



UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
Eastern District of California

Honorable Christopher D. Jaime
Chief Bankruptcy Judge
Department B, Courtroom 32
501 I Street, 6th Floor
Sacramento, California

September 1, 2026 at 1:00 p.m.

Unless otherwise ordered, all matters before the Honorable Chief **Christopher Jaime** shall be simultaneously: (1) **In Person** at, **Sacramento Courtroom No. 32, 6th Floor** (2) via **ZoomGov Video**, (3) via **ZoomGov Telephone**, and (4) via **CourtCall**.

You may choose any of these options unless otherwise ordered or stated below.

All parties who wish to appear at a hearing remotely **must sign up by 4:00 p.m. one business day** prior to the hearing. Information regarding how to sign up can be found on the **Remote Appearances** page of our website at <https://www.caeb.uscourts.gov/Calendar/RemoteAppearances>. Each party who has signed up will receive a Zoom link or phone number, meeting I.D., and password via e-mail.

If the deadline to sign up has passed, parties who wish to appear remotely must contact the Courtroom Deputy for the Department holding the hearing.

Please also note the following:

- Parties in interest may connect to the video or audio feed free of charge and should select which method they will use to appear when signing up.
- Members of the public and the press appearing by ZoomGov may only listen in to the hearing using the zoom telephone number. Video appearances are not permitted.
- Members of the public and the press may not listen in to trials or evidentiary hearings, though they may appear in person in most instances.

To appear remotely for law and motion or status conference proceedings, you must comply with the following guidelines and procedures:

1. Review the [Pre-Hearing Dispositions](#) prior to appearing at the hearing.
2. Parties appearing via CourtCall are encouraged to review the [CourtCall Appearance Information](#).

If you are appearing by ZoomGov phone or video, please join at least 10 minutes prior to the start of the calendar and wait with your microphone muted until the matter is called.

Unauthorized Recording is Prohibited: Any recording of a court proceeding held by video or teleconference, including "screen shots" or other audio or visual copying of a hearing is prohibited. Violation may result in sanctions, including removal of court-issued medicaid credentials, denial of entry to future hearings, or any other sanctions deemed necessary by the court. For more information on photographing, recording, or broadcasting Judicial Proceedings, please refer to Local Rule 173(a) of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California.

PRE-HEARING DISPOSITIONS INSTRUCTIONS:

Each matter on this calendar will have one of three possible designations: No Ruling, Tentative Ruling, or Final Ruling. These instructions apply to those designations.

No Ruling: All parties will need to appear at the hearing unless otherwise ordered.

Tentative Ruling: If a matter has been designated as a tentative ruling it will be called. The court may continue the hearing on the matter, set a briefing schedule, or enter other orders appropriate for efficient and proper resolution of the matter. The original moving or objecting party shall give notice of the continued hearing date and the deadlines. The minutes of the hearing will be the court's findings and conclusions.

Final Ruling: Unless otherwise ordered, there will be no hearing on these matters and no appearance is necessary. The final disposition of the matter is set forth in the ruling and it will appear in the minutes. The final ruling may or may not finally adjudicate the matter. If it is finally adjudicated, the minutes constitute the court's findings and conclusions.

Orders: Unless the court specifies in the tentative or final ruling that it will issue an order, the prevailing party shall lodge an order within seven (7) days of the final hearing on the matter.

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

Eastern District of California

Honorable Christopher D. Jaime

Chief Bankruptcy Judge

Sacramento, California

September 8, 2026 at 1:00 p.m.

-
1. [26-20909](#)-B-13 CLARENCE/MARYCRIS RAMOS MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN
[JCK](#)-2 Gregory J. Smith 7-23-26 [[54](#)]

Final Ruling

The Chapter 13 Trustee having filed a notice of withdrawal of its objection, the objection is dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) (dismissal at the plaintiff's request only by court order, on terms that the court considers proper) and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041. The matter is removed from the calendar.

There being no other objection to confirmation, the plan filed July 23, 2026, will be confirmed.

The motion is ORDERED GRANTED for reasons stated in the minutes. An appropriate order confirming the Chapter 13 plan shall be prepared consistent with the current practice of the Chapter 13 Trustee assigned to the case and the proposed order shall be submitted to the court.

