



**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
Eastern District of California
Honorable René Lastreto II
Department B – Courtroom #13
Fresno, California**

Hearing Date: Wednesday, September 16, 2026

Unless otherwise ordered, all matters before the Honorable René Lastreto II, shall be simultaneously: (1) **In Person** at, Courtroom #13 (Fresno hearings only), (2) via **ZoomGov Video**, (3) via **ZoomGov Telephone**, and (4) via **CourtCall**. You may choose any of these options unless otherwise ordered or stated below.

All parties or their attorneys who wish to appear at a hearing remotely must sign up by **4:00 p.m. one business day** prior to the hearing. Information regarding how to sign up can be found on the **Remote Appearances** page of our website at <https://www.caeb.uscourts.gov/Calendar/CourtAppearances>. Each party/attorney who has signed up will receive a Zoom link or phone number, meeting I.D., and password via e-mail.

If the deadline to sign up has passed, parties and their attorneys who wish to appear remotely must contact the Courtroom Deputy for the Department holding the hearing.

Please also note the following:

- Parties in interest and/or their attorneys may connect to the video or audio feed free of charge and should select which method they will use to appear when signing up.
- Members of the public and the press who wish to attend by ZoomGov may only listen in to the hearing using the Zoom telephone number. Video participation or observing are not permitted.
- Members of the public and the press may not listen in to trials or evidentiary hearings, though they may attend in person unless otherwise ordered.

To appear remotely for law and motion or status conference proceedings, you must comply with the following guidelines and procedures:

1. Review the [Pre-Hearing Dispositions](#) prior to appearing at the hearing.
2. Parties appearing via CourtCall are encouraged to review the [CourtCall Appearance Information](#). If you are appearing by ZoomGov phone or video, please join at least 10 minutes prior to the start of the calendar and wait with your microphone muted until the matter is called.

Unauthorized Recording is Prohibited: Any recording of a court proceeding held by video or teleconference, including "screen shots" or other audio or visual copying of a hearing is prohibited. Violation may result in sanctions, including removal of court-issued media credentials, denial of entry to future hearings, or any other sanctions deemed necessary by the court. For more information on photographing, recording, or broadcasting Judicial Proceedings, please refer to Local Rule 173(a) of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR PRE-HEARING DISPOSITIONS

Each matter on this calendar will have one of three possible designations: No Ruling, Tentative Ruling, or Final Ruling. These instructions apply to those designations.

No Ruling: All parties will need to appear at the hearing unless otherwise ordered.

Tentative Ruling: If a matter has been designated as a tentative ruling it will be called, and all parties will need to appear at the hearing unless otherwise ordered. The court may continue the hearing on the matter, set a briefing schedule, or enter other orders appropriate for efficient and proper resolution of the matter. The original moving or objecting party shall give notice of the continued hearing date and the deadlines. The minutes of the hearing will be the court's findings and conclusions.

Final Ruling: Unless otherwise ordered, there will be no hearing on these matters. The final disposition of the matter is set forth in the ruling and it will appear in the minutes. The final ruling may or may not finally adjudicate the matter. If it is finally adjudicated, the minutes constitute the court's findings and conclusions.

Orders: Unless the court specifies in the tentative or final ruling that it will issue an order, the prevailing party shall lodge an order within 14 days of the final hearing on the matter.

Post-Publication Changes: The court endeavors to publish its rulings as soon as possible. However, calendar preparation is ongoing, and these rulings may be revised or updated at any time prior to 4:00 p.m. the day before the scheduled hearings. Please check at that time for any possible updates.

9:30 AM

1. [25-13902](#)-B-13 **IN RE: JOSEPH LOPEZ**
[BDB-1](#)

MOTION TO SELL AND/OR MOTION TO INCUR DEBT
9-2-2026 [\[21\]](#)

JOSEPH LOPEZ/MV
BENNY BARCO/ATTY. FOR DBT.

TENTATIVE RULING: This matter will proceed as scheduled.

DISPOSITION: Granted.

ORDER: The minutes of the hearing will be the court's
findings and conclusions. The Moving Party
will submit a proposed order after hearing.

Joseph Lopez ("Debtors") move for authorization to sell Debtor's 2008 Toyota Tundra ("the Toyota") and to incur new debt to purchase a replacement vehicle in the form of a 2021 Ford F-150 ("the Ford") or another reasonably comparable vehicle on substantially similar terms not to exceed the terms set forth herein. Doc. #21. The motion is supported by the Debtor's Declaration and an Exhibit consisting of a Westlake Financial "worksheet". Docs. ##23-24.

Debtor declares that the \$14,000.00 down payment for the Ford will be paid from \$7,500.00 in estimated proceeds from the sale of the Toyota and from Debtor's own savings. Doc. #23. Debtor declares that the Toyota is paid off and not subject to any lien, but it requires significant repairs, has no functioning air conditioning, and is unreliable. *Id.*

The proposed terms for the Ford are as follows: a \$32,995.00 purchase price with \$14,000.00 down and the remainder financed at 11.99% interest. The monthly payments will be about \$498.00 for 60 months to be paid outside the plan. *Id.* Debtor declares that this transaction will not reduce Debtor's plan payments or distribution to creditors. *Id.* The plan currently pays 100% to general unsecured creditors. *Id.*

Debtor's Amended Schedule I & J dated September 2, 2026, indicates that Debtor's monthly net income has increased from \$1,500.03 to \$2,121.03, primarily as a result of a substantial decrease in what Debtor pays in housing costs. *Compare* Doc. #1 (Schedules I & J) *with* Doc. #20 (Amended Schedules I & J). Debtor's monthly net income is sufficient to cover Debtor's \$1,498.00 monthly plan payments. Doc. #6.

