UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Honorable Fredrick E. Clement Fresno Federal Courthouse 2500 Tulare Street, 5th Floor Courtroom 11, Department A Fresno, California

PRE-HEARING DISPOSITIONS

DAY: WEDNESDAY
DATE: JUNE 27, 2018

CALENDAR: 9:00 A.M. CHAPTER 7 CASES

Each matter on this calendar will have one of three possible designations: No Ruling, Tentative Ruling, or Final Ruling. These instructions apply to those designations.

No Ruling: All parties will need to appear at the hearing unless otherwise ordered.

Tentative Ruling: If a matter has been designated as a tentative ruling it will be called. The court may continue the hearing on the matter, set a briefing schedule or enter other orders appropriate for efficient and proper resolution of the matter. The original moving or objecting party shall give notice of the continued hearing date and the deadlines. The minutes of the hearing will be the court's findings and conclusions.

Final Ruling: Unless otherwise ordered, there will be no hearing on these matters. The final disposition of the matter is set forth in the ruling and it will appear in the minutes. The final ruling may or may not finally adjudicate the matter. If it is finally adjudicated, the minutes constitute the court's findings and conclusions. If the parties stipulate to continue the hearing on the matter or agree to resolve the matter in a way inconsistent with the final ruling, then the court will consider vacating the final ruling only if the moving party notifies chambers before 4:00 pm at least one business day before the hearing date: Department A-Kathy Torres (559)499-5860; Department B-Jennifer Dauer (559)499-5870. a party has grounds to contest a final ruling because of the court's error under FRCP 60 (a) (FRBP 9024) ["a clerical mistake (by the court) or a mistake arising from (the court's) oversight or omission"] the party shall notify chambers (contact information above) and any other party affected by the final ruling by 4:00 pm one business day before the hearing.

Orders: Unless the court specifies in the tentative or final ruling that it will issue an order, the prevailing party shall lodge an order within 14 days of the final hearing on the matter.

1. $\frac{17-14301}{\text{JES}-1}$ -A-7 IN RE: HARRY/CHERRY COLES

OPPOSITION RE: TRUSTEE'S MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO APPEAR AT SEC. 341(A) MEETING OF CREDITORS 5-22-2018 [95]

TIMOTHY SPRINGER
NANCY KLEPAC/ATTY. FOR MV.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

No Ruling

2. $\frac{17-14301}{TCS-4}$ -A-7 IN RE: HARRY/CHERRY COLES

MOTION FOR ENTRY OF DISCHARGE 6-13-2018 [104]

HARRY COLES/MV TIMOTHY SPRINGER

No Ruling

3. $\frac{18-11302}{APN-1}$ -A-7 IN RE: PIEDAD LOPEZ

MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY 5-30-2018 [18]

FORD MOTOR CREDIT COMPANY/MV PETER FEAR AUSTIN NAGEL/ATTY. FOR MV.

Final Ruling

Motion: Stay Relief

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Civil minute order

Subject: 2013 Ford F-150

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P.55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

STAY RELIEF

Section 362(d)(2) authorizes stay relief if the debtor lacks equity in the property and the property is not necessary to an effective reorganization. 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(2). Chapter 7 is a mechanism for liquidation, not reorganization, and, therefore, property of the estate is never necessary for reorganization. In re Casgul of Nevada, Inc., 22 B.R. 65, 66 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1982). In this case, the aggregate amount due all liens exceeds the value of the collateral and the debtor has no equity in the property. The motion will be granted, and the 14-day stay of Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 4001(a)(3) will be waived. No other relief will be awarded.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

Ford Motor Credit Company's motion for relief from the automatic stay has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted. The automatic stay is vacated with respect to the property described in the motion, commonly known as a 2013 Ford F-150, as to all parties in interest. The 14-day stay of the order under Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 4001(a)(3) is waived. Any party with standing may pursue its rights against the property pursuant to applicable non-bankruptcy law.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no other relief is awarded. To the extent that the motion includes any request for attorney's fees or other costs for bringing this motion, the request is denied.

4. $\frac{18-10510}{\text{JES}-1}$ -A-7 IN RE: LINDA PEREZ

OPPOSITION RE: TRUSTEE'S MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO APPEAR AT SEC. 341(A) MEETING OF CREDITORS 5-22-2018 [13]

PETER BUNTING
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case and Extend Trustee's Deadlines

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required or case

dismissed without hearing

Disposition: Conditionally denied in part, granted in part

Order: Civil minute order

DISMISSAL

Chapter 7 debtors shall attend the § 341(a) meeting of creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 343. A continuing failure to attend this meeting is cause for dismissal of the case. See 11 U.S.C. §§ 105(a), 343, 707(a); see also In re Nordblad, No. 2:13-bk-14562-RK, 2013 WL 3049227, at *2 (Bankr. C.D. Cal. June 17, 2013).

The debtor has failed to appear at a scheduled meeting of creditors required by 11 U.S.C. § 341. Because the debtor's failure to attend the required § 341 creditors' meeting has occurred only once, the court will not dismiss the case on condition that the debtor attend the next creditors' meeting. But if the debtor does not appear at the continued meeting of creditors, the case will be dismissed on trustee's declaration without further notice or hearing.

