# UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT Eastern District of California

Honorable Christopher D. Jaime Robert T. Matsui U.S. Courthouse 501 I Street, Sixth Floor Sacramento, California

## PRE-HEARING DISPOSITIONS COVER SHEET

DAY: TUESDAY

DATE: May 27, 2025

CALENDAR: 1:00 P.M. CHAPTER 13

Each matter on this calendar will have one of three possible designations: No Ruling, Tentative Ruling, or Final Ruling. These instructions apply to those designations.

No Ruling: All parties will need to appear at the hearing unless otherwise ordered.

Tentative Ruling: If a matter has been designated as a tentative ruling it will be called. The court may continue the hearing on the matter, set a briefing schedule, or enter other orders appropriate for efficient and proper resolution of the matter. The original moving or objecting party shall give notice of the continued hearing date and the deadlines. The minutes of the hearing will be the court's findings and conclusions.

Final Ruling: Unless otherwise ordered, there will be no hearing on these matters and no appearance is necessary. The final disposition of the matter is set forth in the ruling and it will appear in the minutes. The final ruling may or may not finally adjudicate the matter. If it is finally adjudicated, the minutes constitute the court's findings and conclusions.

**Orders:** Unless the court specifies in the tentative or final ruling that it will issue an order, the prevailing party shall lodge an order within seven (7) days of the final hearing on the matter.

# UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

Eastern District of California

Honorable Christopher D. Jaime Bankruptcy Judge Sacramento, California

May 27, 2025 at 1:00 pm.

25-20002-B-13 ABID AJMAL AND AMRA
LGT-2 SHAFQAT
Peter G. Macaluso

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 4-24-25 [35]

CONTINUED TO 6/24/25 AT 1:00 P.M. AT SACRAMENTO COURTROOM AT THE REQUEST OF BOTH PARTIES TO PROVIDE DEBTORS ADDITIONAL TIME TO SECURE A LOAN MODIFICATION AND RESOLVE REMAINING ISSUES RAISED BY THE CHAPTER 13 TRUSTEE.

DEBTORS SHALL FILE A SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE REGARDING THE STATUS OF ITS LOAN MODIFICATION BY 6/17/25 AT 5:00 P.M.

THE CHAPTER 13 TRUSTEE SHALL FILE A SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY BY 6/20/25 AT 5:00 P.M. AS TO WHETHER ANY ISSUES WARRANTING DISMISSAL OF CASE REMAIN OUTSTANDING.

#### Final Ruling

No appearance at the May 27, 2025, hearing is required. The court will issue an order.

2. <u>25-21306</u>-B-13 CYNTHIA COVINGTON James L. Keenan

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR FAILURE TO UPDATE CONTACT INFORMATION IN PACER 4-10-25 [14]

#### Final Ruling

The Order to Show Cause was issued due to a discrepancy between the email address for Debtors' counsel in PACER and on the petition. A review of the court's docket shows that an amended petition with the addition of attorney email address only was filed on April 10, 2025. The email address listed on the amended petition now matches that on PACER. This resolves the order to show cause.

The order to show cause is  $ORDERED\ DISCHARGED\ for\ reasons\ stated\ in\ the\ minutes\ and\ no\ sanctions\ are\ imposed.$ 

3. <u>25-21818</u>-B-13 CHRISTINA MORONES Pro Se

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE TO PAY FEES 5-8-25 [22]

#### Final Ruling

The court's decision is to discharge the Order to Show Cause and the case will remain pending.

The Order to Show Cause was issued due to the Amended Verification and Master Address List being filed without payment of the \$34 filing fee. The court's docket reflects that the default was cured on May 8, 2025.

The order to show cause is ORDERED DISCHARGED for reasons stated in the minutes and the case SHALL REMAIN PENDING.

24-25020-B-13 CANDY WALKER 4. LGT-2 Peter G. Macaluso

Thru #5

CONTINUED MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 2-11-25 [<u>27</u>]

#### Final Ruling

This matter was continued to be heard in conjunction with the motion to confirm plan. The plan was not confirmed at Item #5, PGM-1. The failure to confirm any plan since this case was filed in November 4, 2024, is an unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Cause exists to dismiss this case. The motion is granted and the case is dismissed.

The motion is ORDERED GRANTED for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

5. <u>24-25020</u>-B-13 CANDY WALKER Peter G. Macaluso PGM-1

CONTINUED MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN 3-10-25 [<u>33</u>]

#### Final Ruling

This matter was continued as requested in the status report by debtor Candy Walker ("Debtor") on April 22, 2025, because she had not yet received formal trial loan modification documents from the lender. A review of the court's docket shows that nothing has been filed regarding the status of or a motion to approve the loan modification.