LBR 3015-1(h)(A) allows the debtor, with court approval, to finance the purchase of a motor vehicle if written consent of the chapter 13 trustee is filed with or as part of the motion. The trustee's approval is a certification to the court that: (i) all chapter 13 plan payments are current; (ii) the chapter 13 plan is not in default; (iii) the debtor has demonstrated an ability to pay all

future plan payments, projected living expenses, and the new debt; (iv) the new debt is a single loan incurred to purchase a vehicle that is reasonably necessary for the maintenance or support of the debtor, or necessary for the continuation, preservation, and operation of the debtor's business; (v) the only security for the new debt will be the vehicle purchased by debtor; and (vi) the new debt does not exceed \$20,000.00.

If the trustee will not give consent, the debtors may still seek court approval under LBR 3015-1(h) (E) by filing and serving a motion on the notice required by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002 and LBR 9014-1.

This motion was filed and served pursuant to Local Rule of Practice ("LBR") 9014-1(f) (2) and will proceed as scheduled. Unless opposition is presented at the hearing, the court intends to enter the respondents' defaults and grant the motion. If opposition is presented at the hearing, the court will consider the opposition and whether further hearing is proper pursuant to LBR 9014-1(f) (2). The court will issue an order if a further hearing is necessary.

After review of the attached evidence, the court finds that Debtor will be able to make the monthly payment for the Ford. The court is inclined to GRANT the motion. Debtors are authorized, but not required, to incur further debt in order to purchase the 2021 Ford F-150 for \$32,995.00 with monthly payments of \$498.00 for 60 months at 11.99% APR or another vehicle of comparable value and under similar terms. Should the Debtor's budget prevent maintenance of current plan payment, Debtors shall continue making plan payments until the plan is modified.

2. [26-13302](#)-B-13 **IN RE: ROSENDO/MICHELLE CASTILLO**
[LGT-1](#)

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY TRUSTEE LILIAN G. TSANG
8-31-2026 [\[19\]](#)

JERRY LOWE/ATTY. FOR DBT.

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Continued to October 21, 2026, at 9:30 a.m.

ORDER: The court will issue an order.

Chapter 13 trustee Lilian G. Tsang ("Trustee") objects to confirmation of the *Chapter 13 Plan* filed Rosendo and Michelle Castillo ("Debtors") on July 15, 2026, on the following basis:

1. The plan must pay 100% to general unsecured creditors plus the 4.02% Federal Judgment Rate of Interest.
2. The court has not yet ruled on the motion to value the collateral of creditor Everbright LLC Launch which is set for hearing on September 30, 2026.

Doc. #19.

This matter will be CONTINUED to October 21, 2026, at 9:30 a.m. Unless this case is voluntarily converted to chapter 7, dismissed, or all objections to confirmation are withdrawn, the Debtors shall file and serve a written response to the objections no later than fourteen (14) days before the continued hearing date. The response shall specifically address each issue raised in the objection(s) to confirmation, state whether each issue is disputed or undisputed, and include admissible evidence to support the Debtor's position. Any replies shall be filed and served no later than seven (7) days prior to the hearing date.

If the Debtors elect to withdraw the plan and file a modified plan in lieu of filing a response, then a confirmable, modified plan shall be filed, served, and set for hearing not later than seven (7) days before the continued hearing date. If the Debtors do not timely file a modified plan or a written response, the objection will be sustained on the grounds stated, and the motion will be denied without further hearing.

3. [26-12405](#)-B-13 **IN RE: JOSE ORELLANA AMAYA AND ROSA ORELLANA-ZARAGOZA**

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE TO PAY FEES
8-26-2026 [\[37\]](#)

BRIAN HADDIX/ATTY. FOR DBT.
\$34.00 FILING FEE PAID 8/27/26

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: The OSC will be vacated.

ORDER: The court will issue an order.

The record shows that the \$34.00 filing fee was paid on August 27, 2026. Doc. #24. Accordingly, this order to show cause will be VACATED.

4. [26-12405](#)-B-13 IN RE: JOSE ORELLANA AMAYA AND ROSA
[BSH-1](#) ORELLANA-ZARAGOZA

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN
8-6-2026 [[28](#)]

ROSA ORELLANA-ZARAGOZA/MV
BRIAN HADDIX/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Continued to October 21, 2026, at 9:30 a.m.

ORDER: The court will issue an order.

Jose Orellana Amaya and Rosa Orellana-Zaragoza ("Debtors") move for an order confirming the *First Modified Chapter 13 Plan* dated August 6, 2026. Docs. #28, #3244. No plan has been confirmed so far. Chapter 13 trustee Lilian G. Tsang ("Trustee") timely objected to confirmation of the plan for the following reason(s):

1. Under the First Modified Plan, Debtors are delinquent by \$1,300.00 as of August 31, 2026, with additional monthly plan payments of \$5,100.00 accruing.
2. According to Debtor's Schedule I & J dated May 26, 2026, Debtors' income is not sufficient to cover the proposed monthly plan payments, and Debtors have not filed an Amended Schedule I & J.

Doc. #28.

This motion to confirm plan will be CONTINUED to October 21, 2026, at 9:30 a.m. Unless this case is voluntarily converted to chapter 7, dismissed, or all objections to confirmation are withdrawn, the Debtors shall file and serve a written response to the objections no later than fourteen (14) days before the continued hearing date. The response shall specifically address each issue raised in the objection(s) to confirmation, state whether each issue is disputed or undisputed, and include admissible evidence to support the Debtor's position. Any replies shall be filed and served no later than seven (7) days prior to the hearing date.

If the Debtors elect to withdraw the plan and file a modified plan in lieu of filing a response, then a confirmable, modified plan shall be filed, served, and set for hearing not later than seven (7) days before the continued hearing date. If the Debtors do not timely file a modified plan or a written response, the objection will be sustained on the grounds stated, and the motion will be denied without further hearing.

5. [26-13516](#)-B-13 **IN RE: TIMOTHY/LORNA SABBATINI**
[PBB-1](#)

MOTION TO VALUE COLLATERAL OF ALLY BANK
8-17-2026 [\[14\]](#)

LORNA SABBATINI/MV
PETER BUNTING/ATTY. FOR DBT.

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Granted.

ORDER: The Moving Party shall submit a proposed order in
conformance with the ruling below.

