

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT Eastern District of California Honorable René Lastreto II Department B - Courtroom #13 Fresno, California

Hearing Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025

Unless otherwise ordered, all matters before the Honorable René Lastreto II, shall be simultaneously: (1) In Person at, Courtroom #13 (Fresno hearings only), (2) via ZoomGov Video, (3) via ZoomGov Telephone, and (4) via CourtCall. You may choose any of these options unless otherwise ordered or stated below.

All parties or their attorneys who wish to appear at a hearing remotely must sign up by 4:00 p.m. one business day prior to the hearing. Information regarding how to sign up can be found on the Remote Appearances page of our website at https://www.caeb.uscourts.gov/Calendar/CourtAppearances. Each party/attorney who has signed up will receive a Zoom link or phone number, meeting I.D., and password via e-mail.

If the deadline to sign up has passed, parties and their attorneys who wish to appear remotely must contact the Courtroom Deputy for the Department holding the hearing.

Please also note the following:

- Parties in interest and/or their attorneys may connect to the video or audio feed free of charge and should select which method they will use to appear when signing up.
- Members of the public and the press who wish to attend by ZoomGov may only listen in to the hearing using the Zoom telephone number. Video participation or observing are not permitted.
- Members of the public and the press may not listen in to trials or evidentiary hearings, though they may attend in person unless otherwise ordered.

To appear remotely for law and motion or status conference proceedings, you must comply with the following guidelines and procedures:

- 1. Review the $\frac{\text{Pre-Hearing Dispositions}}{\text{hearing.}}$ prior to appearing at the
- 2. Parties appearing via CourtCall are encouraged to review the CourtCall Appearance Information. If you are appearing by ZoomGov phone or video, please join at least 10 minutes prior to the start of the calendar and wait with your microphone muted until the matter is called.

Unauthorized Recording is Prohibited: Any recording of a court proceeding held by video or teleconference, including "screen shots" or other audio or visual copying of a hearing is prohibited. Violation may result in sanctions, including removal of court-issued media credentials, denial of entry to future hearings, or any other sanctions deemed necessary by the court. For more information on photographing, recording, or broadcasting Judicial Proceedings, please refer to Local Rule 173(a) of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR PRE-HEARING DISPOSITIONS

Each matter on this calendar will have one of three possible designations: No Ruling, Tentative Ruling, or Final Ruling. These instructions apply to those designations.

No Ruling: All parties will need to appear at the hearing unless otherwise ordered.

Tentative Ruling: If a matter has been designated as a tentative ruling it will be called, and all parties will need to appear at the hearing unless otherwise ordered. The court may continue the hearing on the matter, set a briefing schedule, or enter other orders appropriate for efficient and proper resolution of the matter. The original moving or objecting party shall give notice of the continued hearing date and the deadlines. The minutes of the hearing will be the court's findings and conclusions.

Final Ruling: Unless otherwise ordered, there will be no hearing on these matters. The final disposition of the matter is set forth in the ruling and it will appear in the minutes. The final ruling may or may not finally adjudicate the matter. If it is finally adjudicated, the minutes constitute the court's findings and conclusions.

Orders: Unless the court specifies in the tentative or final ruling that it will issue an order, the prevailing party shall lodge an order within 14 days of the final hearing on the matter.

Post-Publication Changes: The court endeavors to publish its rulings as soon as possible. However, calendar preparation is ongoing, and these rulings may be revised or updated at any time prior to 4:00 p.m. the day before the scheduled hearings. Please check at that time for any possible updates.

9:30 AM

1. $\frac{25-10802}{LGT-1}$ -B-13 IN RE: RICHARD WILSON

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY TRUSTEE LILIAN G. TSANG 4-21-2025 [16]

SCOTT LYONS/ATTY. FOR DBT. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Withdrawn.

No order is required.

On June 2, 2025, the Trustee withdrew this *Objection to Confirmation*. Doc. #32. Accordingly, this Objection is WITHDRAWN.

2. $\underline{25-10311}$ -B-13 IN RE: MALERY HERNANDEZ $\underline{BDB-2}$

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN 5-7-2025 [46]

MALERY HERNANDEZ/MV BENNY BARCO/ATTY. FOR DBT. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Continued to July 9, 2025, at 9:30 a.m.

ORDER: The court will issue an order.