There still being outstanding issues preventing confirmation, the amended plan does not comply with 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1323, and 1325(a) and is not confirmed.

The motion is ORDERED DENIED for reasons stated in the minutes.

The motion has been set for hearing on 28-days notice. Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Opposition was filed.

The court has determined that oral argument will not assist in the decision-making process or resolution of the motion. See Local Bankr. R. 9014-1(h), 1001-1(f). This matter will therefore be decided on the papers.

The court's decision is to deny without prejudice the motion to dismiss case.

The Chapter 13 Trustee moves to dismiss case on grounds that Debtor failed to set a plan with notice to creditors. This is an unreasonable delay by Debtor that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C.  $\S$  1307(c)(1).

Debtor filed a response stating that she will file an amended plan prior to the hearing on the motion to dismiss case. A review of the court's docket shows that an amended plan was filed on May 21, 2025, with a confirmation hearing date of July 1, 2025. This resolves the motion to dismiss case at this time.

Cause does not exist to dismiss this case. The motion is denied without prejudice.

The motion is ORDERED DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE for reasons stated in the minutes.

7. <u>24-25526</u>-B-13 DELFIN/ROSARIO ANDRES MOTION TO DISMISS CASE LGT-2 Thomas A. Moore 4-7-25 [31]

#### Final Ruling

The motion has been set for hearing on 28-days notice. Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. Cf. Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Opposition was filed.

The court has determined that oral argument will not assist in the decision-making process or resolution of the motion. See Local Bankr. R. 9014-1(h), 1001-1(f). This matter will therefore be decided on the papers.

The court's decision is to deny the motion to dismiss case.

The Chapter 13 Trustee moves to dismiss case on grounds that Debtors failed to file a modified plan with notice to creditors, failed to accurately file Schedule I, and are delinquent with plan payments. This is an unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C.  $\S$  1307(c)(1).

The Debtors filed a response stating that an amended plan was filed on May 22, 2025, with a confirmation hearing set for July 1, 2025, and amended schedules were filed. This resolves the basis for dismissal of the case at this time.

Cause does not exist to dismiss this case. The motion is denied without prejudice and the case will remain pending.

The motion is ORDERED DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE for reasons stated in the minutes.

The motion has been set for hearing on 28-days notice. Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). No opposition was filed. The matter will be resolved without oral argument. No appearance at the hearing is required.

The court's decision is to grant the motion to dismiss case.

The Chapter 13 Trustee moves to dismiss case on grounds that Debtors have failed to make all payments to creditors under their plan during the proposed 60-month term. 11 U.S.C. \$ 1307(c)(8). Month 60 was January 2025. As of April 2, 2025, the total claims filed require an aggregate payment of \$154,111.67. Debtors have only paid \$145,064.00. Therefore, the remaining claims, plus trustee compensation that need to be paid pursuant to the plan, total \$9,047.67.

Debtors have not filed any response.

Given the aforementioned, cause exists to dismiss this case. The motion is granted and the case is dismissed.

The motion is ORDERED GRANTED for reasons stated in the minutes.

9.  $\frac{24-25871}{LGT}$ -B-13 MARICHELL BAUTISTA MOTION TO DISMISS CASE  $\frac{LGT}{2}$  James L. Keenan  $\frac{4-21-25}{31}$ 

CONTINUED TO 8/26/25 AT 1:00 P.M. AT SACRAMENTO COURTROOM TO ALLOW THE AMENDED IRS CLAIM TO BE FILED AND A LOAN MODIFICATION APPROVED.

DEBTOR SHALL FILE A SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE REGARDING THE STATUS OF THE IRS CLAIM AND LOAN MODIFICATION BY 8/19/25 AT 5:00 P.M.

THE CHAPTER 13 TRUSTEE SHALL FILE A SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY BY 8/22/25 AT 5:00 P.M. AS TO WHETHER ANY ISSUES WARRANTING DISMISSAL OF CASE REMAIN OUTSTANDING.

### Final Ruling

No appearance at the May 27, 2025, hearing is required. The court will issue an order.

10. <u>25-20172</u>-B-13 ROSIE HERNANDEZ Brian S. Haddix

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE TO PAY FEES 3-24-25 [36]

#### Final Ruling

The court's decision is to discharge the Order to Show Cause and the case will remain pending.

The Order to Show Cause was issued due to Debtor's failure to pay \$78.00 due March 17, 2025. The court's docket reflects that the default was cured on March 26, 2025, and that a final installment was made on March 28, 2025.

The order to show cause is ORDERED DISCHARGED for reasons stated in the minutes and the case SHALL REMAIN PENDING.

The motion has been set for hearing on 28-days notice. Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). No opposition was filed. The matter will be resolved without oral argument. No appearance at the hearing is required.

