#)

CONTINUED MOTION TO DISMISS CASE

8-29-2023 [\[38\]](#)

MICHAEL MEYER/MV
SUSAN SILVEIRA/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

NO RULING.

4. [23-10947](#)-A-13 **IN RE: SONIA LOPEZ**
[SDS-2](#)

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN
9-21-2023 [\[57\]](#)

SONIA LOPEZ/MV
SUSAN SILVEIRA/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Denied as moot.

ORDER: The court will issue an order.

This motion is DENIED AS MOOT. The debtor filed a modified plan on October 23, 2023 (SDS-3, Doc. #71), with a motion to confirm the modified plan set for hearing on November 30, 2023, at 9:30 a.m. Doc. ##71-75.

5. [23-11859](#)-A-13 **IN RE: AUGUSTO TRIGUEROS**
[MHM-1](#)

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY TRUSTEE MICHAEL H. MEYER
10-4-2023 [\[15\]](#)

SUSAN HEMB/ATTY. FOR DBT.

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Overruled as moot.

ORDER: The court will issue an order.

This objection is OVERRULED AS MOOT. The debtor filed a modified plan on October 23, 2023 (SAH-1, Doc. #25), with a motion to confirm the modified plan set for hearing on November 30, 2023, at 9:30 a.m. Doc. ##22-27.

6. [23-10978](#)-A-13 **IN RE: ARTHUR MARTINEZ**
[TCS-1](#)

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN
9-13-2023 [\[27\]](#)

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Granted.

ORDER: The Moving Party shall submit a proposed order in conformance with the ruling below.

This motion was set for hearing on at least 35 days' notice as required by Local Rule of Practice ("LBR") 3015-1(d)(1). The failure of creditors, the U.S. Trustee, or any other party in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B) may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion. Cf. Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. See Boone v. Burk (In re Eliapo), 468 F.3d 592 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the above-mentioned parties in interest are entered and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. Upon default, factual allegations will be taken as true (except those relating to amount of damages). Televideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917 (9th Cir. 1987). Constitutional due process requires a moving party make a *prima facie* showing that they are entitled to the relief sought, which the movants have done here.

As an informative matter, the movant incorrectly completed Section 6 of the court's mandatory Certificate of Service form. Doc. #31. In Section 6, the declarant marked that service was effectuated by Rule 5 and Rules 7005, 9036 Service and attached a copy of the Court's matrix of creditors who have filed a Request for Special Notice. However, the declarant failed to check 6B2(b) Request for Special Notice List in Section 6.

This motion is GRANTED. The confirmation order shall include the docket control number of the motion and it shall reference the plan by the date it was filed.

7. [23-11393](#)-A-13 **IN RE: DAVID GONZALEZ**
[MHM-2](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
9-26-2023 [[25](#)]

MICHAEL MEYER/MV
T. O'TOOLE/ATTY. FOR DBT.

TENTATIVE RULING: This matter will proceed as scheduled.

DISPOSITION: Continued to November 16, 2023, at 9:30 a.m.

ORDER: The minutes of the hearing will be the court's findings and conclusions. The court will issue an order after the hearing.

The debtor timely filed written opposition on October 12, 2023. Doc. #35. The court is inclined to continue the trustee's motion to dismiss to November 16, 2023, at 9:30 a.m., to be heard in connection with the debtor's motion to confirm plan [TMO-1] also set for hearing November 16, 2023, at 9:30 a.m. Doc. ##29-34.

8. [23-11094](#)-A-13 **IN RE: RICHARD GOMEZ**
[MHM-3](#)

CONTINUED MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
6-27-2023 [[22](#)]

SUSAN SILVEIRA/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Denied as moot.

ORDER: The court will issue an order.

An order dismissing this case was entered on October 20, 2023. Doc. #79. Therefore, this motion will be DENIED AS MOOT.

9. [23-11596](#)-A-13 **IN RE: JOSE GARCIA**
[AKA-1](#)

MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY
9-29-2023 [[27](#)]

REGIONS BANK/MV
RABIN POURNAZARIAN/ATTY. FOR DBT.
ANDREW ALPER/ATTY. FOR MV.

TENTATIVE RULING: This matter will proceed as scheduled.

DISPOSITION: Granted.

ORDER: The minutes of the hearing will be the court's findings and conclusions. The Moving Party shall submit a proposed order after hearing.

