



UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
Eastern District of California
Honorable René Lastreto II
Department B – Courtroom #13
Fresno, California
Hearing Date: Tuesday, October 6, 2026

Unless otherwise ordered, all matters before the Honorable René Lastreto II, shall be simultaneously: (1) **In Person** at, Courtroom #13 (Fresno hearings only), (2) via **ZoomGov Video**, (3) via **ZoomGov Telephone**, and (4) via **CourtCall**. You may choose any of these options unless otherwise ordered or stated below.

All parties or their attorneys who wish to appear at a hearing remotely must sign up by **4:00 p.m. one business day** prior to the hearing. Information regarding how to sign up can be found on the **Remote Appearances** page of our website at <https://www.caeb.uscourts.gov/Calendar/CourtAppearances>. Each party/attorney who has signed up will receive a Zoom link or phone number, meeting I.D., and password via e-mail.

If the deadline to sign up has passed, parties and their attorneys who wish to appear remotely must contact the Courtroom Deputy for the Department holding the hearing.

Please also note the following:

- Parties in interest and/or their attorneys may connect to the video or audio feed free of charge and should select which method they will use to appear when signing up.
- Members of the public and the press who wish to attend by ZoomGov may only listen in to the hearing using the Zoom telephone number. Video participation or observing are not permitted.
- Members of the public and the press may not listen in to trials or evidentiary hearings, though they may attend in person unless otherwise ordered.

To appear remotely for law and motion or status conference proceedings, you must comply with the following guidelines and procedures:

1. Review the [Pre-Hearing Dispositions](#) prior to appearing at the hearing.
2. Parties appearing via CourtCall are encouraged to review the [CourtCall Appearance Information](#). If you are appearing by ZoomGov phone or video, please join at least 10 minutes prior to the start of the calendar and wait with your microphone muted until the matter is called.

Unauthorized Recording is Prohibited: Any recording of a court proceeding held by video or teleconference, including "screen shots" or other audio or visual copying of a hearing is prohibited. Violation may result in sanctions, including removal of court-issued media credentials, denial of entry to future hearings, or any other sanctions deemed necessary by the court. For more information on photographing, recording, or broadcasting Judicial Proceedings, please refer to Local Rule 173(a) of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR PRE-HEARING DISPOSITIONS

Each matter on this calendar will have one of three possible designations: No Ruling, Tentative Ruling, or Final Ruling. These instructions apply to those designations.

No Ruling: All parties will need to appear at the hearing unless otherwise ordered.

Tentative Ruling: If a matter has been designated as a tentative ruling it will be called, and all parties will need to appear at the hearing unless otherwise ordered. The court may continue the hearing on the matter, set a briefing schedule, or enter other orders appropriate for efficient and proper resolution of the matter. The original moving or objecting party shall give notice of the continued hearing date and the deadlines. The minutes of the hearing will be the court's findings and conclusions.

Final Ruling: Unless otherwise ordered, there will be no hearing on these matters. The final disposition of the matter is set forth in the ruling and it will appear in the minutes. The final ruling may or may not finally adjudicate the matter. If it is finally adjudicated, the minutes constitute the court's findings and conclusions.

Orders: Unless the court specifies in the tentative or final ruling that it will issue an order, the prevailing party shall lodge an order within 14 days of the final hearing on the matter.

Post-Publication Changes: The court endeavors to publish its rulings as soon as possible. However, calendar preparation is ongoing, and these rulings may be revised or updated at any time prior to 4:00 p.m. the day before the scheduled hearings. Please check at that time for any possible updates.

9:30 AM

1. [26-12349](#)-B-11 **IN RE: PARVINDER HUNDAL AND AMERJIT JOHL-HUNDAL**
[CAE-1](#)

CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE RE: CHAPTER 11 VOLUNTARY PETITION
5-21-2026 [[1](#)]

RILEY WALTER/ATTY. FOR DBT.

NO RULING.