Malery Hernandez ("Debtor") moves for an order confirming the *First Modified Chapter 13 Plan* dated May 7, 2025. Doc. #44. No plan has been confirmed so far. Doc. #46. Chapter 13 trustee Lilian G. Tsang ("Trustee") timely objected to confirmation of the plan for the following reason(s):

1. The Debtor will not be able to make all the payments under the plan and comply with its terms. The distributions to be made to three secured creditors and attorney fees and Trustee compensation total \$2,824.23 per month, but the plan payment is only \$1,575.00 for months 1-3. Also, because only payments of

\$1,575.00 have been made for months 1-2, the on-going conduit payment to U.S. Bank Trust N.A. is delinquent by one month.

Doc. #59.

This motion to confirm plan will be CONTINUED to July 9, 2025, at 9:30 a.m.

Unless this case is voluntarily converted to chapter 7, dismissed, or all objections to confirmation are withdrawn, the Debtor shall file and serve a written response to the objections no later than fourteen (14) days before the continued hearing date. The response shall specifically address each issue raised in the objection(s) to confirmation, state whether each issue is disputed or undisputed, and include admissible evidence to support the Debtor's position. Any replies shall be filed and served no later than seven (7) days prior to the hearing date.

If the Debtor elects to withdraw the plan and file a modified plan in lieu of filing a response, then a confirmable, modified plan shall be filed, served, and set for hearing not later than seven (7) days before the continued hearing date. If the Debtor does not timely file a modified plan or a written response, the objection will be sustained on the grounds stated, and the motion will be denied without further hearing.

3. $\frac{20-11118}{LGT-1}$ -B-13 IN RE: MARC ROCHA

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 5-6-2025 [48]

LILIAN TSANG/MV TIMOTHY SPRINGER/ATTY. FOR DBT. LILIAN TSANG/ATTY. FOR MV.

After posting the original pre-hearing dispositions, the court has modified its intended ruling on this matter

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Continued to July 16, 2025, at 9:30 a.m.

ORDER: The court will prepare the order.

For the reasons set forth on the record during the court's June 11, 2025, hearing, this matter is CONTINUED to July 16, 2025, at 9:30 a.m.

4. $\frac{25-10925}{\text{JRL}-1}$ -B-13 IN RE: JORGE GONZALEZ AND NANCY RAMIREZ

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN 5-18-2025 [23]

NANCY RAMIREZ/MV JERRY LOWE/ATTY. FOR DBT. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Continued to July 9, 2025, at 9:30 a.m.

ORDER: The court will issue an order.

Jorge Gonzalez and Nancy Ramirez ("Debtors") move for an order confirming the *First Modified Chapter 13 Plan* dated May 18, 2025. Doc. #23. No plan has been confirmed so far. Chapter 13 trustee Lilian G. Tsang ("Trustee") timely objected to confirmation of the plan for the following reason(s):

- 1. The plan provides for payments to creditors for longer than five years. The plan payments must be increased to at least \$6,682.55 per month to fund.
- 2. The plan misclassifies the claim of Aqua Finance, Inc. as a Class 4 Claim when it should be a Class 2 Claim.

Doc. #53. On June 5, 2025, the Trustee filed a Supplemental Document advising that Objection #2 was resolved but not Objection #1. Doc. #41. The Supplemental Document also raises an additional basis for objection:

3. The plan provides for Roundpoint Mortgage Servicing ("Roundpoint") to be treated as a Class 4 claim, but the Proof of Claim filed by Roundpoint's service lists a prepetition mortgage arrearage. Accordingly, Roundpoint must be treated as a Class 1 creditor

Id.

This motion to confirm plan will be CONTINUED to <u>July 9, 2025, at 9:30 a.m.</u> Unless this case is voluntarily converted to chapter 7, dismissed, or all objections to confirmation are withdrawn, the Debtors shall file and serve a written response to the objections no later than fourteen (14) days before the continued hearing date. The response shall specifically address each issue raised in the objection(s) to confirmation, state whether each issue is disputed or undisputed, and include admissible evidence to support the Debtor's position. Any replies shall be filed and served no later than seven (7) days prior to the hearing date.

If the Debtors elect to withdraw the plan and file a modified plan in lieu of filing a response, then a confirmable, modified plan shall be filed, served, and set for hearing not later than seven (7) days before the continued hearing date. If the Debtors do not timely file a modified plan or a written response, the objection will be sustained on the grounds stated, and the motion will be denied without further hearing.

