UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT Eastern District of California

Honorable Christopher M. Klein Bankruptcy Judge Sacramento, California

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m.

1.	<u>18-23503</u> -C-13	MICHAEL YANG
	DPC-2	Diana Cavanaugh

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 6-3-20 [69]

Tentative Ruling: Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court's resolution of the matter.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed, material, factual issues remain to be resolved, then a later evidentiary hearing will be set. LOCAL BANKR. R. 9014-1(g).

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtor, Michael C. Yang ("Debtor"), is delinquent \$3,027.59 with the monthly payment being \$2,799.05.

DEBTOR'S OPPOSITION

Debtor filed an Opposition on June 17, 2020. Dckt. 73. Debtor states the delinquency will be cured prior to the hearing date.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 1 of 168

DISCUSSION

Debtor is 3,027.59 delinquent in plan payments. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1)

Unfortunately for Debtor, a promise to pay is not evidence that resolves the Motion.

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

Tentative Ruling: Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court's resolution of the matter.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed, material, factual issues remain to be resolved, then a later evidentiary hearing will be set. LOCAL BANKR. R. 9014-1(g).

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtor, Randle Wayne Hodge ("Debtor"), is delinquent in payments in the amount of \$13,961.61 with the monthly payment being \$3,115.27.

DEBTOR'S RESPONSE

Debtor filed a Response on June 17, 2020. Dckt. 39. Debtor states a modified plan will be filed to cure the delinquency.

DISCUSSION

Debtor is \$13,961.61 delinquent in plan payments. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. 1307(c)(1).

Unfortunately for Debtor, a promise to file a modified plan is not evidence that resolves the Motion.

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 3 of 168 Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

Tentative Ruling: Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court's resolution of the matter.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed, material, factual issues remain to be resolved, then a later evidentiary hearing will be set. LOCAL BANKR. R. 9014-1(g).

The Motion to Dismiss is granted.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtor, LaToya Kentrice Carter ("Debtor"), is delinquent \$2,093.12 with the monthly payment being \$1,810.74.

DEBTOR'S OPPOSITION

Debtor filed an Opposition on June 17, 2020. Dckt. 61. Debtor states the delinquency will be cured prior to the hearing date.

DISCUSSION

Debtor is \$2,093.12 delinquent in plan payments. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. 1307(c)(1).

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 5 of 168 The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 6 of 168 Tentative Ruling: Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court's resolution of the matter.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed, material, factual issues remain to be resolved, then a later evidentiary hearing will be set. LOCAL BANKR. R. 9014-1(g).

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtor, Evelynn J. Carr ("Debtor"), is delinquent in Plan Payments in the amount of \$16,262.72 representing multiple months of Debtor's \$2,162.44 monthly payment.

Trustee's payment history included in the motion shows Debtor has only been paying the \$1,1750 adequate protection payment to a creditor with a secured claim they are trying to get modified. See Dckt. 35.

DEBTOR'S OPPOSITION

Debtor filed an Opposition on June 17, 2020. Dckt. 62. Debtor states she will meet with counsel on June 19, 2020 to draft, serve, and set a Modified Plan for a confirmation hearing and Debtor will be current under the Modified Plan.

DISCUSSION

Debtor is delinquent in plan payments. While Debtor states a modified plan will be filed, a review of the docket does not show such a plan.

Moreover, it's not clear whether this case is being prosecuted in good faith. The Debtor appears to have only been paying an amount necessary to satisfy the creditor Debtor seeks a loan modification with. The monthly payment of \$1,600, which is a reduction from the confirmed plan

> July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 7 of 168

payment of \$2,237, has never been made.

Debtor initially stated his disposable monthly income was \$2,237. Dckt. 1. Then that amount was reduced to \$1,600 without explanation. Dckt. 42. The court continued the confirmation hearing on Debtor's then-pending modified plan to allow Debtor to supplement the record explaining changes to income and expenses, but ultimately denied the motion to confirm without prejudice when Debtor did not file anything. Dckt. 60.

Debtor is not making the required payment under either the confirmed plan or the proposed modified plan that was denied confirmation. Debtor is not paying all his income into the plan. Debtor is simply not prosecuting the case. Debtor is causing unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. 1307(c)(1).

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

<u>17-27411</u>-C-13 DPC-1

5.

STACEY BASE Mikalah Liviakis

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 6-3-20 [17]

Tentative Ruling: Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court's resolution of the matter.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed, material, factual issues remain to be resolved, then a later evidentiary hearing will be set. LOCAL BANKR. R. 9014-1(g).

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtor, Stacey Ann Base ("Debtor"), is \$7,288.67 delinquent with a monthly payment of \$1,982.69.

DEBTOR'S RESPONSE

Debtor filed a Response on June 18, 2020, indicating the Debtor fell delinquent due to increased expenses, but will have enough to cure the delinquency by the hearing date.

DISCUSSION

Debtor delinquent in plan payments. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. 1307(c)(1).

Unfortunately for Debtor, a promise to pay is not evidence that resolves the Motion.

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 9 of 168 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

6.

No Tentative Ruling: Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court's resolution of the matter.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed, material, factual issues remain to be resolved, then a later evidentiary hearing will be set. LOCAL BANKR. R. 9014-1(g).

The Motion to Dismiss is XXXX

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtor, Katie Miller ("Debtor"), is \$4,852.49 delinquent with plan payments of \$995.75.

DEBTOR'S RESPONSE

Debtor's counsel filed an a Response on June 15, 2020. Dckt. 52. Counsel reports he anticipates converting the case to Chapter 7.

DISCUSSION

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause

> July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 11 of 168

appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is **xxxxx**

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 12 of 168

17-27521-C-13LUCIANO/MAGELIN VENTURAMOTION TO DISMISS CASEDPC-2Mark Wolff6-3-20 [65]

Tentative Ruling: Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court's resolution of the matter.

7.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed, material, factual issues remain to be resolved, then a later evidentiary hearing will be set. LOCAL BANKR. R. 9014-1(g).

The Motion to Dismiss is denied without prejudice.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtor, Luciano A Ventura and Magelin R Ventura ("Debtor"), is \$13,251.43 delinquent with a monthly plan payment of \$3,419.00.

DEBTOR'S OPPOSITION

Debtor filed an Opposition on June 16, 2020, representing a modified plan will be filed to address the delinquency. Dckt. 69.

DISCUSSION

Debtor filed a Modified Plan and Motion to Confirm on June 24, 2020. Dckt. 72. The court has reviewed the Motion to Confirm the Modified Plan and the Declaration in support filed by Debtor. Dckt. 74. The Motion appears to comply with Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9013 (stating grounds with particularity), and the Declaration appears to provide testimony as to facts to support confirmation based upon Debtor's personal knowledge. FED. R. EVID. 601, 602.

Debtor appearing to be actively prosecuting this case, the Motion to Dismiss is denied without prejudice.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 13 of 168 The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is denied without prejudice.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 14 of 168

8. <u>15-26222</u>-C-13 JOHN/ROBYN BURWELL <u>DPC</u>-6 Michael Benavides

Tentative Ruling: Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court's resolution of the matter.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed, material, factual issues remain to be resolved, then a later evidentiary hearing will be set. LOCAL BANKR. R. 9014-1(g).

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtor, John Burwell and Robyn Burwell ("Debtor"), is \$2,902.18 delinquent with plan payments of \$1,274.27.

DEBTOR'S OPPOSITION

Debtor filed an Opposition on June 15, 2020, representing the delinquency will be cured by the hearing date. Dckt. 102.

DISCUSSION

Debtor delinquent in plan payments. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. 1307(c)(1).

Unfortunately for Debtor, a promise to pay is not evidence that resolves the Motion.

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 15 of 168 The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 16 of 168 THOMAS WARD Eric Schwab

Tentative Ruling: Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court's resolution of the matter.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on February 5, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed, material, factual issues remain to be resolved, then a later evidentiary hearing will be set. LOCAL BANKR. R. 9014-1(g).

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtor, Thomas Anthony Ward ("Debtor"), is \$11,927.78 delinquent in plan payments.

DEBTOR'S OPPOSITION

Debtor filed an Opposition on February 19, 2020. Dckt. 91. Debtor states a modified plan will be filed prior to the hearing date.

MARCH HEARING

At the March 4, 2020, hearing the Trustee and Debtor both supported a continuance so Debtor can become current. Dckt. 93.

DISCUSSION

Debtor is \$11,927.78 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$3,969.26 plan payment. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Though the court has continued the hearing on this Motion, nothing further has been filed by Debtor. In February 2020 Debtor represented that a modified plan and motion to confirm would be filed.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 17 of 168 Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

10.16-25337-C-13
DPC-6DEWAYNE WILLIAMS
Peter Cianchetta

Tentative Ruling: Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court's resolution of the matter.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed, material, factual issues remain to be resolved, then a later evidentiary hearing will be set. LOCAL BANKR. R. 9014-1(g).

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtor, Dewayne Latrall Williams ("Debtor"), is \$10,363.46 delinquent with a monthly plan payment of \$2,976.78.

DEBTOR'S REPLY

Debtor filed a Reply on June 17, 2020. Dckt. 151. Debtor states a modified plan will be filed prior to the hearing date, and the delinquency will be cured under the modified plan.

DISCUSSION

Debtor is delinquent in plan payments. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. \$ 1307(c)(1).

Unfortunately for Debtor, a promise to file a modified plan is not evidence that resolves the

Motion.

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 19 of 168 The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 20 of 168 **Tentative Ruling:** Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court's resolution of the matter.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 1, 2020. By the court's calculation, 30 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed, material, factual issues remain to be resolved, then a later evidentiary hearing will be set. LOCAL BANKR. R. 9014-1(g).

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtors, John Louis Hunt and Stacy Lee Hunt ("Debtor"), are in material default because the priority claims were \$3,779.15 greater than scheduled and the plan will take 72 months to finish.

DEBTOR'S REPLY

Debtor filed a Reply on June 15, 2020. Dckt. 35. Debtor states they will file a modified plan prior to the hearing date that uses money pledged by a family member to resolve the case early, or start making monthly plan payments of \$445.00 beginning June 25, 2020 based on Trustee's calculations to complete the plan within sixty months.

DISCUSSION

Debtor is in material default under the Plan because according to Trustee's calculations, the Plan will complete in 72 month because filed priority claims filed \$3,779.15 were greater than scheduled. Section 6.04 of the Plan makes that failure a breach of the Plan in addition to violating the Bankruptcy Code. Failure to provide for those claims puts Debtor in material default of the confirmed Plan. *See* 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

Unfortunately for Debtor, a promise to file a modified plan is not evidence that resolves the Motion.

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 21 of 168

is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

12.19-21741
-C-13C-13ROLDAN SEBEDIA
Matthew DeCaminada

Tentative Ruling: Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court's resolution of the matter.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed, material, factual issues remain to be resolved, then a later evidentiary hearing will be set. LOCAL BANKR. R. 9014-1(g).

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtor, Roldan Biansat Sebedia ("Debtor"), is \$7,525 delinquent with monthly plan payments of \$2,925.00.

DEBTOR'S OPPOSITION

Debtor filed an Opposition June 17, 2020. Dckt. 87. Debtor states a modified plan will be filed prior to the hearing date.

DISCUSSION

Debtor is \$7,525.00 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$2,925.00 plan payment. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Unfortunately for Debtor, a promise to file a modified plan is not evidence that resolves the

Motion.

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 23 of 168 The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 24 of 168 **No Tentative Ruling:** Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court's resolution of the matter.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed, material, factual issues remain to be resolved, then a later evidentiary hearing will be set. LOCAL BANKR. R. 9014-1(g).