2. [25-26371](#)-B-11 **IN RE: SCRIPPS TWO, LLC**
[CAE-1](#)

CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE RE: CHAPTER 11 VOLUNTARY PETITION
11-12-2025 [[1](#)]

GABRIEL LIBERMAN/ATTY. FOR DBT.

NO RULING.

3. [26-12787](#)-B-11 **IN RE: COMMODITY TRANSPORTERS, INC.**
[DCJ-4](#)

MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE CHAPTER 11 PLAN
9-13-2026 [[48](#)]

COMMODITY TRANSPORTERS, INC./MV
DAVID JOHNSTON/ATTY. FOR DBT.

TENTATIVE RULING: This matter will proceed as scheduled.

DISPOSITION: To be determined at the hearing.

ORDER: The minutes of the hearing will be the court's
findings and conclusions. Order preparation
determined at the hearing.

Commodity Transporters, Inc., (the "Debtor") moves the Court for an order pursuant to 11 United States Code § 1189(b) extending the deadline for filing a Chapter 11 plan by 40 days to October 23, 2026. Doc. #48. The motion avers that this extension is necessary for the following reasons:

1. The 341 meeting of creditors was only concluded on September 11, 2026.
2. Debtor's principals are a married couple in the midst of divorce proceedings which impact the financial issues affecting the Debtor corporation.
3. Debtor needs additional time to negotiate with certain creditors who have contingent and duplicative claims or else file objections to some or all of those claims, because, if

those claims are allowed, the total amount of unsecured claims will exceed the debt limit for a Subchapter V debtor.

4. David Johnston ("Johnston"), Debtor's counsel, "has been severely affected by a completely unexpected tragedy which occurred after the Petition Date" in the form of the sudden and unexpected death of a very close family friend. Debtor's counsel has also been heavily involved in the deceased friend's business for 19 years. Debtor's attorney declares that he has been subject to substantial time demands while helping the decedent's family navigate various unexpected legal and financial issues while dealing with his own substantial grief.
5. Johnston also declares that he is also undergoing medical procedures in late September 2026.

Doc. #48; Doc. #50 (Declaration of David C. Johnston). Johnston declares that "[n]one of the circumstances which have led to the need for additional time are attributable to the Debtor, and a short 40-day extension of the time to file a Chapter 11 plan will not prejudice creditor." Doc. #50.

11 U.S.C. § 1189(b) states:

The debtor shall file a plan not later than 90 days after the order for relief under this chapter, except that the court may extend the period if the need for the extension is attributable to circumstances for which the debtor should not justly be held accountable.

Based on the moving papers and Johnston's declaration, it appears that the proposed extension of time in which to file a plan falls within the exception of § 1189(b).

This motion was set for hearing on shortened notice with an OST under the procedure specified in Local Rule of Practice ("LBR") 9014-1(f)(3). Consequently, the creditors, the U.S. Trustee, and any other parties in interest were not required to file a written response or opposition to the motion. If any of these potential respondents appear at the hearing and offer opposition to the motion, the court will set a briefing schedule and a final hearing unless there is no need to develop the record further. If no opposition is offered at the hearing, the court will take up the merits of the motion. Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court's resolution of the matter. Hearing in this matter will proceed as scheduled. Written opposition was not required and opposition may be presented at the hearing. In the absence of opposition at the hearing, the court is inclined to GRANT this motion.

4. [26-12349](#)-B-11 **IN RE: PARVINDER HUNDAL AND AMERJIT JOHL-HUNDAL**
[WJH-10](#)

CONTINUED MOTION TO BORROW
8-11-2026 [[193](#)]

HUNDAL FARMS, INC./MV
RILEY WALTER/ATTY. FOR DBT.
IAN QUINN/ATTY. FOR MV.

NO RULING.

5. [26-12349](#)-B-11 **IN RE: PARVINDER HUNDAL AND AMERJIT JOHL-HUNDAL**
[WJH-14](#)

CONTINUED MOTION FOR AN ORDER EXTENDING EXCLUSIVITY PERIODS
8-18-2026 [[225](#)]

AGQUIP LLC/MV
RILEY WALTER/ATTY. FOR DBT.