5. $\frac{24-13340}{\text{TCS}-2}$ -B-13 IN RE: JUNIUS JACKSON

MOTION TO MODIFY PLAN 4-21-2025 [36]

JUNIUS JACKSON/MV TIMOTHY SPRINGER/ATTY. FOR DBT. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Granted.

ORDER: The court will issue an order.

Junius Jackson ("Debtor") moves for an order confirming the *First Modified Chapter 13 Plan* dated April 21, 2025. Doc. #36. The current plan was confirmed on March 13, 2025. Doc. #31. Chapter 13 trustee Lilian G. Tsang ("Trustee") timely objected to confirmation of the modified plan but later withdrew the Objection, stating that all objections had been resolved. Docs. #44, #46.

This motion was set for hearing on 35 days' notice as required by Local Rule of Practice ("LBR") 3015-1(d)(1). The failure of any party in interest, including but not limited to creditors, the U.S. Trustee, and the case Trustee, to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B) may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion. Cf. Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Therefore, the defaults of the above-mentioned parties in interest are entered. Upon default, factual allegations will be taken as true (except those relating to amounts of damages). Televideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917 (9th Cir. 1987).

No party in interest has responded other than the Trustee, whose Objection has been withdrawn. The defaults of all non-responding parties are entered.

The motion requests that the confirmed plan be modified as follows:

- 1. Plan payments will be an aggregate of \$13,780.00 for months 1-5. Plan payments will be \$3,050 per month for months 6-60, decreased from \$3,445.00 per month.
- 2. Distributions to secured creditors will be reduced to reflect the amounts outstanding after application of the aggregate payments.
- 3. The plan terms are otherwise unchanged.

Doc. #40.

Debtor declares that this modification is necessary because Debtor was behind on plan payments and because creditors' claims were significantly less than originally anticipated, thus allowing for a reduced monthly payment while curing the deficiency and maintaining a 100% distribution to general unsecured creditors. Doc. #38. Debtor's Amended Schedule I & J, dated April 21, 2025, reflects a monthly net income of \$100.61, down from \$4,118.00, which is sufficient to make plan payments. Doc. #43.

This motion is GRANTED. The order shall include the docket control number of the motion, shall reference the plan by the date it was filed, and shall be approved as to form by Trustee.

6. $\frac{24-11266}{SL-2}$ -B-13 IN RE: ADOLFO/AURELIA HERNANDEZ

CONTINUED MOTION TO MODIFY PLAN 3-20-2025 [36]

AURELIA HERNANDEZ/MV SCOTT LYONS/ATTY. FOR DBT. RESPONSIVE PLEADING WITHDRAWN

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing in this matter.

DISPOSITION: Dismissed.

ORDER: The court will issue the order.

The Debtors filed this motion seeking confirmation of their First Amended Plan dated March 20, 2025. Doc. #36. The Trustee timely objected but subsequently withdrew the Objection, stating that the Debtors had resolved the relevant issues. Docs. #44, #53. On May 14, 2025, the same day that the Trustee's Objection was withdrawn, the court entered an order continuing this matter to give Debtors' opportunity to respond to the Objection. Doc. #52. The two filings apparently crossed each other in the court's systems, and the court entered an order granting the motion to confirm the First Amended Plan on May 22, 2025. Doc. #57. The continued motion remained on the court's docket set for this hearing date, and it is hereby DISMISSED.

7. $\frac{24-10769}{\text{SDS}-2}$ -B-13 IN RE: NANCY/STEVE WILLIAMS

MOTION TO MODIFY PLAN 5-2-2025 [57]

STEVE WILLIAMS/MV SUSAN SILVEIRA/ATTY. FOR DBT. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

TENTATIVE RULING: This matter will proceed as scheduled.

DISPOSITION: Granted or denied.

ORDER: The minutes of the hearing will be the court's

findings and conclusions. Order preparation

determined at the hearing.