EXTENSION OF DEADLINES

The court will grant the motion in part to the extent it requests extension of the trustee's deadlines to object to discharge and to dismiss the case for abuse, other than presumed abuse. Such deadlines will no longer be set at 60 days following the first date set for the meeting of creditors. The following deadlines are extended to 60 days after the next continued date of the creditors' meeting: (1) the trustee's deadline for objecting to discharge under § 727, see Fed. R. Bankr. P. 4004(a); and (2) the trustee's deadline for bringing a motion to dismiss under § 707(b) or (c) for abuse, other than presumed abuse, see Fed. R. Bankr. P. 1017(e).

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court will issue a minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes of the hearing.

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is denied on the condition that the debtor attend the next continued § 341(a) meeting of creditors scheduled for July 5, 2018, at 9:00 a.m. But if the debtor does not appear at this continued meeting, the case will be dismissed on trustee's declaration without further notice or hearing.

IT IS ALSO ORDERED that following deadlines shall be extended to 60 days after the next continued date of the creditors' meeting: (1) the trustee's deadline for objecting to discharge under § 727, see Fed. R. Bankr. P. 4004(a); and (2) the trustee's deadline for bringing a motion to dismiss under § 707(b) or (c) for abuse, other than presumed abuse, see Fed. R. Bankr. P. 1017(e).

5. $\frac{18-10911}{TMT-1}$ -A-7 IN RE: CASSANDRA BAKER

MOTION TO RECONSIDER 5-17-2018 [17]

TRUDI MANFREDO/MV
TRUDI MANFREDO/ATTY. FOR MV.

Final Ruling

Motion: Reconsider Order Granting Application for Waiver of Chapter

7 Filing Fee

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Civil minute order

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

DISCUSSION

The chapter 7 trustee moves to reconsider the order granting the debtor's application for waiver of the chapter 7 filing fee. In that application, the debtor represented the following: (1) a family size of one; (2) income of \$190 per month; and (3) an inability to pay the filing fee in installments. On the petition date, the Office of Management and Budget defined 150% of the poverty line for this family size to be \$1,517.50 per month.

Based on such representations, the court granted the fee waiver.

Rule 60(b) allows the court to relieve a party from an order for the following reasons (1) "mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable

neglect," (2) "newly discovered evidence that, with reasonable diligence, could not have been discovered in time to move for a new trial under Rule 59(b)," or (3) fraud. Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b)(1)-(3), incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9024; see also Rule 9023 (requiring motions for a new trial to be filed not later than 14 days after judgment). Such a Rule 60(b) motion must be brought no later than one year after the order from which relief is sought. Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(c)(1), incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9024.

In forma pauperis fee waivers are governed by 28 U.S.C. § 1930(f). That subsection authorizes the court to waive the filing fee for Chapter 7 debtors: (1) whose income is "less than 150 percent of the income official poverty line . . . applicable to a family of the size involved"; and (2) who is otherwise "unable to pay that fee in installments." The debtor bears the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that both prongs of § 1930(f)(1) have been satisfied. In re Ross, 508 B.R. 777 (Bankr. N.D. Ga. 2014).

Here, the trustee has made a prima facie showing of entitlement to relief. This reconsideration motion has been brought within 1 year of the order granting the fee waiver. Further, at the meeting of creditors, the trustee discovered new evidence relevant to the ruling on the fee-waiver application. This new evidence demonstrates that income that exceeds 150% of the poverty line. Particularly, the trustee discovers that the debtor and her fiancé, as well as his dependents, comprise a household of 8 persons. His income is \$9,200 per month and the poverty guideline for a household of that size is \$48,380. This new evidence could not have been discovered in time for a motion for a new hearing on the matter because the application for waiver of the filing fee, the petition, schedules, and statements did not include the evidence. Further, the trustee's first opportunity to examine the debtor was at the meeting of creditors, which was more than 14 days after the order on the fee waiver. The motion will be granted.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

Trudy G. Manfredo's motion to reconsider the order granting debtor' application for waiver of the chapter 7 filing fee has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the application,

IT IS ORDERED that: (1) the motion is granted; and (2) the order on the Application for Wavier of the Chapter 7 Filing Fee, March 14, 2018, ECF 7 is vacated.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall issue an order allowing payment of the filing fee in installments and

establishing a payment schedule for such installments. If the filing fee is not paid, the Clerk may close the case without issuing a discharge, Fed. R. Bankr. P. 4004(c)(1)(G).

6. $\frac{17-11824}{\text{CD}-8}$ -A-7 IN RE: HORISONS UNLIMITED

CONTINUED MOTION FOR COMPENSATION BY THE LAW OFFICE OF PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP (FOR CECILY A. DUMAS, DEBTORS ATTORNEY(S) $11-17-2017 \quad [\ 392\]$

CECILY DUMAS
CONT'D TO 8/29/18 PER ECF ORDER NO. #688

Final Ruling

This motion has been continued to August 29, 2018, at 9:00 a.m. Not later than 7 days prior to the continued hearing, the parties shall file a status report indicating whether the matter is ready for resolution.

7. $\frac{17-11824}{PSZ-4}$ -A-7 IN RE: HORISONS UNLIMITED

CONTINUED MOTION FOR COMPENSATION BY THE LAW OFFICE OF PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP FOR TEDDY M. KAPUR, TRUSTEES ATTORNEY(S) 11-17-2017 [387]

CECILY DUMAS
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

This motion is continued to July 18, 2018, at 9:00 a.m. The record is closed and, absent leave of court, no further filings are authorized. A civil minute order will issue.

