UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT Eastern District of California Honorable René Lastreto II Hearing Date: Tuesday, October 24, 2017

Place: Department B - Courtroom #13
Fresno, California

INSTRUCTIONS FOR PRE-HEARING DISPOSITIONS

Each matter on this calendar will have one of three possible designations: No Ruling, Tentative Ruling, or Final Ruling. These instructions apply to those designations.

No Ruling: All parties will need to appear at the hearing unless otherwise ordered.

Tentative Ruling: If a matter has been designated as a tentative ruling it will be called. The court may continue the hearing on the matter, set a briefing schedule or enter other orders appropriate for efficient and proper resolution of the matter. The original moving or objecting party shall give notice of the continued hearing date and the deadlines. The minutes of the hearing will be the court's findings and conclusions.

Final Ruling: Unless otherwise ordered, there will be no hearing on these matters. The final disposition of the matter is set forth in the ruling and it will appear in the minutes. The final ruling may or may not finally adjudicate the matter. If it is finally adjudicated, the minutes constitute the court's findings and conclusions. If the parties stipulate to continue the hearing on the matter or agree to resolve the matter in a way inconsistent with the final ruling, then the court will consider vacating the final ruling only if the moving party notifies chambers before 4:00 p.m. at least one business day before the hearing date: Department A-Kathy Torres (559) 499-5860; Department B-Jennifer Dauer (559) 499-5870. If a party has grounds to contest a final ruling under FRCP 60(a) (FRBP 9024) because of the court's error ["a clerical mistake (by the court) or a mistake arising from (the court's) oversight or omission"] the party shall notify chambers (contact information above) and any other party affected by the final ruling by 4:00 p.m. one business day before the hearing.

Orders: Unless the court specifies in the tentative or final ruling that it will issue an order, the prevailing party shall lodge an order within 14 days of the final hearing on the matter.

THE COURT ENDEAVORS TO PUBLISH ITS RULINGS AS SOON AS POSSIBLE. HOWEVER, CALENDAR PREPARATION IS ONGOING AND THESE RULINGS MAY BE REVISED OR UPDATED AT ANY TIME PRIOR TO 4:00 P.M. THE DAY BEFORE THE SCHEDULED HEARINGS. PLEASE CHECK AT THAT TIME FOR POSSIBLE UPDATES.

9:30 A.M.

1. 17-12523-B-7 WILLIAM/BRENDA LAWRENCE

MEL-1

BANK OF AMERICA, N.A./MV

RAYMOND ISLEIB/Atty. for dbt.

MEGAN LEES/Atty. for mv.

Discharged

MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY 9-25-17 [18]

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Granted in part and denied as moot in part

ORDER: The Moving Party shall submit a proposed order in

conformance with the ruling below.

This motion for relief from stay was fully noticed in compliance with the Local Rules of Practice and there was no opposition. The motion will be denied as moot as to the debtors because their discharge has been entered. The motion will be granted for cause shown as to the chapter 7 trustee.

The automatic stay is terminated as it applies to the movant's right to enforce its remedies against the subject property under applicable nonbankruptcy law. The proposed order shall specifically describe the property or action to which the order relates.

The request for retroactive relief will be denied without prejudice. Movant's evidence is they have possession of the collateral and there is no proof retroactive relief is warranted.

The waiver of Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 4001(a)(3) will be granted. The moving papers show the collateral has been surrendered and is in movant's possession. The discharge has been entered.

<u>Unless the court expressly orders otherwise, the proposed order shall not include any other relief.</u> If the proposed order includes extraneous or procedurally incorrect relief that is only available in an adversary proceeding then the order will be rejected. See *In re Van Ness*, 399 B.R. 897 (Bankr. E.D. Cal. 2009).

2. <u>17-13723</u>-B-7 CHRIS RAMIREZ

<u>BPC</u>-1

THE GOLDEN 1 CREDIT UNION/MV

LAYNE HAYDEN/Atty. for dbt.

JEANNIE KIM/Atty. for mv.

MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY 10-6-17 [10]

TENTATIVE RULING: This matter will proceed as scheduled.

DISPOSITION: Granted.

ORDER: The minutes of the hearing will be the court's findings

and conclusions. The Moving Party shall submit a

proposed order after hearing.

This motion for relief from stay was noticed pursuant to LBR 9014-1(f)(2) and written opposition was not required. Unless opposition is presented at the hearing, the court intends to enter the debtor's and the trustee's defaults and enter the following ruling granting the motion for relief from stay. If opposition is presented at the hearing, the court will consider the opposition and whether further hearing is proper pursuant to LBR 9014-1(f)(2). The court will issue an order if a further hearing is necessary.

