

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
Eastern District of California**

Honorable Christopher D. Jaime
Robert T. Matsui U.S. Courthouse
501 I Street, Sixth Floor
Sacramento, California

PRE-HEARING DISPOSITIONS COVER SHEET

DAY: TUESDAY

DATE: August 26, 2025

CALENDAR: 1:00 P.M. CHAPTER 13

Each matter on this calendar will have one of three possible designations: No Ruling, Tentative Ruling, or Final Ruling. These instructions apply to those designations.

No Ruling: All parties will need to appear at the hearing unless otherwise ordered.

Tentative Ruling: If a matter has been designated as a tentative ruling it will be called. The court may continue the hearing on the matter, set a briefing schedule, or enter other orders appropriate for efficient and proper resolution of the matter. The original moving or objecting party shall give notice of the continued hearing date and the deadlines. The minutes of the hearing will be the court's findings and conclusions.

Final Ruling: Unless otherwise ordered, there will be no hearing on these matters and no appearance is necessary. The final disposition of the matter is set forth in the ruling and it will appear in the minutes. The final ruling may or may not finally adjudicate the matter. If it is finally adjudicated, the minutes constitute the court's findings and conclusions.

Orders: Unless the court specifies in the tentative or final ruling that it will issue an order, the prevailing party shall lodge an order within seven (7) days of the final hearing on the matter.

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

Eastern District of California

Honorable Christopher D. Jaime
Bankruptcy Judge
Sacramento, California

August 26, 2025 at 1:00 p.m.

1. [24-20702](#)-B-13 CRAIG GILMORE MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
[LGT](#)-4 G. Michael Williams 7-23-25 [[155](#)]

Final Ruling

The motion has been set for hearing on 28-days notice. Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Opposition was filed.

The court has determined that oral argument will not assist in the decision-making process or resolution of the motion. See Local Bankr. R. 9014-1(h), 1001-1(f). This matter will therefore be decided on the papers.

The court's decision is to conditionally deny the motion to dismiss case provided that Debtor file and serve an amended plan by September 9, 2025, and set the confirmation hearing for October 14, 2025. If an amended plan is not filed by the required date, or is not confirmable on the latter date, the court will grant the Trustee's ex parte motion to dismiss case.

The Chapter 13 Trustee moves to dismiss case on grounds that Debtor has failed to confirm any plan for approximately 1.5 years. The court denied confirmation of plans on November 19, 2024, March 4, 2025, and August 19, 2025. Debtor's failure to confirm a plan is an unreasonable delay this is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Debtor filed a response stating that his failure to confirm a plan was the result of initially filing this bankruptcy case pro se, attorney G. Michael Williams who later represented him was involved in an accident and there was lack of communication with the Trustee's office, and a substitution of Brian Haddix, Haddix Law Firm, has not been ordered granted.¹ Furthermore, Debtor states and has filed exhibits that he was involved in a car accident on August 4, 2025, and has been receiving medical treatment twice a week that is scheduled to end mid-September.

The court finds that the failure to confirm a plan for 1.5 years is an unreasonable

¹It does not appear that Mr. Haddix is the attorney of record in this chapter 13 case. A signed Substitution of Attorney replacing Mr. Haddix in place of G. Michael Williams was filed on April 4, 2025. Dkt. 113. An unsigned version of the same document was also filed on May 20, 2025. Dkt. 131. No order approving the substitution has been submitted.

If Mr. Haddix is the attorney of record in this case (1) an order approving the substitution shall be submitted by **September 2, 2025**; and (2) upon entry of the order only Mr. Haddix as the attorney of record, and not the Debtor, shall file documents in the case. If no timely order is submitted, Mr. Haddix will not be recognized as the attorney of record in which case only the Debtor, and not Mr. Haddix, may file documents in the case.

August 26, 2025 at 1:00 p.m.

delay ths is prejudicial to creditor pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1). Rather than grant the motion to dismiss case at this time, Debtor will be given another opportunity to file, serve, and set for hearing an amended plan. Debtor shall file and serve an amended plan by September 9, 2025, and set the confirmation hearing for October 14, 2025. If an amended plan is not filed by the required date, or is thereafter not confirmable on the latter date, the court will grant the Trustee's ex parte motion to dismiss case.

The motion is ORDERED CONDITIONALLY DENIED for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

2. [20-21703](#)-B-13 HAROLD GRIGSBY
[LGT](#)-1 Gregory J. Smith

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
6-25-25 [[51](#)]

Final Ruling

The motion has been set for hearing on 28-days notice. Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Opposition was filed.

