# UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT Eastern District of California

Honorable Christopher D. Jaime Robert T. Matsui U.S. Courthouse 501 I Street, Sixth Floor Sacramento, California

# PRE-HEARING DISPOSITIONS COVER SHEET

DAY: TUESDAY

DATE: July 18, 2023

CALENDAR: 1:00 P.M. CHAPTER 13

Each matter on this calendar will have one of three possible designations: No Ruling, Tentative Ruling, or Final Ruling. These instructions apply to those designations.

No Ruling: All parties will need to appear at the hearing unless otherwise ordered.

Tentative Ruling: If a matter has been designated as a tentative ruling it will be called. The court may continue the hearing on the matter, set a briefing schedule, or enter other orders appropriate for efficient and proper resolution of the matter. The original moving or objecting party shall give notice of the continued hearing date and the deadlines. The minutes of the hearing will be the court's findings and conclusions.

Final Ruling: Unless otherwise ordered, there will be <u>no hearing on these</u> <u>matters and no appearance is necessary</u>. The final disposition of the matter is set forth in the ruling and it will appear in the minutes. The final ruling may or may not finally adjudicate the matter. If it is finally adjudicated, the minutes constitute the court's findings and conclusions.

**Orders:** Unless the court specifies in the tentative or final ruling that it will issue an order, the prevailing party shall lodge an order within seven (7) days of the final hearing on the matter.

# UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

Eastern District of California

Honorable Christopher D. Jaime Bankruptcy Judge Sacramento, California

July 18, 2023 at 1:00 p.m.

1. <u>23-21605</u>-B-13 MICHELLE YOUNG JCK-1 Kathleen H. Crist

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN 5-26-23 [9]

#### Final Ruling

The motion has been set for hearing on the 35-days' notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1(d)(1), 9014-1(f)(1), and Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). No opposition was filed. The matter will be resolved without oral argument. No appearance at the hearing is required.

The court's decision is to confirm the amended plan.

11 U.S.C.  $\S$  1323 permits a debtor to amend a plan any time before confirmation. The Debtor has provided evidence in support of confirmation. No opposition to the motion has been filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee or creditors. The amended plan complies with 11 U.S.C.  $\S\S$  1322 and 1325(a) and is confirmed.

The motion is ORDERED GRANTED for reasons stated in the minutes. Counsel for the Debtor shall prepare an appropriate order confirming the Chapter 13 Plan, transmit the proposed order to the Chapter 13 Trustee for approval as to form, and if so approved, the Chapter 13 Trustee will submit the proposed order to the court.

2. <u>23-21211</u>-B-13 RODOLFO BENAVIDES Pro Se

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE TO PAY FEES 6-20-23 [22]

# Final Ruling

The court's decision is to sustain the Order to Show Cause and order the case dismissed.

The Order to Show Cause was issued due to Debtor's failure to pay \$78.00 due June 13, 2023. The court's docket reflects that the default has not been cured.

The order to show cause is ORDERED SUSTAINED for reasons stated in the minutes and the case is DISMISSED.

3. <u>23-20821</u>-B-13 RYAN QUINN Thomas L. Amberg

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE TO PAY FEES 6-20-23 [21]

### Final Ruling

The Order to Show Cause will be discharged and the case will remain pending but the court will modify the terms of its order permitting the Debtor to pay the filing fee in installments.

The court granted the Debtor permission to pay the filing fee in installments. The Debtor failed to pay the \$2 installment when due on June 15, 2023; Debtor had paid only \$76 and not the required amount of \$78.

A review of the court's docket shows that an installment was paid on July 14, 2023, in the amount of \$78. This payment appears to be an effort to make a timely fourth and final installment.

However, the fact remains that the Debtor is still short \$2. Rather than sustain the order to show cause and dismiss the case, the Debtor shall have <u>until Wednesday</u>, <u>July 19, 2023</u>, <u>at 5:00 p.m.</u> to cure this \$2 delinquency. If the delinquency is cured, the order to show cause will be discharged and the case will remain pending.

23-21527-B-13 ANDRES VELEZ AND MICHELLE ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE NESTROYL Mikalah Liviakis

TO PAY FEES 6-14-23 [14]

### Final Ruling

The court's decision is to discharge the Order to Show Cause and the case will remain pending.

The Order to Show Cause was issued due to Debtors' failure to pay \$79.00 due June 9, 2023. The court's docket reflects that the default was cured on June 20, 2023. The payment constituted the final installment.

The order to show cause is ORDERED DISCHARGED for reasons stated in the minutes and the case SHALL REMAIN PENDING.

## Final Ruling

The motion has been set for hearing on the 35-days' notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rules 3015-1(d)(2), 9014-1(f)(1), and Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 3015(g). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F. 3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Opposition was filed.

The court has determined that oral argument will not assist in the decision-making process or resolution of the motion. See Local Bankr. R. 9014-1(h), 1001-1(f). This matter will therefore be decided on the papers.

The court's decision is to permit the requested modification and confirm the modified plan.

Feasibility depends on the court granting a motion to approve loan modificatioin with Rocket Mortgage. That motion was granted on July 11, 2023.