The Motion to Dismiss is xxxxx.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtor, Diane Sue Pilon ("Debtor"), is delinquent \$1,500 in plan payments.

In a Supplement, the Trustee reports the Debtor is deceased, and that there may be Estate funds relating to life insurance proceeds. Dckt. 30.

DEBTOR'S DAUGHTER'S RESPONSE

Debtor's daughter, Alicia Christ, filed a Response on June 24, 2020. Dckt. 32. Debtor's daughter represents that the life insurance proceeds went to her and her aunt, and that Debtor's pension did not provide death benefits.

DISCUSSION

Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 1016 provides that, in the event a debtor passes away in a case "pending under chapter 11, chapter 12, or chapter 13, the case may be dismissed; or **if further administration is possible and in the best interest of the parties**, the case may proceed and be concluded in the same manner, so far as possible, as though the death or incompetency had not occurred."

No party has argued whether further administration of the case is possible and in the best interest of the parties.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 25 of 168 Additionally, if the case is to be dismissed, 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c) requires the court to determine whether dismissal or conversions in the best interests of creditors and the estate.

A review of the Schedules discloses that the late Debtor's assets include a modular home with a value of \$69,900. Dckt. 1 at 13. The modular home is unencumbered. Debtor lists no other significant assets.

At the hearing, **xxxxxxxxx**

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is **xxxxx**.

14.18-25051-C-13JUSTIN/CHRISTINA BORGESDPC-4Mikalah Liviakis

Tentative Ruling: Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court's resolution of the matter.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed, material, factual issues remain to be resolved, then a later evidentiary hearing will be set. LOCAL BANKR. R. 9014-1(g).

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtors, Justin David Borges and Christina Rene Borges ("Debtor"), are \$10,740.55 delinquent with a monthly plan payment of \$3,956.64.

DEBTOR'S RESPONSE

Debtor filed a Response on June 16, 2020. Dckt. 56. Debtor states Debtor's business slowed down due to the COVID-19 pandemic, and Debtor will cure the delinquency prior to the hearing date. Declaration, Dckt. 57.

DISCUSSION

Debtor delinquent in plan payments. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. 1307(c)(1).

Unfortunately a promise to pay is not evidence that resolves the Motion. While Debtor has provided a declaration in opposition to the Motion, it provides a short statement of several conclusions and little factual testimony.

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 27 of 168 Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

15.19-24657-C-13MICHAEL/BRANDI SMIRLDPC-2Gabriel Liberman

Tentative Ruling: Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court's resolution of the matter.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed, material, factual issues remain to be resolved, then a later evidentiary hearing will be set. LOCAL BANKR. R. 9014-1(g).

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtors, Michael Jon Smirl and Brandi Victoria Smirl ("Debtor"), are \$6,375.45 delinquent with monthly plan payments of \$2,125.15.

DEBTOR'S RESPONSE

Debtor filed a Response on June 16, 2020. Dckt. 48. Debtor states the delinquency occurred due to a loss of income resulting from the COVID-19 pandemic. Declaration, Dckt. 49. Debtor intends to file a modified plan to extend the length of the plan under the CARES Act prior to the hearing date.

DISCUSSION

Debtor delinquent in plan payments. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. 1307(c)(1).

A promise to file a modified plan is not evidence that resolves the Motion.

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 29 of 168 hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

16.19-24259-C-13STEPHEN/PAULA MEYERDPC-2Chad Johnson

Tentative Ruling: Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court's resolution of the matter.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed, material, factual issues remain to be resolved, then a later evidentiary hearing will be set. LOCAL BANKR. R. 9014-1(g).

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtors, Stephen Wilfred Meyer and Paula Rana Meyer ("Debtor"), are \$22,565.00 delinquent with a monthly plan payment of \$3,386.00.

DEBTOR'S RESPONSE

Debtor filed a Response on June 17, 2020. Dckt. 59. Debtor states a modified plan will be filed prior to the hearing date.

DISCUSSION

Debtor is delinquent in plan payments. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. 1307(c)(1).

Unfortunately for Debtor, a promise to file a modified plan is not evidence that resolves the Motion.

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 31 of 168 hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

Tentative Ruling: Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court's resolution of the matter.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed, material, factual issues remain to be resolved, then a later evidentiary hearing will be set. LOCAL BANKR. R. 9014-1(g).

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtor, Mark Alan Kelley ("Debtor"), is \$48,597.52 delinquent with a monthly plan payment of \$6,970.94.

DEBTOR'S OPPOSITION

Debtor filed an Opposition on June 17, 2020. Dckt. 41. Debtor states a modified plan will be filed prior to the hearing date.

DISCUSSION

Debtor is delinquent in plan payments. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. 1307(c)(1).

Unfortunately for Debtor, a promise to file a modified plan is not evidence that resolves the Motion.

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 33 of 168 Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

JOHN/OLIVIA D'ANTONIO Pauldeep Bains

Appearance At the July 1, 2020 Hearing Required For Debtors John A. D'Antonio and Olivia M. D'Antonio, and Debtor's Attorney Pauldeep Bains, Esq.

Telephonic Appearances Permitted

Tentative Ruling: Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court's resolution of the matter.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on April 29, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed, material, factual issues remain to be resolved, then a later evidentiary hearing will be set. LOCAL BANKR. R. 9014-1(g).

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtors, John A D'Antonio and Olivia M D'Antonio ("Debtor"), are delinquent \$21,350.00 under the plan and April 2020 is month 63 which exceeds the plan term.

DEBTOR'S RESPONSE

Debtor filed an a Response on May 13, 2020. Dckt. 247. Debtor's counsel concedes the delinquency but expresses hope the delinquency will be cured prior to the hearing date.

MAY 2020 HEARING

After the May 27, 2020, hearing the court issued an order continuing the hearing to allow a final opportunity to cure the delinquency. Order, Dckt. 248.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 35 of 168 The order also required that John A. D'Antonio and Olivia M. D'Antonio (the Debtors), and Pauldeep Bains, Debtors' attorney, and each of them shall appear in person (telephonically if physical access is not then permitted) at the July 1, 2020 hearing, and at any other hearing in this Bankruptcy Case for which they or their attorney have filed any pleading or have presented any position or request for relief from the court.

DISCUSSION

Debtor is \$21,350 delinquent under the plan. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. 1307(c)(1). And, with the Debtor being in month 63, this is not a case where a modified plan can be proposed.

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

19.19-20666-C-13SHARONDA WHITEDPC-2Richard Sturdevant

Tentative Ruling: Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court's resolution of the matter.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed, material, factual issues remain to be resolved, then a later evidentiary hearing will be set. LOCAL BANKR. R. 9014-1(g).

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtor, Sharonda Renita Layvette White ("Debtor"), is \$4,704.55 delinquent with a monthly plan payment of \$2,481.44.

DEBTOR'S OPPOSITION

Debtor filed an Opposition on June 17, 2020. Dckt. 38. Debtor states the delinquency will be cured prior to the hearing date.

DISCUSSION

Debtor is delinquent in plan payments. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. 1307(c)(1).

Unfortunately for Debtor, a promise to pay is not evidence that resolves the Motion.

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 37 of 168 The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 38 of 168 **Tentative Ruling:** Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court's resolution of the matter.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Hearing Required.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor (pro se) and Office of the United States Trustee on June 1, 2020. By the court's calculation, 30 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party's failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion).

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that:

- 1. the debtor, Brian Keith Hamilton ("Debtor"), has failed to file a new plan.
- 2. Debtor has failed to appear and be examined at the Meeting of Creditors.

DISCUSSION

A review of the docket, and the Trustee's Report filed June 11, 2020, shows Debtor appeared at the continued 341 Meeting and that the Meeting was concluded.

However, Debtor still has not filed an amended plan or a motion to confirm following the court's denial of confirmation to Debtor's prior plan on May 12, 2020. Debtor offers no explanation for the delay in setting a plan for confirmation. That is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Additionally, the court notes that there has never really been a pending plan. The proposed

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 39 of 168 plan filed had no plan payment amount, plan length, percent dividend to unsecured claims, estimate as to priority claims, or any claims to be paid. Dckt. 7.

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

Prior Cases

The court notes that Debtor has had two recent prior cases in this District.

Chapter 13 Case, 19-20957, In Pro Se

Filed.....February 19, 2019 Dismissed.....September 24, 2019

No Chapter 13 Plan Confirmed

Chapter 13 Case, 18-20994, Samule Williams, Esq.

Filed.....February 22, 2018 Dismissed....October 10, 2018

No Chapter 13 Plan Confirmed

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

21.15-20871-C-13SHARMAGNE WINBUSHDPC-3Marc Carpenter

Tentative Ruling: Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court's resolution of the matter.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed, material, factual issues remain to be resolved, then a later evidentiary hearing will be set. LOCAL BANKR. R. 9014-1(g).

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtor, Sharmagne L. Winbush ("Debtor"), is \$6,313.62 delinquent with a monthly plan payment of \$2,177.20.

DEBTOR'S RESPONSE

Debtor filed a Response on June 17, 2020. Dckt. 54. Debtor states the delinquency occurred due to a medical surgery. Declaration, Dckt. 56. Debtor states she made a payment of \$2,200.00 to the Trustee on June 9, 2020, and the rest of the delinquency will be cured and new plan filed prior to the hearing date.

DISCUSSION

Debtor is delinquent in plan payments. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. 1307(c)(1).

Unfortunately for Debtor, a promise to pay and file a new plan are not evidence that resolves the Motion.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 41 of 168 hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

22. <u>16-20373</u>-C-13 BOATAMO MOSUPYOE <u>DPC</u>-10 David Foyil

Tentative Ruling: Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court's resolution of the matter.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed, material, factual issues remain to be resolved, then a later evidentiary hearing will be set. LOCAL BANKR. R. 9014-1(g).

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtor, Boatamo Mosupyoe ("Debtor"), is delinquent \$2,779.04 with monthly plan payments of \$556.00.

DEBTOR'S OPPOSITION

Debtor filed an Opposition on June 17, 2020. Dckt. 243. Debtor states the delinquency will be cured prior to the hearing date.

DISCUSSION

Debtor is \$2,977.04 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$556.00 plan payment. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Unfortunately for Debtor, a promise to pay is not evidence that resolves the Motion.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 43 of 168 The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 44 of 168

23. <u>19-26979</u>-C-13 DOROTHY MIKO <u>DPC</u>-2 David Foyil

No Tentative Ruling: Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court's resolution of the matter.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 1, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed, material, factual issues remain to be resolved, then a later evidentiary hearing will be set. LOCAL BANKR. R. 9014-1(g).

The Motion to Dismiss is xxxxx

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtor, Dorothy Norma Miko ("Debtor"), failed to file an amended plan after Trustee's Objection to Confirmation was sustained and Debtor's Plan was denied on January 28, 2020.

DEBTOR'S OPPOSITION

Trustee filed on June 18, 2020. a note received by Debtor (without the assistance of Debtor's counsel) which Trustee deemed an Opposition. Dckt. 37. Debtor describes having difficulty in pursuing a loan modification with Debtor's mortgage lender, and explains health issues and unexpected increased to income and decreases to income.

DISCUSSION

Since the case was filed in November 2019, Debtor has filed one plan. The Trustee filed an Objection To Confirmation (Dckt. 19), which was sustained January 28, 2020. Dckt. 26.

Since then, nothing has really been done to prosecute the case.

At the hearing, Debtor's counsel reported the status of the case xxxxxxxxxxx.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 45 of 168 Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is **xxxxxx**

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 46 of 168

24. <u>18-26982</u>-C-13 RUSSELL PUCKETT <u>DPC</u>-1 Eric Schwab

No Tentative Ruling: Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court's resolution of the matter.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Hearing Required.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 1, 2020. By the court's calculation, 30 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party's failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the respondent and other parties in interest are entered.

