



UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
Eastern District of California

Honorable Christopher D. Jaime
Chief Bankruptcy Judge
Department B, Courtroom 32
501 I Street, 6th Floor
Sacramento, California

June 8, 2026 at 1:00 p.m.

Unless otherwise ordered, all matters before the Honorable Chief **Christopher Jaime** shall be simultaneously: (1) **In Person** at, **Sacramento Courtroom No. 32, 6th Floor** (2) via **ZoomGov Video**, (3) via **ZoomGov Telephone**, and (4) via **CourtCall**.

You may choose any of these options unless otherwise ordered or stated below.

All parties who wish to appear at a hearing remotely **must sign up by 4:00 p.m. one business day** prior to the hearing. Information regarding how to sign up can be found on the **Remote Appearances** page of our website at <https://www.caeb.uscourts.gov/Calendar/RemoteAppearances>. Each party who has signed up will receive a Zoom link or phone number, meeting I.D., and password via e-mail.

If the deadline to sign up has passed, parties who wish to appear remotely must contact the Courtroom Deputy for the Department holding the hearing.

Please also note the following:

- Parties in interest may connect to the video or audio feed free of charge and should select which method they will use to appear when signing up.
- Members of the public and the press appearing by ZoomGov may only listen in to the hearing using the zoom telephone number. Video appearances are not permitted.
- Members of the public and the press may not listen in to trials or evidentiary hearings, though they may appear in person in most instances.

To appear remotely for law and motion or status conference proceedings, you must comply with the following guidelines and procedures:

1. Review the [Pre-Hearing Dispositions](#) prior to appearing at the hearing.
2. Parties appearing via CourtCall are encouraged to review the [CourtCall Appearance Information](#).

If you are appearing by ZoomGov phone or video, please join at least 10 minutes prior to the start of the calendar and wait with your microphone muted until the matter is called.

Unauthorized Recording is Prohibited: Any recording of a court proceeding held by video or teleconference, including "screen shots" or other audio or visual copying of a hearing is prohibited. Violation may result in sanctions, including removal of court-issued medical credentials, denial of entry to future hearings, or any other sanctions deemed necessary by the court. For more information on photographing, recording, or broadcasting Judicial Proceedings, please refer to Local Rule 173(a) of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California.

PRE-HEARING DISPOSITIONS INSTRUCTIONS:

Each matter on this calendar will have one of three possible designations: No Ruling, Tentative Ruling, or Final Ruling. These instructions apply to those designations.

No Ruling: All parties will need to appear at the hearing unless otherwise ordered.

Tentative Ruling: If a matter has been designated as a tentative ruling it will be called. The court may continue the hearing on the matter, set a briefing schedule, or enter other orders appropriate for efficient and proper resolution of the matter. The original moving or objecting party shall give notice of the continued hearing date and the deadlines. The minutes of the hearing will be the court's findings and conclusions.

Final Ruling: Unless otherwise ordered, there will be no hearing on these matters and no appearance is necessary. The final disposition of the matter is set forth in the ruling and it will appear in the minutes. The final ruling may or may not finally adjudicate the matter. If it is finally adjudicated, the minutes constitute the court's findings and conclusions.

Orders: Unless the court specifies in the tentative or final ruling that it will issue an order, the prevailing party shall lodge an order within seven (7) days of the final hearing on the matter.

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

Eastern District of California

Honorable Christopher D. Jaime
Chief Bankruptcy Judge
Sacramento, California,

June 9, 2026 at 1:00 p.m.

-
1. [26-21505](#)-B-13 CYNTHIA/CHARLES EPROSON OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF
[LGT](#)-1 Natali A. Ron PLAN BY LILIAN G. TSANG
5-8-26 [[14](#)]

Final Ruling

The *initial* Chapter 13 Plan filed March 19, 2026, is not confirmable and the objection is not one that may be resolved in the confirmation order. Nevertheless, because this is the *initial* Chapter 13 Plan, the procedure in Local Bankr. R. 3015-1(c)(4) applies.

The court's decision is to **continue the hearing to June 16, 2026, at 1:00 p.m., conditionally sustain the objection, and deny confirmation of the plan.**

First, the proposed plan lists NewRez as a Class 1 claim holder with arrears of \$19,581.43. However, the Proof of Claim filed by HSBC Bank USA N.A./NewRez LLC lists the arrears in the amount of \$27,201.06. As such, the plan is not properly providing for the full amount of arrears.