The court will issue an order.

September 8, 2026 at 1:00 p.m.

2. [26-23719](#)-B-13 TERRY FASY OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF
 [KMM](#)-1 Pro Se PLAN BY WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.
 Thru #3 7-31-26 [[17](#)]

CONTINUED TO 9/29/26 AT 1:00 P.M. TO BE HEARD IN CONJUNCTION WITH MOTION TO DISMISS CASE.

Final Ruling

No appearance at the September 8, 2026, hearing is required. The court will issue an order.

3. [26-23719](#)-B-13 TERRY FASY OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF
 [LGT](#)-1 Pro Se PLAN BY LILIAN G. TSANG
 8-14-26 [[23](#)]

CONTINUED TO 9/29/26 AT 1:00 P.M. TO BE HEARD IN CONJUNCTION WITH MOTION TO DISMISS CASE.

Final Ruling

No appearance at the September 8, 2026, hearing is required. The court will issue an order.

4. [26-23121](#)-B-13 ANGELO/ALEECIA
[PSB](#)-1 MCCHRISTIAN
Pauldeep Bains

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN
7-20-26 [[16](#)]

Final Ruling

The motion has been set for hearing on the 35-days' notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1(d)(1), 9014-1(f)(1), and Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). No opposition was filed. The matter will be resolved without oral argument. No appearance at the hearing is required.

The court's decision is to confirm the amended plan.

11 U.S.C. § 1323 permits a debtor to amend a plan any time before confirmation. The Debtors have provided evidence in support of confirmation. No opposition to the motion has been filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee or creditors. The amended plan complies with 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322 and 1325(a) and is confirmed.

The motion is ORDERED GRANTED for reasons stated in the minutes. An appropriate order confirming the Chapter 13 plan shall be prepared consistent with the current practice of the Chapter 13 Trustee assigned to the case and the proposed order shall be submitted to the court.

The court will issue an order.

5. [26-22525](#)-B-13 SERGE/JEANETTE KARALLI MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN
 [PGM](#)-1 Peter G. Macaluso 8-4-26 [[28](#)]

Final Ruling

The motion been set for hearing on the 35-days notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rules 3015-1(d)(1), 9014-1(f)(1), and Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b). The court determines that the resolution of this matter does not require oral argument. See Local Bankr. R. 9014-1(h).

The court's decision is to deny the motion to confirm as moot and overrule the objection as moot.

A stipulation was filed on August 11, 2026, between Debtors and creditor Golden 1 Credit Union that resolves the valuation and interest rate of two vehicles of which creditor has a security interest. Debtors stated in that stipulation that they will file, set, and serve an amended plan. This therefore moots the motion to confirm plan filed August 4, 2026.

The motion is ORDERED DENIED AS MOOT and the objection ORDERED OVERRULED AS MOOT for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

Final Ruling

The motion has been set for hearing on the 35-days notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rules 3015-1(d)(1), 9014-1(f)(1), and Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Opposition was filed.

The court has determined that oral argument will not assist in the decision-making process or resolution of the motion. See Local Bankr. R. 9014-1(h), 1001-1(f). This matter will therefore be decided on the papers.

The court's decision is to not confirm the first amended plan.

First, the proposed chapter 13 plan is not feasible to provide for the full amount of priority claims as filed with the court. The Internal Revenue Service filed amended Claim No. 11-2 asserting \$43,737.48 in priority tax debt and the California Franchise Tax Board filed Claim No. 5-1 asserting \$15,164.37 in priority tax debt. However, Debtor's proposed plan provides for a total of only \$831 toward priority claims.

Second, the plan provides for payments to creditors for a period longer than 5 years. 11 U.S.C. § 1322(d). Due to the large priority tax claims that are not provided for, the current plan payment proposal causes the plan to take 74.24 months to fund. Calculations indicate that Debtor's average plan payments will need to be at least \$10,255.00 per month, on average, for the plan term of 60 months to be feasible.

Third, feasibility depends on the outcome of a motion to value collateral of Expansion capital Group. That motion is scheduled to be heard on October 6, 2026.

