# UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Honorable Fredrick E. Clement Fresno Federal Courthouse 2500 Tulare Street, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor Courtroom 11, Department A Fresno, California

#### PRE-HEARING DISPOSITIONS

DAY: WEDNESDAY
DATE: MAY 22, 2019

CALENDAR: 2:00 P.M. CHAPTERS 11 AND 9 ADVERSARY PROCEEDINGS

Each matter on this calendar will have one of three possible designations: No Ruling, Tentative Ruling, or Final Ruling. These instructions apply to those designations.

No Ruling: All parties will need to appear at the hearing unless otherwise ordered.

Tentative Ruling: If a matter has been designated as a tentative ruling it will be called. The court may continue the hearing on the matter, set a briefing schedule or enter other orders appropriate for efficient and proper resolution of the matter. The original moving or objecting party shall give notice of the continued hearing date and the deadlines. The minutes of the hearing will be the court's findings and conclusions.

Final Ruling: Unless otherwise ordered, there will be no hearing on these matters. The final disposition of the matter is set forth in the ruling and it will appear in the minutes. The final ruling may or may not finally adjudicate the matter. If it is finally adjudicated, the minutes constitute the court's findings and conclusions.

**Orders:** Unless the court specifies in the tentative or final ruling that it will issue an order, the prevailing party shall lodge an order within 14 days of the final hearing on the matter.

### 1. $\frac{16-10015}{17-1077}$ -A-9 IN RE: SOUTHERN INYO HEALTHCARE DISTRICT

CONTINUED MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT 3-21-2019 [183]

SOUTHERN INYO HEALTHCARE DISTRICT V. OPTUM BANK, INC. MICHAEL DELANEY/ATTY. FOR MV. RESPONSIVE PLEADINGS

### No Ruling

# 2. $\frac{16-10015}{17-1077}$ -A-9 IN RE: SOUTHERN INYO HEALTHCARE DISTRICT

CONTINUED MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT 3-20-2019 [173]

SOUTHERN INYO HEALTHCARE DISTRICT V. OPTUM BANK, INC. GERALD SIMS/ATTY. FOR MV. RESPONSIVE PLEADINGS

### No Ruling

### 3. $\frac{18-11651}{19-1007}$ -A-11 IN RE: GREGORY TE VELDE

CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE RE: COMPLAINT 1-7-2019 [ $\underline{1}$ ]

SUGARMAN V. BOARDMAN TREE FARM, LLC ET AL JOHN MACCONAGHY/ATTY. FOR PL. RESPONSIVE PLEADINGS

#### No Ruling

# 4. $\frac{18-11651}{19-1007}$ -A-11 IN RE: GREGORY TE VELDE

CONTINUED MOTION TO DISMISS, STAY OR ABATE FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

2-4-2019 [<u>11</u>]

SUGARMAN V. BOARDMAN TREE FARM, LLC ET AL HAGOP BEDOYAN/ATTY. FOR MV.

### No Ruling

5.  $\frac{18-11651}{19-1033}$ -A-11 IN RE: GREGORY TE VELDE

STATUS CONFERENCE RE: COMPLAINT 3-8-2019 [1]

SUGARMAN V. IRZ CONSULTING, LLC JOHN MACCONAGHY/ATTY. FOR PL.

#### No Ruling

6.  $\frac{18-11651}{19-1033}$ -A-11 IN RE: GREGORY TE VELDE

MOTION TO COMPEL 5-4-2019 [31]

SUGARMAN V. IRZ CONSULTING, LLC JOHN MACCONAGHY/ATTY. FOR MV. OST 5/8/19

### No Ruling

# 7. $\frac{18-11651}{19-1033}$ -A-11 IN RE: GREGORY TE VELDE

MOTION TO STAY 5-6-2019 [39]

SUGARMAN V. IRZ CONSULTING, LLC SANFORD LANDRESS/ATTY. FOR MV. OST 5/8/19

### No Ruling

# 8. $\frac{18-11651}{19-1037}$ -A-11 IN RE: GREGORY TE VELDE

STATUS CONFERENCE RE: NOTICE OF REMOVAL 7-23-2018 [1]

IRZ CONSULTING LLC V. TEVELDE ET AL SANFORD LANDRESS/ATTY. FOR PL.

#### No Ruling

## 9. $\frac{18-11651}{19-1038}$ -A-11 IN RE: GREGORY TE VELDE

STATUS CONFERENCE RE: NOTICE OF REMOVAL 9-7-2018 [1]

SINECO CONSTRUCTION, LLC V. BOARDMAN TREE FARM, LLC ET AL DOUGLAS HOOKLAND/ATTY. FOR PL. RESPONSIVE PLEADINGS

### No Ruling