8. $\frac{17-11824}{\text{SFR}-2}$ -A-7 IN RE: HORISONS UNLIMITED

CONTINUED MOTION TO DISQUALIFY DEBTOR'S COUNSEL 5-16-2018 [638]

JAMES SALVEN/MV CECILY DUMAS PETER FEAR/ATTY. FOR MV. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

This motion has been continued to August 1, 2018, at 9:00 a.m. Not later than 7 days prior to the continued hearing, the parties shall file a status report indicating whether the matter is ready for resolution.

9. $\frac{17-11824}{\text{WFH}-26}$ -A-7 IN RE: HORISONS UNLIMITED

MOTION TO COMPROMISE CONTROVERSY/APPROVE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT WITH SANDRA HAAR, NORMAN HAAR, KATIE HAAR, SARA PRICE AND KEVIN PRICE 6-6-2018 [660]

JAMES SALVEN/MV CECILY DUMAS PETER FEAR/ATTY. FOR MV.

No Ruling

10. $\frac{17-11824}{WFH-28}$ -A-7 IN RE: HORISONS UNLIMITED

MOTION TO SELL 6-6-2018 [666]

JAMES SALVEN/MV CECILY DUMAS PETER FEAR/ATTY. FOR MV.

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Sell Real Property and Compensate Real Estate Broker **Notice:** LBR 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Prepared by moving party

Property: 3271 Thorn Avenue, Merced, CA

Buyer: Sandra Maciel
Sale Price: \$238,500
Sale Type: Private sale

Compensation: 6% commission to Loren Gonella and Coldwell Banker Gonella Realty, to be shared with any cooperating broker according to contract or custom

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

SALE PURSUANT TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

According to the terms of a pending settlement, the Haar family is to pay \$2.4 million to the estate for a release of claims against them subject to the terms and conditions of that settlement agreement. To fund this payment obligation, the Haar Family authorizes the trustee to sell certain real properties they own.

The subject property is not property of the estate, so it is not subject to section 363(b). But § 704(a)(1) authorizes the trustee to collect and reduce to money property of the estate for which such trustee serves. 11 U.S.C. § 704(a)(1). The estate's right to the settlement proceeds is property of the estate: it is "proceeds" of the claim against the Haar family that is being settled, § 541(a)(6), and it is property that the estate acquires after the commencement of the case is property of the estate, § 541(a)(7). As a result, selling the subject real property is a way of liquidating the right to the settlement proceeds, so it falls within the scope of § 704(a). The court will grant the motion to sell.

COMPENSATION

Section 330(a) of Title 11 authorizes "reasonable compensation for actual, necessary services" rendered by a professional person

employed under § 327 and "reimbursement for actual, necessary expenses." 11 U.S.C. § 330(a). Reasonable compensation is determined by considering all relevant factors. See id. § 330(a)(3). The court finds that the compensation sought is reasonable and will approve the application.

11. $\frac{18-10525}{MEL-1}$ -A-7 IN RE: GEORGE MAYER

MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY 5-23-2018 [19]

BANK OF AMERICA, N.A./MV NICHOLAS WAJDA MEGAN LEES/ATTY. FOR MV.

Final Ruling

Motion: Stay Relief

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Civil minute order

Subject: N 2018 Dodge Challenger

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P.55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

STAY RELIEF

Section 362(d)(2) authorizes stay relief if the debtor lacks equity in the property and the property is not necessary to an effective reorganization. 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(2). Chapter 7 is a mechanism for liquidation, not reorganization, and, therefore, property of the estate is never necessary for reorganization. In re Casgul of Nevada, Inc., 22 B.R. 65, 66 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1982). In this case, the aggregate amount due all liens exceeds the value of the collateral and the debtor has no equity in the property. The motion will be granted, and the 14-day stay of Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 4001(a)(3) will be waived. No other relief will be awarded.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

Bank of America N.A.'s motion for relief from the automatic stay has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted. The automatic stay is vacated with respect to the property described in the motion, commonly known as a N 2018 Dodge Challenger, as to all parties in interest. The 14-day stay of the order under Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 4001(a)(3) is waived. Any party with standing may pursue its rights against the property pursuant to applicable non-bankruptcy law.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no other relief is awarded. To the extent that the motion includes any request for attorney's fees or other costs for bringing this motion, the request is denied.

12. <u>16-12127</u>-A-7 IN RE: STUEVE'S MILK TRANSPORT, INC. A CALIFORNIA CORPORATION

DJR-4

MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY 5-29-2018 [144]

DAGOBERTO HERRERA/MV HAGOP BEDOYAN DANIEL RAFII/ATTY. FOR MV.

Final Ruling

Motion: Stay Relief to Pursue State-Court Litigation **Notice:** LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted only to the extent specified in this ruling

Order: Civil minute order

Subject: Pending state-court litigation in Kern County, California, having case no. BCV-16-100923

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P.55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

STAY RELIEF

Section 362(d)(1) authorizes stay relief for cause. Cause is determined on a case-by-case basis and may include the existence of litigation pending in a non-bankruptcy forum that should properly be pursued. *In re Tucson Estates, Inc.*, 912 F.2d 1162, 1169 (9th Cir. 1990).