Fourth, Section 7.03 of the proposed plan appears to misidentify pre- and post-petition arrears owed to creditors Select Portfolio and Carrington Mortgage Services.

The amended plan does not comply with 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1323, and 1325(a) and is not confirmed.

The motion is ORDERED DENIED for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

Tentative Ruling

The motion has been set for hearing on 28-days notice. Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. Cf. *Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). No opposition was filed.

The court's decision is to grant the motion to extend automatic stay.

Debtor seeks to have the automatic stay extended beyond 30 days pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 362(c)(3). This is the Debtor's second bankruptcy petition pending in the past 12 months. The Debtor's prior bankruptcy case was dismissed on July 27, 2026, for failure to timely file documents (case no. 26-23911). Therefore, pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 362(c)(3)(A), the provisions of the automatic stay end in their entirety 30 days after filing of the petition. See e.g., *Reswick v. Reswick (In re Reswick)*, 446 B.R. 362 (9th Cir. BAP 2011) (stay terminates in its entirety); accord *Smith v. State of Maine Bureau of Revenue Services (In re Smith)*, 910 F.3d 576 (1st Cir. 2018). This motion was set for hearing within 30 days of the filing of the instant case. 11 U.S.C. § 362(c)(3)(B).

Discussion

Upon motion of a party in interest and after notice and hearing, the court may order the provisions extended beyond 30 days if the filing of the subsequent petition was in good faith. 11 U.S.C. § 362(c)(3)(B). The subsequently filed case is presumed to be filed in bad faith if there has not been a substantial change in the financial or personal affairs of the debtor since the dismissal of the next most previous case under chapter 7, 11, or 13. *Id.* at § 362(c)(3)(C)(i)(III). The presumption of bad faith may be rebutted by clear and convincing evidence. *Id.* at § 362(c)(3)(C).

In determining if good faith exists, the court considers the totality of the circumstances. *In re Elliot-Cook*, 357 B.R. 811, 814 (Bankr. N.D. Cal. 2006); see also Laura B. Bartell, *Staying the Serial Filer - Interpreting the New Exploding Stay Provisions of § 362(c)(3) of the Bankruptcy Code*, 82 Am. Bankr. L.J. 201, 209-210 (2008).

Debtor states that the circumstances surrounding his prior bankruptcy case are materially different from those existing in the present case. Debtor's prior case was filed pro se and Debtor did not have proper legal advice in the prior case. In contrast, Debtor has now retained bankruptcy counsel to represent him in the present Chapter 13 case. Debtor has consulted with counsel regarding his obligations, including his required plan payments and the information that must be filed with the court and provided to the Chapter 13 Trustee. Debtor understands his responsibilities in the present case and is committed to making his required plan payments and timely provide all required documents.

The Debtor has sufficiently rebutted, by clear and convincing evidence, the presumption of bad faith under the facts of this case and the prior case for the court to extend the automatic stay.

The motion is granted and the automatic stay is extended for all purposes and parties, unless terminated by operation of law or further order of this court.

The motion is ORDERED GRANTED for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

TD BANK, N.A. VS.

Final Ruling

The motion has been set for hearing on 28-days notice. Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). No opposition was filed. The matter will be resolved without oral argument. No appearance at the hearing is required.

The court's decision is to grant the motion for relief from automatic stay.

TD Bank, N.A. ("Movant") seeks relief from the automatic stay with respect to an asset identified as a 2018 Tesla Model S (the "Vehicle"). The moving party has provided the Declaration of David Tagliaferri to introduce into evidence the documents upon which it bases the claim and the obligation owed by the Debtor.

The Tagliaferri Declaration states that there are 9.883 payments that are past due, totaling \$9,738.96.

From the evidence provided to the court, and only for purposes of this motion, the debt secured by this asset is determined to be \$36,796.07, as stated in the Tagliaferri Declaration, while the value of the Vehicle is determined to be \$36,796.07, as stated in Schedules A/B and D filed by Debtor.

