

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
Eastern District of California**

Honorable Christopher D. Jaime
Robert T. Matsui U.S. Courthouse
501 I Street, Sixth Floor
Sacramento, California

PRE-HEARING DISPOSITIONS COVER SHEET

DAY: TUESDAY

DATE: August 18, 2026

CALENDAR: 1:00 P.M. CHAPTER 13

Each matter on this calendar will have one of three possible designations: No Ruling, Tentative Ruling, or Final Ruling. These instructions apply to those designations.

No Ruling: All parties will need to appear at the hearing unless otherwise ordered.

Tentative Ruling: If a matter has been designated as a tentative ruling it will be called. The court may continue the hearing on the matter, set a briefing schedule, or enter other orders appropriate for efficient and proper resolution of the matter. The original moving or objecting party shall give notice of the continued hearing date and the deadlines. The minutes of the hearing will be the court's findings and conclusions.

Final Ruling: Unless otherwise ordered, there will be no hearing on these matters and no appearance is necessary. The final disposition of the matter is set forth in the ruling and it will appear in the minutes. The final ruling may or may not finally adjudicate the matter. If it is finally adjudicated, the minutes constitute the court's findings and conclusions.

Orders: Unless the court specifies in the tentative or final ruling that it will issue an order, the prevailing party shall lodge an order within seven (7) days of the final hearing on the matter.

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

Eastern District of California

Honorable Christopher D. Jaime

Chief Bankruptcy Judge

Sacramento, California

August 18, 2026 at 1:00 p.m.

1.	24-21500 -B-13	NATASHA JACKSON	CONTINUED MOTION TO MODIFY PLAN
	JBR -13	Jennifer B. Reichhoff	6-20-26 [174]

Final Ruling

The motion has been set for hearing on the 35-days' notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rules 3015-1(d)(2), 9014-1(f)(1), and Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 3015(g). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Opposition was filed.

The court has determined that oral argument will not assist in the decision-making process or resolution of the motion. See Local Bankr. R. 9014-1(h), 1001-1(f). This matter will therefore be decided on the papers.

The court's decision is to permit the requested modification and confirm the modified plan.

The Chapter 13 Trustee objects to confirmation of the plan on grounds that Section 7 of the plan provides that general unsecured creditors will receive the federal rate of interest of 3.51%. Instead, the interest rate should be 5.12%, which was the interest rate in effect at the time the petition was filed. The Trustee is not opposed to resolving this issue in an order confirming plan.

Provided that the interest rate is increased to 5.12%, the modified plan will be deemed to comply with 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322 and 1325(a) and is confirmed.

The motion is ORDERED GRANTED for reasons stated in the minutes. An appropriate order confirming the Chapter 13 plan shall be prepared consistent with the current practice of the Chapter 13 Trustee assigned to the case and the proposed order shall be submitted to the court.

The court will issue an order.

August 18, 2026 at 1:00 p.m.

Final Ruling

The motion has been set for hearing on 28-days notice. Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). No opposition was filed. The matter will be resolved without oral argument. No appearance at the hearing is required.

The court's decision is to permit the loan modification.

U.S. Bank National Association ("Creditor") seeks court approval to incur post-petition credit affecting real property generally described as 20955 Sunset Way, Colfax, California 95713. Creditor has offered and approved the Debtor for a Subordinate Note and Partial Claim Deed of Trust and seeks court approval of said agreement. The agreement seeks to cure the existing default under the Note in the amount of \$5,466.59. The Secretary of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD") advanced funds in the amount of \$5,466.59 on behalf of the Debtor by curing the default on the loan with Creditor. Debtor will repay the funds, with no interest, back to HUD pursuant to the terms under the Subordinate Note and Partial Claim Deed of Trust. All terms under the existing Note and Deed of Trust were not modified.