This motion was filed and served on at least 14 days' notice prior to the hearing date pursuant to Local Rule of Practice ("LBR") 9014-1(f)(2) and will proceed as scheduled. Unless opposition is presented at the hearing, the court intends to enter the respondents' defaults and grant the motion. If opposition is presented at the hearing, the court will consider the opposition and whether further hearing is proper pursuant to LBR 9014-1(f)(2). The court will issue an order if a further hearing is necessary.

The movant, Regions Bank, an Alabama State Bank successor by merger of Ascentium Capital, LLC ("Movant"), seeks relief from the automatic stay under 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(1) and (d)(2) with respect to a 2022 Vanguard 53' Dry Van Trailer (the "Vanguard Trailer") and a 2019 Kenworth Truck T880 (the "Kenworth Truck") Doc. #27.

Movant entered into an Equipment Finance Agreement with the debtor whereby the debtor acquired the Vanguard Trailer and Movant was granted a security interest in the Vanguard Trailer and perfected the security interest with the Department of Motor Vehicles. Doc. #27. Movant and the debtor subsequently entered into another Equipment Finance Agreement evidencing a second loan where the debtor acquired the Kenworth Truck. Id.

11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(1) allows the court to grant relief from the stay for cause, including the lack of adequate protection. "Because there is no clear definition of what constitutes 'cause,' discretionary relief from the stay must be determined on a case by case basis." In re Mac Donald, 755 F.2d 715, 717 (9th Cir. 1985).

11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(2) allows the court to grant relief from the stay if the debtor does not have any equity in such property and such property is not necessary to an effective reorganization.

After review of the included evidence, the court finds that "cause" exists to lift the stay with respect to the Vanguard Trailer because the debtor has failed to make at least two complete post-petition payments on the Vanguard Trailer. Ex. 6, Doc. #32. Movant has produced evidence that the debtor is delinquent by at least \$2,136.32, and \$40,590.08 is now due with respect to the Vanguard Trailer. Decl. of Richelle Allen, Doc. #31.

After review of the included evidence, the court finds that "cause" exists to lift the stay with respect to the Kenworth Truck because the debtor has failed to make at least three complete post-petition payments on the Kenworth Truck. Ex. 7, Doc. #32. Movant has produced evidence that the debtor is delinquent by at least \$5,449.65, and \$56,313.05 is now due with respect to the Kenworth Truck. Allen Decl., Doc. #31.

The debtor has agreed to surrender the Vanguard Trailer in his amended plan, so the Vanguard Trailer is not necessary for the debtor's reorganization. Am. Plan, Doc. #20. The court also finds that the debtor does not have any equity in the Vanguard Trailer because the Vanguard Trailer is valued at \$30,000.00, and the debtor owes \$40,590.08. Allen Decl., Doc. #31.

The debtor has agreed to surrender the Kenworth Truck in his amended plan, so the Kenworth Truck is not necessary for the debtor's reorganization. Am. Plan, Doc. #20. The court also finds that the debtor does not have any equity in the Kenworth Truck because the Kenworth Truck is valued at \$45,000.00, and the debtor owes \$56,313.05. Allen Decl., Doc. #31.

Accordingly, the motion will be granted pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(1) and (d)(2) to permit Movant to dispose of its collateral pursuant to applicable law and to use the proceeds from its disposition to satisfy its claim. No other relief is awarded.

The 14-day stay of Fed. R. Bankr. P. 4001(a)(3) will be ordered waived because (1) the debtor has failed to make at least two post-petition payments to Movant for the Vanguard Trailer and at least three post-petition payments to Movant for the Kenworth Truck, and (2) both the Vanguard Trailer and the Kenworth Truck are depreciating assets.

10. [19-13821](#)-A-13 **IN RE: CHRISTINA HALL**
[TCS-3](#)

MOTION TO INCUR DEBT
10-12-2023 [\[34\]](#)

CHRISTINA HALL/MV
TIMOTHY SPRINGER/ATTY. FOR DBT.

TENTATIVE RULING: This matter will proceed as scheduled.

DISPOSITION: Granted.

ORDER: The minutes of the hearing will be the court's findings and conclusions. The Moving Party shall submit a proposed order after the hearing.

This motion was filed and served on at least 14 days' notice prior to the hearing date pursuant to Local Rule of Practice ("LBR") 9014-1(f)(2) and will proceed as scheduled. Unless opposition is presented at the hearing, the court intends to enter the respondents' defaults and grant the motion. If opposition is presented at the hearing, the court will consider the opposition and whether further hearing is proper pursuant to LBR 9014-1(f)(2). The court will issue an order if a further hearing is necessary.

