### UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

Eastern District of California

Honorable Christopher M. Klein Bankruptcy Judge Sacramento, California

### July 5, 2022 at 1:30 p.m.

L.	22-20502-C-13	JOHN/SHANNON ALVARADO	CONTINUED OBJECTION TO
	NLG-1	Mikalah Liviakis	CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY
			CREDITOR BANK UNITED, N.A.
			4-21-22 [ <u>19</u> ]

1

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 5, 2022 hearing is required.

The Objection has been set on Local Rule 9014-1(f)(2) notice which requires 14 days' notice. The Proof of Service shows that 31 days' notice was provided. Dkt. 22.

Upon review of the Motion and supporting pleadings, and the files in this case, the court has determined that oral argument will not be of assistance in ruling on the Motion.

The Objection to Confirmation of Plan is overruled as moot.

Creditor Bank United, N.A. filed this Objection To Confirmation on April 21, 2022. Thereafter, the debtor filed an amended plan and corresponding Motion To Confirm, making this Objection moot. Dkts. 32, 34.

Therefore, the Objection is overruled.

------

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Objection to the Chapter 13 Plan filed by the Bank United, N.A., having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

 $\ensuremath{\textsc{IT}}$  IS ORDERED that the Objection is overruled as moot.

July 5, 2022 at 1:30 p.m. Page 1 of 13 2. <u>22-21112</u>-C-13 REECE/RODINA VENTURA <u>CLH</u>-1 Peter Macaluso OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY BENJAMIN ZAMORA VILLANUEVA 6-16-22 [25]

## Thru #3

### Tentative Ruling:

The Objection has been set on Local Rule 9014-1(f)(2) notice which requires 14 days' notice. The Proof of Service shows that 20 days' notice was provided. Dkt. 29.

### The Objection to Confirmation of Plan is sustained.

Creditor, Benjamin Zamora Villanueva ("Creditor") opposes confirmation of the Chapter 13 plan on the basis that:

1. Plan does not provided for all claims filed, including the claim filed by this Creditor,

2. The debtors' schedules include many discrepancies and incomplete information of the debtors' income,

3. The debtors have failed to accurately and completely provided all information related to assets they have purchased in prior case, sold to  $3^{rd}$  parties, or inherited and this causes the liquidation assessment under § 1325(a) (4) to potentially fail,

4. The debtors have not filed the case in good faith.

#### DISCUSSION

The plan at Section 3.02 provides that Creditor's Proof of Claim, and not the plan, determines the amount and classification of a claim.

Notwithstanding whether the plan provides for all the claims as Creditor argues, the debtor has not carried his burden to show the plan is adequately funded. That is reason to deny confirmation. 11 U.S.C.  $\S$  1325(a)(6).

That is reason to deny confirmation. Therefore, the Objection is sustained.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Objection to the Chapter 13 Plan filed by Benjamin Zamora Villanueva, having been presented to the

> July 5, 2022 at 1:30 p.m. Page 2 of 13

court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Objection is sustained.

July 5, 2022 at 1:30 p.m. Page 3 of 13 3. <u>22-21112</u>-C-13 REECE/RODINA VENTURA <u>RDG</u>-1 Peter Macaluso OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY RUSSELL D. GREER 6-13-22 [<u>18</u>]

#### Tentative Ruling:

The Objection has been set on Local Rule 9014-1(f)(2) notice which requires 14 days' notice. The Proof of Service shows that 23 days' notice was provided. Dkt. 21.

## The Objection to Confirmation of Plan is sustained.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, Russell Greer ("Trustee"), opposes confirmation of the Chapter 13 plan on the basis that:

1. Debtors have not filed their 2018 or 2021 income tax returns,

2. The plan does not provide for all the claims filed by secured creditors,

3. The debtors have provided incomplete and inconsistent income information and have not updated Form 122C-1,

4. Debtors' have not accurately completed their Statement of Financial Affairs.

### DISCUSSION

The plan at Section 3.02 provides that Creditor's Proof of Claim, and not the plan, determines the amount and classification of a claim.