The court has determined that oral argument will not assist in the decision-making process or resolution of the motion. See Local Bankr. R. 9014-1(h), 1001-1(f). This matter will therefore be decided on the papers.

The court's decision is to grant the motion to dismiss case.

The Chapter 13 Trustee moves to dismiss case on grounds that Debtor is delinquent \$1,650.17. 11 U.S.C. §§ 1307(c)(1), (c)(6).

Debtor filed a response stating that he will be current on or before August 15, 2025. The delinquency has not been cured as of August 25, 2025.

Cause exists to dismiss this case. The motion is granted and the case is dismissed.

The motion is ORDERED GRANTED for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

3. [25-22504](#)-B-13 RUBEN/ROSEMARIE ALVAREZ CONTINUED OBJECTION TO
[JCW](#)-1 Mohammad M. Mokarram CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY CAPITAL
Thru #4 ONE AUTO FINANCE, A DIVISION OF
CAPITAL ONE, N.A.
7-15-25 [[22](#)]

CONTINUED TO 9/23/25 AT 1:00 P.M. TO BE HEARD AFTER THE CONTINUED MEETING OF CREDITORS SET FOR 9/11/25.

Final Ruling

No appearance at the August 26, 2025, hearing is required. The court will issue an order.

4. [25-22504](#)-B-13 RUBEN/ROSEMARIE ALVAREZ CONTINUED OBJECTION TO
[LGT](#)-1 Mohammad M. Mokarram CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY LILIAN
G. TSANG
7-3-25 [[16](#)]

CONTINUED TO 9/23/25 AT 1:00 P.M. TO BE HEARD AFTER THE CONTINUED MEETING OF CREDITORS SET FOR 9/11/25.

Final Ruling

No appearance at the August 26, 2025, hearing is required. The court will issue an order.

5. [25-90106](#)-B-13 JOANN SALINAS DIAZ
[LGT](#)-2 T. Mark O'Toole

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
7-28-25 [[51](#)]

Final Ruling

The motion has been set for hearing on 28-days notice. Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Opposition was filed.

The court has determined that oral argument will not assist in the decision-making process or resolution of the motion. See Local Bankr. R. 9014-1(h), 1001-1(f). This matter will therefore be decided on the papers.

The court's decision is to grant the motion to dismiss case.

Debtor is delinquent \$6,070.00. An additional monthly payment of \$3,110.00 was due August 25, 2025. Cause exists to dismiss this case pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 1307(c)(1), (c)(4).

Cause exists to dismiss this case. The motion is granted and the case is dismissed.

The motion is ORDERED GRANTED for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

6. [25-90108](#)-B-13 TODD KOVACS
[LGT](#)-1 Brian S. Haddix

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
7-28-25 [[52](#)]

Final Ruling

The motion has been set for hearing on 28-days notice. Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Opposition was filed.

The court has determined that oral argument will not assist in the decision-making process or resolution of the motion. See Local Bankr. R. 9014-1(h), 1001-1(f). This matter will therefore be decided on the papers.

The court's decision is to grant the motion to dismiss case.

First, Debtor has failed to file and set a modified plan for hearing with notice to creditors. This is an unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Second, Debtor is delinquent \$2,755.00. An additional monthly payment of \$2,755.00 was due August 25, 2025. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(4).

Cause exists to dismiss this case. The motion is granted and the case is dismissed.

The motion is ORDERED GRANTED for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

7. [25-23116](#)-B-13 ALEJANDRO SERRANO
Eric J. Gravel

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE
TO PAY FEES
7-7-25 [[12](#)]

DEBTOR DISMISSED: 07/11/25

Final Ruling

The case having previously been dismissed, the order to show cause is discharged as moot with no sanctions ordered.

The order to show cause is ORDERED DISCHARGED AS MOOT for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

8. [25-90427](#)-B-13 JENNIFER DON ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE
 Thru #10 Pro Se TO PAY FEES
 7-3-25 [[21](#)]

Final Ruling

The court's decision is to sustain the Order to Show Cause and order the case dismissed.

The Order to Show Cause was issued due to Debtor's failure to pay \$79.00 due June 30, 2025. The court's docket reflects that the default has not been cured.

The order to show cause is ORDERED SUSTAINED for reasons stated in the minutes and the case is DISMISSED.

The court will issue an order.

