

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT Eastern District of California

Chief Judge Fredrick E. Clement

Sacramento Federal Courthouse 501 I Street, 7th Floor Courtroom 28, Department A Sacramento, California

DAY: TUESDAY

DATE: AUGUST 19, 2025

CALENDAR: 10:30 A.M. ADVERSARY PROCEEDINGS

Unless otherwise ordered, all matters before Chief Judge Fredrick E. Clement shall be simultaneously: (1) IN PERSON at Sacramento Courtroom No. 28, (2) via ZOOMGOV VIDEO, (3) via ZOOMGOV TELEPHONE, and (4) via COURTCALL.

You may choose any of these options unless otherwise ordered or stated below.

All parties who wish to appear at a hearing remotely must sign up by 4:00 p.m. one business day prior to the hearing.

Information regarding how to sign up can be found on the **Court Appearances** page of our website at:

https://www.caeb.uscourts.gov/Calendar/CourtAppearances

Each party who has signed up will receive a Zoom link or phone number, meeting I.D., and password via e-mail.

If the deadline to sign up has passed, parties who wish to appear remotely must contact the Courtroom Deputy for the Department holding the hearing.

Please also note the following:

- Parties in interest may connect to the video or audio feed free of charge and should select which method they will use to appear when signing up.
- Members of the public and the press appearing by ZoomGov may only listen in to the hearing using the zoom telephone number. Video appearances are not permitted.
- Members of the public and the press may not listen in to the trials or evidentiary hearings, though they may appear in person in most instances.

To appear remotely for law and motion or status conference proceedings, you must comply with the following guidelines and procedures:

- Review the <u>Pre-Hearing Dispositions</u> prior to appearing at the hearing.
- Review the court's <u>Zoom Procedures and Guidelines</u> for these, and additional instructions.
- Parties appearing via CourtCall are encouraged to review the CourtCall Appearance Information.

If you are appearing by ZoomGov phone or video, please join at least 10 minutes prior to the start of the calendar and wait with your microphone muted until the matter is called.

Unauthorized Recording is Prohibited: Any recording of a court proceeding held by video or teleconference, including screen shots or other audio or visual copying of a hearing is prohibited. Violation may result in sanctions, including removal of court-issued media credentials, denial of entry to future hearings, or any other sanctions deemed necessary by the court. For more information on photographing, recording, or broadcasting Judicial Proceedings, please refer to Local Rule 173(a) of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California.

PRE-HEARING DISPOSITION INSTRUCTIONS

RULINGS

Each matter on this calendar will have one of three possible designations: No Ruling, Tentative Ruling, or Final Ruling.

"No Ruling" means the likely disposition of the matter will not be disclosed in advance of the hearing. The matter will be called; parties wishing to be heard should rise and be heard.

"Tentative Ruling" means the likely disposition, and the reasons therefor, are set forth herein. The matter will be called. Aggrieved parties or parties for whom written opposition was not required should rise and be heard. Parties favored by the tentative ruling need not appear. However, non-appearing parties are advised that the court may adopt a ruling other than that set forth herein without further hearing or notice.

"Final Ruling" means that the matter will be resolved in the manner, and for the reasons, indicated below. The matter will not be called; parties and/or counsel need not appear and will not be heard on the matter.

CHANGES TO PREVIOUSLY PUBLISHED RULINGS

On occasion, the court will change its intended ruling on some of the matters to be called and will republish its rulings. The parties and counsel are advised to recheck the posted rulings after 3:00 p.m. on the next business day prior to the hearing. Any such changed ruling will be preceded by the following bold face text: "[Since posting its original rulings, the court has changed its intended ruling on this matter]".

ERRORS IN RULINGS

Clerical errors of an insignificant nature, e.g., nomenclature ("2017 Honda Accord," rather than "2016 Honda Accord"), amounts, ("\$880," not "\$808"), may be corrected in (1) tentative rulings by appearance at the hearing; or (2) final rulings by appropriate ex parte application. Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(a) incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9024. All other errors, including those occasioned by mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect, must be corrected by noticed motion. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 60(b), incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9023.

1. $\frac{25-21600}{25-2071}$ -A-7 IN RE: JENNIFER BUTLER

STATUS CONFERENCE RE: COMPLAINT 6-11-2025 [1]

BUTLER V. UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
BARRY SPITZER/ATTY. FOR PL.

No Ruling

2. $\frac{25-20306}{25-2073}$ CAE-1

STATUS CONFERENCE RE: COMPLAINT 6-16-2025 [1]

BORGES V. UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION ET AL
JASON BORGES/ATTY. FOR PL.

No Ruling

3. $\frac{24-23511}{25-2074}$ -A-7 IN RE: JAMES HOLE

STATUS CONFERENCE RE: COMPLAINT 6-16-2025 [1]

LARSSON V. HOLE
APRIL LARSSON/ATTY. FOR PL.