The Chapter 13 Trustee filed a response that does not oppose the motion but notes a discrepancy between the difference in amounts asserted by Creditor in Claim no. 11 and that no Notice of Mortgage Payment Change or amended claim has been filed. The Trustee requests that the court waive the requirement in this instance or deem the motion in substantial compliance with Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3002.1.

This post-petition financing is consistent with the Chapter 13 plan in this case and Debtor's ability to fund that plan. There being no objection from the Trustee or other parties in interest, and the motion in substantial compliance with Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3002.1, the motion is granted.

The motion is ORDERED GRANTED for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

3. [25-26907](#)-B-13 ANDREA RESLER
[DML](#)-1 Thomas L. Amberg

MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM
AUTOMATIC STAY
7-21-26 [[42](#)]

RAMON HIDALGO VS.

Final Ruling

The motion has been set for hearing on 28-days notice. Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Non-opposition was filed by the Debtor and Chapter 13 Trustee. The matter will be resolved without oral argument. No appearance at the hearing is required.

The court's decision is to grant the motion for relief from automatic stay.

Ramon S. Hidalgo ("Movant") seeks relief from the automatic stay to allow him to proceed with an unlawful detainer action against Debtor and regain possession of real property commonly known as 6193 El Camino Drive, Pollock Pines, California (the "Property"). Movant has provided the Declaration of Ramon S. Hidalgo to introduce into evidence the documents upon which it bases the claim and the obligation secured by the Property.

The Hidalgo Declaration states that Movant and Debtor had entered into a lease agreement in April 2025 and which expired in April 2026. Thereafter, Debtor failed to make any rent payment or vacate the Property. As of the date of the bankruptcy petition, Debtor is delinquent \$16,500.00 in pre-petition payments for months July 2025 through December 2025, and delinquent \$19,250.00 in post-petition payments.

Non-opposition was filed by Debtor and the Chapter 13 Trustee.

Discussion

Movant presents evidence that it is the owner of the Property. Based on the evidence presented, Debtor would be at best a tenant at sufferance. The court determines that there is no equity in the property for either the Debtor or the Estate. 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(2).

Movant has presented a colorable claim for title to and possession of this real property. As stated by the Bankruptcy Appellate Panel in *Hamilton v. Hernandez*, No. CC-04-1434-MaTK, 2005 Bankr. LEXIS 3427 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. Aug. 1, 2005), relief from stay proceedings are summary proceedings which address issues arising only under 11 U.S.C. § 362(d). *Hamilton*, 2005 Bankr. LEXIS 3427 at *8-*9 (citing *Johnson v. Righetti (In re Johnson)*, 756 F.2d 738, 740 (9th Cir. 1985)). The court does not determine underlying issues of ownership, contractual rights of parties, or issue declaratory relief as part of a motion for relief.

The court shall issue an order terminating and vacating the automatic stay to allow Movant, and its agents, representatives and successors, to exercise its rights to obtain possession and control of property including unlawful detainer or other appropriate judicial proceedings and remedies to obtain possession thereof.

The 14-day stay of enforcement under Rule 4001(a)(4) is not waived.

No other or additional relief is granted by the court.

The motion is ORDERED GRANTED for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

4. [26-21914](#)-B-13 RAZEL RAVELO
[PGM](#)-3 Peter G. Macaluso

MOTION TO APPROVE LOAN
MODIFICATION
7-17-26 [[48](#)]

Final Ruling

The motion has been set for hearing on 28-days notice. Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). No opposition was filed. The matter will be resolved without oral argument. No appearance at the hearing is required.

The court's decision is to permit the loan modification.

Debtor seeks court approval to incur post-petition credit. Select Portfolio Servicing Inc. has offered Debtor a trial modification, which states that after all trial period payments are timely made and Debtors have continued to meet all eligibility requirements of the modification program, the mortgage will be permanently modified. Debtor is to make three payments in the amount of \$4,594.26 beginning May 1, 2026, with the last payment under trial loan modification to be made by July 1, 2026. Any difference between the amount of the trial period payments and the regular mortgage payments will be added to the balance of the loan along with any other past due amounts. The subject agreement will assist the Debtor in being able to make current loan payments and to keep the real property.