The Motion to Dismiss is XXXXXX

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtor, Russell Howell Puckett ("Debtor"), is in material default under the Plan section 5.03 because the plan will take 60 months due to unsecured claims being nearly \$10,000 greater than scheduled.

DISCUSSION

A review of the plan shows the section to which Trustee refers is actually 6.04 for "Remedies upon default." Dckt. 29. That section allows Trustee to seek dismissal of the case if the plan will complete more than 6 months from the stated plan term, which is the case here.

The court notes that 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c) requires the court to determine whether dismissal or conversion is in the best interest of creditors.

At the hearing, Debtor's counsel reported xxxxxxxxxxx.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 47 of 168 Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is **xxxxxxx**

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 48 of 168

25. <u>16-21283</u>-C-13 CRAIG MAKISHIMA <u>DPC</u>-6 Cindy Hill

<u>Thru #26</u>

Tentative Ruling: Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court's resolution of the matter.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed, material, factual issues remain to be resolved, then a later evidentiary hearing will be set. LOCAL BANKR. R. 9014-1(g).

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtor, Craig S Makishima ("Debtor"), is delinquent in plan payments in the amount of \$140,076.80 with a monthly plan payment of \$1,428.80.

DEBTOR'S OPPOSITION AND COUNTER MOTION TO EXTEND TIME

Debtor filed an Opposition and Counter Motion to Extend Time on June 17, 2020. Dckt. 145. Debtor states medical problems have affected his ability to operate his dental practice and he has been unable to sell the practice because a buyer backed out. Debtor's Decl., Dckt. 146. Debtor expects to sell his business within 6 months now that the business has been allowed to reopen.

Debtor's counsel argues the delinquency is due to a lump sum due January 2020, and represents Debtor requests the payment be postponed to December 2020 as Debtor is not otherwise altering the plan terms.

DISCUSSION

Debtor is \$140,076.80 delinquent in plan payments. Of that, \$123,648 was supposed to be from a lump sum made to the IRS in January 2020. Dckt. 130.

While Debtor's counsel argues an extension on the lump sum specified to be made in January 2020 by the confirmed plan is not significant, Debtor's counsel does not give the court a legal basis for

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 49 of 168 modifying the plan via an opposition to a dismissal motion.

As it stands there is a substantial delinquency in payments and Debtor has not cured the delinquency or proposed a modified plan. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

COUNTER MOTION TO EXTEND TIME FOR LUMP SUM PAYMENT 6-17-20 [145]

The Counter Motion shall be heard in conjunction with the Motion to Dismiss.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 51 of 168

27.19-20686-C-13
DPC-1GERALDINE MITCHELL
Chad Johnson

Tentative Ruling: Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court's resolution of the matter.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed, material, factual issues remain to be resolved, then a later evidentiary hearing will be set. LOCAL BANKR. R. 9014-1(g).

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtor, Geraldine A Mitchell ("Debtor"), is delinquent in plan payments in the amount of \$43,426.31 with a monthly plan payment of \$3,688.28.

DEBTOR'S RESPONSE

Debtor filed a Response on June 17, 2020. Dckt. 26. Debtor states she will have a modified plan filed the week of June 20, 2020.

DISCUSSION

Delinquent

Debtor is \$43,426.31 delinquent in plan payments. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. 1307(c)(1).

Unfortunately for Debtor, a promise to file a modified plan is not evidence that resolves the Motion.

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 52 of 168 Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

Tentative Ruling: Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court's resolution of the matter.

Below is the court's tentative ruling, rendered on the assumption that there will be no opposition to the motion. If there is opposition presented, the court will consider the opposition and whether further hearing is proper pursuant to Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(2)(C).

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(2) Motion—Hearing Required.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 12, 2020. By the court's calculation, 19 days' notice was provided. 14 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss was properly set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(2). Debtor, creditors, the Chapter 13 Trustee, the U.S. Trustee, and any other parties in interest were not required to file a written response or opposition to the motion. If any of these potential respondents appear at the hearing and offer opposition to the motion, the court will set a briefing schedule and a final hearing unless there is no need to develop the record further. If no opposition is offered at the hearing, the court will take up the merits of the motion. At the hearing -----

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that:

- 1. the debtor, Kala Washington ("Debtor"), is delinquent in plan payments in the amount of \$7,096.76 with a monthly payment of \$3,548.38.
- 2. Debtor failed to file an amended plan after Trustee's Objection to Confirmation was sustained on June 2, 2020.

DISCUSSION

Debtor is \$7,096.76 delinquent in plan payments. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. 1307(c)(1).

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 54 of 168 Additionally, Debtor has not filed a Plan or a Motion to Confirm a Plan following the court's denial of confirmation to Debtor's prior plan on June 2, 2020. Debtor offers no explanation for the delay in setting a plan for confirmation. That is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

29.18-25291-C-13
DPC-1RONALD/PATRICIA LUDINGTON
Joseph CanningMOTION TO DISMISS CASE
6-1-20 [20]

Tentative Ruling: Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court's resolution of the matter.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 1, 2020. By the court's calculation, 30 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed, material, factual issues remain to be resolved, then a later evidentiary hearing will be set. LOCAL BANKR. R. 9014-1(g).

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtor, Ronald James Ludington and Patricia Ann Ludington's ("Debtor"), plan will complete in 76 months because Class 1 mortgage arrears claim was \$14,435.21 greater than scheduled.

DEBTOR'S OPPOSITION

Debtor filed an Opposition on June 17, 2020. Dckt. 24. Debtor states a modified plan will be filed and set for hearing before the hearing date.

DISCUSSION

Debtor is in material default under the Plan because the Plan will complete in 76 months when the maximum allowable time is 60 months. Section 6.04 of the Plan makes that failure a breach of the Plan in addition to violating the Bankruptcy Code. Failure to provide for those claims puts Debtor in material default of the confirmed Plan. *See* 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

Unfortunately for Debtor, a promise to file a modified plan is not evidence that resolves the Motion.

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 56 of 168 Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

30.16-20096
DPC-1C-13DERICK GOLDEN
Matthew DeCaminada

Tentative Ruling: Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court's resolution of the matter.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed, material, factual issues remain to be resolved, then a later evidentiary hearing will be set. LOCAL BANKR. R. 9014-1(g).

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtor, Derick Lamont Golden ("Debtor"), is delinquent in plan payments in the amount of \$2,120.00 which represents multiple months of Debtor's \$530.00 monthly payment.

DEBTOR'S OPPOSITION

Debtor filed an Opposition on June 17, 2020. Dckt. 48. Debtor states the delinquency will not be curable and was caused by loss of income due to COVID-19. Debtor's Decl., Dckt. 49. Debtor states a modified plan will be on the docket before the hearing. *Id*.

DISCUSSION

Debtor is \$2,120.00 delinquent in plan payments. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. \$1307(c)(1).

Unfortunately for Debtor, a promise to file a modified plan is not evidence that resolves the Motion.

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 58 of 168 hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

FINAL RULINGS

31.<u>18-27282</u>-C-13LEO CABRALDPC-1Gabriel Liberman

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 6-1-20 [22]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 1, 2020. By the court's calculation, 30 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition.

Upon review of the Motion and supporting pleadings, and the files in this case, the court has determined that oral argument will not be of assistance in ruling on the Motion. The defaults of the non-responding parties in interest are entered.

The hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is continued to 9:00 a.m. on September 23, 2020.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtor, Leo Cabral's ("Debtor"), Chapter 13 plan will complete 116 months because \$12,664.74 remains to be paid through the plan excluding future monthly contract installment amounts.

DEBTOR'S RESPONSE

Debtor filed a Response on June 16, 2020. Dckt. 26. Debtor states the delinquency was caused by income reduction due to COVID-19 and he will use the CARES Act to file a modified plan to extend the life of his plan beyond 60 months. Debtor's Decl., 27.

DISCUSSION

Debtor is in material default under the Plan because the Plan will complete in 116 months instead of the maximum allowable 60 months. Section 6.04 of the Plan makes that failure a breach of the Plan in addition to violating the Bankruptcy Code. Failure to provide for those claims puts Debtor in material default of the confirmed Plan. *See* 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

A promise to file a new plan or pay is not evidence that resolves the Motion, . However, given the Debtor's prosecution of this case (there being a confirmed plan that now needs to be modified

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 60 of 168 or default cured), the financial uncertainty concerning employment, and Debtor's clear, personal knowledge testimony concerning financial and economic factors Debtor is wrestling with, a continuance of this hearing is warranted.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is continued to 9:00 a.m. on September 23, 2020.

32.17-27598-C-13
DPC-3RICHARD/LAURA HILLMAN
Michael Hays

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 6-3-20 [53]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—No Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party's failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the respondent and other parties in interest are entered. Upon review of the record, there are no disputed material factual issues, and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. The court will issue its ruling from the parties' pleadings.

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtor, Richard Edwin Hillman and Laura Ann Hillman ("Debtor"), is delinquent in plan payments in the amount of \$2,665.64 which represents multiple months of Debtor's \$2,535.25 monthly payment.

DISCUSSION

Debtor is 2,535.25 delinquent in plan payments. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 62 of 168 The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 63 of 168 AILEEN AMBROSIO Jessica Galletta

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—No Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 1, 2020. By the court's calculation, 30 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party's failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the respondent and other parties in interest are entered. Upon review of the record, there are no disputed material factual issues, and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. The court will issue its ruling from the parties' pleadings.

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that:

- 1. the debtor, Aileen Fermin Ambrosio ("Debtor"), is delinquent in plan payments in the amount of \$17,299.90 which represents multiple months of Debtor's \$4,550.00 monthly payment.
- 2. Debtor has failed to file an amended plan after Debtor's previous Motion to Confirm was denied on February 25, 2020.

DISCUSSION

Debtor is \$17,299.90 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the 4,550.00 plan payment. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Debtor did not file a Plan or a Motion to Confirm a Plan following the court's denial of confirmation to Debtor's prior plan on February 25, 2020. A review of the docket shows that Debtor has

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 64 of 168 not yet filed a new plan or a motion to confirm a plan. Debtor offers no explanation for the delay in setting a plan for confirmation. That is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. \$ 1307(c)(1).

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—No Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. Cf. Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party's failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo), 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the respondent and other parties in interest are entered. Upon review of the record, there are no disputed material factual issues, and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. The court will issue its ruling from the parties' pleadings.

The hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is continued to August 25, 2020 at 2:00 p.m. (Specially set day and time).

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtor, Jonell Deen-Chase ("Debtor"), is delinquent in payments in the amount of \$4,450.56.

DEBTOR'S OPPOSITION

Debtor filed an Opposition on June 16, 2020, requesting a continuance. Dckt. 50. The Debtor's Declaration filed in support of the Opposition explains Debtor fell delinquent due to unexpected expenses relating to Debtor's vehicle being stolen and emergency veterinary expenses. Dckt. 51.

DISCUSSION

In light of Debtor's request and the circumstances surrounding Debtor's delinquency, the court shall continue the hearing on this Motion to August 25, 2020 at 2:00pm.

While Debtor requested a continuance to become current in payments, the longer continuance will also allow Debtor to file and set for confirmation hearing a modified plan in the event the

> July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 66 of 168

delinquency cannot be cured.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is continued to August 25, 2020 at 2:00 p.m. (Specially set date and time).

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020, hearing is required.