NO RULING

11:00 AM

1. [26-13234](#)-B-7 **IN RE: MIGUEL GUIDO AND YAJAIRA DE JESUS**

REAFFIRMATION AGREEMENT WITH PACIFIC SERVICE CREDIT UNION
9-16-2026 [\[25\]](#)

GRISELDA TORRES/ATTY. FOR DBT.

TENTATIVE RULING: This matter will be called and proceed as
scheduled. The court intends to DENY this
reaffirmation agreement.

DISPOSITION: Denied.

ORDER: The court will issue an order.

A Reaffirmation Agreement between Miguel Angel Guido and Yajaira De Jesus ("Debtors") and Pacific Service Credit Union for a 2025 GMC Terrain ("Vehicle") was filed on September 16, 2026. Doc. #25.

11 U.S.C. § 524(c)(6)(A)(ii) states "An agreement between a holder of a claim and the debtor, the consideration for which, in whole or in part, is based on a debt that is dischargeable in a case under this title is enforceable only to any extent enforceable under applicable non-bankruptcy law, whether or not discharge of such debt is waived, only if the court approves such agreement as in the best interest of the debtor."

Here, the Vehicle is valued at \$36,863.00. The amount being reaffirmed by Debtors is \$45,413.93 with a 9.09% interest rate. Debtors have negative equity of \$9,415.31 with approximately 79 months (6.5 years) remaining on the loan. Though there is no presumption of undue hardship because the lender is a Credit Union, the court finds no evidence that this Reaffirmation Agreement is in the best interest of the Debtors.

On September 30, 2026, Debtor Miguel Angel Guido filed a declaration in support of the Pacific Service Credit Union's reaffirmation agreement. The declaration states that the value listed on Schedule A/B is lower than the actual value as aftermarket accessories have been added.

This matter will be called and proceed as scheduled. The court is inclined to DENY the reaffirmation agreement.

1:30 PM

1. [26-14149](#)-B-7 **IN RE: GENEVIEVE/KARL MAXWELL**
[RSS-1](#)

MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY
9-21-2026 [\[21\]](#)

VANTIS MULTIFAMILY LLC/MV
RICHARD SONTAG/ATTY. FOR MV.

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Denied as moot.

ORDER: The court will prepare the order.

On September 28, 2026, an order was entered dismissing the above-styled case for failure to timely file documents. Doc. #29. Accordingly, this motion will be DENIED as moot.

2. [26-12970](#)-B-7 **IN RE: BRANDON SUAREZ**
[KMM-1](#)

MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY
9-3-2026 [\[12\]](#)

AMERICAN HONDA FINANCE CORPORATION/MV
NEIL SCHWARTZ/ATTY. FOR DBT.
KIRSTEN MARTINEZ/ATTY. FOR MV.

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Granted in part and denied as moot in part.

ORDER: The Moving Party shall submit a proposed order in conformance with the ruling below.

American Honda Finance Corporation ("Movant") seeks relief from the automatic stay under 11 U.S.C. §§ 362(d)(1) and (d)(2) with respect to a 2024 Honda Rebel 500 ABS (V.I.N. MLHPC5655R5700048) ("Vehicle"). Doc. #12.

Brandon Raymond Suarez ("Debtor") nor any other party in interest timely filed written opposition. Debtor's Statement of Intention indicated that the Vehicle would be surrendered. This motion will be GRANTED IN PART AND DENIED IN PART.

This motion was set for hearing on 28 days' notice as required by Local Rule of Practice ("LBR") 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the creditors, the debtor, the U.S. Trustee, or any other party in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B) may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*,

46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. See *Boone v. Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the above-mentioned parties in interest are entered and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. Upon default, factual allegations will be taken as true (except those relating to amount of damages). *Televideo Systems, Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917 (9th Cir. 1987). Constitutional due process requires that a plaintiff make a *prima facie* showing that they are entitled to the relief sought, which the movant has done here.