The court's decision is to grant the motion to dismiss case.

First, Debtors failed to file and set for hearing a modified plan with notice to creditors. This is an unreasonable delay by the debtors that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C.  $\S$  1307(c)(1).

Second, Debtors are delinquent in the amount of \$2,234.00. In addition to the delinquency amount, Debtors must also make the monthly plan payment of \$2,234.00 for April 25, 2025, and the plan payment of \$2,234.00 for May 25, 2025. 11 U.S.C. \$ 1307(c)(4).

Cause exists to dismiss this case. The motion is granted and the case is dismissed.

The motion is ORDERED GRANTED for reasons stated in the minutes.

12. <u>22-20582</u>-B-13 HECTOR SOTO MOTION TO DISMISS CASE LGT-1 Eric L. Seyvertsen 4-7-25 [<u>56</u>] WITHDRAWN BY M.P.

#### Final Ruling

The Chapter 13 Trustee has filed a motion to withdraw its pending motion. The motion is dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(I) and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041. The matter is removed from the calendar and the case will proceed in this court.

The motion is ORDERED DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE for reasons stated in the minutes.

13. <u>25-20485</u>-B-13 STEVEN KAMP Pro Se

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE TO PAY FEES 3-10-25 [21]

#### Final Ruling

The court's decision is to discharge the Order to Show Cause and the case will remain pending.

The Order to Show Cause was issued due to Debtor's failure to pay \$78.00 due March 5, 2025. The court's docket reflects that the default was cured on March 17, 2025, and that a final installment was made on April 1, 2025.

The order to show cause is ORDERED DISCHARGED for reasons stated in the minutes and the case SHALL REMAIN PENDING.

14. <u>25-21789</u>-B-13 DAVID/IMELDA VOLKMAN Lars Fuller

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR FAILURE TO UPDATE CONTACT INFORMATION IN PACER 5-2-25 [13]

CONTINUED TO 6/10/25 AT 1:00 P.M. AT SACRAMENTO COURTROOM TO PROVIDE DEBTORS' COUNSEL ADDITIONAL TIME TO RESOLVE THE ISSUE WITH PACER AS REQUESTED. DEBTORS' COUNSEL SHALL FILE A SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE BY 6/06/25 AT 5:00 P.M. EXPLAINING WHETHER THE ISSUE HAS BEEN RESOLVED.

# Final Ruling

No appearance at the May 27, 2025, hearing is required. The court will issue an order.

The motion has been set for hearing on 28-days notice. Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. Cf. Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Opposition was filed.

The court has determined that oral argument will not assist in the decision-making process or resolution of the motion. See Local Bankr. R. 9014-1(h), 1001-1(f). This matter will therefore be decided on the papers.

The court's decision is to deny without prejudice the motion to dismiss case.

The Chapter 13 Trustee ("Trustee") moves to dismiss case on grounds that Debtor failed to set a plan with notice to creditors and is delinquent in plan payments. This is an unreasonable delay by Debtor that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Debtor filed a response stating that she has filed a second amended plan that will resolve the issues raised by the Trustee. A review of the court's docket shows that an amended plan was filed on May 13, 2025, with a confirmation hearing date of July 1, 2025. This resolves the motion to dismiss case at this time.

Cause does not exist to dismiss this case. The motion is denied without prejudice.

The motion is ORDERED DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE for reasons stated in the minutes.

16. <u>25-20594</u>-B-13 LUIS IBARRA Hank W. Walth

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE TO PAY FEES 4-18-25 [34]

#### Final Ruling

The Order to Show Cause will be discharged and the case will remain pending but the court will modify the terms of its order permitting the Debtor to pay the filing fee in installments.

The court granted the Debtor permission to pay the filing fee in installments. The Debtor failed to pay the \$78.00 installment when due on April 14, 2025. While the delinquent installment was paid on April 18, 2025, the fact remains that the court was required to issue an order to show cause to compel the payment. Therefore, as a sanction for the late payment, the court will modify its prior order allowing installment payments to provide that if a future installment is not received by its due date, the case will be dismissed without further notice or hearing.

The order to show cause is ORDERED DISCHARGED for reasons stated in the minutes and the case SHALL REMAIN PENDING.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a future installment is not received by its due date, the case will be dismissed without further notice or hearing.

17. <u>25-21094</u>-B-13 WILLIAM CURRY Pro Se

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE TO PAY FEES 4-15-25 [24]

DEBTOR DISMISSED: 04/18/25

#### Final Ruling

The case having been dismissed on April 18, 2025, for failure to timely filed documents, the order to show cause is discharged as moot.