The automatic stay is terminated as it applies to the movant's right to enforce its remedies against the subject property under applicable nonbankruptcy law. The record shows that cause exists to terminate the automatic stay.

The proposed order shall specifically describe the property or action to which the order relates.

If the motion involves a foreclosure of real property in California, then the order shall also provide that the bankruptcy proceeding has been finalized for purposes of California Civil Code § 2923.5.

A waiver of Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 4001(a)(3) will not be granted. The movant has shown no exigency.

Unless the court expressly orders otherwise, the proposed order shall not include any other relief. If the proposed order includes extraneous or procedurally incorrect relief that is only available in an adversary proceeding then the order will be rejected. See *In re Van Ness*, 399 B.R. 897 (Bankr. E.D. Cal. 2009).

3. JES-1

17-13240-B-7 MITCHELL WALLACE AND STEPHANIE IVORY-WALLACE OPPOSITION RE: TRUSTEE'S MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO APPEAR AT SEC. 341(A) MEETING

OF CREDITORS 9-18-17 [20]

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Conditionally denied.

> ORDER: No appearance is necessary. The court will enter an

> > order.

The debtor shall attend the meeting of creditors rescheduled for October 27, 2017, at 9:00 a.m. If the debtor fails to do so, the chapter 7 trustee may file a declaration with a proposed order and the case may be dismissed without a further hearing.

The time prescribed in Rules 1017(e)(1) and 4004(a) for the chapter 7 trustee and the U.S. Trustee to object to the debtor's discharge or to move for dismissal of the case under section 707(b) is extended to 60 days after the conclusion of the meeting of creditors.

4. 17-13744-B-7 JOANNA RICE ALG-2

MOTION TO TRANSFER CASE/PROCEEDING TO ANOTHER DISTRICT 10-9-17 [20]

JANINE ESQUIVEL/Atty. for dbt.

TENTATIVE RULING: This matter will proceed as scheduled.

Granted. DISPOSITION:

ORDER: The minutes of the hearing will be the court's findings

and conclusions. The Moving Party shall submit a

proposed order after hearing.

This motion was filed and served pursuant to LBR 9014-1(f)(2) and will proceed as scheduled. Unless opposition is presented at the hearing, the court intends to enter the respondents' defaults and grant the motion. opposition is presented at the hearing, the court will consider the opposition and whether further hearing is proper pursuant to LBR 9014-1(f)(2). The court will issue an order if a further hearing is necessary.

5. 17-13484-B-7 LUIS/JULIANA RAMIREZ

JHW-1

TD AUTO FINANCE LLC/MV

PATRICIA CARRILLO/Atty. for dbt.

JENNIFER WANG/Atty. for mv.

MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY 9-20-17 [12]

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Granted.

ORDER: The Moving Party shall submit a proposed order in

conformance with the ruling below.

This motion for relief from stay was fully noticed in compliance with the Local Rules of Practice and there was no opposition. The debtors' and the trustee's defaults will be entered. The automatic stay is terminated as it applies to the movant's right to enforce its remedies against the subject property under applicable nonbankruptcy law. The record shows that cause exists to terminate the automatic stay.

The proposed order shall specifically describe the property or action to which the order relates.

The waiver of Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 4001(a)(3) will be granted. The moving papers show the collateral is a depreciating asset.

Unless the court expressly orders otherwise, the proposed order shall not include any other relief. If the proposed order includes extraneous or procedurally incorrect relief that is only available in an adversary proceeding then the order will be rejected. See *In re Van Ness*, 399 B.R. 897 (Bankr. E.D. Cal. 2009).

1. <u>17-13313</u>-B-7 ALMA TOSCANO PRO SE REAFFIRMATION AGREEMENT

WITH NISSAN MOTOR ACCEPTANCE

CORPORATION 10-5-17 [16]

NO RULING.

2. 17-13256-B-7 GLORIA VALLE PRO SE REAFFIRMATION AGREEMENT

WITH WELLS FARGO BANK N.A.

10-3-17 [22]

NO RULING.

3. 17-13586-B-7 KRISTIE MARTINEZ PRO SE REAFFIRMATION AGREEMENT

WITH NOBLE CREDIT UNION

10-4-17 [17]

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Continued to November 15, 2017 at 11:00 a.m.

ORDER: No appearance is necessary. The court will issue an

order.

This matter will be continued to November 15, 2017 at 11:00 a.m.