The Ninth Circuit Bankruptcy Appellate Panel has "agree[d] that the *Curtis* factors are appropriate, nonexclusive, factors to consider in deciding whether to grant relief from the automatic stay to allow pending litigation to continue in another forum." *In re Kronemyer*, 405 B.R. 915, 921 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2009).

These factors include: "(1) whether relief would result in a partial or complete resolution of the issues; (2) lack of any connection with or interference with the bankruptcy case; (3) whether the other proceeding involves the debtor as a fiduciary; (4) whether a specialized tribunal with the necessary expertise has been established to hear the cause of action; (5) whether the debtor's insurer has assumed full responsibility for defending it; (6) whether the action primarily involves third parties; (7) whether litigation in another forum would prejudice the interests of other creditors; (8) whether the judgment claim arising from the other action is subject to equitable subordination; (9) whether movant's success in the other proceeding would result in a judicial lien avoidable by the debtor; (10) the interests of judicial economy and the expeditious and economical resolution of litigation; (11) whether the parties are ready for trial in the other proceeding; and (12) impact of the stay on the parties and the balance of harms." Sonnax Indus., Inc. v. TRI Component Prods. Corp. (In re Sonnax Indus., Inc.), 907 F.2d 1280, 1286 (2nd Cir. 1990) (citing In re Curtis, 40 B.R. 795, 799-800 (Bankr. D. Utah 1984)).

Courts may consider whichever factors are relevant to the particular case. See id. (applying only four of the factors that were relevant in the case). The decision whether to lift the stay is within the court's discretion. Id.

Having considered the motion's well-pleaded facts, the court finds cause to grant stay relief subject to the limitations described in this ruling.

The moving party shall have relief from stay to pursue the pending state court litigation identified in the motion through judgment. The moving party may also file post-judgment motions, and appeals. But no bill of costs may be filed without leave of this court, no attorney's fees shall be sought or awarded, and no action shall be taken to collect or enforce any judgment, except: (1) from applicable insurance proceeds; or (2) by filing a proof of claim in this court.

The motion will be granted to the extent specified herein, and the stay of the order provided by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 4001(a)(3) will be waived. No other relief will be awarded.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

Dagoberto Herrera's motion for relief from the automatic stay has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion.

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted to the extent specified in this order. The automatic stay is vacated to allow the movant to pursue through judgment the pending state-court litigation in Kern County, California, having case no. BCV-16-100923. The movant may also file post-judgment motions and appeals. But the movant shall not take any action to collect or enforce any judgment, or pursue costs or attorney's fees against the debtor, except (1) from applicable insurance proceeds; or (2) by filing a proof of claim in this case. No other relief is awarded.

13. $\frac{16-14243}{TGM-2}$ -A-7 IN RE: DAMON JACKSON

MOTION TO EMPLOY CATARINA M. BENITEZ AS SPECIAL COUNSEL 6-8-2018 [54]

TRUDI MANFREDO/MV SUSAN HEMB TRUDI MANFREDO/ATTY. FOR MV. OST 6/7/18

Tentative Ruling

Application: Approval of Employment

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(3); no written opposition required

Disposition: Approved

Order: Prepared by applicant pursuant to the instructions below

Unopposed applications are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

The court may approve employment of professional persons who "do not hold or represent an interest adverse to the estate, and that are disinterested persons." 11 U.S.C. § 327(a); see also id. § 101(14) (defining "disinterested person"). From the factual information provided in the motion and supporting papers, the court will approve

the employment of the Benitez Law Firm, P.C., as special counsel to the trustee.

The order shall contain the following provision: "Nothing contained herein shall be construed to approve any provision of any agreement between [professional's name] and the estate for indemnification, arbitration, choice of venue, jurisdiction, jury waiver, limitation of damages, or similar provision." The order shall also state its effective date in a manner consistent with LBR 2014-1(b)(1).

14. $\frac{18-11544}{\text{JES}-1}$ -A-7 IN RE: TERRY STEPHENSON

OPPOSITION RE: TRUSTEE'S MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO APPEAR AT SEC. 341(A) MEETING OF CREDITORS 5-22-2018 [15]

RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case and Extend Trustee's Deadlines

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required or case

dismissed without hearing

Disposition: Conditionally denied in part, granted in part

Order: Civil minute order

DISMISSAL

Chapter 7 debtors shall attend the § 341(a) meeting of creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 343. A continuing failure to attend this meeting is cause for dismissal of the case. See 11 U.S.C. §§ 105(a), 343, 707(a); see also In re Nordblad, No. 2:13-bk-14562-RK, 2013 WL 3049227, at *2 (Bankr. C.D. Cal. June 17, 2013).

The debtor has failed to appear at a scheduled meeting of creditors required by 11 U.S.C. § 341. Because the debtor's failure to attend the required § 341 creditors' meeting has occurred only once, the court will not dismiss the case on condition that the debtor attend the next creditors' meeting. But if the debtor does not appear at the continued meeting of creditors, the case will be dismissed on trustee's declaration without further notice or hearing.

EXTENSION OF DEADLINES

The court will grant the motion in part to the extent it requests extension of the trustee's deadlines to object to discharge and to dismiss the case for abuse, other than presumed abuse. Such deadlines will no longer be set at 60 days following the first date set for the meeting of creditors.