Nancy and Steve Williams ("Debtors") move for an order confirming the First Modified Chapter 13 Plan dated May 2, 2025. Doc. #57. The current plan was confirmed on July 19, 2024. Doc. #48. Chapter 13 trustee Lilian G. Tsang ("Trustee") timely objected to confirmation of the modified plan for the following reason(s):

- 1. The plan provides for payments to creditors for longer than five years. The proposed plan payments are premised on Debtors being able to either refinance or sell their home within 20 months of confirmation, but Debtors have presented no evidence that they can accomplish either of those options and, thus far, have not even retained a broker to sell the home.
- 2. The Debtors will not be able to make all payments under the plan and comply with its terms. The combined proposed distributions for attorney's fees, for American Honda Financial, for the Tulare County Tax Collector, and for Trustee compensation and expenses totals \$1,160.24 per month but the proposed monthly payment is \$1,160.00.
- 3. Under the terms of the First Amended Plan (assuming it is confirmed), Debtors will be delinquent \$260.95 as of May 20, 2025, with additional payments accruing.

Doc. #63. On June 3, 2025, Debtors, in lieu of filing a Response to the Objection, filed a Supplemental Declaration signed by both Debtors asserting that:

- 1. They are not current on all plan payments.
- 2. They are current on all mortgage payments for both mortgages.
- 3. They have contacted three lenders and been advised that they will qualify for a refinance after establishing a 12-month track record of timely plan payments.
- 4. The property that is subject to the mortgages is worth approximately \$330,000.00 and is encumbered by a total of about \$225,000.00. They assert that they will have sufficient equity to

pay off this entire case either through sale or refinance of the property in approximately 20 months.

Doc. #65. This matter will be called as scheduled to determine on the record whether the Debtors are now current on all payments due and whether Supplemental Declaration resolves the Trustee's Objection #1.

8. $\frac{25-10871}{RAS-2}$ -B-13 IN RE: LUIS OLIVEIRA

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL TRUST COMPANY 5-15-2025 [22]

DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL TRUST COMPANY/MV DAVID JOHNSTON/ATTY. FOR DBT. DAVID COATS/ATTY. FOR MV.

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Continued to July 9, 2025, at 9:30 a.m.

ORDER: The court will issue an order.

Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, as Trustee for Ameriquest Mortgage Securities Inc., Asset-Backed Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2005-R1 (collectively the "Creditor") objects to confirmation of the *Chapter 13 Plan* filed by Luis Oliveira ("Debtor") on April 17, 2025, on the following basis:

1. The plan incorrectly places Creditor in Class 4 and does not provide for the prepetition arrearage, which Creditor calculates to be \$3,777.26.

Doc. #22.

This objection will be CONTINUED to <u>July 9, 2025, at 9:30 a.m.</u> Unless this case is voluntarily converted to chapter 7, dismissed, or the objection to confirmation is withdrawn, the Debtor shall file and serve a written response to the Objection not later than **14 days** before the hearing. The response shall specifically address each issue raised in the objection to confirmation, state whether the issue is disputed or undisputed, and include admissible evidence to support the Debtors' position. Any reply shall be served no later than **7 days** before the hearing.

If the Debtor elects to withdraw the plan and file a modified plan in lieu of filing a response, then a confirmable, modified plan shall be filed, served, and set for hearing not later than 7 days before the hearing. If the Debtor does not timely file a modified plan or a

written response, this objection will be sustained on the grounds stated in the objection without further hearing.

9. $\frac{25-10681}{LGT-1}$ -B-13 IN RE: WILLIAM GILSTRAP

OBJECTION TO DEBTOR'S CLAIM OF EXEMPTIONS 5-8-2025 [20]

LILIAN TSANG/MV MARK ZIMMERMAN/ATTY. FOR DBT. WITHDRAWN

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing in this matter.

DISPOSITION: Withdrawn.

No order is required.

On May 9, 2025, the Trustee withdrew her Objection to Debtor's Claim of Exemptions. Doc. #28. Accordingly, this Objection is WITHDRAWN.

10. $\frac{25-10887}{\text{JRL}-1}$ -B-13 IN RE: ERIC/REBECCA GRIMM

MOTION TO VALUE COLLATERAL OF SUNNOVA ENERGY INTERNATIONAL, INC. 5-12-2025 [16]

REBECCA GRIMM/MV JERRY LOWE/ATTY. FOR DBT.

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Denied without prejudice.

ORDER: The court will issue an order.

Eric and Rebecca Grimm (collectively "Debtors") move for an order valuing personal property consisting of a set of solar panels ("the Solar Panels") at \$5,000.00 under 11 U.S.C. § 506(a). Doc. #16 et seq. The Solar Panels are encumbered by a purchase money security interest in favor Sunnova Energy International, Inc. ("Sunnova"). Id.