The motion is supported by the Declaration of Razel E. Ravelo. The Declaration affirms Debtor's desire to obtain the post-petition financing and states that Debtor has already made the May and June payments. The modification does not affect the distribution to unsecured creditors, who were originally to be paid no less than 0% in the original Chapter 13 plan.

This post-petition financing is consistent with the Chapter 13 plan in this case and Debtor's ability to fund that plan. There being no objection from the Trustee or other parties in interest, and the motion complying with the provisions of 11 U.S.C. § 364(d), the motion is granted.

The motion is ORDERED GRANTED for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

5. [20-24822](#)-B-13 NORBERTO ROSARIO RIVERA CONTINUED MOTION UNDER RULE
[LGT](#)-2 Gregory J. Smith 3002-1(G)(4) TO DETERMINE FINAL
CURE AND PAYMENT OF THE
MORTGAGE CLAIM. RE: MCLP ASSET
COMPANY, INC. (CLAIM NO. 3)
3-24-26 [[105](#)]

Final Ruling

A status report was filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee on August 11, 2026, stating that the remaining dispute is between debtor Norberto R. Rivera ("Debtor") and creditor Selene Finance LP as servicer for MCLP Asset Company, Inc. ("Creditor"). The parties are meeting and conferring regarding three disputed payments that were Debtor's responsibility to make directly to Creditor.

The Trustee does not oppose one final continuance and requests a final 30-day continuance to permit the parties to conclude their discussions.

Given the aforementioned, the motion is continued to September 22, 2026, at 1:00 p.m.

The court will issue an order.

Final Ruling

The motion has been set for hearing on the 35-days' notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1(d)(2), 9014-1(f)(1), and Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 3015(g). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). No opposition was filed. The matter will be resolved without oral argument. No appearance at the hearing is required.

The court's decision is to permit the requested modification and confirm the modified plan.

11 U.S.C. § 1329 permits a debtor to modify a plan after confirmation. The Debtor has filed evidence in support of confirmation. No opposition to the motion was filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee or creditors. The modified plan complies with 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325(a), and 1329, and is confirmed.

The motion is ORDERED GRANTED for reasons stated in the minutes. An appropriate order confirming the Chapter 13 plan shall be prepared consistent with the current practice of the Chapter 13 Trustee assigned to the case and the proposed order shall be submitted to the court.

The court will issue an order.

7. [25-23841](#)-B-12 SILVERDALE FARMS, INC. CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE RE:
 [CAE](#)-1 David C. Johnston VOLUNTARY PETITION
 7-25-25 [[1](#)]

Final Ruling

CONTINUED TO 9/11/2026 AT 10:30 A.M. IN COURTROOM 33 BEFORE THE HON. CORRINE BIELEJESKI.

No appearance at the August 18, 2026, hearing is required.

8. [24-22948](#)-B-13 GENE/YVONNE TAGUE
[KLG](#)-1 Arete Kostopoulos

CONTINUED MOTION TO MODIFY PLAN
6-5-26 [[30](#)]

Final Ruling

The motion has been set for hearing on the 35-days notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rules 3015-1(d)(1), 9014-1(f)(1), and Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b). The court determines that the resolution of this matter does not require oral argument. See Local Bankr. R. 9014-1(h).

The court's decision is to deny the motion to confirm as moot and overrule the objection as moot.

Subsequent to the filing of the Trustee's objection, the Debtors filed a new modified plan on July 14, 2026. The confirmation hearing for the modified plan is scheduled for September 1, 2026.

The motion is ORDERED DENIED AS MOOT and the objection ORDERED OVERRULED AS MOOT for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

Final Ruling

The motion has been set for hearing on the 35-days' notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1(d)(1), 9014-1(f)(1), and Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Opposition was filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee and later withdrawn. The matter will be resolved without oral argument. No appearance at the hearing is required.