Second, the trustee received a secured proof of claim from Cavalry SPV I, LLC in the amount of \$1,325.57. Additionally, the trustee received a secured proof of claim from Cleanrite Inc, in the amount of \$60,606.34. These debts were not listed in Debtor's plan or Schedule D. The trustee requests amended documents that properly reflect and provide for debtor's secured claims.

The plan does not comply with 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322 and 1325(a). The objection is sustained and the plan is not confirmed.

Conditional Nature of this Ruling

Because the objection has been filed, set, and served under Local Bankruptcy Rules 3015-1(c)(4) and 9014-1(f)(2), any party in interest shall have until 5:00 p.m. on June 12, 2026, to file and serve a response to the objection(s). See Local Bankr. R. 3015-1(c)(4), 9014-1(f)(2)(C). Any response shall be served on the Chapter 13 Trustee, the Debtor, the Debtor's attorney, and/or the attorney for the objecting party by facsimile or email.

If no response is timely filed and served, the objection will be deemed sustained for the reasons stated hereinabove, this ruling will no longer be conditional and will become the court's final decision, and the continued hearing on June 16, 2026, at 1:00 p.m. will be vacated.

If a response is timely filed and served, the court will hear the objection on June 16, 2026, at 1:00 p.m.

The objection is ORDERED CONDITIONALLY SUSTAINED and CONTINUED to June 16, 2026 at 1:00 p.m. for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

June 9, 2026 at 1:00 p.m.

2. [26-20909](#)-B-13 CLARENCE/MARYCRIS RAMOS MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN
[JCK](#)-1 Gregory J. Smith 4-28-26 [[27](#)]

Final Ruling

The motion has been set for hearing on the 35-days' notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rules 3015-1(d)(2), 9014-1(f)(1), and Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 3015(g). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Opposition was filed.

The court has determined that oral argument will not assist in the decision-making process or resolution of the motion. See Local Bankr. R. 9014-1(h), 1001-1(f). This matter will therefore be decided on the papers.

The court's decision is to not permit the requested modification and not confirm the modified plan.

First, the Debtors have not provided the Trustee with a copy of an income tax return for the most recent tax year a return was filed or a written statement that no such documentation exists. Further, the trustee has received a letter from the Internal Revenue Service stating that Debtors have not filed tax returns for 2025. The Debtors have not complied with 11 U.S.C. § 521(e)(2)(A)(i).

The modified plan does not comply with 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322 and 1325(a) and is not confirmed.

The motion is ORDERED DENIED for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

3. [26-21815](#)-B-13 CAMERON/STEPHANIE OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF
[Thru #4](#) ISAACSON PLAN BY BANK OF STOCKTON
Natali A. Ron 5-4-26 [[18](#)]

Final Ruling

The objection to confirmation was filed pursuant to Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). However, there is no certificate of service indicating that the objection and supporting documents were served. See Local Bankr. R. 9014-1(e). The objection is therefore OVERRULED. Alternatively, the objection is OVERRULED as moot in light of the ruling at Item #4 sustaining the Chapter 13 Trustee's objection.

The objection is OVERRULED for the reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

4. [26-21815](#)-B-13 CAMERON/STEPHANIE OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF
[LGT-1](#) ISAACSON PLAN BY LILIAN G. TSANG
Natali A. Ron 5-8-26 [[21](#)]

Final Ruling

The *initial* Chapter 13 Plan filed April 7, 2026, is not confirmable and the objection is not one that may be resolved in the confirmation order. Nevertheless, because this is the *initial* Chapter 13 Plan, the procedure in Local Bankr. R. 3015-1(c)(4) applies.

The court's decision is to continue the hearing to June 16, 2026, at 1:00 p.m., conditionally sustain the objection, and deny confirmation of the plan.

First, the plan does not comply with 11 U.S.C. § 1325(b)(1)(B) since the Debtor's projected disposable income is not being applied to make payments to unsecured creditors. The debtors list a "student loan" payment of \$200 monthly in their Schedule J. Student loan payments are classified as general nonpriority claims and, absent a showing of special circumstances, are not to be deducted from Form 122C-2 and Schedule J.