Timothy and Lorna Sabbatini (collectively "Debtors") move for an order valuing a 2021 Honda CR-V EX-L ("Vehicle") at \$24,790.00 under 11 U.S.C. § 506(a). Doc. #14. The Vehicle is encumbered by a purchase money security interest in favor of Ally Bank ("Creditor"). *Id.*

Debtor complied with Fed. R. Bankr. Pro. Rules 3012(b) and 7004(b)(3) by serving Creditor a copy of the motion by first-class mail to the attention of an officer, a managing or general agent, or to any other agent authorized by appointment or by law to receive service of process. Doc. #18. Debtor also complied with Rule 7004(h), which requires service to be made on an insured depository institution by certified mail and addressed to an officer except where the three exceptions specified in subsections (h)(1)-(3) apply. *Id.*

This motion was set for hearing on 28 days' notice as required by Local Rule of Practice ("LBR") 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the creditors, the chapter 13 trustee, the U.S. Trustee, or any other party in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B) may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. See *Boone v. Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the above-mentioned parties in interest are entered and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. Upon default, factual allegations will be taken as true (except those relating to amounts of damages). *Televideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917 (9th Cir. 1987). Constitutional due process requires that a plaintiff make a *prima facie* showing that they are entitled to the relief sought, which the movant has done here.

No party in interest timely filed written opposition. This motion will be GRANTED.

11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(*) (the hanging paragraph) states that 11 U.S.C. § 506 is not applicable to claims described in that paragraph if (1) the creditor has a purchase money security interest securing the

debt that is the subject of the claim, (2) the debt was incurred within 910 days preceding the filing of the petition, and (3) the collateral is a motor vehicle acquired for the personal use of the debtor.

11 U.S.C. § 506(a)(1), which applies to all debtors under this title, states:

An allowed claim of a creditor secured by a lien on property in which the estate has an interest, or that is subject to setoff under section 553 of this title, is a secured claim to the extent of the value of such creditor's interest in the estate's interest in such property, or to the extent of the amount subject to setoff, as the case may be, and is an unsecured claim to the extent that the value of such creditor's interest or the amount so subject to set off is less than the amount of such allowed claim. Such value shall be determined in light of the purpose of the valuation and of the proposed disposition or use of such property, and in conjunction with any hearing on such disposition or use or on a plan affecting such creditor's interest.

11 U.S.C. § 506(a)(2) states:

If the debtor is an individual in a case under chapter 7 or 13, such value with respect to personal property securing an allowed claim shall be determined based on the replacement value of such property as of the date of the filing of the petition without deduction for costs of sale or marketing. With respect to property acquired for personal, family, or household purposes, replacement value shall mean the price a retail merchant would charge for property of that kind considering the age and condition of the property at the time value is determined.

Co-Debtor Lorna Mae Sabbatini ("Lorna") declares that Debtors borrowed money from Creditor to purchase Vehicle on or about June 24, 2023, which is more than 910 days preceding the July 29, 2026, petition date. Doc. #16. Creditor has not opposed the motion, and Creditor's proof of claim contains no contrary information regarding the date of the loan. See POC #2. Accordingly, the elements of § 1325(a)(*) are not met and § 506 is applicable.

Lorna further declares Vehicle has a replacement value of \$24,790.00. Doc. #14. Debtor is competent to testify as to the value of the Vehicle. Given the absence of contrary evidence, the debtor's opinion of value may be conclusive. *Enewally v. Wash. Mut. Bank (In re Enewally)*, 368 F.3d 1165, 1173 (9th Cir. 2004).

No party in interest timely filed written opposition. Accordingly, this motion will be GRANTED. Creditor's secured claim will be fixed at \$24,790.00. The proposed order shall specifically identify the collateral and the proof of claim to which it relates. The order will be effective upon confirmation of the chapter 13 plan.

6. [26-10622](#)-B-13 **IN RE: IDALAFAYE DAVIS**
[MAZ-2](#)

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN
8-11-2026 [\[43\]](#)

IDALAFAYE DAVIS/MV
MARK ZIMMERMAN/ATTY. FOR DBT.
WITHDRAWN

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Withdrawn.

ORDER: The court will issue an order.

On August 24, 2026, the Debtor withdrew this *Motion to Confirm Plan*.
Doc. #57. Accordingly, this motion is WITHDRAWN

7. [26-13331](#)-B-13 **IN RE: SPENCER/SHILOH ALLEN**
[LGT-1](#)

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY TRUSTEE LILIAN G. TSANG
8-31-2026 [\[19\]](#)

NATALI RON/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Continued to October 21, 2026, at 9:30 a.m.

ORDER: The court will issue an order.

Chapter 13 trustee Lilian G. Tsang ("Trustee") objects to
confirmation of the *Chapter 13 Plan* filed Rosendo and Michelle
Castillo ("Debtors") on July 15, 2026, on the following basis:

1. The plan must pay 100% to general unsecured creditors plus the 4.02% Federal Judgment Rate of Interest.
2. The court has not yet ruled on the motion to value the collateral of creditor Everbright LLC Launch which is set for hearing on September 30, 2026.

Doc. #19. This matter will be CONTINUED to **October 21, 2026, at 9:30 a.m.** for the reasons outlined in the court's ruling on the Objection to Confirmation filed by Creditor TD Bank, NA. *See Item 8, below.* Pursuant to that ruling, a confirmable, modified plan shall be filed, served, and set for hearing not later than seven (7) days before the continued hearing date.

8. [26-13331](#)-B-13 **IN RE: SPENCER/SHILOH ALLEN**
[RH-1](#)

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY TD BANK, N.A.
8-27-2026 [\[15\]](#)

TD BANK, N.A./MV
NATALI RON/ATTY. FOR DBT.
ROSEMARY HONG/ATTY. FOR MV.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Continued to October 21, 2026, at 9:30 a.m.

ORDER: The court will issue an order.