9. [25-90427](#)-B-13 JENNIFER DON ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE
 Pro Se TO PAY FEES
 8-4-25 [[33](#)]

Final Ruling

The case having been dismissed at Item #8, the order to show cause is discharged as moot with no sanctions ordered.

The order to show cause is ORDERED DISCHARGED AS MOOT for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

10. [25-90427](#)-B-13 JENNIFER DON MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
 [LGT](#)-2 Pro Se 7-17-25 [[29](#)]

Final Ruling

The case having been dismissed at Item #8, the motion to dismiss case is denied as moot.

The motion is ORDERED DENIED AS MOOT for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

11. [25-20431](#)-B-13 MITCHELL MILES
[LGT](#)-2 David A. Boone

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
6-24-25 [[37](#)]

Final Ruling

The motion has been set for hearing on 28-days notice. Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Opposition was filed.

The court has determined that oral argument will not assist in the decision-making process or resolution of the motion. See Local Bankr. R. 9014-1(h), 1001-1(f). This matter will therefore be decided on the papers.

The court's decision is to grant the motion to dismiss case.

Debtor failed to file tax returns for the years 2022 and 2023. 11 U.S.C § 1307(e).

Cause exists to dismiss this case. The motion is granted and the case is dismissed.

The motion is ORDERED GRANTED for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

12. [25-23432](#)-B-13 ANNETTE FOX
Pro Se

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE
TO PAY FEES
7-21-25 [[11](#)]

DEBTOR DISMISSED: 07/25/25

Final Ruling

The case having previously been dismissed, the order to show cause is discharged as moot with no sanctions ordered.

The order to show cause is ORDERED DISCHARGED AS MOOT for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

13. [25-20033](#)-B-13 BRANDON JOHNSON
[LGT](#)-3 Rabin Pournazarian

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
7-14-25 [[42](#)]

Final Ruling

The motion has been set for hearing on 28-days notice. Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Opposition was filed.

The court has determined that oral argument will not assist in the decision-making process or resolution of the motion. See Local Bankr. R. 9014-1(h), 1001-1(f). This matter will therefore be decided on the papers.

The court's decision is to grant the motion to dismiss case.

Debtor is delinquent \$2,783.00. Additional monthly payments of \$1,263.00 were due July 25, 2025, and August 25, 2025. Cause exists to dismiss this case pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 1307(c)(1), (c)(4).

Cause exists to dismiss this case. The motion is granted and the case is dismissed.

The motion is ORDERED GRANTED for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

14. [20-21734](#)-B-13 RUDY RAMIREZ
[LGT](#)-1 Yasha Rahimzadeh

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
6-25-25 [[39](#)]

Final Ruling

The motion has been set for hearing on 28-days notice. Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Opposition was filed.

The court has determined that oral argument will not assist in the decision-making process or resolution of the motion. See Local Bankr. R. 9014-1(h), 1001-1(f). This matter will therefore be decided on the papers.

The court's decision is to grant the motion to dismiss case.

Debtor is in material default with respect to a term of a confirmed plan. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(6). As of June 25, 2025, the total claims filed herein require an aggregate payment of \$39,504.31. Debtor has only paid \$33,905.10. Therefore, the remaining claims, plus trustee compensation that need to be paid pursuant to the plan, total \$5,599.21.

Cause exists to dismiss this case. The motion is granted and the case is dismissed.

The motion is ORDERED GRANTED for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

15. [25-22037](#)-B-13 JENNIFER CHENEY
[LGT](#)-2 Mary D. Anderson

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
7-14-25 [[22](#)]

Final Ruling

The motion has been set for hearing on 28-days notice. Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Opposition was filed.

The court has determined that oral argument will not assist in the decision-making process or resolution of the motion. See Local Bankr. R. 9014-1(h), 1001-1(f). This matter will therefore be decided on the papers.

The court's decision is to grant the motion to dismiss case.

Debtor is delinquent \$4,801.32. Additional monthly payments of \$2,400.66 were due July 25, 2025, and August 25, 2025. Cause exists to dismiss this case pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 1307(c)(1), (c)(4).

Cause exists to dismiss this case. The motion is granted and the case is dismissed.

The motion is ORDERED GRANTED for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

16. [24-90749](#)-B-13 JOSEPH LEWIS MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
[LGT](#)-2 David C. Johnston 7-17-25 [[43](#)]

Final Ruling

The case having been dismissed on August 13, 2025, the motion to dismiss case is denied as moot.