As an informative matter, the movant incorrectly completed Section 6 of the court's mandatory Certificate of Service form. Doc. #38. In Section 6, the declarant marked that service was effectuated by Rule 5 and Rules 7005, 9036 Service and attached a copy of the Court's matrix of creditors who have filed a Request for Special Notice. However, the declarant failed to check 6B2(b) Request for Special Notice List in Section 6.

Christina Hall ("Debtor"), the chapter 13 debtor in this case, moves the court for an order authorizing Debtor to incur new debt. Doc. #34. Debtor states she needs to purchase a new vehicle because her current vehicle was totaled after a car accident that occurred on July 20, 2023. Decl. of Christina Hall, Doc. #37. Debtor needs a vehicle to drive to work because Debtor lives in Clovis and works in Fresno and also needs to travel to different training locations

throughout the Central Valley. Id. Debtor is looking for a 2018 Kia Soul totaling around \$18,100.00 or another similar vehicle. Id.

Debtor will be receiving \$13,585.55 from her insurance company after her deductible, which will be paid directly to the chapter 13 trustee to pay off the lien on Debtor's current vehicle. Hall Decl., Doc. #37. Debtor will use any surplus amounts from her insurance payment as a downpayment on the purchase of a replacement vehicle. Id. Debtor was pre-approved for a loan by CARMAXX for \$25,000.00, and the loan requires putting down \$10,000.00 from the insurance pay off and then making a monthly payment of \$385.00 for 72 months with an annual percentage rate of 14.95%. Id.; Ex. A, Doc. #36. Debtor will be modifying her chapter 13 plan to have the new car loan listed in Class 4 and the loan will be paid outside of Debtor's chapter 13 plan. Hall Decl., Doc. #37.

LBR 3015-1(h)(1)(E) provides that "if the debtor wishes to incur new debt . . . on terms and conditions not authorized by [LBR 3015-1(h)(1)(A) through (D)], the debtor shall file the appropriate motion, serve it on the trustee, those creditors who are entitled to notice, and all persons requesting notice, and set the hearing on the Court's calendar with the notice required by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002 and LBR 9014-1."

The court is inclined to GRANT this motion. This motion was properly served and noticed, and opposition may be presented at the hearing. Debtor filed amended Schedules I and J that demonstrate an ability to pay future plan payments, projected living and business expenses, and the new debt. See Doc. #26. The new debt is a single loan incurred to purchase a motor vehicle that is reasonably necessary for the maintenance or support of Debtor. The only security for the new debt will be the motor vehicle to be purchased by Debtor.

Accordingly, subject to opposition raised at the hearing, this motion is GRANTED. Debtor is authorized, but not required, to purchase a vehicle in a manner consistent with the motion.

11. [23-11524](#)-A-13 **IN RE: MARIA LOPEZ**
[JBC-2](#)

CONTINUED MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN
9-11-2023 [\[36\]](#)

MARIA LOPEZ/MV
JAMES CANALEZ/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

TENTATIVE RULING: This matter will proceed as scheduled.

DISPOSITION: Granted.

ORDER: The minutes of the hearing will be the court's findings and conclusions. The court will issue an order after the hearing.

This motion was set for hearing on at least 35 days' notice as required by Local Rule of Practice ("LBR") 3015-1(d)(2). On September 27, 2023, the chapter 13 trustee Michael Meyer ("Trustee") filed an objection to confirmation of the debtor's modified plan (the "Plan"). Tr.'s Obj., Doc. #44. The failure of creditors, the U.S. Trustee, or any other party in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B) may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion. Cf. Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Therefore, the defaults of the non-responding parties in interest are entered. On October 16, 2023, the debtor filed a late reply to Trustee's opposition. Reply, Doc. #48.

This motion was originally heard on October 19, 2023, and the motion was continued to October 26, 2023, at 9:30 a.m. because the debtor's counsel failed to appear at the October 19, 2023 hearing even though the court's pre-hearing disposition instructions require an appearance if the pre-hearing disposition is a tentative ruling, which was the case both for the October 19 calendar and this calendar. At the October 19 hearing, the court continued the matter one week to give counsel for the debtor a chance to appear on the motion to confirm before the court denied the motion for lack of prosecution. This matter will proceed as scheduled.