Discussion

The court maintains the right to grant relief from stay for cause when a debtor has not been diligent in carrying out his or her duties in the bankruptcy case, has not made required payments, or is using bankruptcy as a means to delay payment or foreclosure. *In re Harlan*, 783 F.2d 839 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1986); *In re Ellis*, 60 B.R. 432 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1985). The court determines that cause exists for terminating the automatic stay since the Debtor and the estate have not made post-petition payments. 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(1); *In re Ellis*, 60 B.R. 432 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1985).

Additionally, once a movant under 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(2) establishes that a debtor or estate has no equity, it is the burden of the debtor or trustee to establish that the collateral at issue is necessary to an effective reorganization. *United Savings Ass'n of Texas v. Timbers of Inwood Forest Associates, Ltd.*, 484 U.S. 365, 375-76 (1988); 11 U.S.C. § 362(g)(2). Based upon the evidence submitted, the court determines that there is no equity in the Vehicle for either the Debtor or the Estate. 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(2). And no opposition or showing having been made by the Debtor/s or the Trustee, the court determines that the Vehicle is not necessary for any effective reorganization in this Chapter 13 case.

The court shall issue an order terminating and vacating the automatic stay to allow creditor, its agents, representatives and successors, and all other creditors having lien rights against the Vehicle, to repossess, dispose of, or sell the asset pursuant to applicable nonbankruptcy law and their contractual rights, and for any purchaser, or successor to a purchaser, to obtain possession of the asset.

There also being no objections from any party, the 14-day stay of enforcement under Rule 4001(a)(3) is waived.

No other or additional relief is granted by the court.

The motion is ORDERED GRANTED for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

9. [26-23846](#)-B-13 DAMON CARR OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF
 [LGT](#)-1 Ryan Keenan PLAN BY LILIAN G. TSANG
 8-13-26 [[15](#)]

CONTINUED TO 9/22/26 AT 1:00 P.M. TO BE HEARD AFTER THE CONTINUED MEETING OF CREDITORS
SET FOR 9/10/26.

Final Ruling

No appearance at the September 8, 2026, hearing is required. The court will issue an
order.

10. [26-23649](#)-B-13 CHRISTINA MORONES OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF
[JCW](#)-1 Pro Se PLAN BY U.S. BANK TRUST
Thru #12 COMPANY, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
8-12-26 [[28](#)]

CONTINUED TO 9/22/26 AT 1:00 P.M. TO BE HEARD AFTER THE CONTINUED MEETING OF CREDITORS SET FOR 9/10/26.

Final Ruling

No appearance at the September 8, 2026, hearing is required. The court will issue an order.

11. [26-23649](#)-B-13 CHRISTINA MORONES OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF
[LGT](#)-1 Pro Se PLAN BY LILIAN G. TSANG
8-14-26 [[32](#)]

CONTINUED TO 9/22/26 AT 1:00 P.M. TO BE HEARD AFTER THE CONTINUED MEETING OF CREDITORS SET FOR 9/10/26.

Final Ruling

No appearance at the September 8, 2026, hearing is required. The court will issue an order.

12. [26-23649](#)-B-13 CHRISTINA MORONES OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF
[NLG](#)-1 Pro Se PLAN BY NEWREZ LLC
8-19-26 [[35](#)]

CONTINUED TO 9/22/26 AT 1:00 P.M. TO BE HEARD AFTER THE CONTINUED MEETING OF CREDITORS SET FOR 9/10/26.

Final Ruling

No appearance at the September 8, 2026, hearing is required. The court will issue an order.

13. [26-24056](#)-B-13 JEFFERY SMITH
[JCK](#)-1 Kathleen H. Crist

MOTION TO VALUE COLLATERAL OF
BRIDGECREST ACCEPTANCE CORP
7-20-26 [[9](#)]

Final Ruling

The motion has been set for hearing on 28-days notice. Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Opposition was filed.

The court has determined that oral argument will not assist in the decision-making process or resolution of the motion. See Local Bankr. R. 9014-1(h), 1001-1(f). This matter will therefore be decided on the papers.

The court's decision is to value the secured claim of Bridgecrest Credit Company, LLC as Servicer for Carvana, LLC, at \$11,090.