The motion is ORDERED DENIED AS MOOT for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

17. [25-90451](#)-B-13 KEVIN JORDAN
[LGT](#)-1 David C. Johnston

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
7-28-25 [[16](#)]

Final Ruling

The motion has been set for hearing on 28-days notice. Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Opposition and a response were filed.

The court has determined that oral argument will not assist in the decision-making process or resolution of the motion. See Local Bankr. R. 9014-1(h), 1001-1(f). This matter will therefore be decided on the papers.

The court's decision is to deny the motion to dismiss case.

The Chapter 13 Trustee moves to dismiss case on grounds that Debtor failed to appear at the meeting of creditors and did not address this failure in his response, no plan has been set for hearing, Debtor's non-filing spouse must provide pay advices for the 60 days prior to filing, and tax returns for 2024 must be provided to the Trustee.

Debtor filed a response stating that an amended plan will be filed, served, and set for hearing and that his 2024 income tax will be provided to the Trustee on September 11, 2025, the date of the continued meeting of creditors.

A review of the court's docket shows that an amended plan was filed on August 21, 2025, with a confirmation hearing date set for October 7, 2025.

Cause does not exist to dismiss this case. The motion is denied without prejudice.

The motion is ORDERED DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

18. [25-90352](#)-B-13 ROGEH YOUSEFBADAL
[LGT](#)-2 David C. Johnston

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
7-14-25 [[22](#)]

Final Ruling

The motion has been set for hearing on 28-days notice. Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. Cf. *Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Opposition and a response were filed.

The court has determined that oral argument will not assist in the decision-making process or resolution of the motion. See Local Bankr. R. 9014-1(h), 1001-1(f). This matter will therefore be decided on the papers.

The court's decision is to deny the motion to dismiss case.

The Chapter 13 Trustee moves to dismiss case on grounds that no plan has been set for hearing, pay advices have not been submitted to the Trustee's office, 2023 and 2024 tax returns for Debtor's corporation have not been provided, and no Rights and Responsibilities form has been filed with the court.

Debtor filed a response stating that an amended plan will be filed, served, and set for hearing and that any additional documents will be provided to the Trustee's office.

A review of the court's docket shows that an amended plan was filed on August 21, 2025, with a confirmation hearing date set for October 7, 2025. Also the Rights and Responsibilities form was filed on August 19, 2025.

Cause does not exist to dismiss this case. The motion is denied without prejudice.

The motion is ORDERED DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

Final Ruling

The motion has been set for hearing on 28-days notice. Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Opposition and a response were filed.

The court has determined that oral argument will not assist in the decision-making process or resolution of the motion. See Local Bankr. R. 9014-1(h), 1001-1(f). This matter will therefore be decided on the papers.

The court's decision is to grant the motion to dismiss case.

The Chapter 13 Trustee moves to dismiss case on grounds that Debtor failed to appear and testify at the meeting of creditors on July 16, 2025, which has been continued to September 11, 2025, failed to amend Form 122C-1 to accurately reflect that Debtor does not receive wages, failed to file taxes for 2019-2024 according to the Department of Treasury's Claim No. 4-1, failed to provide various documents required at the initial meeting of creditors, and is delinquent \$500.00 with an additional plan payment of \$2,500.00 that was due August 25, 2025.

Debtor filed a response stating that an amended plan will be filed, served, and set for hearing, acknowledging the continued meeting of creditors set for September 11, 2025, and that he will cure the delinquency immediately.

A review of the court's docket shows that an amended plan was not filed. This is an unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Cause exists to dismiss this case. The motion is granted.

The motion is ORDERED GRANTED for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

20. [25-21059](#)-B-13 JONATHAN GOBERT AND LUIS MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
[LGT](#)-2 OTERO 7-28-25 [[49](#)]
Robert L. Goldstein

Final Ruling

The motion has been set for hearing on 28-days notice. Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Opposition was filed.

The court has determined that oral argument will not assist in the decision-making process or resolution of the motion. See Local Bankr. R. 9014-1(h), 1001-1(f). This matter will therefore be decided on the papers.

The court's decision is to deny without prejudice the motion to dismiss case.

The Chapter 13 Trustee moves to dismiss case on grounds that Debtors are delinquent \$2,309.20 and that an additional monthly plan payment in the same amount was due August 25, 2025. Debtors also failed to file tax returns for 2024.