The court's decision is to confirm the amended plan.

11 U.S.C. § 1323 permits a debtor to amend a plan any time before confirmation. The Debtor has provided evidence in support of confirmation. No opposition to the motion has been filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee or creditors. The amended plan complies with 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322 and 1325(a) and is confirmed.

The motion is ORDERED GRANTED for reasons stated in the minutes. An appropriate order confirming the Chapter 13 plan shall be prepared consistent with the current practice of the Chapter 13 Trustee assigned to the case and the proposed order shall be submitted to the court.

The court will issue an order.

10. [26-21156](#)-B-13 SRILAXMI GUTHIKONDA
[JBR](#)-1 Jennifer B. Reichhoff

CONTINUED MOTION TO CONFIRM
PLAN
6-28-26 [[41](#)]

Final Ruling

The motion has been set for hearing on the 35-days' notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1(d)(1), 9014-1(f)(1), and Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Opposition was filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee and later withdrawn. The matter will be resolved without oral argument. No appearance at the hearing is required.

The court's decision is to confirm the amended plan.

11 U.S.C. § 1323 permits a debtor to amend a plan any time before confirmation. The Debtor has provided evidence in support of confirmation. No opposition to the motion has been filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee or creditors. The amended plan complies with 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322 and 1325(a) and is confirmed.

The motion is ORDERED GRANTED for reasons stated in the minutes. An appropriate order confirming the Chapter 13 plan shall be prepared consistent with the current practice of the Chapter 13 Trustee assigned to the case and the proposed order shall be submitted to the court.

The court will issue an order.

11. [22-90267](#)-B-13 ROBEN/RAWINA TAMRAZ
[LGT](#)-2 Thomas P. Hogan
Thru #12

CONTINUED MOTION UNDER RULE
3002-1(G) (4) TO DETERMINE FINAL
CURE AND PAYMENT OF THE
MORTGAGE CLAIM. RE: US BANK
TRUST NATIONAL ASSOCIATION.
(CLAIM NO. 2)
4-10-26 [[76](#)]

Final Ruling

U.S. Bank Trust National Association, not in its individual capacity but solely as owner trustee for RCAF Acquisition Trust, ("Creditor") filed a status report on July 31, 2026, stating that an amended response to the Chapter 13 Trustee's motion to determine final cure is currently with Creditor for final approval prior to filing. A review of the court's docket shows that nothing has been filed.

Creditor and the Trustee are ordered to file a joint status report by 5:00 p.m. on Friday, August 21. The motion is **continued to August 27, 2026, 1:00 p.m.**

No appearance is required at the August 18, 2026, hearing.

The court will issue an order.

12. [22-90267](#)-B-13 ROBEN/RAWINA TAMRAZ
[RAS](#)-1 Thomas P. Hogan

CONTINUED MOTION FOR RELIEF
FROM AUTOMATIC STAY
4-10-26 [[70](#)]

U.S. BANK TRUST NATIONAL
ASSOCIATION VS.

Final Ruling

U.S. Bank Trust National Association, not in its individual capacity but solely as owner trustee for RCAF Acquisition Trust, ("Creditor") and debtors Roben Tamraz and Rawina Tamraz entered into a stipulation resolving the motion for relief from automatic stay.

Creditor filed a status report on July 31, 2026, stating that a proposed order on the stipulation was submitted on July 29, 2025. However, no proposed order appears on the court's docket.

Provided that an order is submitted by 5:00 p.m. Wednesday, August 19, 2026, the motion for relief from automatic stay will be denied as moot.

No appearance is required at the August 18, 2026, hearing.

The motion is ORDERED CONDITIONALLY DENIED AS MOOT for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

13. [26-23169](#)-B-13 JACK/MARIA ELLIS
[MDA](#)-3 Mary D. Anderson

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN
6-30-26 [[28](#)]

Final Ruling

The motion has been set for hearing on the 35-days' notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1(d)(1), 9014-1(f)(1), and Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Opposition was filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee and later withdrawn. The matter will be resolved without oral argument. No appearance at the hearing is required.