Notwithstanding whether the plan provides for all the claims as the Chapter 13 Trustee argues, the debtor has not carried his burden to show the plan is adequately funded. That is reason to deny confirmation. 11 U.S.C. 1325(a)(6).

Further, the debtor has not filed all required tax returns. 11 U.S.C. §§ 1308, 1325(a)(9). That is cause to deny confirmation. 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(1).

That is reason to deny confirmation. Therefore, the Objection is sustained.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

July 5, 2022 at 1:30 p.m. Page 4 of 13 The Objection to the Chapter 13 Plan filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee, Russell Greer, having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Objection is sustained.

July 5, 2022 at 1:30 p.m. Page 5 of 13 4. <u>22-20020</u>-C-13 SIMON SOU <u>TLA</u>-1 Thomas Amberg MOTION TO MODIFY PLAN 5-20-22 [25]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 5, 2022 hearing is required.

The Motion has been set on Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) procedure which requires 35 days' notice. The Proof of Service shows that 46 days' notice was provided. Dkt. 30.

No opposition has been filed. Therefore, the court enters the defaults of the non-responding parties in interest, finds there are no disputed material factual issues, and determines the matter will be resolved without oral argument. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995); *Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006).

# The Motion to Modify Plan is granted.

The debtor filed this Motion seeking to modify the terms of the confirmed plan pursuant to 11 U.S.C. \$ 1329.

No opposition to the Motion has been filed.

Upon review of the record, the court finds the plan complies with 11 U.S.C. \$\$ 1322, 1325(a), and 1329. The Motion is granted, and the plan is confirmed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Modify Plan filed by the debtor, Simon Sou, having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion is granted, the Modified Chapter 13 Plan (Dkt. 27) meets the requirements of 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325(a), and 1329, and the plan is confirmed. Counsel for the debtor shall prepare an appropriate order confirming the Chapter 13 Plan, transmit the proposed order to the Chapter 13 Trustee for approval as to form, and if so approved, the trustee will submit the proposed order to the court.

> July 5, 2022 at 1:30 p.m. Page 6 of 13

5. <u>19-20622</u>-C-13 MARCO CASTILLO <u>PGM</u>-8 Peter Macaluso

MOTION TO MODIFY PLAN 5-31-22 [119]

## Thru #6

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 5, 2022 hearing is required.

The Motion has been set on Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) procedure which requires 35 days' notice. The Proof of Service shows that 35 days' notice was provided. Dkt. 124.

No opposition has been filed. Therefore, the court enters the defaults of the non-responding parties in interest, finds there are no disputed material factual issues, and determines the matter will be resolved without oral argument. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995); *Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006).

## The Motion to Modify Plan is granted.

The debtor filed this Motion seeking to modify the terms of the confirmed plan pursuant to 11 U.S.C. 1329.

No opposition to the Motion has been filed.

Upon review of the record, the court finds the plan complies with 11 U.S.C. \$\$ 1322, 1325(a), and 1329. The Motion is granted, and the plan is confirmed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Modify Plan filed by the debtor, Marco Castillo, having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion is granted, the Modified Chapter 13 Plan (Dkt. 121) meets the requirements of 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325(a), and 1329, and the plan is confirmed. Counsel for the debtor shall prepare an appropriate order confirming the Chapter 13 Plan, transmit the proposed order to the Chapter 13 Trustee for approval as to form, and if so approved, the trustee will submit the proposed order to the court.

> July 5, 2022 at 1:30 p.m. Page 7 of 13

6. <u>19-20622</u>-C-13 MARCO CASTILLO <u>PGM</u>-9 Peter Macaluso MOTION TO APPROVE LOAN MODIFICATION 6-7-22 [<u>127</u>]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 5, 2022 hearing is required.

