# UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Honorable Fredrick E. Clement Sacramento Federal Courthouse 501 I Street, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor Courtroom 28, Department A Sacramento, California

DAY: TUESDAY

DATE: SEPTEMBER 15, 2020

CALENDAR: 1:30 P.M. ADVERSARY PROCEEDINGS

#### RULINGS

Each matter on this calendar will have one of three possible designations: No Ruling, Tentative Ruling, or Final Ruling.

"No Ruling" means the likely disposition of the matter will not be disclosed in advance of the hearing. The matter will be called; parties wishing to be heard should rise and be heard.

"Tentative Ruling" means the likely disposition, and the reasons therefor, are set forth herein. The matter will be called. Aggrieved parties or parties for whom written opposition was not required should rise and be heard. Parties favored by the tentative ruling need not appear. Non-appearing parties are advised that the court may adopt a ruling other than that set forth herein without further hearing or notice.

"Final Ruling" means that the matter will be resolved in the manner, and for the reasons, indicated below. The matter will not be called; parties and/or counsel need not appear and will not be heard on the matter.

### CHANGES TO PREVIOUSLY PUBLISHED RULINGS

On occasion, the court will change its intended ruling on some of the matters to be called and will republish its rulings. The parties and counsel are advised to recheck the posted rulings after 3:00 p.m. on the next business day prior to the hearing. Any such changed ruling will be preceded by the following bold face text: "[Since posting its original rulings, the court has changed its intended ruling on this matter]".

### ERRORS IN RULINGS

Clerical errors of an insignificant nature, e.g. nomenclature ("2017 Honda Accord," rather than "2016 Honda Accord"), amounts, ("\$880," not "\$808"), may be corrected in (1) tentative rulings by appearance at the hearing; or (2) final rulings by appropriate ex parte application. Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(a) incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9024. All other errors, including those occasioned by mistake, inadvertence, surprise or excusable neglect, must be corrected by noticed motion. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 60(b), incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9023.

### 1. $\frac{19-25465}{20-2104}$ -A-7 IN RE: AJAY DHAMI

CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE RE: COMPLAINT 5-25-2020 [1]

HOPPER V. DHAMI NICHOLAS KOHLMEYER/ATTY. FOR PL. DEFAULT JUDGMENT FILED 8/18/20, ECF 20

### Final Ruling

Judgment having been entered the status conference is concluded.

# 2. $\frac{15-29890}{18-2180}$ -A-7 IN RE: GRAIL SEMICONDUCTOR

PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE RE: COMPLAINT 11-1-2018 [1]

SEDGWICK FUNDINGCO, LLC V. NEWDELMAN ET AL BETH GASCHEN/ATTY. FOR PL.

### Final Ruling

The pretrial conference is removed from calendar. After the court has ruled on the cross-motions for summary judgment and by separate order, the court will reconvene the pretrial conference. Except as otherwise expressly provided, the terms of the Scheduling Order, February 27, 2019, ECF No. 40, as modified by Order, December 24, 2019, ECF No. 98, remain in full force and effect and the parties are reminded (A) to submit the status report described in the Scheduling Order, and (B) to augment that report to address the manner, if at all, that order granting and/or denying the summary judgments impacts the trial of this adversary proceeding. The court will issue a civil minute order.

## 3. $\frac{15-29890}{18-2180}$ -A-7 IN RE: GRAIL SEMICONDUCTOR

CONTINUED MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT 7-7-2020 [142]

SEDGWICK FUNDINGCO, LLC V. NEWDELMAN ET AL BETH GASCHEN/ATTY. FOR MV. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

### Final Ruling

The hearing on plaintiff's motion for summary judgment is removed from calendar to allow the court additional time to consider the voluminous record and briefs submitted in support of the motion. After the court has done so, and by separate order, the court will schedule oral argument. The record, evidentiary and briefing, is closed and no further filings with respect to the summary judgment are authorized. The court will issue a civil minute order.

# 4. $\frac{15-29890}{18-2180}$ -A-7 IN RE: GRAIL SEMICONDUCTOR

CONTINUED MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT 7-7-2020 [161]

SEDGWICK FUNDINGCO, LLC V.
NEWDELMAN ET AL
ALLAN NEWDELMAN/ATTY. FOR MV.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

### Final Ruling

The hearing on defendants' motion for summary judgment is removed from calendar to allow the court additional time to consider the voluminous record and briefs submitted in support of the motion. After the court has done so, and by separate order, the court will schedule oral argument. The record, evidentiary and briefing, is closed and no further filings with respect to the summary judgment are authorized. The court will issue a civil minute order.