Creditor TD Bank, N.A. ("Creditor") objects to confirmation of the *Chapter 13 Plan* filed Spenser and Shilo Allen ("Debtors") on July 15, 2026, on the following basis:

1. The proposed interest rate for Creditor's Class 2 Claim is below the *Till* rate.

Doc. #15. On September 2, 2026, Debtors responded, advising that they would be filing a modified plan to address this Objection. Doc #25. Debtors requested that this matter be continued to afford them additional time after the continued 341 meeting of creditors set for September 10, 2026, so that if the Trustee raised additional objections, they could all be addressed in one modified plan. *Id.*

This request is well-taken. This matter will be CONTINUED to **October 21, 2026, at 9:30 a.m.** A confirmable, modified plan shall be filed, served, and set for hearing not later than seven (7) days before the continued hearing date. If the Debtors do not timely file a modified plan or a written response, the objection will be sustained on the grounds stated without further hearing.

9. [26-10543](#)-B-13 **IN RE: FRANK/PATRICIA PEREZ**
[RSW-3](#)

MOTION TO SELL
8-25-2026 [\[57\]](#)

PATRICIA PEREZ/MV
ROBERT WILLIAMS/ATTY. FOR DBT.

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Denied without prejudice.

ORDER: The court will issue an order.

This motion will be DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE for failure to comply with the Local Rules of Practice ("LBR") OR the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure ("the Rules").

For motions filed on less than 28 days' notice, LBR 9014-1(f)(2)(C) requires the movant to notify respondents written opposition is not required and any opposition to the motion must be presented at the hearing.

This motion was filed and served on August 25, 2026, and set for hearing on September 16, 2026. Docs. ##57-58. The Motion and Notice were filed and served 22 days before the scheduled hearing date. Therefore, this motion was set for hearing on less than 28 days' notice under LBR 9014-1(f)(2). Nevertheless, the notice and amended notice both stated:

Opposition, if any, to the granting of the motion shall be in writing and filed with the United States Bankruptcy Court, Eastern District of California, 2500 Tulare Street, Suite 2501, Fresno, California 93721, and shall be served and filed with the Court by the responding party at least fourteen (14) calendar days preceding the date or continued date of the hearing.

Doc. #58. This is incorrect. Motions noticed less than 28 days before the hearing are deemed brought pursuant to LBR 9014-1(f)(2). The notice should have informed respondents that written opposition was not required, and opposition, if any, shall be presented at the hearing. If opposition is presented, or if there is other good cause, the court may continue the hearing to permit the filing of evidence and briefs. Therefore, the notice was materially deficient because the respondents were told to file and serve written opposition even though it was not necessary. Thus, interested parties may be deterred from opposing the motion or from appearing at the hearing.

For the above reason(s), this motion will be DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

10. [26-13349](#)-B-13 **IN RE: RICHARD MCCROW**
[LGT-1](#)

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY LILIAN G. TSANG
8-28-2026 [\[12\]](#)

LILIAN TSANG/MV
ROBERT WILLIAMS/ATTY. FOR DBT.

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Continued to October 21, 2026, at 9:30 a.m.

ORDER: The court will issue an order.

Chapter 13 trustee Lilian G. Tsang ("Trustee") objects to confirmation of the *Chapter 13 Plan* filed by Richard McCrow ("Debtor") on July 18, 2026, on the following basis:

1. The distribution to general unsecured creditors must increase from \$50,727.95 to \$96,268.97 to meet the liquidation test.
2. The plan does not provide for all of Debtor's projected disposable income to be paid to general unsecured creditors.
 - a. Trustee requests an Amended Form 122C-2 because the mortgage payment on the Earlimart property is counted twice.
 - b. Trustee requests a recent statement of Debtor's business installment loan and recent mortgage statement on the Earlimart property.
 - c. There is a discrepancy between line 16 of Debtor's Form 122C-2 and line 5a of Schedule I as to what Debtor pays monthly in taxes.
 - d. There is a discrepancy in Debtor's monthly income as reflected on Schedule and as shown on Debtor's pay advice dated June 30, 2026. Trustee requests clarification and amended schedules if necessary.

Doc. #12.

This objection will be CONTINUED to October 21, 2026, at 9:30 a.m. Unless this case is voluntarily converted to chapter 7, dismissed, or the objection to confirmation is withdrawn, the Debtor shall file and serve a written response to the Objection not later than **14 days before the hearing**. The response shall specifically address each issue raised in the objection to confirmation, state whether the issue is disputed or undisputed, and include admissible evidence to support the Debtors' position. Any reply shall be served no later than **7 days before the hearing**.

If the Debtor elects to withdraw the plan and file a modified plan in lieu of filing a response, then a confirmable, modified plan shall be filed, served, and set for hearing not later than **7 days before the hearing**. If the Debtor does not timely file a modified plan or a written response, this objection will be sustained on the grounds stated in the objection without further hearing.

11. [26-13250](#)-B-13 **IN RE: BEVERLY WILLIAMS**
[LGT-1](#)

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY LILIAN G. TSANG
8-28-2026 [\[16\]](#)

LILIAN TSANG/MV
SCOTT LYONS/ATTY. FOR DBT.

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Overruled as moot.

ORDER: The court will issue an order.

On September 11, 2026, Beverly Williams ("Debtor") filed her *First Modified Chapter 13 Plan*. Doc. #26. Accordingly, this Objection to Confirmation of the Chapter 13 plan dated July 13, 2026, will be OVERRULED as moot.

12. [26-10451](#)-B-13 **IN RE: IAN/KELLEY PAYNE**
[RSW-3](#)

MOTION FOR COMPENSATION BY THE LAW OFFICE OF WILLIAMS AND WILLIAMS, INC. FOR ROBERT S. WILLIAMS, DEBTORS ATTORNEY(S)
8-12-2026 [\[78\]](#)

KELLEY PAYNE/MV
ROBERT WILLIAMS/ATTY. FOR DBT.
DISMISSED 07/09/26

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Granted.

ORDER: The Moving Party shall submit a proposed order in conformance with the ruling below.