The court's decision is to confirm the amended plan.

11 U.S.C. § 1323 permits a debtor to amend a plan any time before confirmation. The Debtors have provided evidence in support of confirmation. No opposition to the motion has been filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee or creditors. The amended plan complies with 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322 and 1325(a) and is confirmed.

The motion is ORDERED GRANTED for reasons stated in the minutes. An appropriate order confirming the Chapter 13 plan shall be prepared consistent with the current practice of the Chapter 13 Trustee assigned to the case and the proposed order shall be submitted to the court.

The court will issue an order.

14. [26-21286](#)-B-13 TINA WILSON
[LGT](#)-1 Peter L. Cianchetta

CONTINUED MOTION TO DISMISS
CASE
5-26-26 [[44](#)]

Final Ruling

The motion has been set for hearing on 28-days notice. Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Opposition was filed.

The court has determined that oral argument will not assist in the decision-making process or resolution of the motion. See Local Bankr. R. 9014-1(h), 1001-1(f). This matter will therefore be decided on the papers.

The court's decision is to deny the motion to dismiss case.

The Chapter 13 Trustee moves to dismiss case on grounds that various documents, an amended petition, schedules, and forms need to be filed, and that the Debtor has failed to commence making plan payments.

Debtor filed a response stating that she has filed and amended all the documents requested by the Trustee, and that she has filed an amended plan that is set to be heard on September 22, 2026.

Given the aforementioned, cause does not exist to dismiss this case. The motion is denied and the case is not dismissed.

The motion is ORDERED DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

15. [26-21505](#)-B-13 CYNTHIA/CHARLES EPROSON CONTINUED MOTION TO VALUE
[NAR](#)-3 Natali A. Ron COLLATERAL OF ONEMAIN FINANCIAL
GROUP, LLC.
7-27-26 [[50](#)]

Final Ruling

This matter was continued from August 11, 2026, to allow any party in interest to file an opposition or response by 5:00 p.m. Friday, August 14, 2026. Nothing was filed. Therefore, the court's conditional ruling at dkt. 54, granting the motion, shall become the court's final decision. The continued hearing on August 18, 2026, at 1:00 p.m. is vacated.

The motion is ORDERED GRANTED for reasons stated in the minutes at dkt. 54.

The court will issue an order.

16. [26-23323](#)-B-13 GABRIELLE RIVERA AND CONTINUED OBJECTION TO
[LGT](#)-1 ANAYVETTE MARTINEZ CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY LILIAN
Mark A. Wolff G. TSANG
7-21-26 [[14](#)]

Final Ruling

The objection to confirmation was properly filed at least 14 days prior to the hearing on the motion to confirm a plan. See Local Bankruptcy Rules 3015-1(c)(4) & (d)(1) and 9014-1(f)(2). Nonetheless, the court determines that the resolution of this matter does not require oral argument. See Local Bankr. R. 9014-1(h).

The court's decision is to overrule the objection as moot.

Subsequent to the Chapter 13 Trustee filing its objection, Debtors filed an amended plan on August 5, 2026. The confirmation hearing for the amended plan is scheduled for September 15, 2026. The earlier plan filed June 9, 2026, is not confirmed.

The objection is ORDERED OVERRULED AS MOOT for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

17. [26-23029](#)-B-13 RANATEJBIR THIND CONTINUED OBJECTION TO
[LGT](#)-1 Scott M. Johnson CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY LILIAN
Thru #18 G. TSANG
7-21-26 [[26](#)]

Final Ruling

This matter was continued from August 11, 2026, to allow any party in interest to file a response by 5:00 p.m. Friday, August 14, 2026. Nothing was filed. Therefore, the court's conditional ruling at dkt. 32, sustaining the objection, shall become the court's final decision. The continued hearing on January 1, 2026, at 1:00 p.m. is vacated.