The Motion to Dismiss is dismissed without prejudice, and the bankruptcy case shall proceed in this court.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having filed an Ex Parte Motion to Dismiss the pending Motion on June 24, 2020, Dckt. 83; no prejudice to the responding party appearing by the dismissal of the Motion; the Chapter 13 Trustee having the right to request dismissal of the motion pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041; and the dismissal being consistent with the opposition filed by Judith Beverly Hart ("Debtor"); the Ex Parte Motion is granted, the Chapter 13 Trustee's Motion is dismissed without prejudice, and the court removes this Motion from the calendar.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 Case filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee") having been presented to the court, the Chapter 13 Trustee having requested that the Motion itself be dismissed pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Chapter 13 Trustee's Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 Case is dismissed without prejudice, and the bankruptcy case shall proceed in this court.

> July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 68 of 168

LUCIAN FREIRE Mary Ellen Terranella

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020, hearing is required.

The Motion to Dismiss is dismissed without prejudice, and the bankruptcy case shall proceed in this court.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having filed an Ex Parte Motion to Dismiss the pending Motion on June 24, 2020, Dckt. 40; no prejudice to the responding party appearing by the dismissal of the Motion; the Chapter 13 Trustee having the right to request dismissal of the motion pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041; and the dismissal being consistent with the opposition filed by Lucian Anthony Freire ("Debtor"); the Ex Parte Motion is granted, the Chapter 13 Trustee's Motion is dismissed without prejudice, and the court removes this Motion from the calendar.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 Case filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee") having been presented to the court, the Chapter 13 Trustee having requested that the Motion itself be dismissed pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Chapter 13 Trustee's Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 Case is dismissed without prejudice, and the bankruptcy case shall proceed in this court.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 69 of 168 Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition.

Upon review of the Motion and supporting pleadings, and the files in this case, the court has determined that oral argument will not be of assistance in ruling on the Motion. The defaults of the non-responding parties in interest are entered.

The hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is continued to 9:00 a.m. on August 5, 2020.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtor, Juan Rodriguez and Marguerite Rodriguez ("Debtor"), is delinquent in payments in the amount of \$5,667.04, with the monthly payment being \$1,732.56.

DEBTOR'S RESPONSE

Debtor filed a Response on June 3, 2020. Dckt. 102. Debtor represents the delinquency will be cured by the hearing, explaining that Debtor's were impacted by the COVID pandemic but have resumed employment and collected stimulus funds.

DISCUSSION

Debtor is 5,667.04 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the plan payment. Delinquency indicates that the Plan is not feasible and is reason to deny confirmation. *See* 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(6).

A promise to file a new plan or pay is not evidence that resolves the Motion, . However, given the Debtor's prosecution of this case (there being a confirmed plan that now needs to be modified), the financial uncertainty concerning employment, and Debtor's clear, personal knowledge testimony concerning financial and and economic factors Debtor is wrestling with, a continuance of this hearing is warranted.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 70 of 168 Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is continued to 9:00 a.m. on August 5, 2020.

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—No Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 1, 2020. By the court's calculation, 30 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party's failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the respondent and other parties in interest are entered. Upon review of the record, there are no disputed material factual issues, and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. The court will issue its ruling from the parties' pleadings.

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that:

- 1. the debtors, Medy Ford Beauchane and Jamie Suzanne Beauchane ("Debtor"), are delinquent in plan payments in the amount of \$7,350.00 with the monthly payment being \$3,870.00.
- 2. Debtor also has failed to file an amended plan after their motion to confirm was dismissed at the hearing on April 7, 2020.

DISCUSSION

Debtor is \$7,350.00 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the 3,870.00 plan payment. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Additionally, the Debtor has no plan confirmed and there is no confirmation hearing set. The

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 72 of 168 prior motion to confirm was withdrawn by Debtor prior to the hearing. Dckt. 50. Debtor offers no explanation for the delay in setting a plan for confirmation. That is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—No Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 1, 2020. By the court's calculation, 30 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party's failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the respondent and other parties in interest are entered. Upon review of the record, there are no disputed material factual issues, and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. The court will issue its ruling from the parties' pleadings.

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis

- 1. the debtor, Danny K Robinson ("Debtor"), is delinquent in Plan payments in the amount of \$25,271.15 with the monthly payment being \$3,172.50.
- 2. Debtor has failed to file an amended plan and set a confirmation hearing after Debtor's motion to confirm was denied by the court on January 14, 2020.

The court's review of the last proposed plan shows the monthly payment is \$3,145.00. Dckt. 30.

DISCUSSION

that:

Debtor is \$25,271.15 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$3,145.00 plan payment. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. \$1307(c)(1).

Additionally, there is no plan pending for confirmation. The court denied confirmation of Debtor's prior plan on January 14, 2020. Dckt. 42. A review of the docket shows that Debtor has not yet filed a new plan or a motion to confirm a plan. Debtor offers no explanation for the delay in setting a plan for confirmation. That is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. 1307(c)(1).

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition.

Upon review of the Motion and supporting pleadings, and the files in this case, the court has determined that oral argument will not be of assistance in ruling on the Motion. The defaults of the non-responding parties in interest are entered.

The hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is continued to 9:00 a.m. on September 23, 2020.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtor, Wayne David Walker ("Debtor"), is delinquent in the amount of \$700.00 in Plan payments which represents multiple months of Debtor's \$175.00 monthly payments.

DEBTOR'S OPPOSITION

Debtor filed an Opposition on June 11, 2020. Dckt. 37. In the Opposition Debtor requests a 60 day continuance to become current.

Debtor also filed a Notice of COIVD-19 Impact on June 16, 2020. Dckt. 39. In the Notice Debtor requests the parties in interest not take action on Debtor's delinquency due to the COVID pandemic.

DISCUSSION

While the court is aware of the COVID-19 pandemic and its effects, Debtor's counsel has not explained what Debtor's game plan is, whether it is continuing this hearing to allow Debtor to become current, or file a modified plan, or something else.

A promise to file a new plan or pay is not evidence that resolves the Motion, . However, given the Debtor's prosecution of this case (there being a confirmed plan that now needs to be modified), the financial uncertainty concerning employment, and Debtor's clear, personal knowledge

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 76 of 168 testimony concerning financial and economic factors Debtor is wrestling with, a continuance of this hearing is warranted.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is continued to 9:00 a.m. on September 23, 2020.

LOUIS BROWN Mary Ellen Terranella

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020, hearing is required.

The Motion to Dismiss is dismissed without prejudice, and the bankruptcy case shall proceed in this court.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having filed an Ex Parte Motion to Dismiss the pending Motion on June 24, 2020, Dckt. 191; no prejudice to the responding party appearing by the dismissal of the Motion; the Chapter 13 Trustee having the right to request dismissal of the motion pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041; and the dismissal being consistent with the opposition filed by Louis Frank Brown ("Debtor"); the Ex Parte Motion is granted, the Chapter 13 Trustee's Motion is dismissed without prejudice, and the court removes this Motion from the calendar.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 Case filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee") having been presented to the court, the Chapter 13 Trustee having requested that the Motion itself be dismissed pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Chapter 13 Trustee's Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 Case is dismissed without prejudice, and the bankruptcy case shall proceed in this court.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 78 of 168 Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020, hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

Upon review of the Motion and supporting pleadings, and the files in this case, the court has determined that oral argument will not be of assistance in ruling on the Motion. The defaults of the non-responding parties in interest are entered.

The hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is denied without prejudice.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtor, Cecilia Smith ("Debtor"), is delinquent in Plan Payments in the amount of \$11,098.15 which represents multiple months of Debtor's \$3,166.05 monthly payments.

DEBTOR'S OPPOSITION

Debtor filed an Opposition on June 17, 2020. Dckt. 93. Debtor notes that a modified plan has been filed and set for hearing, and requested this Motion be denied.

DISCUSSION

Debtor filed a Modified Plan and Motion to Confirm on June 1, 2020. Dckt. 84. The court has reviewed the Motion to Confirm the Modified Plan and the Declaration in support filed by Debtor. Dckt. 82, 85. The Motion appears to comply with Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9013 (stating grounds with particularity), and the Declaration appears to provide testimony as to facts to support confirmation based upon Debtor's personal knowledge. FED. R. EVID. 601, 602.

While the Trustee has filed an opposition to Debtor's Motion To confirm Modified Plan (Dckt. 95), Debtor appears to be actively prosecuting this case and not causing unreasonable delay. The Motion to Dismiss is denied without prejudice.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 79 of 168 The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is denied without prejudice.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 80 of 168 **Final Ruling:** No appearance at the July 1, 2020, hearing is required.

The Motion to Dismiss is dismissed without prejudice, and the bankruptcy case shall proceed in this court.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having filed an Ex Parte Motion to Dismiss the pending Motion on June 24, 2020, Dckt. 32; no prejudice to the responding party appearing by the dismissal of the Motion; the Chapter 13 Trustee having the right to request dismissal of the motion pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041; and the dismissal being consistent with the opposition filed by Muhammad Choudhry ("Debtor"); the Ex Parte Motion is granted, the Chapter 13 Trustee's Motion is dismissed without prejudice, and the court removes this Motion from the calendar.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 Case filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee") having been presented to the court, the Chapter 13 Trustee having requested that the Motion itself be dismissed pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Chapter 13 Trustee's Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 Case is dismissed without prejudice, and the bankruptcy case shall proceed in this court.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 81 of 168

44. <u>17-22110</u>-C-13 PEDRO VERDUZCO <u>DPC</u>-1 Mark Hannon

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020, hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 1, 2020. By the court's calculation, 30 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition.

Upon review of the Motion and supporting pleadings, and the files in this case, the court has determined that oral argument will not be of assistance in ruling on the Motion. The defaults of the non-responding parties in interest are entered.

The hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is continued to 9:00 a.m. on August 5, 2020.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtor, Pedro Monroy Verduzco ("Debtor"), will complete the plan in 69 months instead of the proposed 36 months because general unsecured claims were \$54,468.76 greater than scheduled.

DEBTOR'S RESPONSE

Debtor filed a Response on June 1, 2020. Dckt. 21. Debtor concedes a modified plan must be filed, and represents one will be filed before the hearing.

Debtor's counsel notes he substituted in on June 16, 2020 and seems to indicate that preparation of the modified plan was held up pending substitution. But, that argument falls flat based on the fact that Thomas Gills, the prior counsel of record, and Mark Hannon, present counsel, have been working together for months because Mr. Gillis was suspended from law practice.

DISCUSSION

Despite Debtor's counsel's representation, no modified plan as been filed. Despite the Trustee only seeking dismissal now, the plan has not been feasible for years since Proof of Claim, No. 4, was filed in 2017.

Given that there is a confirmed plan in this case to be modified and Mr. Hannon having just substituted in as counsel for the Debtor, the court continues the hearing.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 82 of 168 The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is continued to 9:00 a.m. on August 5, 2020.

45. <u>16-25411</u>-C-13 CANDACE WARD-PORTER <u>DPC</u>-1 Shareen Golbahar

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020, hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

Upon review of the Motion and supporting pleadings, and the files in this case, the court has determined that oral argument will not be of assistance in ruling on the Motion. The defaults of the non-responding parties in interest are entered.

The hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is continued to August 25, 2020 at 2:00 p.m. (Specially set day and time).

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtor, Candace Jean Ward-Porter ("Debtor"), is \$5,585.22 delinquent with a plan payment of \$2,730.18.

DEBTOR'S RESPONSE

Debtor filed a Response on June 17, 2020, arguing Debtor was unknowingly underpaying because of a mortgage payment increase. Debtor also explains a motion to refinance Debtor's property will be filed to help Debtor become current.

DISCUSSION

This is a case where the Debtor was very diligent in confirming a plan and upkeeping payments. Debtor explained the delinquency arose when she did not increase the payment after a mortgage payment increase.