Debtors filed a response stating they have cured the delinquency in plan payments and that they are expecting to complete and file their 2024 tax returns by September 15, 2025. Debtors state that they had requested an extension of time to file their federal 2024 tax returns, which are not actually due until October 15, 2025.

Cause does not exist to dismiss this case. The motion is denied.

The motion is ORDERED DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

21. [25-22962](#)-B-13 JAWANA EVANS
David Anh Trinh

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR FAILURE
TO UPDATE CONTACT INFORMATION
IN PACER
7-2-25 [[31](#)]

Final Ruling

The court's decision is to discharge the Order to Show Cause with no sanctions ordered.

The Order to Show Cause was issued due to a discrepancy between email address for Debtor's counsel in PACER and on the petition and Disclosure of Compensation. Debtor's counsel filed a response stating that the issue has been corrected.

A review of the court's docket shows that an amended voluntary petition and amended Disclosure of Compensation were filed on July 22, 2025.

The order to show cause is ORDERED DISCHARGED for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

22. [24-24569](#)-B-13 GARY DIETRICH
[LGT](#)-3 Eric John Schwab

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
7-14-25 [[59](#)]

Final Ruling

The motion has been set for hearing on 28-days notice. Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Opposition was filed.

The court has determined that oral argument will not assist in the decision-making process or resolution of the motion. See Local Bankr. R. 9014-1(h), 1001-1(f). This matter will therefore be decided on the papers.

The court's decision is to grant the motion to dismiss case.

First, Debtor has failed to file and set a modified plan for hearing with notice to creditors. This is an unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Second, Debtor is delinquent \$11,976.00. Additional monthly payments of \$5,427.00 were due July 25, 2025, and August 25, 2025. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(4).

Cause exists to dismiss this case. The motion is granted and the case is dismissed.

The motion is ORDERED GRANTED for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

Final Ruling

The motion has been set for hearing on 28-days notice. Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). No opposition was filed. The matter will be resolved without oral argument. No appearance at the hearing is required.

The court's decision is to grant the motion to dismiss case.

First, Debtor has caused an unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1). Debtor failed to appear at the initial meeting of creditors on July 16, 2025. 11 U.S.C. § 341 and/or F.R.B.P. 4002.

Second, Debtor failed to provide required documents to the Chapter 13 Trustee including the most recent federal tax return, copy of original valid picture ID, proof of social security number, Class 1 Checklist, evidence of payment to Class 1 claims, Domestic Support Obligation Checklist, documents required by Form 122C-2, the declaration of any third party who contributes to Debtor's monthly income, and pay advices or other evidence of payment received within 60 days before the date of filing of the petition. 11 U.S.C. §§ 521 (a), (e).

Third, Debtor failed to file correct form for Chapter 13 Plan as provided by the Local Rule 3015-1(a) Official Local Form EDC 3-080 (rev. 11/9/18) and General Order GO.18-03 Order Adopting Attached Chapter 13 Plan as Official Local Form EDC 3-080.

Cause exists to dismiss this case. The motion is granted and the case is dismissed.

The motion is ORDERED GRANTED for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

24. [24-25871](#)-B-13 MARICHELL BAUTISTA CONTINUED MOTION TO DISMISS
[LGT](#)-2 James L. Keenan CASE
4-21-25 [[31](#)]

CONTINUED TO 10/28/25 AT 1:00 P.M. TO HEAR FREEDOM MORTGAGE CORPORATION'S LOAN
MODIFICATION REQUEST AND FOR DEBTOR TO FILE AN AMENDED PLAN.

Final Ruling

No appearance at the August 26, 2025, hearing is required. The court will issue an
order.

25. [25-21371](#)-B-13 DYNESE HORACE AND
[LGT](#)-2 LAWRENCE WILLIAMS
Harry D. Roth

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
7-14-25 [[39](#)]

Final Ruling

The motion has been set for hearing on 28-days notice. Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). No opposition was filed. The matter will be resolved without oral argument. No appearance at the hearing is required.

The court's decision is to grant the motion to dismiss case.

First, Debtors have caused an unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1). Debtors failed to file and set for hearing a modified plan with notice to creditors.

Second, Debtors failed to provide required documents to the Chapter 13 Trustee including copies of retirement loans, pay advices for the full six months prior to filing and proof of any other income as listed on Schedule I or Form 122C-1.

Third, Debtors failed to accurately file schedules and/or statements. 11 U.S.C. § 521 and/or F.R.B.P. 1007.