The objection is ORDERED SUSTAINED for reasons stated in the minutes at dkt. 32.

The court will issue an order.

18. [26-23029](#)-B-13 RANATEJBIR THIND CONTINUED OBJECTION TO
[KMM](#)-1 Scott M. Johnson CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY
SERVBANK, N.A.
7-21-26 [[22](#)]

Final Ruling

This matter was continued from August 11, 2026, to allow any party in interest to file a response by 5:00 p.m. Friday, August 14, 2026. Nothing was filed. Therefore, the court's conditional ruling at dkt. 31, sustaining the objection, shall become the court's final decision. The continued hearing on January 1, 2026, at 1:00 p.m. is vacated.

The objection is ORDERED SUSTAINED for reasons stated in the minutes at dkt. 31.

The court will issue an order.

19. [26-23254](#)-B-13 ANDREW HEADS
[LGT](#)-1 Sanaz S. Bereliani

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO
CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY LILIAN
G. TSANG
7-17-26 [[22](#)]

Final Ruling

This matter was continued from August 11, 2026, to allow any party in interest to file a response by 5:00 p.m. Friday, August 14, 2026. Nothing was filed. Therefore, the court's conditional ruling at dkt. 28, sustaining the objection, shall become the court's final decision. The continued hearing on January 1, 2026, at 1:00 p.m. is vacated.

The objection is ORDERED SUSTAINED for reasons stated in the minutes at dkt. 28.

The court will issue an order.

20. [26-21060](#)-B-13 RICHARD/LISA RAVALLI
[LBG](#)-2 Lucas B. Garcia

CONTINUED MOTION TO CONFIRM
PLAN
6-30-26 [[33](#)]

Final Ruling

This matter was continued from August 11, 2026, to allow any party in interest to file a response by 5:00 p.m. Friday, August 14, 2026. Nothing was filed. Therefore, the court's conditional ruling at dkt. 42, sustaining the objection and denying the motion to confirm plan, shall become the court's final decision. The continued hearing on August 18, 2026, at 1:00 p.m. is vacated.

The motion is ORDERED DENIED for reasons stated in the minutes at dkt. 42.

The court will issue an order.

21. [26-23267](#)-B-13 JEARLEAN NASH
[LGT](#)-1 Peter G. Macaluso

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO
CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY LILIAN
G. TSANG
7-17-26 [[18](#)]

Final Ruling

This matter was continued from August 11, 2026, to allow any party in interest to file a response by 5:00 p.m. Friday, August 14, 2026. Nothing was filed. Therefore, the court's conditional ruling at dkt. 21, sustaining the objection, shall become the court's final decision. The continued hearing on January 1, 2026, at 1:00 p.m. is vacated.

The objection is ORDERED SUSTAINED for reasons stated in the minutes at dkt. 21.

The court will issue an order.

22. [26-22577](#)-B-13 JEANETTE GATLIN CONTINUED OBJECTION TO
[ALG](#)-1 Peter G. Macaluso CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY SC
Thru #24 INVESTMENT HOLDINGS, INC.
7-1-26 [[23](#)]

Final Ruling

This matter was continued from August 11, 2026, to allow any party in interest to file a response by 5:00 p.m. Friday, August 14, 2026. Nothing was filed. Therefore, the court's conditional ruling at dkt. 36, sustaining the objection, shall become the court's final decision. The continued hearing on January 1, 2026, at 1:00 p.m. is vacated.

The objection is ORDERED SUSTAINED for reasons stated in the minutes at dkt. 36.

The court will issue an order.

23. [26-22577](#)-B-13 JEANETTE GATLIN CONTINUED OBJECTION TO
[KMM](#)-1 Peter G. Macaluso CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY
FIRSTKEY MASTER FUNDING 2021-A
COLLATERAL TRUST, U.S. BANK
TRUST NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
6-24-26 [[19](#)]

Final Ruling

This matter was continued from August 11, 2026, to allow any party in interest to file a response by 5:00 p.m. Friday, August 14, 2026. Nothing was filed. Therefore, the court's conditional ruling at dkt. 37, sustaining the objection, shall become the court's final decision. The continued hearing on January 1, 2026, at 1:00 p.m. is vacated.