Robert S. Williams ("Williams" or "Attorney") and the law firm of Williams & Williams, Inc. (collectively "Applicant"), attorney for Ian and Kelley Payne ("Debtors"), seek final compensation as follows under 11 U.S.C. § 330. Doc. #78. This Application covers fees and expenses incurred from January 14, 2026, through June 30, 2026 ("the Application Period"). *Id.* Applicant does not seek any additional fees beyond the retainer which Debtors paid prepetition, though there is a discrepancy between the instant application and the prior court filings as to how much that retainer was, as discussed further below.

No statement of consent indicating that Debtor has reviewed this fee application was included in the moving papers.

This motion was set for hearing on 28 days' notice as required by Local Rule of Practice ("LBR") 9014-1(f)(1). Thus, pursuant to LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B), the failure of any party in interest (including but not limited to creditors, the debtor, the U.S. Trustee, or any other properly-served party in interest) to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing may be deemed a waiver of any such opposition to the granting of the motion. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). When there is no opposition to a motion, the defaults of all parties in interest who failed to timely respond will be entered, and, in the absence of any opposition, the movant's factual allegations will be taken as true (except those relating to amounts of damages). *Televideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917 (9th Cir. 1987). Because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary when an unopposed movant has made a prima facie case for the requested relief. See *Boone v. Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592 (9th Cir. 2006).

No party in interest timely filed written opposition, and the defaults of all nonresponding parties will be entered. This motion will be GRANTED.

Debtor filed this Chapter 13 case on February 2, 2026, and filed the Chapter 13 Plan that same day. Docs. #1, #3. The case was dismissed before confirmation for failure to make plan payments. Docs. #62, #71.

On August 3, 2026, the court entered an *Order to Show Cause Re: Dismissal of Case and Disgorgement of Fees Pursuant to General Order 26-03*, pursuant to which Applicant was directed to file a fee application justifying the prepetition fees paid to Applicant by Debtor. Doc. #75. This application was filed in response to that order.

There is a discrepancy between the instant Application and the Debtors' original filings. Both the Form B2030 Disclosure of Compensation of Attorney for Debtor (Doc. #1 at pg. 55) and the Chapter 13 Plan filed on February 2, 2026 (Doc. #3), agree that Debtors paid Attorney \$1,200.00 prepetition, with \$6,800.00 to be paid through the plan. The Application, on the other hand, states that those filings were in error, and Debtors actually paid Attorney \$1,700.00 for attorneys' fees and \$500.00 for costs prior to filing. Doc. #78.

11 U.S.C. § 330(a)(1)(A) and (B) permit approval of "reasonable compensation for actual, necessary services rendered by . . . [a] professional person, or attorney" and "reimbursement for actual, necessary expenses." In determining the amount of reasonable compensation to be awarded to a professional person, the court shall consider the nature, extent, and value of such services, considering all relevant factors, including those enumerated in subsections (a)(3)(A) through (E). § 330(a)(3).

The Application is not terribly helpful in assessing Attorney's work product. The Application itself states as follows:

Although no time records are not kept for flat fee cases, records are kept of most activities, such as in-office appointments, telephone calls, emails, meetings of creditors, court appearances and preparation of documents, substantially as follows:

1/14/26 - Call from debtor to discuss bankruptcy. Emailed questionnaire.

1/17 - Initial in person meeting. Reviewed questionnaire, obtained ID's and received payment. Received email with documents.

1/20 - Received emails with additional documents.

1/21 - Petition typed and email sent as to what is still needed.

1/22 - Debtor came in, made payment and discussion of when to file.

1/26 - Petition completed, reviewed and draft emailed with options for plan. Advised that credit counseling must be completed.

1/31 - 2/2 - Petition updated for February filing, signed and then filed.

2/4 - Emails to PG&E and CalWater to advise of filing.

2/6 - Emails from PG&E and CalWater.

2/17 - Documents uploaded to trustee. Letter sent about Zoom meeting.

3/2 - Motion to value prepared.

3/12, 4/9 - Appeared at 341.

3/16-7/10 - Reviewed objection by trustee to confirmation to plan. Response filed. Objection sustained.

3/30 - Emailed and received sign declaration for motion to value.

4/3-5/10 - Motion filed. Creditor objected. Agreement as to value reached.

6/9-7/9 - Motion to dismiss by trustee for failure to make plan payments. Despite numerous requests to clients about payments, case was dismissed.

6/30 - In contemplation of debtors being able to pay, documents for a motion to confirm a modified plan prepared.

Doc. #78. No indication is given as to how much time was spent on each entry, and the entries are not categorized according to the nature of the task or even what work was performed by Williams' staff as opposed to Williams himself. *Id.* Williams' Declaration states that, while he does not normally charge by the hour, he believes based on his experience, he would be approved for compensation at \$400.00 per hour and his staff at \$100.00 per hour. Doc. #80. The court agrees that this is a reasonable hourly rate. At that rate, if Williams alone billed for just 4.25 hours, it would consume the \$1,700.00 figure he now says he received prepetition.

The motion also states that Applicant received \$500.00 for costs and incurred actual costs in the form of \$313.00 for the filing fee,

\$90.00 for credit reports and public record searches, and \$37.90 for certificates of credit counseling, which totals \$440.90, plus an undetermined amount for copies and mailing expenses which Applicant does not track. Doc. #78. Again, these calculations are contained in the Application itself but not supported by any exhibits, and Williams' Declaration is silent on the topic of expenses. *Id.*

Based on the averments of the motion, it appears that Applicant's services included, without limitation: (a) initial consultation and document review and (b) preparation of the petition, Schedules, and other filing documents; (c) preparation for and appearance at the 341 Meeting of Creditors; (d) communications with creditors and the Trustee; (e) preparation and filing of a motion to value collateral; (f) review of and response to Trustee's Objection to Confirmation; and (g) preparation of a motion to confirm modified plan which was not filed prior to dismissal of the case. Doc. #78.

The court finds the services and expenses reasonable, actual, and necessary. While the application does not state how many hours were worked and by what professional, the court accepts that Williams' list of tasks performed during this representation are enough to justify payment of the requested \$1,700.00 in fees from the prepetition retainer, as well as \$500.00 for expenses.