Second, the trustee has requested copies of bank statement for all of debtors' bank accounts for the months of September 2025 through February 2026.

Third, an amended Schedule A/B is required. At the 341 Meeting of Creditors, the Debtors stated that they have an interest in a 2025 Ford Explorer. Schedule A/B does not list this asset.

Last, the debtors' Statement of Financial Affairs fails to list all their income information for 2026 as required on question 4. As such, an amended statement of financial affairs is required.

The plan does not comply with 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322 and 1325(a). The objection is sustained and the plan is not confirmed.

Conditional Nature of this Ruling

Because the objection has been filed, set, and served under Local Bankruptcy Rules 3015-1(c)(4) and 9014-1(f)(2), any party in interest shall have until 5:00 p.m. on June 12, 2026, to file and serve a response to the objection(s). See Local Bankr. R. 3015-1(c)(4), 9014-1(f)(2)(C). Any response shall be served on the Chapter 13 Trustee, the Debtor, the Debtor's attorney, and/or the attorney for the objecting party by facsimile or email.

If no response is timely filed and served, the objection will be deemed sustained for the reasons stated hereinabove, this ruling will no longer be conditional and will become the court's final decision, and the continued hearing on June 16, 2026, at 1:00 p.m. will be vacated.

If a response is timely filed and served, the court will hear the objection on June 16, 2026, at 1:00 p.m.

The objection is ORDERED CONDITIONALLY SUSTAINED and CONTINUED to June 16, 2026 at 1:00 p.m. for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

5. [24-21017](#)-B-13 AARON NENNER
[GEL](#)-1 Gabriel E. Liberman

MOTION TO MODIFY PLAN
4-29-26 [[28](#)]

Final Ruling

The motion has been set for hearing on the 35-days' notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1(d)(2), 9014-1(f)(1), and Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 3015(g). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). No opposition was filed. The matter will be resolved without oral argument. No appearance at the hearing is required.

The court's decision is to continue the matter to June 16, 2026, at 1:00 p.m.

The trustee has raised concerns with the motion to modify and the debtor has filed a response in an attempt to remedy these issues. The court will continue the matter to June 16, 2026, at 1:00 p.m. A status report shall be filed by the trustee regarding whether there are issues still outstanding by 5:00 p.m. on June 12, 2026. If issues are still outstanding, the motion to modify will be denied. However, if all issues are resolved, the motion will be granted.

The motion is ordered CONTINUED to June 16, 2026 at 1:00 p.m. for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

6. [26-20419](#)-B-13 ADAM JACOBS
AJ-[5](#) Pro Se

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
5-27-26 [[93](#)]

Final Ruling

The case having previously been dismissed, the order to show cause is discharged as moot with no sanctions ordered.

Removed from calendar. No appearance at the hearing on June 9, 2026, is necessary.

The court will issue an order.

The order to show cause is ORDERED DISCHARGED AS MOOT for reasons stated in the minutes.

7. [26-21629](#)-B-13 JOSE GONZALEZ MENDOZA AND OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF
[NLG](#)-1 JANISA BENAVIDES CORDOBAS PLAN BY NEWREZ LLC
Daniel King 5-11-26 [[17](#)]

CONTINUED TO 6/16/26 AT 1:00 P.M. TO BE HEARD AFTER THE CONTINUED MEETING OF CREDITORS SET FOR 6/04/26.

The objection is ORDERED CONTINUED to June 16, 2026 at 1:00 p.m. for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

8. [26-21546](#)-B-13 LUIS/CHRISTINA FIALLOS OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF
[LGT](#)-1 Colby D. LaVelle PLAN BY LILIAN G. TSANG
5-8-26 [[16](#)]

CONTINUED TO 6/30/26 AT 1:00 P.M. TO BE HEARD AFTER THE CONTINUED MEETING OF CREDITORS
SET FOR 6/18/26.

The objection is ORDERED CONTINUED to June 30, 2026 at 1:00 p.m. for reasons stated in
the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

9. [26-21652](#)-B-13 JOSE HERNANDEZ
[LGT](#)-1 Rhonda Walker

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF
PLAN BY LILIAN G. TSANG
5-11-26 [[21](#)]

CONTINUED TO 6/16/26 AT 1:00 P.M. TO BE HEARD AFTER THE CONTINUED MEETING OF CREDITORS
SET FOR 6/04/26.