The following deadlines are extended to 60 days after the next continued date of the creditors' meeting: (1) the trustee's deadline for objecting to discharge under § 727, see Fed. R. Bankr. P.

4004(a); and (2) the trustee's deadline for bringing a motion to dismiss under § 707(b) or (c) for abuse, other than presumed abuse, see Fed. R. Bankr. P. 1017(e).

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court will issue a minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes of the hearing.

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is denied on the condition that the debtor attend the next continued § 341(a) meeting of creditors scheduled for July 5, 2018, at 9:00 a.m. But if the debtor does not appear at this continued meeting, the case will be dismissed on trustee's declaration without further notice or hearing.

IT IS ALSO ORDERED that following deadlines shall be extended to 60 days after the next continued date of the creditors' meeting: (1) the trustee's deadline for objecting to discharge under § 727, see Fed. R. Bankr. P. 4004(a); and (2) the trustee's deadline for bringing a motion to dismiss under § 707(b) or (c) for abuse, other than presumed abuse, see Fed. R. Bankr. P. 1017(e).

15. $\frac{17-13048}{\text{NUNEZ}}$ -A-7 IN RE: HUGO NUNEZ MORALES AND LEONOR DE $\frac{1}{\text{NUNEZ}}$ JDR-1

MOTION TO COMPEL ABANDONMENT 5-23-2018 [27]

HUGO NUNEZ MORALES/MV JEFFREY ROWE

Final Ruling

Motion: Compel Abandonment of Property of the Estate **Notice:** LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Prepared by moving party pursuant to the instructions below

Real Property Description: 16140 W. Botelho Avenue, Kerman, CA

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

Property of the estate may be abandoned under § 554 of the Bankruptcy Code if property of the estate is "burdensome to the estate or of inconsequential value and benefit to the estate." See 11 U.S.C. § 554(a)-(b). Upon request of a party in interest, the court may issue an order that the trustee abandon property of the estate if the statutory standards for abandonment are fulfilled.

The real property described above is either burdensome to the estate or of inconsequential value to the estate. An order compelling abandonment is warranted.

16. $\frac{18-10448}{\text{RODRIGUEZ}}$ IN RE: ALFREDO RODRIGUEZ BARAJAS AND MARIA RODRIGUEZ JES-1

OPPOSITION RE: TRUSTEE'S MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO APPEAR AT SEC. 341(A) MEETING OF CREDITORS 5-22-2018 [15]

CATARINA BENITEZ
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case and Extend Trustee's Deadlines

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required or case

dismissed without hearing

Disposition: Conditionally denied in part, granted in part

Order: Civil minute order

DISMISSAL

Chapter 7 debtors shall attend the § 341(a) meeting of creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 343. A continuing failure to attend this meeting is cause for dismissal of the case. See 11 U.S.C. §§ 105(a), 343, 707(a); see also In re Nordblad, No. 2:13-bk-14562-RK, 2013 WL 3049227, at *2 (Bankr. C.D. Cal. June 17, 2013).

The debtor has failed to appear at a scheduled meeting of creditors required by 11 U.S.C. § 341. Because the debtor's failure to attend the required § 341 creditors' meeting has occurred only once, the court will not dismiss the case on condition that the debtor attend the next creditors' meeting. But if the debtor does not appear at the continued meeting of creditors, the case will be dismissed on trustee's declaration without further notice or hearing.

EXTENSION OF DEADLINES

The court will grant the motion in part to the extent it requests extension of the trustee's deadlines to object to discharge and to dismiss the case for abuse, other than presumed abuse. Such deadlines will no longer be set at 60 days following the first date set for the meeting of creditors. The following deadlines are extended to 60 days after the next continued date of the creditors'

meeting: (1) the trustee's deadline for objecting to discharge under § 727, see Fed. R. Bankr. P. 4004(a); and (2) the trustee's deadline for bringing a motion to dismiss under § 707(b) or (c) for abuse, other than presumed abuse, see Fed. R. Bankr. P. 1017(e).

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court will issue a minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes of the hearing.

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is denied on the condition that the debtor attend the next continued § 341(a) meeting of creditors scheduled for July 5, 2018, at 9:00 a.m. But if the debtor does not appear at this continued meeting, the case will be dismissed on trustee's declaration without further notice or hearing.

IT IS ALSO ORDERED that following deadlines shall be extended to 60 days after the next continued date of the creditors' meeting: (1) the trustee's deadline for objecting to discharge under § 727, see Fed. R. Bankr. P. 4004(a); and (2) the trustee's deadline for bringing a motion to dismiss under § 707(b) or (c) for abuse, other than presumed abuse, see Fed. R. Bankr. P. 1017(e).

17. $\frac{15-13655}{TMT-3}$ -A-7 IN RE: LEE BROGGI

MOTION TO COMPROMISE CONTROVERSY/APPROVE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT WITH CINDI BROGGI 5-23-2018 [95]

TRUDI MANFREDO/MV
PETER BUNTING
DAVID JENKINS/ATTY. FOR MV.