The motion is ORDERED DISCHARGED AS MOOT for reasons stated in the minutes.

The motion has been set for hearing on 28-days notice. Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Opposition was filed.

The court has determined that oral argument will not assist in the decision-making process or resolution of the motion. See Local Bankr. R. 9014-1(h), 1001-1(f). This matter will therefore be decided on the papers.

The court's decision is to deny without prejudice the motion to dismiss case.

The Chapter 13 Trustee moves to dismiss case on grounds that Debtor is delinquent \$\$15,000 with additional plan payments of \$5,000 due April 25, 2025, and \$5,000 due May 25, 2025. This is an unreasonable delay by Debtor that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. \$\$1307(c)(1).

Debtor filed a response stating that she will file an amended plan prior to the hearing on the motion to dismiss case. A review of the court's docket shows that an amended plan was filed on May 21, 2025, with a confirmation hearing date of July 15, 2025. This resolves the motion to dismiss case at this time.

Cause does not exist to dismiss this case. The motion is denied without prejudice.

The motion is ORDERED DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE for reasons stated in the minutes.

19. <u>25-20431</u>-B-13 MITCHELL MILES David A. Boone

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY LILIAN G. TSANG 3-24-25 [15]

#### Final Ruling

This matter was continued from May 20, 2025, to allow any party in interest to file a response by 5:00 p.m. Friday, May 23, 2025. Debtor filed a response stating that he has filed a first amended plan with a confirmation hearing date scheduled for July 1, 2025. Therefore, the earlier plan filed January 31, 2025, is not confirmed.

The objection is ORDERED OVERRULED AS MOOT for reasons stated in the minutes.

20. <u>25-21031</u>-B-13 JAMES JOHN CATUBIG LGT-1 Anh V. Nguyen

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY LILIAN G. TSANG 4-22-25 [14]

#### Final Ruling

This matter was continued from May 20, 2025, to allow any party in interest to file a response by 5:00 p.m. Friday, May 23, 2025. Nothing was filed. Therefore, the court's conditional ruling at dkt. 19, sustaining the objection, shall become the court's final decision. The continued hearing on May 27, 2025, at 1:00 p.m. is vacated.

The objection is ORDERED SUSTAINED for reasons stated in the minutes.

21. <u>25-21134</u>-B-13 HELGA GIFFORD <u>LGT</u>-1 Steven A. Alpert CONTINUED OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY LILIAN G. TSANG 4-22-25 [17]

#### Final Ruling

This matter was continued from May 20, 2025, to allow any party in interest to file a response by 5:00 p.m. Friday, May 23, 2025. Nothing was filed. Therefore, the court's conditional ruling at dkt. 22, sustaining the objection, shall become the court's final decision. The continued hearing on May 27, 2025, at 1:00 p.m. is vacated.

The objection is ORDERED SUSTAINED for reasons stated in the minutes.

22. <u>25-21075</u>-B-13 JOSEPH POTPROCKY

<u>JCW</u>-1 Nicholas Wajda **Thru #23** 

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY BRIDGECREST CREDIT COMPANY, LLC 4-23-25 [22]

#### Final Ruling

This matter was continued from May 20, 2025, to allow any party in interest to file a response by 5:00 p.m. Friday, May 23, 2025. Nothing was filed. Therefore, the court's conditional ruling at dkt. 31, sustaining the objection, shall become the court's final decision. The continued hearing on May 27, 2025, at 1:00 p.m. is vacated.

The objection is ORDERED SUSTAINED for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

23. <u>25-21075</u>-B-13 JOSEPH POTPROCKY <u>LGT</u>-1 Nicholas Wajda

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY LILIAN G. TSANG 4-22-25 [18]

#### Final Ruling

This matter was continued from May 20, 2025, to allow any party in interest to file a response by 5:00 p.m. Friday, May 23, 2025. Nothing was filed. Therefore, the court's conditional ruling at dkt. 32, sustaining the objection, shall become the court's final decision. The continued hearing on May 27, 2025, at 1:00 p.m. is vacated.

The objection is ORDERED SUSTAINED for reasons stated in the minutes.

24. <u>25-20580</u>-B-13 IVAN FERREIRA <u>LGT</u>-1 Arete Kostopoulos CONTINUED OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY LILIAN G. TSANG 3-21-25 [15]

#### Final Ruling

This matter was continued from May 20, 2025, to allow any party in interest to file a response by 5:00 p.m. Friday, May 23, 2025. Nothing was filed. Therefore, the court's conditional ruling at dkt. 28, sustaining the objection, shall become the court's final decision. The continued hearing on May 27, 2025, at 1:00 p.m. is vacated.

The objection is ORDERED SUSTAINED for reasons stated in the minutes.