Cause exists to dismiss this case. The motion is granted and the case is dismissed.

The motion is ORDERED GRANTED for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

26. [25-90371](#)-B-13 PEDRO BECERRA
[LGT](#)-1 David C. Johnston

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
7-2-25 [[24](#)]

Final Ruling

The motion has been set for hearing on 28-days notice. Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). No opposition was filed. The matter will be resolved without oral argument. No appearance at the hearing is required.

The court's decision is to grant the motion to dismiss case.

First, Debtor has caused an unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1). Debtor failed to appear at the initial meeting of creditors held June 26, 2025, and the continued meeting of creditors held July 30, 2025. 11 U.S.C. § 341 and/or F.R.B.P. 4002.

Second, Debtor failed to provide required documents to the Chapter 13 Trustee including all copies of payment advices received within 60 days before the date of filing of the petition, all pages of the most recent federal tax returned filed by Debtor, copy of original valid picture ID, and proof of complete social security number.

Third, Debtor failed provide the Trustee with requested copies of monthly profit and loss statements for the full six months prior to filing, business case questionnaire, and copies of bank statements for the full six months prior to filing. 11 U.S.C. §§ 521(a)(3)(4), 1307(c).

Fourth, Debtor failed to accurately complete the Disclosure of Compensation of Attorney for Debtor. It was not signed by Debtor's counsel.

Fifth, Debtor failed to file tax returns for the years 2022, 2023, and 2024. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(e).

Cause exists to dismiss this case. The motion is granted and the case is dismissed.

The motion is ORDERED GRANTED for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

27. [25-90372](#)-B-13 KARL/TONIA SNYDER CONTINUED OBJECTION TO
[LGT](#)-1 Flor De Maria A. Tataje CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY LILIAN
G. TSANG
6-27-25 [[17](#)]

Final Ruling

The objection to confirmation was properly filed at least 14 days prior to the hearing on the motion to confirm a plan. See Local Bankruptcy Rules 3015-1(c)(4) & (d)(1) and 9014-1(f)(2). Nonetheless, the court determines that the resolution of this matter does not require oral argument. See Local Bankr. R. 9014-1(h).

The court's decision is to overrule the objection as moot.

Subsequent to the Chapter 13 Trustee filing its objection, Debtors filed an amended plan on August 22, 2025. The confirmation hearing for the amended plan must still be scheduled. The earlier plan filed May 16, is not confirmed.

The objection is ORDERED OVERRULED AS MOOT for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

28. [25-22575](#)-B-13 RASHPAL BANSAL CONTINUED OBJECTION TO
[KMM](#)-1 Joshua Sternberg CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY WELLS
Thru #29 FARGO BANK, NATIONAL
ASSOCIATION
7-9-25 [[17](#)]

CONTINUED TO 10/07/25 AT 1:00 P.M. TO BE HEARD AFTER THE CONTINUED MEETING OF CREDITORS
SET FOR 9/24/25.

Final Ruling

No appearance at the August 26, 2025, hearing is required. The court will issue an
order.

29. [25-22575](#)-B-13 RASHPAL BANSAL CONTINUED OBJECTION TO
[LGT](#)-1 Joshua Sternberg CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY LILIAN
G. TSANG
7-3-25 [[14](#)]

CONTINUED TO 10/07/25 AT 1:00 P.M. TO BE HEARD AFTER THE CONTINUED MEETING OF CREDITORS
SET FOR 9/24/25.

Final Ruling

No appearance at the August 26, 2025, hearing is required. The court will issue an
order.

30. [25-22183](#)-B-13 EUGENE JONES ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE
Thru #33 Pro Se TO PAY FEES
8-5-25 [[39](#)]

Final Ruling

The case having been dismissed at Item #33, LGT-2, the order to show cause is discharged as moot with no sanctions ordered.

The order to show cause is ORDERED DISCHARGED AS MOOT for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

31. [25-22183](#)-B-13 EUGENE JONES ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE
Pro Se TO PAY FEES
7-7-25 [[29](#)]

Final Ruling

The case having been dismissed at Item #33, LGT-2, the order to show cause is discharged as moot with no sanctions ordered.

The order to show cause is ORDERED DISCHARGED AS MOOT for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

32. [25-22183](#)-B-13 EUGENE JONES CONTINUED OBJECTION TO
LGT-1 Pro Se CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY LILIAN
G. TSANG
6-16-25 [[16](#)]

Final Ruling

The case having been dismissed at Item #33, LGT-2, the objection to confirmation is overruled as moot.