The objection is ORDERED CONTINUED to June 16, 2026 at 1:00 p.m. for reasons stated in
the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

10. [24-12359](#)-A-13 JUAN GONZALEZ
[FEC](#)-1 Joshua Sternberg

CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE RE:
VOLUNTARY PETITION
8-14-24 [[1](#)]

DEBTOR DISMISSED: 10/02/25

STATUS CONFERENCE CONTINUED TO 07/07/2026 at 1:00 PM at Sacramento Courtroom 32,
Department B.

The status conference is ORDERED CONTINUED to July 7, 2026 at 1:00 p.m.

The court will issue an order.

11. [25-90161](#)-B-13 LANCE ROBERTS
[GEL](#)-1 Gabriel E. Liberman

MOTION TO VACATE DISMISSAL OF
CASE
5-21-26 [[73](#)]

DEBTOR DISMISSED: 05/14/26

Final Ruling

The motion has been set for hearing on less than 28-days notice. Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(2). Parties in interest were not required to file a written response or opposition, and may appear at the hearing to offer oral argument. Nevertheless, the court may consider a motion ex parte, *Perez-Reyes v. National Distribution Centers, LLC*, 2018 WL 7077183 (C.D. Cal. 2018), and without the need for a hearing. *Hammer 1994 Family Trust v. Van Damme (In re Van Damme)*, 2011 WL 3298955, *9-*10 (9th Cir. BAP, Feb. 1, 2011) ("Despite Appellants' unsupported argument to the contrary, the bankruptcy court was not required to conduct a hearing ... before the court could consider their Motion to Reconsider.[.]; see also Local Bankr. R. 1001-1(f), 9014-1(h).

The court's decision is to grant the motion to vacate dismissal and vacate the order dismissing this Chapter 13 case for the sole purpose of allowing the Debtor to convert the Chapter 13 case to a Chapter 7 case.

Debtor moves to vacate the order dismissing this Chapter 13 case. The Chapter 13 case was dismissed on May 14, 2026, for failure to make plan payments or to amend the plan. The debtor intended to covert her plan to chapter 7 due to debtor's financial conditions. Counsel was working to convert the case and mistakenly calendared the dismissal hearing for a different date.

Discussion

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(b)(1), applicable by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9024, permits the court to relieve a party from a final judgment or order for "mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect[.]" Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b)(1); Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9024. Relief for excusable neglect is governed by the *Pioneer-Briones* factors, i.e., (1) the danger of prejudice to any non-moving party if the dismissal is vacated; (2) the length of delay and the potential impact of that delay on judicial proceeding; (3) the reason for the delay, including whether the delay was within the reasonable control of the movant; and (4) whether the debtor's conduct was in good faith. *Pioneer Inv. Servs. v. Brunswick Assocs. Ltd. P'ship*, 507 U.S. 380, 395 (1993); *Briones v. Riviera Hotel & Casino*, 116 F.3d 379, 381 (9th Cir. 1997).

Danger of prejudice to creditors is minimal. Debtor moved quickly - within a week after the order was entered - after this case was dismissed to set aside the dismissal order. Vacating dismissal will not delay these proceedings since the Debtor is planning to convert the case to a chapter 7. There is no indication of any bad faith by the Debtor.

Therefore, the Debtor's motion to vacate the order dismissing this Chapter 13 case will be granted, the dismissal order at dkt. 91 is vacated, and this case ordered reinstated. Further, by vacating the dismissal order which caused the automatic stay of 11 U.S.C. § 362(a) to terminate, upon entry of the order vacating the dismissal order the automatic stay of § 362(a) is revived for all purposes and as to all parties in interest. *State Bank of Southern Utah v. Gledhill (In re Gledhill)*, 76 F.3d 1070, 1079-1080 and n.8 (10th Cir. 1996); *Ramirez v. Whelen (In re Ramirez)*, 188 B.R. 413, 416 (9th Cir. BAP 1995) ("Occasionally, it might suffice to revive the stay by way of motion for reconsideration under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 59(e) or 60(b), which are applicable in bankruptcy by virtue of Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9021 and 9023 [sic].") (Klein, J., concurring)

The court will prepare a minute order.