The Motion has been set on Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) procedure which requires 28 days' notice. The Proof of Service shows that 28 days' notice was provided. Dkt. 131.

No opposition has been filed. Therefore, the court enters the defaults of the non-responding parties in interest, finds there are no disputed material factual issues, and determines the matter will be resolved without oral argument. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995); *Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006).

## The Motion to Approve Loan Modification is granted.

The debtor, Marco Castillo, filed this Motion seeking authority to modify his loan agreement with Guild Mortgage.

The proposed financing is in the principal amount of \$140,169.28, paid at 3.25% interest over a 30 year term. Monthly payments are proposed to be \$1,118.55 including an amount for escrow.

The court finds that the proposed credit, based on the unique facts and circumstances of this case, is reasonable. There being no opposition from any party in interest and the terms being reasonable, the Motion is granted.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Approve Loan Modification filed by Marco Castillo having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion is granted. The debtor's counsel shall prepare an appropriate order granting the Motion, transmit the proposed order to the Chapter 13 Trustee for approval as to form, and if so approved submit the proposed order to the court.

July 5, 2022 at 1:30 p.m. Page 8 of 13 7. <u>18-27525</u>-C-13 TERENCE CAMPOLIETI <u>RDG</u>-1 Chad Johnson OBJECTION TO CLAIM OF DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY -INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, CLAIM NUMBER 7 5-24-22 [71]

#### Tentative Ruling:

The Objection has been set on Local Rule 3007-1(b)(2) procedure which requires 30 days' notice. The Proof of Service shows that 42 days' notice was provided. Dkt. 73.

The Objection to Proof of Claim is sustained, and the claim is disallowed in its entirety.

The Chapter 13 trustee filed this Objection arguing that Proof of Claim, No. 7-1, filed by Internal Revenue Service was filed late and should be disallowed.

The deadline for filing proofs of claim in this case is May 30, 2019. Notice of Bankruptcy Filing and Deadlines, Dkt. 15. The Proof of Claim subject to this Objection was filed April 27, 2022.

Based on the evidence before the court, the court finds the creditor's claim was filed untimely. The Objection to the Proof of Claim is sustained, and the claim is disallowed in its entirety.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Objection to Claim filed in this case by the Chapter 13 trustee, Russell D. Greer, having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Objection to Proof of Claim Number 7-1 of the Internal Revenue Service is sustained, and the claim is disallowed in its entirety.

> July 5, 2022 at 1:30 p.m. Page 9 of 13

8. <u>21-22036</u>-C-13 MEGAN ADCOCK GC<u>-2</u> Julius Cherry CONTINUED MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN 3-15-22 [<u>59</u>]

#### No Tentative Ruling:

The Motion has been set on Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) procedure which requires 35 days' notice. The Proof of Service shows that 70 days' notice was provided. Dkt. 64.

### The Motion to Confirm is xxxxxx

The debtor filed this Motion seeking to confirm the Chapter 13 Plan (Dkt. 63) filed on March 15, 2022.

The Chapter 13 Trustee filed an Opposition (Dkt. 69) on April 5, 2022, opposing confirmation on the following grounds:

1. The plan fails the liquidation analysis because the plan does not provide for interest at the Federal Judgement Rate on the amount owed to the general unsecured creditors.

2. The trustee requests that language in the order confirming plan include that debtor shall pay off the plan in full from the receipt of funds from her mother's estate no later than December 25, 2022.

#### DISCUSSION

At the hearing xxxxxx

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Confirm filed by the debtor, Megan Adcock, having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion is xxxxxx

July 5, 2022 at 1:30 p.m. Page 10 of 13 9. <u>20-23645</u>-C-13 ROSELYN SHANKAR <u>PLC</u>-3 Peter Cianchetta MOTION TO MODIFY PLAN 5-23-22 [60]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 5, 2022 hearing is required.

The Motion has been set on Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) procedure which requires 35 days' notice. The Proof of Service shows that 46 days' notice was provided. Dkt. 64.