The objection is ORDERED OVERRULED AS MOOT for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

33. [25-22183](#)-B-13 EUGENE JONES MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
LGT-2 Pro Se 6-25-25 [[25](#)]

Final Ruling

The motion has been set for hearing on 28-days notice. Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). No opposition was filed. The matter will be resolved without oral argument. No appearance at the hearing is required.

The court's decision is to grant the motion to dismiss case.

First, Debtor has caused an unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1). Debtor failed to appear at the initial meeting of creditors on June 12, 2025, and the continued meetings of creditors held June 26, 2025, and August 14, 2025. 11 U.S.C. § 341 and/or F.R.B.P. 4002.

Second, Debtor failed to provide required documents to the Chapter 13 Trustee including the most recent federal tax return, copy of original valid picture ID, proof of social security number, Class 1 Checklist, the declaration of any third party who contributes to Debtor's monthly income, and pay advices for the full six months prior to filing and proof of any other income listed in Schedule I or Form 122C-1. 11 U.S.C. §§ 521(a)(3)(4), (e)(2)(A)(B).

Third, Debtor is ineligible to be a debtor in a Chapter 13 due to failure to provide a Credit Counseling Certificate. 11 U.S.C. § 109(h).

Fourth, Debtor has failed to commence making plan payments. Debtor has failed to make three monthly plan payments that have come due, each in the amount of \$6,857.00. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(4).

Cause exists to dismiss this case. The motion is granted and the case is dismissed.

The motion is ORDERED GRANTED for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

Final Ruling

The motion has been set for hearing on 28-days notice. Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Opposition was filed.

The court has determined that oral argument will not assist in the decision-making process or resolution of the motion. See Local Bankr. R. 9014-1(h), 1001-1(f). This matter will therefore be decided on the papers.

The court's decision is to deny the motion to dismiss case.

The Chapter 13 Trustee moves to dismiss case on grounds that Debtor failed to file and set for hearing a plan with notice to creditors, failed to file and set for hearing motions to value collateral, and is delinquent in plan payments.

Debtor filed a response stating that he has filed and set for hearing an amended plan that does not require motions to value collateral and that addresses the delinquency in plan payments.

A review of the court's docket shows that an amended plan was filed August 21, 2025, with a confirmation hearing date set for October 7, 2025.

Cause does not exist to dismiss this case. The motion is denied.

The motion is ORDERED DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

35. [24-90786](#)-B-13 MITCHELL/MARCELLA EICH MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
[LGT](#)-2 David C. Johnston 7-28-25 [[44](#)]

Final Ruling

The motion has been set for hearing on 28-days notice. Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Opposition was filed.

The court has determined that oral argument will not assist in the decision-making process or resolution of the motion. See Local Bankr. R. 9014-1(h), 1001-1(f). This matter will therefore be decided on the papers.

The court's decision is to deny the motion to dismiss case.

The Chapter 13 Trustee moves to dismiss case on grounds that Debtors failed to file and set for hearing a plan with notice to creditors, and are delinquent in plan payments.

Debtors filed a response stating that they have filed and set for hearing an amended plan and that addresses the delinquency in plan payments.

A review of the court's docket shows that an amended plan was filed August 21, 2025, with a confirmation hearing date set for October 7, 2025.

Cause does not exist to dismiss this case. The motion is denied.

The motion is ORDERED DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

36. [25-21690](#)-B-13 ANTHONY MOORE
[LGT-1](#) Pro Se
Thru #37

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO
CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY LILIAN
G. TSANG
5-29-25 [[18](#)]

Final Ruling

The case having been dismissed at Item #37, LGT-2, the objection to confirmation is overruled as moot.

The objection is ORDERED OVERRULED AS MOOT for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

37. [25-21690](#)-B-13 ANTHONY MOORE
[LGT-2](#) Pro Se

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
7-7-25 [[25](#)]

Final Ruling

The motion has been set for hearing on 28-days notice. Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). No opposition was filed. The matter will be resolved without oral argument. No appearance at the hearing is required.

The court's decision is to grant the motion to dismiss case.

First, Debtor failed to appear at the initial meeting of creditors on May 28, 2025, and continued meetings of creditors held July 2, 2025, and August 15, 2025. This is an unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Second, Debtor has failed to provide required documents to the Trustee such as payment advices, the most recent federal tax return filed by Debtor, copy of original valid picture ID, proof of complete social security number, Class 1 Checklist, and evidence of payment to Class 1 claims.