Debtor moves to value the secured claim of Bridgecrest Credit Company, LLC as Servicer for Carvana, LLC ("Creditor"). Debtor is the owner of a 2018 Tesla Model 3 Sedan ("Vehicle"). Debtor originally sought to value the Vehicle at a replacement value of \$9,040 as of the petition filing date. Creditor filed an opposition stating that it filed Claim No. 2 that reflected a valuation of \$11,090 and that no objection was made to Claim No. 2. Thereafter, Debtor filed a response that he accepts the valuation of the Vehicle at \$11,090 at 7.75% interest.

Given the aforementioned, the valuation motion pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 3012 and 11 U.S.C. § 506(a) is granted pursuant to amount agreed upon by Debtor and Creditor.

The motion is ORDERED GRANTED for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

14. [26-21557](#)-B-13 WILLIE LOGGINS
[JCK](#)-2 Gregory J. Smith

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN
7-8-26 [[28](#)]

Final Ruling

The motion has been set for hearing on the 35-days' notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1(d)(1), 9014-1(f)(1), and Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). No opposition was filed. The matter will be resolved without oral argument. No appearance at the hearing is required.

The court's decision is to confirm the amended plan.

11 U.S.C. § 1323 permits a debtor to amend a plan any time before confirmation. The Debtor has provided evidence in support of confirmation. No opposition to the motion has been filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee or creditors. The amended plan complies with 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322 and 1325(a) and is confirmed.

The motion is ORDERED GRANTED for reasons stated in the minutes. An appropriate order confirming the Chapter 13 plan shall be prepared consistent with the current practice of the Chapter 13 Trustee assigned to the case and the proposed order shall be submitted to the court.

The court will issue an order.

15. [22-20260](#)-B-13 MELITA BELL
[JCK](#)-3 Gregory J. Smith

MOTION TO MODIFY PLAN
7-16-26 [[70](#)]

Final Ruling

The motion has been set for hearing on the 35-days' notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rules 3015-1(d)(2), 9014-1(f)(1), and Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 3015(g). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Opposition and a response were filed.

The court has determined that oral argument will not assist in the decision-making process or resolution of the motion. See Local Bankr. R. 9014-1(h), 1001-1(f). This matter will therefore be decided on the papers.

The Chapter 13 Trustee and Debtor disagree as to the monthly plan payment needed to complete the plan and meet liquidation requirements. It appears the discrepancy may be due to a decrease in mortgage payment and that this decrease impacts monthly plan payments.

Given the above, the Chapter 13 Trustee and Debtor shall file a joint supplemental statement by 5:00 p.m. Friday, September 11, 2026, as to the appropriate monthly plan payment required to complete the plan in seven months. The motion is continued to September 15, 2026, at 1:00 p.m.

The court will issue an order.

16. [26-23170](#)-B-13 JAHARI HICKS
[GC-1](#) Julius J. Cherry

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN
7-19-26 [[17](#)]

Final Ruling

The motion has been set for hearing on the 35-days' notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1(d)(1), 9014-1(f)(1), and Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). No opposition was filed. The matter will be resolved without oral argument. No appearance at the hearing is required.

The court's decision is to confirm the amended plan.

11 U.S.C. § 1323 permits a debtor to amend a plan any time before confirmation. The Debtor has provided evidence in support of confirmation. No opposition to the motion has been filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee or creditors. The amended plan complies with 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322 and 1325(a) and is confirmed.

The motion is ORDERED GRANTED for reasons stated in the minutes. An appropriate order confirming the Chapter 13 plan shall be prepared consistent with the current practice of the Chapter 13 Trustee assigned to the case and the proposed order shall be submitted to the court.

The court will issue an order.

17. [26-23876](#)-B-13 TRIMAIN ANDERSON
[LGT](#)-1 Mohammad M. Mokarram

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF
PLAN BY LILLIAN G. TSANG
8-13-26 [[16](#)]

WITHDRAWN BY M.P.

Final Ruling

The Chapter 13 Trustee having filed a notice of withdrawal of its objection, the objection is dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041. The matter is removed from the calendar.

There being no other objection to confirmation, the plan filed July 7, 2026, will be confirmed.