11 U.S.C. § 362(c)(2)(C) provides that the automatic stay of § 362(a) continues until a discharge is granted. The Debtor's discharge was entered on September 29, 2026. Doc. #24. Therefore, the automatic stay terminated with respect to the Debtor on September 29, 2026. This motion will be DENIED AS MOOT IN PART as to the Debtor's interest and will be GRANTED IN PART for cause shown as to the chapter 7 trustee's (or estate's) interest.

11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(1) allows the court to grant relief from the stay for cause, including the lack of adequate protection. "Because there is no clear definition of what constitutes 'cause,' discretionary relief from the stay must be determined on a case-by-case basis." *In re Mac Donald*, 755 F.2d 715, 717 (9th Cir. 1985).

11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(2) allows the court to grant relief from the stay if the debtor does not have an equity in such property and such property is not necessary to an effective reorganization.

After review of the included evidence, the court finds that "cause" exists to lift the stay because Debtor has missed eleven (11) pre-petition payments totaling \$2,711.04 and one (1) post-petition payment in the amount of \$248.42. The Movant has produced evidence that Debtor is delinquent at least \$2,959.46. Doc. #14.

Since the court intends to grant this motion in part under § 362(d)(1), relief under subsection (d)(2) is moot. Although the Vehicle is not necessary to an effective reorganization because this is a chapter 7 case, Movant values the Vehicle at \$6,500.00 and Debtor owes \$9,458.03. Docs. ##14-16.

Accordingly, the motion will be GRANTED IN PART as to the trustee's interest pursuant to § 362(d)(1) and (d)(2) and DENIED AS MOOT IN PART as to the Debtor's interest under § 362(c)(2)(C). Debtor's Statement of Intention avers that the Vehicle will be surrendered. The 14-day stay of Fed. R. Bankr. P. 4001(a)(4) will be ordered waived because Debtor has failed to make at least twelve (12) pre- and post-petition payments to Movant and the Vehicle is a depreciating asset.

3. [26-12979](#)-B-7 **IN RE: KEVIN HANEY**
[KMM-1](#)

MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY
8-31-2026 [\[16\]](#)

TOYOTA LEASE TRUST/MV
ROBERT WILLIAMS/ATTY. FOR DBT.
KIRSTEN MARTINEZ/ATTY. FOR MV.

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Denied as moot.

ORDER: The Moving Party shall submit a proposed order in
conformance with the ruling below.

This motion relates to an executory contract or lease of personal property. The case was filed on June 27, 2026, and the lease was not assumed by the chapter 7 trustee within the time prescribed in 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(1). Pursuant to § 365(p)(1), the leased property is no longer property of the estate and the automatic stay under § 362(a) has already terminated by operation of law.

Since there is no opposition from the debtor, the court is unaware if debtor exercised his option to assume the lease under § 365(p)(2).

Movant may submit an order denying the motion and confirming that the automatic stay has already terminated on the grounds set forth above. No other relief is granted. No attorney fees will be awarded in relation to this motion.

As an informative matter, the certificates of service filed in connection with this motion used an older version of the court's *Official Certificate of Service* form (EDC Form 7-005, Rev. 10/22) instead of the most updated version of the form (EDC Form 7-005, Rev. 6/3/2025). Doc. #21. The correct form can be accessed on the court's website.

4. [26-14091](#)-B-7 **IN RE: CURTIS MUNCY**

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE TO PAY FEES
9-18-2026 [\[13\]](#)

CHRISTOPHER HEWITT/ATTY. FOR DBT.

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: The OSC will be vacated.

ORDER: The court will issue an order.

The record shows that the \$338.00 filing fee was paid on September 23, 2026. Accordingly, this order to show cause will be VACATED.