No party in interest has responded to this motion, and the defaults of all non-responding parties are entered. This motion will be GRANTED. Applicant will be awarded the \$2,200.00 retainer already in Applicant's possession as reasonable compensation for services rendered on a final basis under 11 U.S.C. § 330.

13. [26-10154](#)-B-13 **IN RE: MARC/ROBIN MATHIAS**
[LGT-2](#)

CONTINUED MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
5-1-2026 [\[28\]](#)

LILIAN TSANG/MV
PETER MACALUSO/ATTY. FOR DBT.

NO RULING.

14. [26-10154](#)-B-13 **IN RE: MARC/ROBIN MATHIAS**
[PGM-1](#)

CONTINUED MOTION TO WAIVE FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT COURSE
REQUIREMENT, WAIVE SECTION 1328 CERTIFICATE REQUIREMENT,
CONTINUE CASE ADMINISTRATION, SUBSTITUTE PARTY, AS TO DEBTOR
7-17-2026 [\[41\]](#)

ROBIN MATHIAS/MV
PETER MACALUSO/ATTY. FOR DBT.

NO RULING.

15. [24-12658](#)-B-13 **IN RE: GILBERT/REYNA VALLE**
[PBB-3](#)

MOTION TO MODIFY PLAN
8-6-2026 [\[73\]](#)

REYNA VALLE/MV
PETER BUNTING/ATTY. FOR DBT.

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Granted.

ORDER: The Moving Party shall submit a proposed order in
conformance with the ruling below.

Gilbert and Reyna Valle ("Debtors") move for an order confirming the
First Modified Chapter 13 Plan dated August 6, 2026. Docs. #73, #78.
Debtor's current plan was confirmed on January 6, 2025. Doc. #59.

No party has timely objected.

This motion was set for hearing on 35 days' notice as required by
Local Rule of Practice ("LBR") 3015-1(d)(1). The failure of any
party in interest, including but not limited to creditors, the U.S.
Trustee, and the case Trustee, to file written opposition at least
14 days prior to the hearing as required by LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B) may
be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion.
Cf. Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Therefore, the
defaults of the above-mentioned parties in interest are entered.
Upon default, factual allegations will be taken as true (except
those relating to amounts of damages). *Televideo Sys., Inc. v.*
Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917 (9th Cir. 1987).

The motion requests that the confirmed plan be modified as follows:

1. Debtors to pay an aggregate of \$74,487.99 for months 1-22.
Commencing in month 23, the monthly plan payment will be
reduced from \$3,920.00 to \$2,124.00 for the remaining life of
the plan.
2. Attorney fees shall be paid an aggregate of \$2,975.07 for
months 1-22, then \$914.00 per month beginning August 2026.

3. Class 2A creditor Driveway Financial shall be paid an aggregate of \$30,083.96 for months 1-22, then \$914.00 per month beginning August 2026.
4. Class 2B creditor Driveway Financial shall be paid an aggregate of \$35,344.76 for months 1-22, then \$1,000.00 per month beginning August 2026.
5. The dividend to general unsecured creditors will be reduced from 100% to 26% on claims estimated at approximately \$169,645.06.

Compare Doc. #3 and Doc. #78.

Debtor Gilbert Valle, Jr. declares that this modification is necessary to cure delinquencies in plan payments that have arisen because both Debtors are currently off work for medical reasons and are awaiting surgeries for different medical issues. Doc. #77. Debtors currently live off workers' compensation payments and anticipate that Gilbert at least will be out of work for another 6 to 12 months. *Id.* This is confirmed by Debtors' *Amended Schedule I & J* dated August 6, 2026, which reflects a monthly net income of \$2,129.43, down from \$9,664.13 as listed on Debtors' prior *Amended Schedule I & J* dated October 22, 2024. Compare Docs. #24 and #72. Debtors' new net monthly income is sufficient to cover their proposed \$2,124.00 monthly plan payment.

No party in interest has objected, and the defaults of all non-responding parties in interest are entered. This motion is GRANTED. The order shall include the docket control number of the motion, shall reference the plan by the date it was filed, and shall be approved as to form by Trustee.

16. [25-24068](#)-B-13 **IN RE: STEVEN OULICKY**
[DPC-1](#)

CONTINUED MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
7-30-2026 [\[18\]](#)

DAVID CUSICK/MV
MATTHEW GILBERT/ATTY. FOR DBT.

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing in this matter.

DISPOSITION: Withdrawn.

NO ORDER IS REQUIRED.

On September 8, 2026, the Trustee withdrew this Motion to Dismiss. Doc. #29. Therefore, this motion is WITHDRAWN.

17. [26-10971](#)-B-13 **IN RE: ANITA IRVEN**
[MAZ-1](#)

MOTION FOR COMPENSATION FOR MARK A. ZIMMERMAN, DEBTORS
ATTORNEY(S)
8-17-2026 [\[49\]](#)

MARK ZIMMERMAN/ATTY. FOR DBT.
DISMISSED 07/09/2026;

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Granted.

ORDER: The Moving Party shall submit a proposed order in
conformance with the ruling below.

Mark A. Zimmerman ("Zimmerman") and the Law Offices of Mark A. Zimmerman (collectively "Applicant"), attorney for Anita Irven ("Debtor"), seeks final compensation as follows under 11 U.S.C. § 330. Doc. #49. This Application covers fees and expenses incurred from July 17, 2024, through July 9, 2026 ("the Application Period"). *Id.* Applicant does not seek any additional fees beyond the \$1,500.00 retainer which Debtors paid prepetition. *Id.*

No statement of consent indicating that Debtor has reviewed this fee application was included in the moving papers.