No opposition has been filed. Therefore, the court enters the defaults of the non-responding parties in interest, finds there are no disputed material factual issues, and determines the matter will be resolved without oral argument. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995); *Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006).

# The Motion to Modify Plan is granted.

The debtor filed this Motion seeking to modify the terms of the confirmed plan pursuant to 11 U.S.C. \$ 1329.

No opposition to the Motion has been filed.

Upon review of the record, the court finds the plan complies with 11 U.S.C. \$\$ 1322, 1325(a), and 1329. The Motion is granted, and the plan is confirmed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Modify Plan filed by the debtor, Roselyn Shankar, having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion is granted, the Modified Chapter 13 Plan (Dkt. 63) meets the requirements of 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325(a), and 1329, and the plan is confirmed. Counsel for the debtor shall prepare an appropriate order confirming the Chapter 13 Plan, transmit the proposed order to the Chapter 13 Trustee for approval as to form, and if so approved, the trustee will submit the proposed order to the court.

> July 5, 2022 at 1:30 p.m. Page 11 of 13

10. <u>18-22164</u>-C-13 DAWN BARKLEY <u>MJD</u>-6 Matthew DeCaminada

MOTION TO MODIFY PLAN 5-9-22 [89]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 5, 2022 hearing is required.

The Motion has been set on Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) procedure which requires 35 days' notice. The Proof of Service shows that 57 days' notice was provided. Dkt. 94.

No opposition has been filed. Therefore, the court enters the defaults of the non-responding parties in interest, finds there are no disputed material factual issues, and determines the matter will be resolved without oral argument. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995); *Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006).

# The Motion to Modify Plan is granted.

The debtor filed this Motion seeking to modify the terms of the confirmed plan pursuant to 11 U.S.C. \$ 1329.

No opposition to the Motion has been filed.

Upon review of the record, the court finds the plan complies with 11 U.S.C. \$\$ 1322, 1325(a), and 1329. The Motion is granted, and the plan is confirmed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Modify Plan filed by the debtor, Dawn Barkley, having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion is granted, the Modified Chapter 13 Plan (Dkt. 91) meets the requirements of 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325(a), and 1329, and the plan is confirmed. Counsel for the debtor shall prepare an appropriate order confirming the Chapter 13 Plan, transmit the proposed order to the Chapter 13 Trustee for approval as to form, and if so approved, the trustee will submit the proposed order to the court.

> July 5, 2022 at 1:30 p.m. Page 12 of 13

11. <u>21-23870</u>-C-13 HEATHER/PATRICK NEVIN <u>SLH</u>-1 Seth Hanson

MOTION TO MODIFY PLAN 5-17-22 [3Plea5]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 5, 2022 hearing is required.

The Motion has been set on Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) procedure which requires 35 days' notice. The Proof of Service shows that 49 days' notice was provided. Dkt. 39.

No opposition has been filed. Therefore, the court enters the defaults of the non-responding parties in interest, finds there are no disputed material factual issues, and determines the matter will be resolved without oral argument. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995); *Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006).

## The Motion to Modify Plan is granted.

The debtors filed this Motion seeking to modify the terms of the confirmed plan pursuant to 11 U.S.C. \$ 1329.

No opposition to the Motion has been filed.

Upon review of the record, the court finds the plan complies with 11 U.S.C. \$\$ 1322, 1325(a), and 1329. The Motion is granted, and the plan is confirmed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Modify Plan filed by the debtors, Heather and Patrick Nevin, having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion is granted, the Modified Chapter 13 Plan (Dkt. 31) meets the requirements of 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325(a), and 1329, and the plan is confirmed. Counsel for the debtors shall prepare an appropriate order confirming the Chapter 13 Plan, transmit the proposed order to the Chapter 13 Trustee for approval as to form, and if so approved, the trustee will submit the proposed order to the court.

> July 5, 2022 at 1:30 p.m. Page 13 of 13