The objection is ORDERED SUSTAINED for reasons stated in the minutes at dkt. 37.

The court will issue an order.

24. [26-22577](#)-B-13 JEANETTE GATLIN CONTINUED OBJECTION TO
[LGT](#)-1 Peter G. Macaluso CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY LILIAN
G. TSANG
6-18-26 [[16](#)]

Final Ruling

This matter was continued from August 11, 2026, to allow any party in interest to file a response by 5:00 p.m. Friday, August 14, 2026. Nothing was filed. Therefore, the court's conditional ruling at dkt. 38, sustaining the objection, shall become the court's final decision. The continued hearing on January 1, 2026, at 1:00 p.m. is vacated.

The objection is ORDERED SUSTAINED for reasons stated in the minutes at dkt. 38.

The court will issue an order.

25. [25-27179](#)-B-13 JAMAL MANSOUR CONTINUED MOTION TO AVOID LIEN
[GEL](#)-3 Gabriel E. Liberman OF CAPITAL ONE, N.A.
Thru #27 7-22-26 [[50](#)]

Final Ruling

This matter was continued from August 11, 2026, to allow any party in interest to file an opposition or response by 5:00 p.m. Friday, August 14, 2026. Nothing was filed. Therefore, the court's conditional ruling at dkt. 62, granting the motion to avoid judicial lien, shall become the court's final decision. The continued hearing on February 1, 2026, at 1:00 p.m. is vacated.

The motion is ORDERED GRANTED for reasons stated in the minutes at dkt. 62.

The court will issue an order.

26. [25-27179](#)-B-13 JAMAL MANSOUR CONTINUED MOTION TO AVOID LIEN
[GEL](#)-2 Gabriel E. Liberman OF CITIBANK, N.A.
7-22-26 [[45](#)]

Final Ruling

This matter was continued from August 11, 2026, to allow any party in interest to file an opposition or response by 5:00 p.m. Friday, August 14, 2026. Nothing was filed. Therefore, the court's conditional ruling at dkt. 61, granting the motion to avoid judicial lien, shall become the court's final decision. The continued hearing on February 1, 2026, at 1:00 p.m. is vacated.

The motion is ORDERED GRANTED for reasons stated in the minutes at dkt. 61.

The court will issue an order.

27. [25-27179](#)-B-13 JAMAL MANSOUR CONTINUED MOTION TO AVOID LIEN
[GEL](#)-4 Gabriel E. Liberman OF BANK OF AMERICA, NATIONAL
ASSOCIATION
7-22-26 [[55](#)]

Final Ruling

This matter was continued from August 11, 2026, to allow any party in interest to file an opposition or response by 5:00 p.m. Friday, August 14, 2026. Nothing was filed. Therefore, the court's conditional ruling at dkt. 63, granting the motion to avoid judicial lien, shall become the court's final decision. The continued hearing on February 1, 2026, at 1:00 p.m. is vacated.

The motion is ORDERED GRANTED for reasons stated in the minutes at dkt. 63.

The court will issue an order.

28. [23-90585](#)-B-13 EUSEBIO/BROOKE HERNANDEZ CONTINUED MOTION TO INCUR DEBT
[PLG](#)-2 Rabin Pournazarian 7-27-26 [[40](#)]

Final Ruling

This matter was continued from August 11, 2026, to allow any party in interest to file an opposition or response by 5:00 p.m. Friday, August 14, 2026. Nothing was filed. Therefore, the court's conditional ruling at dkt. 46, granting the motion, shall become the court's final decision. The continued hearing on August 18, 2026, at 1:00 p.m. is vacated.

The motion is ORDERED GRANTED for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.