Trustee objects to confirmation of the Plan because: (1) the plan payment is insufficient to fund the monthly dividends and the Plan is short \$25.36 per month; and (2) the Plan provides for payments to creditors for a period longer than 5 years in violation of 11 U.S.C. §1322(d); and (3) the debtor will be unable to make all payments and comply with the Plan as required under 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(6). Tr.'s Obj., Doc. #44.

Section 1325(a)(6) of the Bankruptcy Code requires that the debtor be able to make all payments under the plan and comply with the plan. 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(6). In addition, section 1322(a)(2) of the Bankruptcy Code requires a chapter 13 plan to provide for the payment in full of all claims entitled to priority under 11 U.S.C. § 507 unless the holder of a particular claim agrees to a different treatment. 11 U.S.C. § 1322(a)(2). The party moving to confirm the chapter 13 plan bears the burden of proof to show facts supporting the proposed plan. Max Recovery v. Than (In re Than), 215 B.R. 430, 434 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1997).

The Plan provides for a plan payment of \$1,260.00 for 60 months. Am. Plan, Doc. #34. Trustee contends that Plan would take 75.11 months to funds, so the Plan needs to increase to \$1,428.00 per month for 60 months in order for the Plan to fund in 60 months. Tr.'s Obj., Doc. #44. Further, the plan payments are delinquent \$134.00 through September 2023. Id. On October 16, 2023, the debtor agreed to increase her Chapter 13 plan payments to \$1,428.00 for 60 months. Reply, Doc. #48.

The debtor's amended Schedules I and J filed on September 11, 2023 show that the debtor has sufficient monthly income to increase her plan payments to \$1,428.00. Doc. #65. According to the debtor's amended schedule I & J, the debtor has a monthly net income of \$3,179.62. Am. Schedules I & J, Doc. #35.

Accordingly, so long as counsel for the debtor appears at the hearing and the debtor is current on her plan payments, Trustee's objection will be OVERRULED, and the motion will be GRANTED.

12. [23-11678](#)-A-13 **IN RE: TRAVIS BRIDGMAN**
[MHM-1](#)

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY TRUSTEE MICHAEL H. MEYER
9-8-2023 [[14](#)]

SCOTT LYONS/ATTY. FOR DBT.

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Dropped from calendar.

NO ORDER REQUIRED.

Movant withdrew the motion on October 20, 2023. Doc. #29.

13. [23-10482](#)-A-13 **IN RE: REYNALDO/BEATRIZ RODRIGUEZ**
[SL-2](#)

CONTINUED MOTION TO MODIFY PLAN
9-12-2023 [[53](#)]

BEATRIZ RODRIGUEZ/MV
SCOTT LYONS/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Dropped as moot.

NO ORDER REQUIRED.

The court issued an order confirming the modified plan on October 24, 2023.
Doc. #80. Therefore, this hearing will be dropped as moot.

1. [19-11901](#)-A-7 **IN RE: ARMANDO CRUZ**
[19-1095](#)

RESCHEDULED PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE RE: COMPLAINT
8-12-2019 [[1](#)]

STRATEGIC FUNDING SOURCE, INC. V. CRUZ
JARRETT OSBORNE-REVIS/ATTY. FOR PL.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Dropped from calendar

NO ORDER REQUIRED.

A judgment in favor of the plaintiff was entered on October 12, 2023.
Doc. #243. Accordingly, this pre-trial conference is dropped from calendar.
This adversary may be administratively closed when appropriate.

2. [19-11628](#)-A-12 **IN RE: MIKAL JONES**
[19-1081](#) [CAE-1](#)

CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE RE: COMPLAINT STATUS CONFERENCE
6-28-2019 [[1](#)]

DILDAY ET AL V. JONES
RILEY WALTER/ATTY. FOR PL.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

NO RULING.

3. [21-10679](#)-A-13 **IN RE: SYLVIA NICOLE**
[21-1015](#)

CONTINUED TRIAL RE: AMENDED COMPLAINT
7-8-2021 [[203](#)]

NICOLE V. T2M INVESTMENTS, LLC
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

NO RULING.

4. [21-10679](#)-A-13 **IN RE: SYLVIA NICOLE**
[21-1015](#)

CONTINUED MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE EXHIBITS
10-11-2023 [464]

NICOLE V. T2M INVESTMENTS, LLC

NO RULING.