This motion will be DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE for failure to comply with the Local Rules of Practice ("LBR").

Creditor is a corporation. Service on corporations is governed by Rule 7004(b)(3) and can be accomplished by mailing a copy of the pleadings to the attention of an officer, a managing or general agent, or to any

other agent authorized by appointment or by law to receive service of process, and if required by statute, by also mailing a copy to the defendant.

Here, the Certificate of Service reflects that Sunnova was served at the following address:

Sunnova Energy International, Inc. 20 Greenway Plaza Ste. 475 Houston, TX 77046

Doc. #23. However, there is no indication that the mailing was sent to the attention of any officer, managing or general agent, or to any other agent authorized by appointment or by law to receive service of process. Id.

For the above reason(s), this motion will be DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

11. $\frac{25-10192}{PLG-1}$ -B-13 IN RE: WENDY ROBINSON

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN 4-28-2025 [32]

WENDY ROBINSON/MV RABIN POURNAZARIAN/ATTY. FOR DBT.

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Granted.

ORDER: The Moving Party shall submit a proposed order in conformance with the ruling below.

Wendy Robinson ("Debtor") seeks an order confirming the First Modified Chapter 13 Plan dated April 28, 2025. Doc. #36. No plan has been

confirmed so far. The 60-month plan proposes the following terms:

- 1. Plan payments to be \$800.00 per month for months 1-3, \$887.00 for months 4-43, and \$1,207.00 for months 44-60.
- 2. Outstanding Attorney's fees in the amount of \$7,563.00 to be paid through the plan.
- 3. Secured creditors to be sorted into appropriate Classes and paid as follows:
 - a. Ally Financial (Class 2A, non-PMSI, 2018 Ford F150). \$14,497.09 at 8.00% to be paid at \$293.96 per month.
 - b. Madera County Tax Collector (Class 2A, non-PMSI, 1243 Traverse Drive South, Madera CA). \$7,000.00 at 18.00% to be paid at \$177.75 per month.

- c. Calvert Home loans (Class 4, 1243 Traverse Drive South, Madera CA). \$3,926.22 per month to be paid directly by Debtor.
- d. Riverstone Community Association (Class 4, 1243 Traverse Drive South, Madera CA). \$125.00 per month to be paid directly by Debtor.
- 4. A dividend of 0% to unsecured creditors.

This motion was set for hearing on 35 days' notice as required by Local Rule of Practice ("LBR") 3015-1(d)(1). The failure of the creditors, the chapter 13 trustee, the U.S. Trustee, or any other party in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B) may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion. Cf. Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. See Boone v. Burk (In re Eliapo), 468 F.3d 592 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the above-mentioned parties in interest are entered and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. Upon default, factual allegations will be taken as true (except those relating to amounts of damages). Televideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917 (9th Cir. 1987). Constitutional due process requires that a plaintiff make a prima facie showing that they are entitled to the relief sought, which the movant has done here.

No party in interest has opposed the motion, and the defaults of all non-responding parties are entered. This motion will be GRANTED. The confirmation order shall include the docket control number of the motion and reference the plan by the date it was filed.

11:00 AM

1. $\frac{21-12407}{24-1049}$ -B-13 IN RE: MANUELA BETTENCOURT

MOTION FOR PARTIAL JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS 4-28-2025 [28]

BETTENCOURT V. NATIONAL COLLEGIATE STUDENT LOAN TRUST KENNETH OHASHI/ATTY. FOR MV. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Denied without prejudice.

ORDER: The court will issue an order.

This motion will be DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE for failure to comply with the Local Rules of Practice ("LBR").

First, LBR 9004-2(a)(6), (b)(5), (b)(6), (e)(3), LBR 9014-1(c), and (e)(3) are the rules about Docket Control Numbers ("DCN"). These rules require a DCN to be in the caption page on all documents filed in every matter with the court and each new motion requires a new DCN. The DCN shall consist of not more than three letters, which may be the initials of the attorney for the moving party (e.g., first, middle, and last name) or the first three initials of the law firm for the moving party, and the number that is one number higher than the number of motions previously filed by said attorney or law firm in connection with that specific bankruptcy case. Each separate matter must have a unique DCN linking it to all other related pleadings.