The motion is ORDERED GRANTED for reasons stated in the minutes.

12. [24-20265](#)-B-12 HARDAVE/SUKHBINDER DULAI CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE RE:
[CAE](#)-1 Ryan C. Wood VOLUNTARY PETITION
1-23-24 [[1](#)]

STATUS CONFERENCE CONTINUED TO 8/11/2026 at 1:00 PM at Sacramento Courtroom 32,
Department B

The status conference is ORDERED CONTINUED to August 11, 2026 at 1:00 p.m.

The court will issue an order.

13. [22-90267](#)-B-13 ROBEN/RAWINA TAMRAZ CONTINUED MOTION UNDER RULE
[LGT](#)-2 Thomas P. Hogan 3002-1(G)(4) TO DETERMINE FINAL
Thru #14 CURE AND PAYMENT OF THE
MORTGAGE CLAIM. RE: US BANK
TRUST NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
(CLAIM NO. 2)
4-10-26 [[76](#)]

Final Ruling

This matter will be continued to 7/07/2026 at 1:00 PM. The parties shall file a joint status report no later than 10 days prior to the continued hearing.

The motion is ORDERED CONTINUED to July 7, 2026 at 1:00 p.m. for reasons stated in the minutes.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties shall file a joint status report within 10 days of the continued hearing.

The court will issue an order.

14. [22-90267](#)-B-13 ROBEN/RAWINA TAMRAZ CONTINUED MOTION FOR RELIEF
[RAS](#)-1 Thomas P. Hogan FROM AUTOMATIC STAY
4-10-26 [[70](#)]

U.S. BANK TRUST NATIONAL
ASSOCIATION VS.

Final Ruling

This matter will be continued to 7/07/2026 at 1:00 PM. The parties shall file a joint status report no later than 10 days prior to the continued hearing.

The motion is ORDERED CONTINUED to July 7, 2026 at 1:00 p.m. for reasons stated in the minutes.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties shall file a joint status report within 10 days of the continued hearing.

The court will issue an order.

Final Ruling

The motion has been set for hearing on the 35-days' notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1(d)(1), 9014-1(f)(1), and Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). No opposition was filed. The matter will be resolved without oral argument. No appearance at the hearing is required.

The court's decision is to confirm the amended plan.

11 U.S.C. § 1323 permits a debtor to amend a plan any time before confirmation. The Debtor has provided evidence in support of confirmation. No opposition to the motion has been filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee or creditors. The amended plan complies with 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322 and 1325(a) and is confirmed.

The motion is ORDERED GRANTED for reasons stated in the minutes. An appropriate order confirming the Chapter 13 plan shall be prepared consistent with the current practice of the Chapter 13 Trustee assigned to the case and the proposed order shall be submitted to the court.

The court will issue an order.

Final Ruling

The *initial* Chapter 13 Plan filed April 6, 2026, is not confirmable and the objection is not one that may be resolved in the confirmation order. Nevertheless, because this is the *initial* Chapter 13 Plan, the procedure in Local Bankr. R. 3015-1(c)(4) applies.

The court's decision is to **continue the hearing to June 16, 2026, at 1:00 p.m., conditionally sustain the objection, and deny confirmation of the plan.**

First, the plan will take approximately 72.10 months to complete, which exceeds the maximum length of 60 months pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 1322(d) and which results in a commitment period that exceeds the permissible limit imposed by 11 U.S.C. § 1325(b)(4).

Second, Debtor testified at the 341 Meeting of Creditors on May 6, 2026, that he is not current on his property taxes. The trustee requests that the Debtor amend his schedules to properly disclose this debt as well as provide the County Tax Collector with notice of the bankruptcy.

Third, the trustee asks that the debtor provide an actual dollar amount for the interest in an Antitrust Class Action Lawsuit against the U.F.C. by filing an amended Schedule A/B. Without an accurate value, the trustee cannot complete the liquidation analysis.

Next, the Debtor has failed to provide evidence that the plan is mathematically feasible. The plan provides a monthly payment of \$6,500 with an additional payment of \$842,068.33 in month 36. Debtor is a professional fighter and can gross \$450,000.00 per bout. However, debtor has testified that he has no fights scheduled. The payment of \$842,068.33 is speculative and contingent on many factors that may not occur.