5. [26-13594](#)-B-7 **IN RE: JEFFREY/GINA CASEBOLT**
[PBB-1](#)

MOTION TO AVOID LIEN OF SYNCHRONY BANK
8-28-2026 [\[12\]](#)

GINA CASEBOLT/MV
PETER BUNTING/ATTY. FOR DBT.

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Granted.

ORDER: The Moving Party shall submit a proposed order in
conformance with the ruling below.

Jeffrey ("Jeffrey") and Gina Casebolt (collectively "Debtors") move for an order avoiding a judicial lien pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 522(f) in favor of Synchrony Bank ("Creditor") in the sum of \$3,420.00 and encumbering residential real property located at 47403 Hopi Avenue, Coarsegold, California 93614 ("Property"). Doc. #12.

Debtor complied with Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7004(b)(3) by serving Creditor's registered agent for service of process via first class mail on August 28, 2026. Doc. #16. Debtor also complied with Rule 7004(h), which requires service to be made on an insured depository institution by certified mail and addressed to an officer except where the three exceptions specified in subsections (h)(1)-(3) apply. *Id.*

No party in interest timely filed written opposition. This motion will be GRANTED.

This motion was set for hearing on 28 days' notice as required by Local Rule of Practice ("LBR") 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the creditors, the chapter 7 trustee, the U.S. Trustee, or any other party in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B) may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Boone v. Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the above-mentioned parties in interest are entered and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. Upon default, factual allegations will be taken as true (except those relating to amounts of damages). *Televideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917 (9th Cir. 1987). Constitutional due process requires that a plaintiff make a *prima facie* showing that they are entitled to the relief sought, which the movant has done here.

To avoid a lien under 11 U.S.C. § 522(f)(1), the movant must establish four elements: (1) there must be an exemption to which the debtor would be entitled under § 522(b); (2) the property must be

listed on the debtor's schedules as exempt; (3) the lien must impair the exemption; and (4) the lien must be either a judicial lien or a non-possessory, non-purchase money security interest in personal property listed in § 522(f)(1)(B). § 522(f)(1); *Goswami v. MTC Distrib. (In re Goswami)*, 304 B.R. 386, 390-91 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2003) (quoting *In re Mohring*, 142 B.R. 389, 392 (Bankr. E.D. Cal. 1992), *aff'd*, 24 F.3d 247 (9th Cir. 1994)).

Here, a judgment was entered against Debtor in favor of Creditor in the amount of \$3,773.14 on January 9, 2026. Doc. #15. The abstract of judgment was issued on February 27, 2026, and was recorded in Madera County on March 12, 2026. *Id.* That lien attached to Debtor's interest in Property. *Id.*; Doc. #14. Jeffrey declares that the current amount owed on account of this lien is \$3,420.00. Doc. #14.

As of the petition date, Property had an approximate value of \$355,500.00. Doc. #1 (Schedule A/B). Debtors claimed separate exemptions in the amount of \$214,000.00 for each of them, with a total exemption of \$428,000.00 pursuant to Cal. Code Civ. Proc. ("CCP") § 704.730. Doc. #1 (Schedule C).

Property is encumbered by a first deed of trust in favor Rocket Mortgage ("Rocket") in the amount of \$192,561.00 and a second deed of trust in favor of Farmers Insurance Group Federal Credit Union ("Farmers") in the amount of \$89,699.00. Doc. #1 (Schedule D). The total of these two deeds of trust is \$281,827.00; Debtors estimate that the current amount owed on account of the lien which is the subject of this motion is \$3,420.00. Property's encumbrances can be illustrated as follows:

Creditor	Amount	Recorded	Status
1. Rocket	\$193,561.00	5/2021	Unavoidable
2. Farmers	\$89,699.00	6/2022	Unavoidable
3. Creditor	\$3,420.00	3/12/26	Avoidable

When a debtor seeks to avoid multiple liens under § 522(f)(1) and there is equity to which liens can attach, the liens must be avoided in the reverse order of their priority. *Bank of Am. Nat'l Tr. & Sav. Ass'n v. Hanger (In re Hanger)*, 217 B.R. 592, 595 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1997), *aff'd*, 196 F.3d 1292 (9th Cir. 1999). Liens already avoided are excluded from the exemption impairment calculation. *Ibid.*; § 522(f)(2)(B).