No Ruling

4. $\frac{20-23726}{21-2077}$ BGM-3 IN RE: AME ZION WESTERN EPISCOPAL DISTRICT

MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND/OR MOTION TO REOPEN DISCOVERY 7-22-2025 [99]

GREATER COOPER A.M.E. ZION CHURCH, OAKLAND, CALIFO V. AME BRETT MOORE/ATTY. FOR MV. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

5. $\frac{20-23726}{22-2042}$ -A-11 IN RE: AME ZION WESTERN EPISCOPAL DISTRICT

MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND/OR MOTION TO REOPEN DISCOVERY 7-22-2025 [142]

STEWART CHAPEL AME ZION CHURCH OF REDWOOD CITY ET V. AME ZION BRETT MOORE/ATTY. FOR MV. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

No Ruling

6. $\frac{20-23726}{22-2042}$ -A-11 IN RE: AME ZION WESTERN EPISCOPAL DISTRICT

MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND/OR MOTION TO REOPEN DISCOVERY 7-22-2025 [149]

STEWART CHAPEL AME ZION CHURCH OF REDWOOD CITY ET V. AME ZION BRETT MOORE/ATTY. FOR MV. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

No Ruling

7. $\frac{22-20832}{24-2013}$ FEC-1

CONTINUED PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE RE: COMPLAINT TO AVOID AND RECOVER FRAUDULENT TRANSFER 2-21-2024 [1]

RICHARDS V. ROGERS
CHARLES HASTINGS/ATTY. FOR PL.
ADVERSARY PROCEEDING DISMISSED: 08/05/25

Final Ruling

This case was dismissed on August 5, 2025. The Pre-Trial Conference is concluded.

8. $\frac{22-20832}{24-2034}$ -A-7 IN RE: DANIEL STEWART

CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE RE: COMPLAINT 4-8-2024 [1]

RICHARDS V. BAKOS ET AL A. RAUSCH/ATTY. FOR PL. ADVERSARY PROCEEDING DISMISSED: 08/04/25

Final Ruling

This case was dismissed on August 4, 2025. The Pre-Trial Conference is concluded.

9. $\frac{24-24334}{25-2061}$ -A-13 IN RE: KENNETH WILKINSON

MOTION TO STRIKE PURPORTED COUNSEL'S APPEARANCES AND FILINGS 7-15-2025 [23]

WILKINSON ET AL V. PHH
MORTGAGE CORPORATION ET AL
KENNETH WILKINSON/ATTY. FOR MV.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

No Ruling

10. $\frac{24-24334}{25-2061}$ -A-13 IN RE: KENNETH WILKINSON

MOTION FOR SANCTIONS, MOTION FOR DISQUALIFICATION OF COUNSEL 7-15-2025 [24]

WILKINSON ET AL V. PHH
MORTGAGE CORPORATION ET AL
KENNETH WILKINSON/ATTY. FOR MV.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

11. $\underline{24-24334}$ -A-13 IN RE: KENNETH WILKINSON $\underline{25-2061}$ CAE-1

STATUS CONFERENCE RE: COMPLAINT 5-16-2025 [1]

WILKINSON ET AL V. PHH
MORTGAGE CORPORATION ET AL
KENNETH WILKINSON/ATTY. FOR PL.

Final Ruling

The status conference is continued to September 23, 2025, at 10:30 a.m.

12. $\frac{22-21649}{23-2063}$ -A-7 IN RE: MARY KATTENHORN

CONTINUED MOTION TO APPROVE FILING OF COUNTER-CLAIM 7-24-2024 [44]

FARRIS V. KATTENHORN ET AL RICHARD HALL/ATTY. FOR MV.

No Ruling

13. $\frac{22-21649}{23-2063}$ -A-7 IN RE: MARY KATTENHORN

CONTINUED PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE RE: COMPLAINT TO OBTAIN APPROVAL UNDER SECTION 363(H) FOR THE SALE OF BOTH THE INTEREST OF THE ESTATE AND OF A CO-OWNER OF PROPERTY 9-1-2023 [1]

FARRIS V. KATTENHORN ET AL JASON ELDRED/ATTY. FOR PL.

14. $\frac{22-21649}{23-2063}$ -A-7 IN RE: MARY KATTENHORN

CONTINUED MOTION TO DISMISS ADVERSARY PROCEEDING/NOTICE OF REMOVAL

8-12-2024 [48]

FARRIS V. KATTENHORN ET AL JASON ELDRED/ATTY. FOR MV.

No Ruling

15. $\frac{21-22976}{23-2061}$ -A-7 IN RE: THE DESIGN BUILD COMPANY, LLC

CONTINUED PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE RE: COMPLAINT 8-18-2023 [1]

HOPPER V. CURRIER ET AL J. CUNNINGHAM/ATTY. FOR PL.

No Ruling

16. $\frac{24-22678}{24-2191}$ -A-7 IN RE: ALAN/MEGAN KENNEDY

CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE RE: COMPLAINT 9-30-2024 [1]

PRO-TECH PRODUCTS, INC. V. KENNEDY ET AL ANERIO ALTMAN/ATTY. FOR PL.