Third, Debtor is delinquent \$12,396.00 with additional monthly plan payments of \$6,198.00 due July 25, 2025, and August 25, 2025. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(4).

Cause exists to dismiss this case. The motion is granted and the case is dismissed.

The motion is ORDERED GRANTED for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

38. [25-22498](#)-B-13 VILMA DELEON-MIRANDA AND CONTINUED AMENDED OBJECTION TO
[LGT](#)-1 JOSE MIRANDA-VACA CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY LILIAN
Peter G. Macaluso G. TSANG
7-3-25 [[19](#)]

CONTINUED TO 10/07/25 AT 1:00 P.M. TO BE HEARD AFTER THE CONTINUED MEETING OF CREDITORS
SET FOR 9/24/25.

Final Ruling

No appearance at the August 26, 2025, hearing is required. The court will issue an
order.

39. [25-22729](#)-B-13 JUSTIN CHARON CONTINUED OBJECTION TO
[LGT](#)-1 David Foyil CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY LILIAN
Thru #40 G. TSANG
7-18-25 [[20](#)]

Final Ruling

This matter was continued from August 18, 2025, to allow any party in interest to file a response by 5:00 p.m. Friday, August 22, 2025. Nothing was filed. Therefore, the court's conditional ruling at dkt. 31, sustaining the objection, shall become the court's final decision. The continued hearing on August 26, 2025, at 1:00 p.m. is vacated.

The objection is ORDERED SUSTAINED for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

40. [25-22729](#)-B-13 JUSTIN CHARON CONTINUED OBJECTION TO
[GMN](#)-1 David Foyil CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY
JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, NATIONAL
ASSOCIATION
7-23-25 [[23](#)]

Final Ruling

This matter was continued from August 18, 2025, to allow any party in interest to file a response by 5:00 p.m. Friday, August 22, 2025. Nothing was filed. Therefore, the court's conditional ruling at dkt. 32, sustaining the objection, shall become the court's final decision. The continued hearing on August 26, 2025, at 1:00 p.m. is vacated.

The objection is ORDERED SUSTAINED for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

41. [25-22850](#)-B-13 SORAYA GARCIA
[LGT](#)-1 Kathleen H. Crist

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO
CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY LILIAN
G. TSANG
7-21-25 [[23](#)]

Final Ruling

This matter was continued from August 18, 2025, to allow any party in interest to file a response by 5:00 p.m. Friday, August 22, 2025. Nothing was filed. Therefore, the court's conditional ruling at dkt. 32, sustaining the objection, shall become the court's final decision. The continued hearing on August 26, 2025, at 1:00 p.m. is vacated.

The objection is ORDERED SUSTAINED for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

42. [25-22779](#)-B-13 TEISHA MITCHELL CALLIS CONTINUED OBJECTION TO
AP-1 Andrew A. Moher CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY U.S.
Thru #43 BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
6-24-25 [[14](#)]

Final Ruling

This matter was continued from August 19, 2025, to allow any party in interest to file a response by 5:00 p.m. Friday, August 22, 2025. Debtor filed a timely response. Furthermore, Debtor filed an amended plan on August 18, 2025. The confirmation hearing for the amended plan is scheduled for October 7, 2025. The earlier plan filed June 3, 2025, is not confirmed.

The court's conditional ruling at dkt. 23 and the continued hearing on August 26, 2025, at 1:00 p.m. are vacated. The objection to confirmation is overruled as moot.

The objection is ORDERED OVERRULED AS MOOT for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

43. [25-22779](#)-B-13 TEISHA MITCHELL CALLIS CONTINUED OBJECTION TO
[LGT-1](#) Andrew A. Moher CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY LILIAN
G. TSANG
7-17-25 [[18](#)]

Final Ruling

This matter was continued from August 19, 2025, to allow any party in interest to file a response by 5:00 p.m. Friday, August 22, 2025. Debtor filed a timely response. Furthermore, Debtor filed an amended plan on August 18, 2025. The confirmation hearing for the amended plan is scheduled for October 7, 2025. The earlier plan filed June 3, 2025, is not confirmed.

The court's conditional ruling at dkt. 24 and the continued hearing on August 26, 2025, at 1:00 p.m. are vacated. The objection to confirmation is overruled as moot.

The objection is ORDERED OVERRULED AS MOOT for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.