Final Ruling

Motion: Approve Compromise of Controversy

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted
Order: Civil minute order

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

APPROVAL OF COMPROMISE

In determining whether to approve a compromise under Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9019, the court determines whether the compromise was negotiated in good faith and whether the party proposing the compromise reasonably believes that the compromise is the best that can be negotiated under the facts. In re A & C Props., 784 F.2d 1377, 1381 (9th Cir. 1982). More than mere good faith negotiation of a compromise is required. The court must also find that the compromise is fair and equitable. Id. "Fair and equitable" involves a consideration of four factors: (i) the probability of success in the litigation; (ii) the difficulties to be encountered in collection; (iii) the complexity of the litigation, and expense, delay and inconvenience necessarily attendant to litigation; and (iv) the paramount interest of creditors and a proper deference to the creditors' expressed wishes, if any. Id. The party proposing the compromise bears the burden of persuading the court that the compromise is fair and equitable and should be approved. Id.

The parties request approval of a compromise that settles a dispute about a post-petition transfer of a vehicle that was estate property. The transfer was made to the debtor's former spouse, Cindi Broggi. A settlement agreement reflecting the parties' compromise has not been attached to the motion as an exhibit. The terms and conditions of the compromise include the trustee's release of claims against Cindi Broggi and the payment by Cindi Broggi of \$6,500 to the trustee. Based on the motion and supporting papers, the court finds that the compromise presented for the court's approval is fair and equitable considering the relevant A & C Properties factors. The compromise or settlement will be approved.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to approve a compromise has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted. The court approves the parties' compromise, which settles a dispute about a post-petition transfer of a vehicle that was estate property. The terms and conditions of the compromise include the trustee's release of claims against Cindi Broggi and the payment by Cindi Broggi of \$6,500 to the trustee.

18. $\frac{18-11655}{MAZ-1}$ -A-7 IN RE: TRAVIS THIERRY

MOTION TO COMPEL ABANDONMENT 6-1-2018 [18]

TRAVIS THIERRY/MV MARK ZIMMERMAN

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Compel Abandonment of Property of the Estate **Notice:** LBR 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required

Disposition: Granted only as to the business and such business

assets described in the motion

Order: Prepared by moving party pursuant to the instructions below

Business Description: a cosmetology business, a sole proprietorship

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. $TeleVideo\ Sys.$, $Inc.\ v.\ Heidenthal$, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

Property of the estate may be abandoned under § 554 of the Bankruptcy Code if property of the estate is "burdensome to the estate or of inconsequential value and benefit to the estate." See 11 U.S.C. § 554(a)-(b); Fed. R. Bankr. P. 6007(b). Upon request of a party in interest, the court may issue an order that the trustee abandon property of the estate if the statutory standards for abandonment are fulfilled.

The business described above is either burdensome to the estate or of inconsequential value to the estate. An order compelling abandonment of such business is warranted. The order will compel abandonment of only the business and its assets that are described in the motion.

19. $\frac{16-12560}{\text{JES}-2}$ -A-7 IN RE: MIGUEL/ESMERALDA REYES

MOTION FOR COMPENSATION FOR JAMES E. SALVEN, ACCOUNTANT(S) 5-29-2018 [55]

JAMES SALVEN/MV THOMAS ARMSTRONG

Final Ruling

Application: Allowance of Final Compensation and Expense

Reimbursement

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Approved
Order: Civil minute order

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this application was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on the application. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

COMPENSATION AND EXPENSES

In this Chapter 7 case, James Salven, accountant for the trustee, has applied for an allowance of final compensation and reimbursement of expenses. The applicant requests that the court allow compensation in the amount of \$1375.00 and reimbursement of expenses in the amount of \$396.37.

Section 330(a) of the Bankruptcy Code authorizes "reasonable compensation for actual, necessary services" rendered by a trustee, examiner or professional person employed under § 327 or § 1103 and "reimbursement for actual, necessary expenses." 11 U.S.C. § 330(a)(1). Reasonable compensation is determined by considering all relevant factors. See id. § 330(a)(3).

The court finds that the compensation and expenses sought are reasonable, and the court will approve the application on a final basis.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

James Salven's application for allowance of final compensation and reimbursement of expenses has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent for failure to appear, timely

oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the application,

IT IS ORDERED that the application is approved on a final basis. The court allows final compensation in the amount of \$1375.00 and reimbursement of expenses in the amount of \$396.37.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the trustee is authorized without further order of this court to pay from the estate the aggregate amount allowed by this order in accordance with the Bankruptcy Code and the distribution priorities of § 726.

20. $\frac{17-12866}{WW-1}$ -A-7 IN RE: KHALID CHAOUI

MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY 6-13-2018 [168]

STEVEN WEST/MV JOEL WINTER MATTHEW BUNTING/ATTY. FOR MV. DISCHARGED

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Stay Relief

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required **Disposition**: Granted in part, denied in part as moot

Order: Civil minute order

Subject: 3385 E. Ashlan Ave., Fresno, CA

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

AS TO DEBTOR

The motion will be denied in part as moot to the extent it seeks stay relief as to the debtor. The stay that protects the debtor terminates at the entry of discharge. 11 U.S.C. § 362(c)(2). In this case, discharge has been entered. As a result, the motion is moot as to the debtor.