The court shall continue the hearing to August 25, 2020 at 2:00pm. to allow Debtor to file a motion to incur debt, and file a modified plan if necessary.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 84 of 168 The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is continued to August 25, 2020 at 2:00 p.m. (Specially set day and time).

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 85 of 168

46.18-23612
DPC-4C-13JARED/LINDSAY ILDEFONZOMOTION TO DISMISS CASEDPC-4Eric Vandermey6-3-20 [71]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

Upon review of the Motion and supporting pleadings, and the files in this case, the court has determined that oral argument will not be of assistance in ruling on the Motion. The defaults of the non-responding parties in interest are entered.

The Motion to Dismiss is denied without prejudice.

The debtors, Jared Ruben Bartolome Ildefonzo and Lindsay Marinas Mangoba Ildefonzo ("Debtor"), are delinquent \$18,380.87 with a monthly plan payment of \$4,874.24.

FILING OF MODIFIED PLAN

Debtor filed a Modified Plan and Motion to Confirm on June 15, 2020. Dckt. 75, 79. The court has reviewed the Motion to Confirm the Modified Plan and the Declaration in support filed by Debtor. Dckt. 82. The Motion appears to comply with Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9013 (stating grounds with particularity), and the Declaration appears to provide testimony as to facts to support confirmation based upon Debtor's personal knowledge. FED. R. EVID. 601, 602.

Debtor appearing to be actively prosecuting this case, the Motion to Dismiss is denied without prejudice.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is denied without prejudice.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 86 of 168 EDDY AGUILAR Peter Macaluso

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020, hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—No Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party's failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the respondent and other parties in interest are entered. Upon review of the record, there are no disputed material factual issues, and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. The court will issue its ruling from the parties' pleadings.

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtor, Eddy Santiago Aguilar ("Debtor"), is delinquent \$7,494.01 with a monthly payment of \$1,250.00.

DISCUSSION

Debtor delinquent in plan payments. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. 1307(c)(1).

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon

> July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 87 of 168

review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

48. <u>19-24014</u>-C-13 JAMES THOMAS <u>DPC</u>-1 Thomas Moore

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 6-3-20 [24]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020, hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—No Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party's failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the respondent and other parties in interest are entered. Upon review of the record, there are no disputed material factual issues, and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. The court will issue its ruling from the parties' pleadings.

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtor, James Earl Thomas ("Debtor"), \$3,450 delinquent with monthly plan payments of \$1,150.00.

DISCUSSION

Debtor delinquent in plan payments. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. 1307(c)(1).

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause

> July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 89 of 168

appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 90 of 168 49. <u>18-23515</u>-C-13 RAF <u>DPC</u>-1 VER

RAFAEL QUIROZ AND VERONICA Peter Macaluso

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020, hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Hearing not required.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party's failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006).

Upon review of the Motion and supporting pleadings, and the files in this case, the court has determined that oral argument will not be of assistance in ruling on the Motion. The defaults of the non-responding parties in interest are entered.

The hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is continued to August 25, 2020 at 2:00 p.m. (Specially set day and time).

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtor, Rafael Quiroz and Veronica Valladares-Quiroz ("Debtor"), is \$8,667.60 delinquent with plan payments of \$2,145.52.

DEBTOR'S OPPOSITION

Debtor filed an Opposition on June 17, 2020, Dckt. 64. Debtor's counsel requests more time to meet with Debtor due to COVID and shelter-in-place orders.

DEBTOR'S SUPPLEMENTAL OPPOSITION

Debtor filed a Supplemental Opposition on June 23, 2020, Dckt. 66. Debtor's counsel reports he has met with Debtor and requests 60 days to file an amended plan.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 91 of 168

DISCUSSION

In consideration of Debtor's request and the COVID pandemic, the court shall continue the hearing to August 25, 2020 at 2:00pm. to allow Debtor to prepare and file a modified plan.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is continued to August 25, 2020 at 2:00 p.m. (Specially set day and time).

50.19-22915-C-13ALLAN/SHELLY BALCITADPC-1Nikki Farris

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020, hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Hearing not required.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party's failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006).

Upon review of the Motion and supporting pleadings, and the files in this case, the court has determined that oral argument will not be of assistance in ruling on the Motion. The defaults of the non-responding parties in interest are entered.

The hearing on the Motion is continued to July 21, 2020, at 2:00 p.m. (Specially set day and time).

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtor, Allan Barcena Balcita and Shelly Mae Balcita ("Debtor"), is delinquent \$3,003.68 which represents multiple months of Debtor's \$1,417.88 monthly payment.

DEBTOR'S REPLY

Debtor filed a Reply on June 15, 2020. Dckt. 32. Debtor's counsel estimates Debtor can cure the delinquency by July 15, 2020, without filing an amended plan.

DISCUSSION

In light of the COVID pandemic and Debtor's counsel representing Debtor can become current by July 15, 2020, the court will continue the hearing on the Motion to July 21, 2020. This will allow Debtor to either become current or file an amended plan by the next hearing.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 93 of 168 Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on the Motion is continued to July 21, 2020, at 2:00 p.m. (Specially set day and time).

51. <u>19-23516</u>-C-13 DPC-3

SEAN FRIES Gabriel Liberman

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—No Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party's failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the respondent and other parties in interest are entered. Upon review of the record, there are no disputed material factual issues, and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. The court will issue its ruling from the parties' pleadings.

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtor, Sean Raymond Fries ("Debtor"), is delinquent in plan payments in the amount of \$16,404.03 which represents multiple months of Debtor's \$3,378.01 monthly payment.

DISCUSSION

Debtor delinquent in plan payments. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. 1307(c)(1).

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 95 of 168 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

Upon review of the Motion and supporting pleadings, and the files in this case, the court has determined that oral argument will not be of assistance in ruling on the Motion. The defaults of the non-responding parties in interest are entered.

The Motion to Dismiss is denied without prejudice.

The debtor, Angela Rusfeldt ("Debtor"), is delinquent in plan payments in the amount of \$11,935.04 with Debtor's plan payment being \$4,361.72 monthly payment.

FILING OF AMENDED PLAN

Debtor filed an Amended Plan and Motion to Confirm on June 23, 2020. Dckts. 92, 97. The court has reviewed the Motion to Confirm the Amended Plan and the Declaration in support filed by Debtor. Dckt. 94. The Motion appears to comply with Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9013 (stating grounds with particularity), and the Declaration appears to provide testimony as to facts to support confirmation based upon Debtor's personal knowledge. FED. R. EVID. 601, 602.

Debtor appearing to be actively prosecuting this case, the Motion to Dismiss is denied without prejudice.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is denied without prejudice.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 97 of 168

53. <u>17-20118</u>-C-13 JOHN KILAKOWSKE <u>DPC</u>-4 Seth Hanson

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020, hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—No Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party's failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the respondent and other parties in interest are entered. Upon review of the record, there are no disputed material factual issues, and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. The court will issue its ruling from the parties' pleadings.

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtor, John Bruce Kilakowske ("Debtor"), is \$12,007.00 delinquent with plan payments of \$2,325.00.

DISCUSSION

Debtor delinquent in plan payments. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. 1307(c)(1).

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 98 of 168 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020, hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition.

Upon review of the Motion and supporting pleadings, and the files in this case, the court has determined that oral argument will not be of assistance in ruling on the Motion. The defaults of the non-responding parties in interest are entered.

The hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is continued to 9:00 a.m. on September 23, 2020.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtor, Marcus Da Mone Buckner ("Debtor"), is \$4,000 delinquent with monthly payments of \$1,000.

DEBTOR'S OPPOSITION

Debtor filed an Opposition on June 17, 2020. Dckt. 96. Debtor's counsel reports he is meeting with Debtor on June 18, 2020 and will update the record thereafter.

On June 24, 2020, Debtor filed a declaration reporting Debtor has experienced increased expenses due to the COVID pandemic and need to provide family support. Debtor indicates a modified plan will be filed.

DISCUSSION

The Debtor has indicated a modified plan will be filed, but nothing has been filed to date.

A promise to file a new plan or pay is not evidence that resolves the Motion, . However, given the Debtor's prosecution of this case, the financial uncertainty concerning employment, and Debtor's clear, personal knowledge testimony concerning financial and economic factors Debtor is wrestling with, a continuance of this hearing is warranted.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 100 of 168 Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is continued to 9:00 a.m. on September 23, 2020.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 101 of 168

55.19-26319
DPC-1C-13RICHARD/JENNIFER LA DUCAMOTION TO DISMISS CASE00<

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020, hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—No Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 1, 2020. By the court's calculation, 30 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party's failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the respondent and other parties in interest are entered. Upon review of the record, there are no disputed material factual issues, and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. The court will issue its ruling from the parties' pleadings.

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that:

- 1. the debtor, Richard Ryon La Duca and Jennifer Marie La Duca ("Debtor"), is \$6,888.75 delinquent with plan payments of \$2,295.25.
- 2. Debtor has not filed an amended plan since the pending plan was denied confirmation.

DISCUSSION

Debtor delinquent in plan payments. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. 1307(c)(1).

Debtor did not file a Plan or a Motion to Confirm a Plan following the court's denial of confirmation to Debtor's prior plan on December 10, 2019. A review of the docket shows that Debtor has not yet filed a new plan or a motion to confirm a plan. Debtor offers no explanation for the delay in setting a plan for confirmation. That is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 102 of 168

§ 1307(c)(1).

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

EDUARDO MONTERROSA Mary Ellen Terranella

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020, hearing is required.

The Motion to Dismiss is dismissed without prejudice, and the bankruptcy case shall proceed in this court.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having filed an Ex Parte Motion to Dismiss the pending Motion on June 24, 2020, Dckt. 71; no prejudice to the responding party appearing by the dismissal of the Motion; the Chapter 13 Trustee having the right to request dismissal of the motion pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041; and the dismissal being consistent with the opposition filed by Eduardo Alfredo Monterrosa ("Debtor"); the Ex Parte Motion is granted, the Chapter 13 Trustee's Motion is dismissed without prejudice, and the court removes this Motion from the calendar.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 Case filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee") having been presented to the court, the Chapter 13 Trustee having requested that the Motion itself be dismissed pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Chapter 13 Trustee's Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 Case is dismissed without prejudice, and the bankruptcy case shall proceed in this court.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 104 of 168 JILL BETHUNE Peter Macaluso

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020, hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Hearing Not Required.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party's failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006).

Upon review of the Motion and supporting pleadings, and the files in this case, the court has determined that oral argument will not be of assistance in ruling on the Motion. The defaults of the non-responding parties in interest are entered.

The hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is continued to August 25, 2020 at 2:00 p.m. (Specially set day and time).

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtor, Jill Kay Bethune ("Debtor"), is \$2,682.44 delinquent with a monthly plan payment of \$1,341.40.

DEBTOR'S OPPOSITION

Debtor filed an Opposition on June 17, 2020. Dckt. 75. Debtor requests for an additional ninety (90) days to complete the plan payments, noting the plan's last month is August 2020.

DISCUSSION

In light of Debtor's request and the circumstances surrounding Debtor's delinquency, the court shall continue the hearing on this Motion to August 25, 2020 at 2:00pm.

While Debtor requested a continuance to become current in payments, the longer continuance will also allow Debtor to file and set for confirmation hearing a modified plan in the event the delinquency cannot be cured.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 105 of 168 The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is continued to August 25, 2020 at 2:00 p.m. (Specially set date and time).

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020, hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition.

Upon review of the Motion and supporting pleadings, and the files in this case, the court has determined that oral argument will not be of assistance in ruling on the Motion. The defaults of the non-responding parties in interest are entered.

The hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is continued to 2:00 p.m. on September 23, 2020.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtor, Victor Melnik ("Debtor"), is \$5,967.44 delinquent with a monthly plan payment of \$2,861.36.

DEBTOR'S RESPONSE

Debtor filed a Response on June 4, 2020. Dckt. 61. Debtor states the delinquency was due to a slower business quarter because of the COVID-19 pandemic. As business has increased and stimulus funds have been received, Debtor anticipates the delinquency will be cured by the hearing date.

DISCUSSION

Debtor is delinquent in plan payments. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. \$ 1307(c)(1).

A promise to file a new plan or pay is not evidence that resolves the Motion, . However, given the Debtor's prosecution of this case (there being a confirmed plan that now needs to be modified or payments cured), the financial uncertainty concerning employment, and Debtor's clear, personal knowledge testimony concerning financial and economic factors Debtor is wrestling with, a continuance of this hearing is warranted.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 107 of 168 The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is continued to 9:00 a.m. on September 23, 2020.

59. <u>18-27027</u>-C-13 TAMMY/BETTY <u>DPC</u>-2 POTTER-GODDARD Bonnie Baker

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020, hearing is required.

The Motion to Dismiss is dismissed without prejudice, and the bankruptcy case shall proceed in this court.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having filed an Ex Parte Motion to Dismiss the pending Motion on June 24, 2020, Dckt. 152; no prejudice to the responding party appearing by the dismissal of the Motion; the Chapter 13 Trustee having the right to request dismissal of the motion pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041; and the dismissal being consistent with the opposition filed by Tammy Lou Potter-Goddard and Betty Ann Potter-Goddard ("Debtor"); the Ex Parte Motion is granted, the Chapter 13 Trustee's Motion is dismissed without prejudice, and the court removes this Motion from the calendar.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 Case filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee") having been presented to the court, the Chapter 13 Trustee having requested that the Motion itself be dismissed pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Chapter 13 Trustee's Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 Case is dismissed without prejudice, and the bankruptcy case shall proceed in this court.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 109 of 168 Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020, hearing is required.

The Motion to Dismiss is dismissed without prejudice, and the bankruptcy case shall proceed in this court.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having filed an Ex Parte Motion to Dismiss the pending Motion on June 24, 2020, Dckt. 70; no prejudice to the responding party appearing by the dismissal of the Motion; the Chapter 13 Trustee having the right to request dismissal of the motion pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041; and the dismissal being consistent with the opposition filed by Robert Cecil Seymour and Donna Rae Seymour ("Debtor"); the Ex Parte Motion is granted, the Chapter 13 Trustee's Motion is dismissed without prejudice, and the court removes this Motion from the calendar.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 Case filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee") having been presented to the court, the Chapter 13 Trustee having requested that the Motion itself be dismissed pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Chapter 13 Trustee's Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 Case is dismissed without prejudice, and the bankruptcy case shall proceed in this court.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 110 of 168 YAMINAH HEAD Gabriel Liberman

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition.

Upon review of the Motion and supporting pleadings, and the files in this case, the court has determined that oral argument will not be of assistance in ruling on the Motion. The defaults of the non-responding parties in interest are entered.

The hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is continued to 9:00 a.m. on September 23, 2020.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtor, Yaminah Aisha Head ("Debtor"), is \$12,693.16 delinquent with a monthly plan payment of \$5,898.88.

DEBTOR'S RESPONSE

Debtor filed a Response on June 16, 2020. Dckt. 22. Debtor states the delinquency occurred due to a loss of income resulting from the COVID-19 pandemic. Declaration, Dckt. 23. Debtor states the delinquency will be cured prior to the hearing date, and that Debtor will file a modified plan and corresponding motion to extend the length of the plan under the CARES Act.

DISCUSSION

Debtor is delinquent in plan payments. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. \$ 1307(c)(1).

A promise to file a new plan or pay is not evidence that resolves the Motion, . However, given the Debtor's prosecution of this case (there being a confirmed plan that now needs to be modified or default cured), the financial uncertainty concerning employment, and Debtor's clear, personal knowledge testimony concerning financial and economic factors Debtor is wrestling with, a continuance of this hearing is warranted.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 111 of 168 The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is continued to 9:00 a.m. on September 23, 2020.

62. <u>19-25535</u>-C-13 SCOTT/JENIA TORTORA <u>DPC</u>-1 Mark Wolff

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—No Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party's failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the respondent and other parties in interest are entered. Upon review of the record, there are no disputed material factual issues, and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. The court will issue its ruling from the parties' pleadings.

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtors, Scott Allen Tortora and Jenia LeAnne Tortora ("Debtor"), are delinquent in plan payments.

DEBTOR'S NON-OPPOSITION

Debtor filed a Non-Opposition on June 16, 2020. Dckt. 30.

DISCUSSION

Debtors are \$4,230.00 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$850.00 plan payment. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 113 of 168 Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 114 of 168 Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition.

Upon review of the Motion and supporting pleadings, and the files in this case, the court has determined that oral argument will not be of assistance in ruling on the Motion. The defaults of the non-responding parties in interest are entered.

The hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is continued to 9:00 a.m. on September 23, 2020.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtor, Meredith Ann Lawler ("Debtor"), is \$10,494.12 delinquent in plan payments, with a monthly payment of \$2,149.89.

DEBTOR'S RESPONSE

Debtor filed a Response on June 16, 2020. Dckt. 23. Debtor states the delinquency occurred due to an income reduction resulting from the COVID-19 pandemic. Declaration, Dckt. 24. Debtor intends to file a modified plan prior to the hearing date to extend the length of the plan under the CARES Act.

DISCUSSION

Debtor delinquent in plan payments. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. 1307(c)(1).

A promise to file a new plan or pay is not evidence that resolves the Motion, . However, given the Debtor's prosecution of this case (there being a confirmed plan that now needs to be modified or default cured), the financial uncertainty concerning employment, and Debtor's clear, personal knowledge testimony concerning financial and economic factors Debtor is wrestling with, a continuance of this hearing is warranted.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 115 of 168 The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is continued to 9:00 a.m. on September 23, 2020.

64. <u>19-23539</u>-C-13 PETER/NATALIE MAXWELL <u>DPC</u>-3 Mohammad Mokarram

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 6-3-20 [35]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020, hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—No Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party's failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006).

Upon review of the Motion and supporting pleadings, and the files in this case, the court has determined that oral argument will not be of assistance in ruling on the Motion. The defaults of the non-responding parties in interest are entered.

The hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is continued to July 21, 2020 at 2:00 p.m. (Specially set day and time)

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtors, Peter Andrew Maxwell and Natalie Christine Maxwell ("Debtor"), are delinquent \$9,000 with monthly plan payments of \$4,500.

DEBTOR'S RESPONSE

Debtor filed a Response on June 25, 2020. Dckt. 40. Debtor states the delinquency occurred due to unforseen circumstances from the COVID-19 pandemic. Declaration, Dckt. 41. Debtor requests for a continuance to July 3 to allow time to cure the delinquency.

DISCUSSION

In light of Debtor's request and the circumstances surrounding Debtor's delinquency, the court shall continue the hearing on this Motion to July 21, 2020 at 2:00p.m.

While Debtor requested a continuance to become current in payments, the longer continuance

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 117 of 168 will also allow Debtor to file and set for confirmation hearing a modified plan in the event the delinquency cannot be cured.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is continued to July 21, 2020 at 2:00 p.m. (specially set day and time).

65. <u>15-29543</u>-C-13 KATHLEEN ABOOD <u>DPC</u>-3 Gary Fraley

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 6-3-20 [49]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—No Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party's failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the respondent and other parties in interest are entered. Upon review of the record, there are no disputed material factual issues, and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. The court will issue its ruling from the parties' pleadings.

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtor, Kathleen Sally Abood ("Debtor"), is \$6,603.42 delinquent with a monthly plan payment of \$2,314.7.

DISCUSSION

Debtor is delinquent in plan payments. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. \$ 1307(c)(1).

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 119 of 168 The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 120 of 168 Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—No Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party's failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion).

Upon review of the Motion and supporting pleadings, and the files in this case, the court has determined that oral argument will not be of assistance in ruling on the Motion. The defaults of the non-responding parties in interest are entered.

The hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is continued to 9:00 a.m. on August 5, 2020.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtor, Cleotilde Garcia ("Debtor"), is delinquent \$2,416 with a monthly plan payment of \$110.00.

DISCUSSION

Debtor delinquent in plan payments. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. 1307(c)(1).

Debtor's attorney in filing the bankruptcy case and confirming the plan was Thomas Gillis. Mr. Gillis could not continue as Debtor's counsel, having been suspended from the practice of law by the California State Bar in February 2020.

The Plan requires a monthly payments of only \$110 and is only for a period of thirty-six months. Dckt. 5. As stated in the Order confirming the Plan, the Debtor was also obligated to make three annual payments of \$1,756 to satisfy the liquidation analysis for the property of the bankruptcy estate. Order, Dckt. 15. The case was filed February 15, 2017, so Debtor should have completed the plan in March 2020.

No attorney has substituted in to represent the Debtor. It has been represented to the court

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 121 of 168 that attorney Mark Hannon was willing to substitute in to represent Mr. Gillis' former clients.

The court continues the hearing to allow Debtor and Mr. Hannon to confirm that an attempt has been made for Debtor to obtain counsel to replace Mr. Gillis - whether Mr. Hannon or another attorney as part of Mr. Gillis efforts to obtain such counsel during which the California Supreme postponed his suspension for that stated purpose.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is continued to 9:00 a.m. on August 5, 2020.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Mark J. Hannon, Esq., and Thomas O. Gillis, and each of them shall appear at the August 5, 2020 hearing -Telephonic Appearances Permitted - to address what efforts were made by Mr. Gillis to assist Debtor in obtaining substitute counsel and whether Mr. Hannon is substituting in this case to represent Debtor.

The Clerk of the Court shall serve a copy of this Order on Mark J. Hannon, Esq., Thomas O. Gillis, and Edmund Gee, Asst. U.S. Trustee. **BRADLEY MARTIN** Peter Macaluso

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020, hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Hearing not required.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party's failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006).

Upon review of the Motion and supporting pleadings, and the files in this case, the court has determined that oral argument will not be of assistance in ruling on the Motion. The defaults of the non-responding parties in interest are entered.

The hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is continued to August 25, 2020 at 2:00 p.m. (Specially set day and time).

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtor, Bradley Martin ("Debtor"), is \$9,600.00 with monthly plan payments of \$3,200.

DEBTOR'S OPPOSITION

Debtor filed an Opposition June 23, 2020. Dckt. 69. Debtor's counsel reported he had yet to meet with Debtor on the matter.

In a Supplemental Opposition Debtor's counsel reports having met with Debtor, that Debtor intends to file a modified plan, and requesting a 60 day continuance. Dckt. 71.

DISCUSSION

In light of Debtor's request and the circumstances surrounding Debtor's delinquency, the court shall continue the hearing on this Motion to August 25, 2020 at 2:00p.m.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 123 of 168 Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is continued to August 25, 2020 at 2:00p.m. (Specially set day and time)

68. <u>19-25748</u>-C-13 DOLORES BURNETT <u>DPC</u>-3 Gary Saunders

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—No Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party's failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the respondent and other parties in interest are entered. Upon review of the record, there are no disputed material factual issues, and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. The court will issue its ruling from the parties' pleadings.

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtor, Dolores Patricia Lynn Burnett ("Debtor"), is \$6,594.96 delinquent with monthly plan payments of \$2,199.37.

DISCUSSION

Debtor delinquent in plan payments. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. 1307(c)(1).