The modified plan complies with 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322 and 1325(a) and is confirmed.

The motion is ORDERED GRANTED for reasons stated in the minutes. Counsel for the Debtor shall prepare an appropriate order confirming the Chapter 13 Plan, transmit the proposed order to the Chapter 13 Trustee for approval as to form, and if so approved, the Chapter 13 Trustee will submit the proposed order to the court.

6. <u>19-20952</u>-B-13 TERRELL CAIN <u>JCK</u>-2 Gregory J. Smith

MOTION TO MODIFY PLAN 6-9-23 [40]

#### Final Ruling

The motion has been set for hearing on the 35-days' notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1(d)(2), 9014-1(f)(1), and Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 3015(g). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). No opposition was filed. The matter will be resolved without oral argument. No appearance at the hearing is required.

The court's decision is to permit the requested modification and confirm the modified plan.

11 U.S.C. § 1329 permits a debtor to modify a plan after confirmation. The Debtor has filed evidence in support of confirmation. No opposition to the motion was filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee or creditors. The modified plan complies with 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325(a), and 1329, and is confirmed.

The motion is ORDERED GRANTED for reasons stated in the minutes. Counsel for the Debtor shall prepare an appropriate order confirming the Chapter 13 Plan, transmit the proposed order to the Chapter 13 Trustee for approval as to form, and if so approved, the Chapter 13 Trustee will submit the proposed order to the court.

#### Final Ruling

7.

The motion has been set for hearing on the 35-days' notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rules 3015-1(d)(2), 9014-1(f)(1), and Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 3015(g). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36 + 6.36

The court has determined that oral argument will not assist in the decision-making process or resolution of the motion. See Local Bankr. R. 9014-1(h), 1001-1(f). This matter will therefore be decided on the papers.

The court's decision is to not permit the requested modification and not confirm the modified plan.

First, Section 3.07 of Debtor's plan provides for payments to Selene Finance for post-petition arrears in the amount of \$4,137.54. However, the Chapter 13 Trustee's records indicate that the total post-petition arrears are \$2,903.53 representing the months of February 2022 and March 2023. The Trustee has disbursed \$274.94 toward the post-petition arrears. Without providing for the correct amount in post-petition arrears, it cannot be determined whether the Debtor's plan is feasible.

Second, the Debtor is delinquent under the proposed plan. Section 2.01 of Debtor's plan provides for plan payments of \$2,977.00 for months 32-60 (June 2023 - October 2025). The Debtor has submitted only one payment of \$1,720.00 in June 2023. As such, Debtor is \$1,257.00 delinquent under the proposed plan.

The modified plan does not comply with 11 U.S.C.  $\S\S$  1322 and 1325(a) and is not confirmed.

The motion is ORDERED DENIED for reasons stated in the minutes.

8.  $\frac{19-27353}{\text{JCK}-5}$  -B-13 MAZEN HAWASH MOTION TO MODIFY PLAN  $\frac{\text{JCK}}{5}$  Gregory J. Smith 5-23-23 [ $\frac{79}{2}$ ]

### Final Ruling

The Chapter 13 Trustee having filed a supplemental ex parte motion to dismiss its opposition to Debtor's motion to modify plan, the objection is dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041. The matter is removed from the calendar.

There being no other objection to the requested modification, the modified plan filed May 23, 2023, will be confirmed.

The motion is ORDERED DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE for reasons stated in the minutes.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the motion is ORDERED GRANTED for reasons stated in the minutes. Counsel for the Debtor shall prepare an appropriate order confirming the Chapter 13 Plan, transmit the proposed order to the Chapter 13 Trustee for approval as to form, and if so approved, the Chapter 13 Trustee will submit the proposed order to the court.

9. <u>23-20161</u>-B-13 MACARIO LOPEZ RDG-1 Michael T. Reid

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO
CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY RUSSELL
D. GREER
5-22-23 [35]

CONTINUED TO 8/15/23 at 1:00 P.M., SACRAMENTO COURTROOM, TO BE HEARD AFTER THE CONTINUED MEETING OF CREDITORS SET FOR 8/09/23.

# Final Ruling

No appearance at the July 18, 2023, hearing is required. The court will issue an order.

10. 23-20088-B-13 SON/SAU NGUYEN MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN ANN V. Nguyen 6-9-23 [35]

## Final Ruling

The motion has been set for hearing on the 35-days' notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1(d) (1), 9014-1(f) (1), and Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f) (1) (B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). No opposition was filed. The matter will be resolved without oral argument. No appearance at the hearing is required.

The court's decision is to confirm the amended plan.

11 U.S.C.  $\S$  1323 permits a debtor to amend a plan any time before confirmation. The Debtors have provided evidence in support of confirmation. No opposition to the motion has been filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee or creditors. The amended plan complies with 11 U.S.C.  $\S\S$  1322 and 1325(a) and is confirmed.

The motion is ORDERED GRANTED for reasons stated in the minutes. Counsel for the Debtors shall prepare an appropriate order confirming the Chapter 13 Plan, transmit the proposed order to the Chapter 13 Trustee for approval as to form, and if so approved, the Chapter 13 Trustee will submit the proposed order to the court.