This motion was set for hearing on 28 days' notice as required by Local Rule of Practice ("LBR") 9014-1(f)(1). Thus, pursuant to LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B), the failure of any party in interest (including but not limited to creditors, the debtor, the U.S. Trustee, or any other properly-served party in interest) to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing may be deemed a waiver of any such opposition to the granting of the motion. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). When there is no opposition to a motion, the defaults of all parties in interest who failed to timely respond will be entered, and, in the absence of any opposition, the movant's factual allegations will be taken as true (except those relating to amounts of damages). *Televideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917 (9th Cir. 1987). Because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary when an unopposed movant has made a prima facie case for the requested relief. *See Boone v. Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592 (9th Cir. 2006).

No party in interest timely filed written opposition, and the defaults of all nonresponding parties will be entered. This motion will be GRANTED.

Debtor filed this Chapter 13 case on March 6, 2026. Doc. #1. The Chapter 13 Plan was filed that same day (Doc. #3), but the case was

dismissed before confirmation for failure to make plan payments.
Docs. #30, #39.

On August 3, 2026, the court entered an *Order To Show Cause Re: Dismissal Of Case and Disgorgement of Fees Pursuant To General Order 26-03*, pursuant to which Applicant was directed to file a fee application justifying the prepetition fees paid to Applicant by Debtor. Doc. #44. This application was filed in response to that order.

While the declaration and billing records accompanying this motion are sparse, it appears that, during the Application Period, Applicant provided 8.50 billable hours, all by Zimmerman, at a rate of \$350.00 an hour. Doc. #51. According to the billing records accompanying this application, the total fees charged to Debtor was \$2,975.00, of which Applicant has received \$1,500.00 in the form of a prepetition retainer. *Id.* Applicant does not seek a fee award more than the \$1,500.00 already paid, and Applicant does not seek an award for expense reimbursement. Doc. #84.

11 U.S.C. § 330(a)(1)(A) and (B) permit approval of "reasonable compensation for actual, necessary services rendered by . . . [a] professional person, or attorney" and "reimbursement for actual, necessary expenses." In determining the amount of reasonable compensation to be awarded to a professional person, the court shall consider the nature, extent, and value of such services, considering all relevant factors, including those enumerated in subsections (a)(3)(A) through (E). § 330(a)(3).

Applicant's services here as described in the billing records included, without limitation: (a) initial consultation and document review, (b) preparation of the petition, Schedules, and other filing documents, (c) attendance at the 341 meeting of creditors, and (d) responding to an objection by the Trustee. Doc. #51. Applicant is not seeking expense reimbursement. *Id.* The court finds the services and expenses reasonable, actual, and necessary.

No party in interest has responded to this motion, and the defaults of all non-responding parties are entered. This motion will be GRANTED. Applicant will be awarded the \$1,500.00 retainer already in Applicant's possession as reasonable compensation for services rendered on a final basis under 11 U.S.C. § 330.

18. [26-12086](#)-B-13 **IN RE: LISA WILLSON**
[BSH-1](#)

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN
8-12-2026 [\[37\]](#)

LISA WILLSON/MV
BRIAN HADDIX/ATTY. FOR DBT.

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Continued to October 21, 2026, at 9:30 a.m.

ORDER: The court will issue an order.

Lisa Willson ("Debtors") moves for an order confirming the *First Modified Chapter 13 Plan* dated August 12, 2026. Docs. #37, #46. No plan has been confirmed so far. The 60-month plan has the following terms:

1. Monthly plan payments of \$1,890.00 for months 1-2 and \$1,970.00 for months 3-58.
2. Outstanding attorney's fees of \$9,000.00 to be paid through the plan in compliance with LBR 2016-1(c). The plan proposes that the Trustee pay \$3,000.00 "as expeditiously as funds are available" on a monthly basis of \$525 per month for 6 months beginning in month 1 of the Plan. Post-confirmation, the Trustee shall pay \$6.00 [sic] over the remaining life of the plan at \$113.89 per month beginning in month 7.
3. Secured creditors to be grouped into classes and paid as follows:
 - a. Tri Counties Bank (Class 1, mortgage on 323 S. Broadway, Turlock CA). \$30,428.75 in arrears at 0.00% to be paid at \$553.25 beginning in month 7. Ongoing post-petition payments of \$1,138.37.
 - b. Bridgecrest Acceptance Corp. (Class 3, 2021 Mitsubishi Mirage Hatchback). Surrendered. Estimated deficiency is \$0.00.
4. A dividend of 0.00% to general unsecured creditors with claims estimated at approximately \$22,406.66.

Doc. #40. The court assumes from context that the reference to "6.00" in paragraph 2 should read "6,000.00." Chapter 13 trustee Lilian G. Tsang ("Trustee") timely objected to confirmation of the plan for the following reason(s):

1. The monthly dividend to Class 1 creditor Tri Counties Bank must increase from \$553.25 to \$563.50 to pay the claim in full within 60 months.
2. In order to comply with LBR 2016-1(c)(4)(C), the monthly dividend for attorney's fees cannot exceed \$500.00 per month for 6 months and \$111.11 per month for 54 months.

Doc. #46. Trustee advises that she is not opposed to resolving both these objections in the confirmation order. *Id.*

This motion to confirm plan will be CONTINUED to October 21, 2026, at 9:30 a.m. Unless this case is voluntarily converted to chapter 7, dismissed, or all objections to confirmation are withdrawn, the Debtors shall file and serve a written response to the objections no later than fourteen (14) days before the continued hearing date. The response shall specifically address each issue raised in the objection(s) to confirmation, state whether each issue is disputed or undisputed, and include admissible evidence to support the Debtor's position. Any replies shall be filed and served no later than seven (7) days prior to the hearing date.

If the Debtors elect to withdraw the plan and file a modified plan in lieu of filing a response, then a confirmable, modified plan shall be filed, served, and set for hearing not later than seven (7) days before the continued hearing date. If the Debtors do not timely file a modified plan or a written response, the objection will be sustained on the grounds stated, and the motion will be denied without further hearing.

19. 26-13199-B-13 **IN RE: LABELL MILLS**
LGT-1

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY LILIAN G. TSANG
8-27-2026 [24]

LILIAN TSANG/MV

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Continued to October 21, 2026, at 9:30 a.m.

ORDER: The court will issue an order.