"Under the full avoidance approach, as used in *Brantz*, the only way a lien would be avoided 'in full' was if the debtor's gross equity were equal to or less than the amount of the exemption." *Bank of Am. Nat'l Tr. & Sav. Ass'n v. Hanger (In re Hanger)*, 217 B.R. 592, 596 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1997), *aff'd*, 196 F.3d 1292 (9th Cir. 1999), citing *In re Brantz*, 106 B.R. 62, 68 (Bankr. E.D. Pa. 1989) ("Avoidance of all judicial liens results unless (3) [the result of deducting the debtor's allowable exemptions and the sum of all liens not avoided from the value of the property] is a positive figure."), citing *In re Magosin*, 75 B.R. 545, 547 (Bankr. E.D. Pa. 1987) (judicial lien was avoidable in its entirety where equity is less than exemption).

Ordinarily, liens already avoided are excluded from the exemption impairment calculation. § 522(f)(2)(B). Perfected judicial liens which were recorded prior to the junior-most lien to be avoided are grouped with the unavoidable liens for purposes of this analysis.

This lien is the most junior lien subject to avoidance and there is not any equity to support the lien. Strict application of the § 522(f)(2) formula with respect to Creditor's junior lien is illustrated as follows:

Amount of judgment lien		3,420.00
Total amount of unavoidable liens (incl. liens not yet avoided)	+	281,827.00
Debtor's claimed exemption in Property	+	\$428,000.00
<i>Sum</i>	=	\$713,247.00
Debtor's claimed value of interest absent liens	-	\$355,500.00
Extent lien impairs exemption	=	\$357,747.00

All Points Capital Corp. v. Meyer (In re Meyer), 373 B.R. 84, 91 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2007); accord. *Hanger* 217 B.R. at 596, *Higgins v. Household Fin. Corp. (In re Higgins)*, 201 B.R. 965, 967 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1996); cf. *Brantz*, 106 B.R. at 68, *Magosin*, 75 B.R. at 549-50, *In re Piersol*, 244 B.R. 309, 311 (Bankr. E.D. Pa. 2000). Since there is no equity for liens to attach and this case does not involve fractional interests or co-owned property with non-debtor third parties, the § 522(f)(2) formula can be re-illustrated using the *Brantz* formula with the same result:

Fair market value of Property		\$355,500.00
Total amount of unavoidable liens (incl. liens not yet avoided)	-	\$281,827.00
Homestead exemption	-	428,000.00
Remaining equity for judicial liens	=	(\$354,327.00)
Creditor's judicial lien	-	\$3,420.00
Extent Debtor's exemption impaired	=	(\$357,747.00)

After application of the arithmetical formula required by 11 U.S.C. § 522(f)(2)(A), there is insufficient equity to support any judicial liens. Therefore, the fixing of Creditor's judicial lien impairs Debtor's exemption in the Property and its fixing will be avoided.

Debtor has established the four elements necessary to avoid a lien under § 522(f)(1). Accordingly, this motion will be GRANTED. The proposed order shall state that Creditor's lien is avoided from the subject Property only and include a copy of the abstract of judgment as an exhibit.

6. [26-12598](#)-B-7 **IN RE: GEORGE/REGINA LODATO**

ORDER REQUIRING DISGORGEMENT OF FEES AGAINST GARY RAY FRALEY
ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
9-9-2026 [[59](#)]

SCOTT JOHNSON/ATTY. FOR DBT.

NO RULING.

7. [26-12598](#)-B-7 **IN RE: GEORGE/REGINA LODATO**
[CAE-2](#)

MOTION TO SET ASIDE
9-24-2026 [[65](#)]

GARY FRALEY/MV
SCOTT JOHNSON/ATTY. FOR DBT.
OST 9/25/26

NO RULING.