The objection is ORDERED DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE for reasons stated in the minutes.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the plan is CONFIRMED for reasons stated in the minutes. An appropriate order confirming the Chapter 13 plan shall be prepared consistent with the current practice of the Chapter 13 Trustee assigned to the case and the proposed order shall be submitted to the court.

The court will issue an order.

Final Ruling

The motion has been set for hearing on the 35-days' notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rules 3015-1(d)(2), 9014-1(f)(1), and Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 3015(g). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Opposition was filed.

The court has determined that oral argument will not assist in the decision-making process or resolution of the motion. See Local Bankr. R. 9014-1(h), 1001-1(f). This matter will therefore be decided on the papers.

The court's decision is to not permit the requested modification and not confirm the modified plan.

The Chapter 13 Trustee and Debtor dispute the monthly amount that should be paid over the life of the 60-month plan term. At a minimum, the plan is not confirmable because Debtor is delinquent \$3,915.00 through month 17. An additional payment of \$4,265 was due August 25, 2026. 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(6).

The modified plan does not comply with 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322 and 1325(a) and is not confirmed.

The motion is ORDERED DENIED for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

19. [26-23790](#)-B-13 ALEXANDER LIOTTA
[LGT](#)-1 James A. Shepherd

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF
PLAN BY LILIAN G. TSANG
8-17-26 [[21](#)]

Final Ruling

The Chapter 13 Trustee having filed a notice of withdrawal of its objection, the objection is dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(i) and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041. The matter is removed from the calendar.

There being no other objection to confirmation, the plan filed June 30, 2026, will be confirmed.

The objection is ORDERED DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE for reasons stated in the minutes.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the plan is CONFIRMED for reasons stated in the minutes. An appropriate order confirming the Chapter 13 plan shall be prepared consistent with the current practice of the Chapter 13 Trustee assigned to the case and the proposed order shall be submitted to the court.

The court will issue an order.

Final Ruling

The motion has been set for hearing on the 35-days' notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rules 3015-1(d)(2), 9014-1(f)(1), and Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 3015(g). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Opposition and a response were filed.

The court has determined that oral argument will not assist in the decision-making process or resolution of the motion. See Local Bankr. R. 9014-1(h), 1001-1(f). This matter will therefore be decided on the papers.

The court's decision is to permit the requested modification and confirm the modified plan.

The issues as to plan delinquency and required declarations regarding third party contributions have been resolved. The Chapter 13 Trustee states that the final issue regarding monthly attorney fee dividend can be resolved in an order confirming that strikes the following language: "\$150.00 unless any order approving fees designates a different amount."

With the aforementioned language in the order confirming, the modified plan will comply with 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322 and 1325(a) and is confirmed.

The motion is ORDERED GRANTED for reasons stated in the minutes. An appropriate order confirming the Chapter 13 plan shall be prepared consistent with the current practice of the Chapter 13 Trustee assigned to the case and the proposed order shall be submitted to the court.

The court will issue an order.

21. [26-21244](#)-B-13 JUSTIN FORD
[NAR](#)-1 Natali A. Ron

CONTINUED MOTION TO SET ASIDE
DISMISSAL OF CASE
8-7-26 [[82](#)]

DEBTOR DISMISSED: 07/29/26

Final Ruling

This matter was continued from September 1, 2026, to allow any party in interest to file an opposition or response by 5:00 p.m. Friday, September 4, 2026. Nothing was filed. Therefore, the court's conditional ruling at dkt. 90, granting the motion to motion to set aside dismissal, shall become the court's final decision. The continued hearing on September 8, 2026, at 1:00 p.m. is vacated.

The motion is ORDERED GRANTED for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

22. [26-23350](#)-B-13 LEE VANG
[LGT](#)-1 Matthew J. DeCaminada

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO
CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY LILIAN
G. TSANG
8-3-26 [[13](#)]

Final Ruling

This matter was continued from September 1, 2026, to allow any party in interest to file a response by 5:00 p.m. Friday, September 4, 2026. Nothing was filed. Therefore, the court's conditional ruling at dkt. 17, sustaining the objection, shall become the court's final decision. The continued hearing on September 8, 2026, at 1:00 p.m. is vacated.

The objection is ORDERED SUSTAINED for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.