Chapter 13 trustee Lilian G. Tsang ("Trustee") objects to confirmation of the *Chapter 13 Plan* filed by Labell Kesa Mills ("Debtor") on July 21, 2026, on the following basis:

1. Debtor is delinquent by \$494.00 in plan payment as of August 27, 2026, with additional monthly payments of \$494.00 accruing.
2. The pro se Debtor failed to use the proper form for the Plan.
3. The 341 meeting of creditors has not been concluded. The continued meeting is scheduled for October 8, 2026. Also, Debtor has failed to provide certain required documents (as listed in the Objection) which should have been sent to Trustee prior to the 341 meeting date.

Doc. #24.

This objection will be CONTINUED to October 21, 2026, at 9:30 a.m. Unless this case is voluntarily converted to chapter 7, dismissed, or the objection to confirmation is withdrawn, the Debtor shall file and serve a written response to the Objection not later than **14 days before the hearing**. The response shall specifically address each issue raised in the objection to confirmation, state whether the

issue is disputed or undisputed, and include admissible evidence to support the Debtors' position. Any reply shall be served no later than **7 days before the hearing**.

If the Debtor elects to withdraw the plan and file a modified plan in lieu of filing a response, then a confirmable, modified plan shall be filed, served, and set for hearing not later than **7 days before the hearing**. If the Debtor does not timely file a modified plan or a written response, this objection will be sustained on the grounds stated in the objection without further hearing.

20. [26-12042](#)-B-13 **IN RE: BADER ALOMAR**
[RSW-1](#)

CONTINUED MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN
7-6-2026 [\[20\]](#)

BADER ALOMAR/MV
ROBERT WILLIAMS/ATTY. FOR DBT.

NO RULING.

11:00 AM

1. [22-11403](#)-B-7 **IN RE: STANFORD CHOPPING, INC.**
[24-1023](#)

PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE RE: COMPLAINT
8-14-2024 [\[1\]](#)

HOLDER V. AUGUSTAR LIFE ASSURANCE CORPORATION
ESTELA PINO/ATTY. FOR PL.
ADV. DISMISSED 3/11/26; CLOSED 3/30/26

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing in this matter.

DISPOSITION: Concluded and dropped from the calendar.

NO ORDER IS REQUIRED.

On March 11, 2026, the court approved a Stipulated Order of Dismissal in the above-styled adversary proceeding. Doc. 90. Accordingly, this Pre-Trial Conference is CONCLUDED and will be DROPPED from the calendar.

2. [26-12517](#)-B-7 **IN RE: RAFAEL ANDRADE ISLAS**
[26-1046](#)

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE TO PAY FEES
8-26-2026 [\[9\]](#)

RODRIGUEZ V. ANDRADE ISLAS

TENTATIVE RULING: This matter will proceed as scheduled.

DISPOSITION: The minutes of the hearing will be the court's findings and conclusions.

ORDER: The court will issue an order.

Creditor Reyna Rodriguez ("Plaintiff") filed a *Complaint of Non-Dischargeability* on August 5, 2026. Doc. #1. A fee of \$350.00 is required at the time of filing that document. A *Notice of Payment Due* was served on Plaintiff on August 14, 2026. Doc. #7.

On August 26, 2026, the Clerk of the court issued an *Order to Show Cause re Dismissal of Contested Matter or Imposition of Sanctions* directing Plaintiff to appear at the hearing and show cause why the motion should not be stricken, sanctions imposed on the party filer and/or their counsel, or other relief including dismissal ordered for failure to comply with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1930(b). Doc. #9.

This matter will proceed as scheduled. If the filing fee of \$350.00 is not paid prior to the hearing, the motion may be stricken, the matter dismissed, and sanctions imposed on the filer and/or its counsel on the grounds stated in the OSC.

3. [21-11540](#)-B-13 **IN RE: TOM/HELEN EVANS**
[25-1050](#) [CAE-1](#)

CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE RE: COMPLAINT
11-25-2025 [[1](#)]

EVANS V. UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION ET AL

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing in this matter.

DISPOSITION: Continued to October 21, 2026, at 11:00 a.m.

ORDER: The court will prepare the order.

On September 9, 2026, Defendant Educational Credit Management Corporation submitted a Status Conference Statement advising that the parties jointly request a continuance to allow the Department of Education additional time to make a determination of Plaintiff Tom Wayne Evans' supplemented application Doc. #66. This request is well-taken. Accordingly, this Status Conference is CONTINUED to October 21, 2026, at 11:00 a.m.

4. [26-12661](#)-B-7 **IN RE: ALICIA INGRAM**
[26-1035](#) [CAE-1](#)

STATUS CONFERENCE RE: AMENDED COMPLAINT
6-11-2026 [[7](#)]

INGRAM V. UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION ET AL
REISSUED SUMMONS 10/21/26

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing in this matter.

DISPOSITION: Concluded and dropped from the calendar.

ORDER: The court will prepare the order.

On August 24, 2026, a reissued summons was issued in this case setting October 21, 2026, at 11:00 a.m. as the time and date for the Status Conference in this case. Doc. #52. Accordingly, this Status Conference, which was set by the reissued summons dated July 21, 2026, is CONCLUDED and will be DROPPED from the calendar.

5. [26-10966](#)-B-7 **IN RE: CHRISTIAN/ANGIE ARTEAGA**
[26-1036](#) [CAE-1](#)

STATUS CONFERENCE RE: AMENDED COMPLAINT
7-27-2026 [\[14\]](#)

NORTH COAST STATES REGIONAL COUNCIL OF CARPENTERS V. ARTEAGA
JUDY KANG/ATTY. FOR PL.

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing in this matter.

DISPOSITION: Continued to October 21, 2026, at 11:00 a.m.

ORDER: The court will prepare the order.

This Status Conference is hereby CONTINUED to October 21, 2026, at 11:00 a.m. to be heard in conjunction with the Defendants' Rule 12 Motion to Dismiss the Amended Complaint (Doc. #22), which is set for that date.