AS TO ESTATE

Section 362(d)(2) authorizes stay relief if the debtor lacks equity in the property and the property is not necessary to an effective reorganization. 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(2). Chapter 7 is a mechanism for liquidation, not reorganization, and, therefore, property of the estate is never necessary for reorganization. In re Casgul of Nevada, Inc., 22 B.R. 65, 66 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1982). In this case,

the aggregate amount due all liens exceeds the value of the collateral and the debtor has no equity in the property. The motion will be granted, and the 14-day stay of Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 4001(a)(3) will be waived. No other relief will be awarded.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

Steven West, Karen West and The Land Group's motion for relief from the automatic stay has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted in part and denied as moot in part. The automatic stay is vacated with respect to the interest of the trustee in the property described in the motion, commonly known as 3385 E. Ashlan Ave., Fresno, CA. Relief from the automatic stay as to the interest of the debtor in such property is denied as moot given the entry of the discharge in this case. 11 U.S.C. § 362(c)(2)(C).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the 14-day stay of the order under Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 4001(a)(3) is waived. Any party with standing may pursue its rights against the property pursuant to applicable non-bankruptcy law.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no other relief is awarded. To the extent that the motion includes any request for attorney's fees or other costs for bringing this motion, the request is denied.

21. $\frac{18-11966}{MAZ-1}$ -A-7 IN RE: JORGE GUTIERREZ AND THELMA CAMPAS

MOTION TO COMPEL ABANDONMENT 6-1-2018 [16]

JORGE GUTIERREZ/MV MARK ZIMMERMAN

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Compel Abandonment of Property of the Estate **Notice:** LBR 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required

Disposition: Granted only as to the business and such business

assets described in the motion

Order: Prepared by moving party pursuant to the instructions below

Business Description: Progressive Auto Repair, a sole proprietorship

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. $TeleVideo\ Sys.$, $Inc.\ v.\ Heidenthal$, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

Property of the estate may be abandoned under § 554 of the Bankruptcy Code if property of the estate is "burdensome to the estate or of inconsequential value and benefit to the estate." See 11 U.S.C. § 554(a)-(b); Fed. R. Bankr. P. 6007(b). Upon request of a party in interest, the court may issue an order that the trustee abandon property of the estate if the statutory standards for abandonment are fulfilled.

The business described above is either burdensome to the estate or of inconsequential value to the estate. An order compelling abandonment of such business is warranted. The order will compel abandonment of only the business and its assets that are described in the motion.

22. $\frac{17-11469}{\text{JES}-1}$ -A-7 IN RE: HELEN MCCLARD

MOTION TO SELL 5-29-2018 [23]

JAMES SALVEN/MV MARK ZIMMERMAN

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Sell Property

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Prepared by moving party

Property: 2 vehicles

Buyer: Debtor
Sale Price:

-2012 Nissan Altima: \$9,373 (\$4,000 cash plus \$3,050 exemption credit and accounting for a \$2,323 lien to which the sale is

subject)

-2006 GMC Sierra: \$2,000 cash

Sale Type: Private sale subject to overbid opportunity

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

Section 363(b)(1) of Title 11 authorizes sales of property of the estate "other than in the ordinary course of business." 11 U.S.C. § 363(b)(1); see also In re Lionel Corp., 722 F.2d 1063, 1071 (2d Cir. 1983) (requiring business justification). The moving party is the Chapter 7 trustee and liquidation of property of the estate is a proper purpose. See 11 U.S.C. § 704(a)(1). As a result, the court will grant the motion. The stay of the order provided by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 6004(h) will be waived.

23. $\frac{18-11370}{\text{JES}-1}$ -A-7 IN RE: ANGELA RENFROE

OPPOSITION RE: TRUSTEE'S MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO APPEAR AT SEC. 341(A) MEETING OF CREDITORS 5-22-2018 [18]

RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case and Extend Trustee's Deadlines

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required or case

dismissed without hearing

Disposition: Conditionally denied in part, granted in part

Order: Civil minute order

DISMISSAL

Chapter 7 debtors shall attend the § 341(a) meeting of creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 343. A continuing failure to attend this meeting is cause for dismissal of the case. See 11 U.S.C. §§ 105(a), 343, 707(a); see also In re Nordblad, No. 2:13-bk-14562-RK, 2013 WL 3049227, at *2 (Bankr. C.D. Cal. June 17, 2013).

The debtor has failed to appear at a scheduled meeting of creditors required by 11 U.S.C. § 341. Because the debtor's failure to attend the required § 341 creditors' meeting has occurred only once, the court will not dismiss the case on condition that the debtor attend the next creditors' meeting. But if the debtor does not appear at the continued meeting of creditors, the case will be dismissed on trustee's declaration without further notice or hearing.

EXTENSION OF DEADLINES

The court will grant the motion in part to the extent it requests extension of the trustee's deadlines to object to discharge and to dismiss the case for abuse, other than presumed abuse. Such deadlines will no longer be set at 60 days following the first date set for the meeting of creditors. The following deadlines are extended to 60 days after the next continued date of the creditors' meeting: (1) the trustee's deadline for objecting to discharge under § 727, see Fed. R. Bankr. P. 4004(a); and (2) the trustee's deadline for bringing a motion to dismiss under § 707(b) or (c) for abuse, other than presumed abuse, see Fed. R. Bankr. P. 1017(e).

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court will issue a minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes of the hearing.