Further, the Debtor has not provided the Trustee with a copy of an income tax return for 2020, 2021, 2022, and 2025 or a written statement that no such documentation exists. The Debtor has not complied with 11 U.S.C. § 521(e)(2)(A)(I). Also, debtor has testified at the meeting of creditors that he has not filed tax returns for 2024 or 2025.

The plan does not comply with 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322 and 1325(a). The objection is sustained and the plan is not confirmed.

Conditional Nature of this Ruling

Because the objection has been filed, set, and served under Local Bankruptcy Rules 3015-1(c)(4) and 9014-1(f)(2), any party in interest shall have until 5:00 p.m. on June 12, 2026, to file and serve a response to the objection(s). See Local Bankr. R. 3015-1(c)(4), 9014-1(f)(2)(C). Any response shall be served on the Chapter 13 Trustee, the Debtor, the Debtor's attorney, and/or the attorney for the objecting party by facsimile or email.

If no response is timely filed and served, the objection will be deemed sustained for the reasons stated hereinabove, this ruling will no longer be conditional and will become the court's final decision, and the continued hearing on June 16, 2026, at 1:00 p.m. will be vacated.

If a response is timely filed and served, the court will hear the objection on June 16, 2026, at 1:00 p.m.

The objection is ORDERED CONDITIONALLY SUSTAINED and CONTINUED to June 16, 2026 at 1:00 p.m. for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

17. [26-21492](#)-B-13 JOSE/JASMINE CUEVAS
[LGT](#)-1 Natali A. Ron

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF
PLAN BY LILIAN G. TSANG
5-11-26 [[12](#)]

Final Ruling

The Chapter 13 Trustee having filed a notice of withdrawal of its objection, the objection is dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(i) and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041. The matter is removed from the calendar.

18. [23-21899](#)-B-12 JAKOB/GLADYS WESTSTEYN CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE RE:
[CAE](#)-1 Daniel L. Egan VOLUNTARY PETITION
6-9-23 [[1](#)]

STATUS CONFERENCE CONTINUED TO 8/11/2026 at 1:00 PM at Sacramento Courtroom 32,
Department B

The status conference hearing is ORDERED CONTINUED to August 11, 2026 at 1:00 p.m. for
reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

19. [26-21244](#)-B-13 JUSTIN FORD
[KMM](#)-1 Natali A. Ron

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO
CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY J.P.
MORGAN MORTGAGE TRUST 2023-DSC2
4-30-26 [[46](#)]

Final Ruling

This matter was continued from June 2, 2026, to allow any party in interest to file a response by 5:00 p.m. Friday, May 29, 2026. Nothing was filed. Therefore, the court's conditional ruling at dkt. 66, sustaining the objection, shall become the court's final decision. The continued hearing on June 9, 2026, at 1:00 p.m. is vacated.

The objection is ORDERED SUSTAINED for reasons stated in the minutes at dkt. 66.

The court will issue an order.

20. [26-21272](#)-B-13 EDUARDO PLASCENCIA AND CONTINUED OBJECTION TO
 [LGT](#)-1 VERONICA RUVALCABA CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY LILIAN
 Eric J. Gravel G. TSANG
 4-24-26 [[12](#)]

Final Ruling

This matter was continued from June 2, 2026, to allow any party in interest to file a response by 5:00 p.m. Friday, June 5, 2026. Debtor filed an Amended Disclosure of Compensation of Attorney for Debtor form and an amended Statement of Financial Affairs as requested by the trustee. This resolves the objection to confirmation.

Therefore, the court's conditional ruling at dkt. 22 and the continued hearing on June 9, 2026, at 1:00 p.m. are vacated. The objection to confirmation is overruled.

The objection is ORDERED OVERRULED for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

21. [26-22856](#)-B-13 PATRICK MCGUIRE
[FWP](#)-1 Pro Se

HOSS ENTEZARI VS.

No Ruling

MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM
AUTOMATIC STAY O.S.T.
6-3-26 [[16](#)]

APPEARANCES:

Thomas Phinney/cratty (z)
Brian Barboza/tratty (z)
Hoss Entezari/cr (z)

1021 2nd Street, Sacramento, CA

Granted to allow landlord to remove db from premises - OTF= Phinney