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon

> July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 125 of 168

review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

69.19-22049
DPC-1C-13WENDY MORGAN
Catherine King

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 6-3-20 [55]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—No Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party's failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the respondent and other parties in interest are entered. Upon review of the record, there are no disputed material factual issues, and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. The court will issue its ruling from the parties' pleadings.

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtor, Wendy Kristine Morgan ("Debtor"), is \$3,222.00 delinquent with monthly plan payments of \$1,230.00.

DISCUSSION

Debtor delinquent in plan payments. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. 1307(c)(1).

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon

> July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 127 of 168

review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

Upon review of the Motion and supporting pleadings, and the files in this case, the court has determined that oral argument will not be of assistance in ruling on the Motion. The defaults of the non-responding parties in interest are entered.

The Motion to Dismiss is denied without prejudice.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtor, Adel Juma Kharufeh ("Debtor"), is delinquent in plan payments.

FILING OF MODIFIED PLAN

Debtor filed a Modified Plan and Motion to Confirm on June 18, 2020. Dckts. 90, 93. The court has reviewed the Motion to Confirm the Modified Plan and the Declaration in support filed by Debtor. Dckt. 92. The Motion appears to comply with Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9013 (stating grounds with particularity), and the Declaration appears to provide testimony as to facts to support confirmation based upon Debtor's personal knowledge. FED. R. EVID. 601, 602.

Debtor appearing to be actively prosecuting this case, the Motion to Dismiss is denied without prejudice.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is denied without prejudice.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 129 of 168

71. <u>17-27056</u>-C-13 PATRICK BERNARD <u>DPC</u>-2 Arasto Farsad

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 6-3-20 [100]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020, hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Hearing not required.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party's failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006).

Upon review of the Motion and supporting pleadings, and the files in this case, the court has determined that oral argument will not be of assistance in ruling on the Motion. The defaults of the non-responding parties in interest are entered.

The hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is continued to July 21, 2020 at 2:00 p.m. (Specially set day and time).

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtor, Patrick Bernard ("Debtor"), is delinquent in plan payments.

DEBTOR'S RESPONSE

Debtor filed a Response on June 9, 2020. Dckt. 104. Debtor states the delinquency occurred because he was affected by the COVID-19 pandemic, and the delinquency will be cured prior to the hearing date. Debtor requests the court grant him ten (10) days from the hearing date to file a modified plan.

DISCUSSION

In light of Debtor's request and the circumstances surrounding Debtor's delinquency, the court shall continue the hearing on this Motion to July 21, 2020 at 2:00p.m.

While Debtor requested a continuance to become current in payments, the longer continuance will also allow Debtor to file and set for confirmation hearing a modified plan in the event the delinquency cannot be cured.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 130 of 168 The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is continued to July 21, 2020 at 2:00 p.m. (Specially set day and time).

72. <u>19-27757</u>-C-13 WALTER/LINDA BURKE <u>DPC</u>-2 Thomas Moore

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 6-3-20 [22]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—No Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party's failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the respondent and other parties in interest are entered. Upon review of the record, there are no disputed material factual issues, and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. The court will issue its ruling from the parties' pleadings.

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that:

- 1. the debtors, Walter Edison Burke and Linda Sue Burke ("Debtor"), are delinquent in plan payments.
- 2. Debtor has failed to file a new plan since the prior plan was denied confirmation on March 10, 2020.

DISCUSSION

Debtor is \$1,239.50 delinquent in plan payments, which represents slightly less than one month of the \$1,300.00 plan payment. A review of the Plan shows monthly plan payments of \$1,300.00 as opposed to \$1,197.38 as reported in Trustee's Motion. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. 1307(c)(1).

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 132 of 168 Debtor did not file a Plan or a Motion to Confirm a Plan following the court's denial of confirmation to Debtor's prior plan on March 10, 2020. A review of the docket shows that Debtor has not yet filed a new plan or a motion to confirm a plan. Debtor offers no explanation for the delay in setting a plan for confirmation. That is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

Upon review of the Motion and supporting pleadings, and the files in this case, the court has determined that oral argument will not be of assistance in ruling on the Motion. The defaults of the non-responding parties in interest are entered.

The Motion to Dismiss is denied without prejudice.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtors, Elmer Noe Crespin and Alma Yared Crespin ("Debtor"), are \$15,978.96 delinquent in plan payments.

FILING OF MODIFIED PLAN

Debtor filed a Modified Plan and Motion to Confirm on June 24, 2020. Dckts. 305, 301. The court has reviewed the Motion to Confirm the Modified Plan and the Declaration in support filed by Debtor. Dckt. 304. The Motion appears to comply with Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9013 (stating grounds with particularity), and the Declaration appears to provide testimony as to facts to support confirmation based upon Debtor's personal knowledge. FED. R. EVID. 601, 602.

Debtor appearing to be actively prosecuting this case, the Motion to Dismiss is denied without prejudice.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is denied without prejudice.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 134 of 168

74.18-27963-C-13EUFEMIO/LIZA SEGUBANDPC-3Peter Macaluso

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 6-9-20 [78]

Tentative Ruling: Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court's resolution of the matter.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed, material, factual issues remain to be resolved, then a later evidentiary hearing will be set. LOCAL BANKR. R. 9014-1(g).

The hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is continued to 9:00 a.m. on August 5, 2020.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtors, Eufemio Ordonia Seguban and Liza Frani Seguban ("Debtor"), are delinquent \$4,225.00 with monthly plan payments of \$845.00.

DEBTOR'S OPPOSITION

Debtor filed an Opposition on June 16, 2020. Dckt. 82. Debtor states the delinquency occurred due to an increase in rent and a loss of income resulting from COVID-19 pandemic and an emergency dental procedure. Declaration, Dckt. 83. Debtor represents Debtor will file a modified plan prior to the hearing date.

DISCUSSION

Debtor is \$4,225.00 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$845.00 plan payment. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

A promise to file a new plan or pay is not evidence that resolves the Motion, . However, given the Debtor's prosecution of this case (there being a confirmed plan that now needs to be modified or default cured), the financial uncertainty concerning employment, and Debtor's clear, personal knowledge testimony concerning financial and economic factors Debtor is wrestling with, a continuance of this hearing is warranted.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 135 of 168 The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is continued to 9:00 a.m. on August 5, 2020.

75. <u>18-24265</u>-C-13 JAMES/PATRICIA FARRELL <u>DPC</u>-5 Mikalah Liviakis

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—No Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party's failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the respondent and other parties in interest are entered. Upon review of the record, there are no disputed material factual issues, and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. The court will issue its ruling from the parties' pleadings.

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtors, James Daniel Farrell and Patricia Irene Farrell ("Debtor"), are \$18,1126.82 delinquent with a monthly plan payment of \$6,068.26.

DISCUSSION

Debtor is delinquent in plan payments. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. 1307(c)(1).

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 137 of 168 The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 138 of 168

76.18-25065-C-13
DPC-4MICHAEL LUCERO AND MARIA
MARTINEZ
Chad JohnsonAMENDED MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
6-9-20 [97]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020, hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Hearing not required.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party's failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006).

Upon review of the Motion and supporting pleadings, and the files in this case, the court has determined that oral argument will not be of assistance in ruling on the Motion. The defaults of the non-responding parties in interest are entered.

The Motion to Dismiss is denied without prejudice.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtors, Michael Lee Lucero and Maria Guadalupe Martinez ("Debtor"), are delinquent in plan payments.

FILING OF MODIFIED PLAN

Debtor filed a Modified Plan and Motion to Confirm on June 26, 2020. Dckts. 103, 108. The court has reviewed the Motion to Confirm the Modified Plan and the Declaration in support filed by Debtor. Dckts. 105, 106. The Motion appears to comply with Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9013 (stating grounds with particularity), and the Declaration appears to provide testimony as to facts to support confirmation based upon Debtor's personal knowledge. FED. R. EVID. 601, 602.

Debtor appearing to be actively prosecuting this case, the Motion to Dismiss is denied without prejudice.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 139 of 168 Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is denied without prejudice.

77. <u>16-28366</u>-C-13 TIMOTHY SCHAD <u>DPC</u>-4 Lucas Garcia

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020, hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Hearing not required.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party's failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006).

Upon review of the Motion and supporting pleadings, and the files in this case, the court has determined that oral argument will not be of assistance in ruling on the Motion. The defaults of the non-responding parties in interest are entered.

The hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is continued to August 25, 2020 at 2:00 p.m. (Specially set day and time).

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the Debtor, Timothy Schad ("Debtor"), is \$41,512.42 delinquent in plan payments.

DEBTOR'S REPLY

Debtor filed a Reply on June 18, 2020. Dckt. 163. Debtor states that COVID-19 has interfered with his income, and therefore with his ability to make plan payments. *Id*. Debtor believes he can cure the arrearage in payments by September. Debtor requests that his plan be extended by three months, a modification which Debtor refers to as "minor," and not necessitating the filing of a Modified Plan. Alternatively, Debtor seeks a continuance of this Motion to Dismiss to October to allow the Debtor to become fully current on plan payments. *Id*.

DISCUSSION

In light of Debtor's request and the COVID pandemic, the court shall continue the hearing on

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 141 of 168 this Motion to August 25, 2020 at 2:00p.m.

The continuance will also allow Debtor to file and set for confirmation hearing a modified plan, which under the CARES act's revisions to 11 U.S.C. § 1329 can extend the plan to 7 years.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is continued to August 25, 2020 at 2:00 p.m. (specially set date and time).

AREN JACKSON Steele Lanphier

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—No Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party's failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the respondent and other parties in interest are entered. Upon review of the record, there are no disputed material factual issues, and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. The court will issue its ruling from the parties' pleadings.

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtor, Aren Parnell Jackson ("Debtor"), is \$17,357.76 delinquent with a monthly plan payment of \$3,160.69.

DISCUSSION

Debtor is delinquent in plan payments. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. 1307(c)(1).

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 143 of 168 The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 144 of 168 Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

Upon review of the Motion and supporting pleadings, and the files in this case, the court has determined that oral argument will not be of assistance in ruling on the Motion. The defaults of the non-responding parties in interest are entered.

The Motion to Dismiss is denied without prejudice.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtors, Umash Vinay Prasad and Sunita Prasad ("Debtor"), are delinquent in plan payments.

FILING OF MODIFIED PLAN

Debtor filed a Modified Plan and Motion to Confirm on June 24, 2020. Dckts. 56, 58. The court has reviewed the Motion to Confirm the Modified Plan and the Declaration in support filed by Debtor. Dckt. 59. The Motion appears to comply with Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9013 (stating grounds with particularity), and the Declaration appears to provide testimony as to facts to support confirmation based upon Debtor's personal knowledge. FED. R. EVID. 601, 602.

Debtor appearing to be actively prosecuting this case, the Motion to Dismiss is denied without prejudice.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is denied without prejudice.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 145 of 168

80. <u>17-25469</u>-C-13 MICHAEL/CARRIE THARP <u>DPC</u>-3 Muoi Chea

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—No Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtors, Debtors' Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party's failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the respondent and other parties in interest are entered. Upon review of the record, there are no disputed material factual issues, and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. The court will issue its ruling from the parties' pleadings.

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtors, Michael Phillip Tharp and Carrie Kay Tharp ("Debtor"), are \$2,996.00 delinquent with monthly payments of \$777.00.

DISCUSSION

Debtor is \$2,996.00 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$777.00 plan payment. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 146 of 168 hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

81. <u>18-25370</u>-C-13 JES <u>DPC</u>-5 Pete

JESSE ORTIZ Peter Macaluso

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020, hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Hearing not required.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party's failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006).

Upon review of the Motion and supporting pleadings, and the files in this case, the court has determined that oral argument will not be of assistance in ruling on the Motion. The defaults of the non-responding parties in interest are entered.

The hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is continued to August 25, 2020 at 2:00 p.m. (Specially set day and time.)

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the Debtor, Jesse Ortiz ("Debtor"), is \$29,100.00 delinquent in plan payments.

DEBTOR'S OPPOSITION

Debtor filed an Opposition on June 17, 2020. Dckt. 134. Debtor's counsel therein reported he had yet to meet with Debtor due to COVID.

On June 17, 2020, Debtor filed a Supplemental Opposition reporting Debtor has met with counsel. Debtor requests a 60 day continuance to allow preparation and filing of a modified plan.

DISCUSSION

In light of Debtor's request and the COVID pandemic, the court shall continue the hearing on this Motion to August 25, 2020 at 2:00p.m.

While Debtor requested a continuance to become current in payments, the longer continuance

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 148 of 168 will also allow Debtor to file and set for confirmation hearing a modified plan in the event the delinquency cannot be cured.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is continued to August 25, 2020 at 2:00 p.m. (Specially set day and time).

82. <u>19-25770</u>-C-13 <u>DPC</u>-1 RANDALL MCELROY AND ROGER ALBERTSON Mark Shmorgon

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 6-9-20 [31]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—No Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party's failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the respondent and other parties in interest are entered. Upon review of the record, there are no disputed material factual issues, and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. The court will issue its ruling from the parties' pleadings.

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtors, Randall Lane McElroy and Roger William Albertson ("Debtor"), are \$11,540.70 delinquent with a monthly plan payment of \$2,912.75.

DISCUSSION

Debtor is delinquent in plan payments. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. 1307(c)(1).

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 150 of 168 The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 151 of 168

83.19-24871-C-13
DPC-2JOSHUA/MICHELE BARTUCCA
Thomas AmbergMOTION TO DISMISS CASE
6-3-20 [67]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—No Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party's failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the respondent and other parties in interest are entered. Upon review of the record, there are no disputed material factual issues, and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. The court will issue its ruling from the parties' pleadings.

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtors, Joshua Michael Bartucca and Michael Christine Bartucca ("Debtor"), are delinquent \$33,368.00 in plan payments.

DEBTOR'S RESPONSE

Debtor filed a Response on June 16, 2020, indicating non-opposition as Debtor does not believe it is feasible to continue with the current plan due to "events of the prior months." Dckt. 71.

DISCUSSION

Debtor delinquent in plan payments and does not oppose the Motion. Cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 152 of 168 The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 153 of 168

84. <u>19-21272</u>-C-13 HONEY LORE DUMAYAG <u>DPC</u>-3 David Ritzinger

Final Ruling: No appearance at July 1, 2020 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—No Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party's failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the respondent and other parties in interest are entered. Upon review of the record, there are no disputed material factual issues, and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. The court will issue its ruling from the parties' pleadings.

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtor, Honey Lore Balico Dumayag ("Debtor"), is \$502.72 delinquent with a monthly plan payment of \$140.56.

DISCUSSION

Debtor is delinquent in plan payments. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. 1307(c)(1).

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 154 of 168 The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 155 of 168 Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020, hearing is required.

The Motion to Dismiss is dismissed without prejudice, and the bankruptcy case shall proceed in this court.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having filed an Ex Parte Motion to Dismiss the pending Motion on June 24, 2020, Dckt. 100; no prejudice to the responding party appearing by the dismissal of the Motion; the Chapter 13 Trustee having the right to request dismissal of the motion pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041; and the dismissal being consistent with the opposition filed by James Larry Walker ("Debtor"); the Ex Parte Motion is granted, the Chapter 13 Trustee's Motion is dismissed without prejudice, and the court removes this Motion from the calendar.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 Case filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee") having been presented to the court, the Chapter 13 Trustee having requested that the Motion itself be dismissed pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Chapter 13 Trustee's Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 Case is dismissed without prejudice, and the bankruptcy case shall proceed in this court.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 156 of 168 Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020, hearing is required.

The Motion to Dismiss is dismissed without prejudice, and the bankruptcy case shall proceed in this court.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having filed an Ex Parte Motion to Dismiss the pending Motion on June 24, 2020, Dckt. 53; no prejudice to the responding party appearing by the dismissal of the Motion; the Chapter 13 Trustee having the right to request dismissal of the motion pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041; and the dismissal being consistent with the opposition filed by Rachelle Ann Stratton ("Debtor"); the Ex Parte Motion is granted, the Chapter 13 Trustee's Motion is dismissed without prejudice, and the court removes this Motion from the calendar.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 Case filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee") having been presented to the court, the Chapter 13 Trustee having requested that the Motion itself be dismissed pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Chapter 13 Trustee's Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 Case is dismissed without prejudice, and the bankruptcy case shall proceed in this court.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 157 of 168 Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020, hearing is required.

The Motion to Dismiss is dismissed without prejudice, and the bankruptcy case shall proceed in this court.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having filed an Ex Parte Motion to Dismiss the pending Motion on June 24, 2020, Dckt. 151; no prejudice to the responding party appearing by the dismissal of the Motion; the Chapter 13 Trustee having the right to request dismissal of the motion pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041; and the dismissal being consistent with the opposition filed by Shontell Evette Beasley ("Debtor"); the Ex Parte Motion is granted, the Chapter 13 Trustee's Motion is dismissed without prejudice, and the court removes this Motion from the calendar.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 Case filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee") having been presented to the court, the Chapter 13 Trustee having requested that the Motion itself be dismissed pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Chapter 13 Trustee's Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 Case is dismissed without prejudice, and the bankruptcy case shall proceed in this court.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 158 of 168 **DANIELLE DELGADO** Mary Ellen Terranella

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

Upon review of the Motion and supporting pleadings, and the files in this case, the court has determined that oral argument will not be of assistance in ruling on the Motion. The defaults of the nonresponding parties in interest are entered.

The Motion to Dismiss is denied without prejudice.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), fled this motion seeking to dismiss the case because the debtor, Danielle Nicole Delgado ("Debtor"), is delinquent in plan payments in the amount of \$3,400.00.

FILING OF MODIFIED PLAN

Debtor filed a Modified Plan and Motion to Confirm on June 15, 2020. Dckt. 86, 91. The court has reviewed the Motion to Confirm the Modified Plan and the Declaration in support filed by Debtor. Dckt. 89. The Motion appears to comply with Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9013 (stating grounds with particularity), and the Declaration appears to provide testimony as to facts to support confirmation based upon Debtor's personal knowledge. FED. R. EVID. 601, 602.

Debtor appearing to be actively prosecuting this case, the Motion to Dismiss is denied without prejudice.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing.

> July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 159 of 168

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is denied without prejudice.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 160 of 168 89. <u>19-23183</u>-C-13 DPC-3 JESSY/KLARISSA ESIO Eric Schwab

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—No Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 1, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party's failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the respondent and other parties in interest are entered. Upon review of the record, there are no disputed material factual issues, and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. The court will issue its ruling from the parties' pleadings.

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtor, Jessy Cortez Esio and Klarissa Arevalo Esio ("Debtor"), failed to file an amended plan after their Motion to Confirm was denied on January 14, 2020.

DISCUSSION

Debtor did not file a Plan or a Motion to Confirm a Plan following the court's denial of confirmation to Debtor's prior plan on January 14, 2020. A review of the docket shows that Debtor has not yet filed a new plan or a motion to confirm a plan. Debtor offers no explanation for the delay in setting a plan for confirmation. That is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 161 of 168 The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

90. <u>19-24383</u>-C-13 JONATHON NICKELS <u>DPC</u>-2 Mark Shmorgon

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020, hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Hearing Not Required.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

Upon review of the Motion and supporting pleadings, and the files in this case, the court has determined that oral argument will not be of assistance in ruling on the Motion. The defaults of the non-responding parties in interest are entered.

The Motion to Dismiss is denied without prejudice.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), filed this motion seeking dismissal of the case due to delinquency in plan payments.

FILING OF MODIFIED PLAN

Debtor filed a Modified Plan and Motion to Confirm on June 15, 2020. Dckt. 30, 34. The court has reviewed the Motion to Confirm the Modified Plan and the Declaration in support filed by Debtor. Dckt. 33. The Motion appears to comply with Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9013 (stating grounds with particularity), and the Declaration appears to provide testimony as to facts to support confirmation based upon Debtor's personal knowledge. FED. R. EVID. 601, 602.

Debtor appearing to be actively prosecuting this case, the Motion to Dismiss is denied without prejudice.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is denied without prejudice.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 163 of 168 ROBERT AMADOR Mikalah Liviakis

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020, hearing is required.

The Motion to Dismiss is dismissed without prejudice, and the bankruptcy case shall proceed in this court.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having filed an Ex Parte Motion to Dismiss the pending Motion on June 24, 2020, Dckt. 116; no prejudice to the responding party appearing by the dismissal of the Motion; the Chapter 13 Trustee having the right to request dismissal of the motion pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041; and the dismissal being consistent with the opposition filed by Robert Marciano Amador ("Debtor"); the Ex Parte Motion is granted, the Chapter 13 Trustee's Motion is dismissed without prejudice, and the court removes this Motion from the calendar.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 Case filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee") having been presented to the court, the Chapter 13 Trustee having requested that the Motion itself be dismissed pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Chapter 13 Trustee's Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 Case is dismissed without prejudice, and the bankruptcy case shall proceed in this court.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 164 of 168 Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020 hearing is required.

The Order to Show Cause was served by the Clerk of the Court on Debtor (*pro se*), and Chapter 13 Trustee as stated on the Certificate of Service on May 23, 2020. The court computes that 39 days' notice has been provided.

The court issued an Order to Show Cause based on Debtor's failure to pay the required fees in this case: \$77.00 due on May 18, 2020.

The Order to Show Cause is discharged, and the bankruptcy case shall proceed in this court.


The court's docket reflects that the default in payment that is the subjection of the Order to Show Cause has been cured.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Order to Show Cause having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Order to Show Cause is discharged, no sanctions ordered, and the bankruptcy case shall proceed in this court.



JEAN REYES Seth Hanson

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—No Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party's failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the respondent and other parties in interest are entered. Upon review of the record, there are no disputed material factual issues, and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. The court will issue its ruling from the parties' pleadings.

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the debtor, Jean Pau Reyes ("Debtor"), is delinquent in plan payments in the amount of \$7,720.00 with the monthly payment being \$1,425.00.

DISCUSSION

Debtor is 7,720.00 delinquent in plan payments. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 166 of 168 The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 167 of 168

94. <u>19-26094</u>-C-13 YVONNE JOHNSON <u>DPC</u>-3 Peter Macaluso

Final Ruling: No appearance at the July 1, 2020 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on June 3, 2020. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

Upon review of the Motion and supporting pleadings, and the files in this case, the court has determined that oral argument will not be of assistance in ruling on the Motion. The defaults of the non-responding parties in interest are entered.

The Motion to Dismiss is denied without prejudice.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), filed this motion seeking dismissal of the case because the debtor, Yvonne Johnson ("Debtor"), is delinquent in plan payments.

FILING OF MODIFIED PLAN

Debtor filed a Modified Plan and Motion to Confirm on June 24, 2020. Dckt. 80, 84. The court has reviewed the Motion to Confirm the Modified Plan and the Declaration in support filed by Debtor. Dckt. 83. The Motion appears to comply with Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9013 (stating grounds with particularity), and the Declaration appears to provide testimony as to facts to support confirmation based upon Debtor's personal knowledge. FED. R. EVID. 601, 602.

Debtor appearing to be actively prosecuting this case, the Motion to Dismiss is denied without prejudice.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is denied without prejudice.

July 1, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Page 168 of 168