Second, LBR 9014-1(d)(3)(B)(ii) requires the movant to notify respondents that failure to timely respond may result in the motion being resolved without oral arguments. This language is absent from the Notice.

Third, LBR 9014-1(d)(3)(B)(iii) requires the movant to notify respondents that they can determine (a) whether the matter has been resolved without oral argument; (b) whether the court has issued a tentative ruling that can be viewed by checking the pre-hearing dispositions on the court's website at http://www.caeb.uscourts.gov after 4:00 p.m. the day before the hearing. The notice must also state that parties appearing telephonically must view the pre-hearing dispositions prior to the hearing. Here, thus required language is absent from the Notice.

For the above reason(s), this motion will be DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

2. $\frac{24-12714}{24-1060}$ -B-7 IN RE: SEBASTIAN GUTIERREZ

CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE RE: COMPLAINT 12-26-2024 [1]

DOE V. GUTIERREZ
BRADLEY BOWLES/ATTY. FOR PL.

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing in this matter.

DISPOSITION: Continued to August 13, 2025, at 11:00 a.m.

ORDER: The court will prepare the order.

On June 6, 2025, Walter R. Dahl, the Resolution Advocate assigned to this matter, filed a *Certificate Re: BDRP Conference* advising that a BDRP Conference was conducted on May 22, 2025, and that it resulted in a settlement. Accordingly, this Status Conference will be CONTINUED to August 13, 2025, at 11:00 a.m. pending removal from the calendar when the parties complete the settlement process and dispose of this matter.

If the proposed settlement is not consummated by August 1, 2025, the parties will submit joint or unilateral status reports advising the court of the status of the case/settlement on or before August 6, 2025.

3. $\frac{25-10429}{25-1015}$ -B-7 IN RE: LOUIE ESPARZA AND COLLEEN DOUGHERTY

STATUS CONFERENCE RE: COMPLAINT 4-11-2025 [1]

MARCUM ET AL V. ESPARZA, JR. ET AL ERIKA RASCON/ATTY. FOR PL. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

NO RULING.

4. $\frac{18-11651}{19-1007}$ -B-11 IN RE: GREGORY TE VELDE

CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE RE: COMPLAINT 1-7-2019 [1]

SUGARMAN V. BOARDMAN TREE FARM, LLC ET AL JOHN MACCONAGHY/ATTY. FOR PL.

After posting the original pre-hearing dispositions, the court has modified its intended ruling on this matter.

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing in this matter.

DISPOSITION: Dropped from the calendar.

ORDER: The court will prepare the order.

On June 9, 2025, attorneys for the Chapter 11 Trustee filed a Notice of Settlement in this adversary proceeding and requested that the Court vacate all pending due dates and hearings, if any, and retain jurisdiction over this case until the Parties can fully perform their duties as required under the settlement agreement attached to the Notice as an exhibit. Accordingly, this Status Conference will be DROPPED from the calendar.

5. $\frac{18-11651}{19-1033}$ -B-11 IN RE: GREGORY TE VELDE

CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE RE: THIRD-PARTY COMPLAINT 2-24-2021 [163]

SUGARMAN V. IRZ CONSULTING, LLC ET AL KYLE SCIUCHETTI/ATTY. FOR PL.

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing in this matter.

DISPOSITION: Continued to July 30, 2025, at 11:00 a.m.

ORDER: The court will prepare the order.

Oral arguments on the Summary Judgment Motion filed by IRZ Consulting, LLC are set for July 16, 2025. Docs. ##830-31. Accordingly, this Status Conference is hereby continued to July 30, 2025, at 11:00 a.m.

6. $\frac{18-11651}{19-1037}$ -B-11 IN RE: GREGORY TE VELDE

CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE RE: NOTICE OF REMOVAL 7-23-2018 [1]

IRZ CONSULTING LLC V. TEVELDE ET AL HAGOP BEDOYAN/ATTY. FOR PL.

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing in this matter.

DISPOSITION: Continued to July 30, 2025, at 11:00 a.m.

ORDER: The court will prepare the order.

Oral arguments on the Summary Judgment Motion filed by IRZ Consulting, LLC in the related adversary Sugarman V. IRZ Consulting, LLC et al., Adv. Proceeding 19-1033 are set for July 16, 2025. See Item #5, above. Accordingly, this Status Conference is hereby continued to July 30, 2025, at 11:00 a.m.