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is denied on the condition that the debtor attend the next continued § 341(a) meeting of

creditors scheduled for July 5, 2018, at 9:00 a.m. But if the debtor does not appear at this continued meeting, the case will be dismissed on trustee's declaration without further notice or hearing.

IT IS ALSO ORDERED that following deadlines shall be extended to 60 days after the next continued date of the creditors' meeting: (1) the trustee's deadline for objecting to discharge under § 727, see Fed. R. Bankr. P. 4004(a); and (2) the trustee's deadline for bringing a motion to dismiss under § 707(b) or (c) for abuse, other than presumed abuse, see Fed. R. Bankr. P. 1017(e).

24. $\frac{18-10874}{NEA-1}$ -A-7 IN RE: MARTHA HERNANDEZ CAMARILLO

MOTION TO COMPEL ABANDONMENT 4-24-2018 [11]

MARTHA HERNANDEZ CAMARILLO/MV NICHOLAS ANIOTZBEHERE

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Compel Abandonment

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required

Disposition: Denied without prejudice

Order: Civil minute order

RULE 9013

Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9013 requires a written motion to "set forth the relief or order sought" and to "state with particularity the grounds" for that request. Under this rule, a motion lacking proper grounds for relief does not comply with this rule by including them in the declaration, exhibits or other papers in support.

The motion to compel abandonment does not identify the property of the estate that is to be abandoned. The prayer for relief asks that the court find "the business assets are fully exempted and have no value to the . . . estate." But the motion does not identify a business or any specific assets, and it does not incorporate by reference any document that does so. The declaration offers a little more clarity, but the court notes that the motion must contain proper grounds for relief within its four corners.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

The debtor's motion to compel abandonment of property of the estate has been presented to the court. Given the procedural deficiencies discussed by the court in its ruling,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is denied without prejudice.

25. $\frac{18-12081}{TOG-1}$ -A-7 IN RE: MARCO GUZMAN AND GIOVANA JARAMILLO

AMENDED MOTION TO DISMISS DUPLICATE CASE 5-30-2018 [15]

MARCO GUZMAN/MV THOMAS GILLIS DISMISSED, CLOSED

Final Ruling

The case having now been dismissed, this matter is denied as moot.

26. $\frac{18-10884}{MRG-1}$ -A-7 IN RE: JOHN CRISCO

MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY 5-25-2018 [14]

GROW FINANCIAL FEDERAL CREDIT UNION/MV JERRY LOWE KRISTIN ZILBERSTEIN/ATTY. FOR MV.

Final Ruling

Motion: Stay Relief

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required **Disposition:** Granted in part, denied in part as moot

Order: Civil minute order

Subject: 2015 Kia Cadenza

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

AS TO DEBTOR

The motion will be denied in part as moot to the extent it seeks stay relief as to the debtor. The stay that protects the debtor terminates at the entry of discharge. 11 U.S.C. \S 362(c)(2). In

this case, discharge has been entered. As a result, the motion will be denied in part as moot as to the debtor.

AS TO ESTATE

Section 362(d)(2) authorizes stay relief if the debtor lacks equity in the property and the property is not necessary to an effective reorganization. 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(2). Chapter 7 is a mechanism for liquidation, not reorganization, and, therefore, property of the estate is never necessary for reorganization. In re Casgul of Nevada, Inc., 22 B.R. 65, 66 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1982). In this case, the aggregate amount due all liens exceeds the value of the collateral and the debtor has no equity in the property. The motion will be granted, and the 14-day stay of Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 4001(a)(3) will be waived. No other relief will be awarded.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

Grow Financial Federal Credit Union's motion for relief from the automatic stay has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted in part and denied as moot in part. The automatic stay is vacated with respect to the interest of the trustee in the property described in the motion, commonly known as a 2015 Kia Cadenza. Relief from the automatic stay as to the interest of the debtor in such property is denied as moot given the entry of the discharge in this case. 11 U.S.C. § 362(c)(2)(C).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the 14-day stay of the order under Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 4001(a)(3) is waived. Any party with standing may pursue its rights against the property pursuant to applicable non-bankruptcy law.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no other relief is awarded. To the extent that the motion includes any request for attorney's fees or other costs for bringing this motion, the request is denied.

27. $\frac{16-10469}{\text{JES}-3}$ -A-7 IN RE: JEFFREY BOHN

JAMES SALVEN/MV
PETER FEAR
RUSSELL REYNOLDS/ATTY. FOR MV.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

This motion is continued to August 1, 2018, at 9:00 a.m.

28. $\frac{16-10469}{RWR-3}$ -A-7 IN RE: JEFFREY BOHN

CONTINUED MOTION FOR COMPENSATION FOR EUGEN C. ANDRES, SPECIAL COUNSEL(S) $2-14-2018 \quad [112]$

JAMES SALVEN/MV
PETER FEAR
RUSSELL REYNOLDS/ATTY. FOR MV.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

This motion is continued to August 1, 2018, at 9:00 a.m.

29. $\frac{18-10857}{TMT-1}$ -A-7 IN RE: DAVID/CHRISTINA COBB

OPPOSITION RE: TRUSTEE'S MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO APPEAR AT SEC. 341(A) MEETING OF CREDITORS 5-15-2018 [16]

SCOTT LYONS
TRUSTEE'S MOTION WITHDRAWN,

Final Ruling

The motion withdrawn, the matter is dropped as moot.