



**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
Eastern District of California
Honorable René Lastreto II
Department B – Courtroom #13
Fresno, California
Hearing Date: Wednesday, May 20, 2026**

Unless otherwise ordered, all matters before the Honorable René Lastreto II, shall be simultaneously: (1) **In Person** at, Courtroom #13 (Fresno hearings only), (2) via **ZoomGov Video**, (3) via **ZoomGov Telephone**, and (4) via **CourtCall**. You may choose any of these options unless otherwise ordered or stated below.

All parties or their attorneys who wish to appear at a hearing remotely must sign up by **4:00 p.m. one business day** prior to the hearing. Information regarding how to sign up can be found on the **Remote Appearances** page of our website at <https://www.caeb.uscourts.gov/Calendar/CourtAppearances>. Each party/attorney who has signed up will receive a Zoom link or phone number, meeting I.D., and password via e-mail.

If the deadline to sign up has passed, parties and their attorneys who wish to appear remotely must contact the Courtroom Deputy for the Department holding the hearing.

Please also note the following:

- Parties in interest and/or their attorneys may connect to the video or audio feed free of charge and should select which method they will use to appear when signing up.
- Members of the public and the press who wish to attend by ZoomGov may only listen in to the hearing using the Zoom telephone number. Video participation or observing are not permitted.
- Members of the public and the press may not listen in to trials or evidentiary hearings, though they may attend in person unless otherwise ordered.

To appear remotely for law and motion or status conference proceedings, you must comply with the following guidelines and procedures:

1. Review the [Pre-Hearing Dispositions](#) prior to appearing at the hearing.
2. Parties appearing via CourtCall are encouraged to review the [CourtCall Appearance Information](#). If you are appearing by ZoomGov phone or video, please join at least 10 minutes prior to the start of the calendar and wait with your microphone muted until the matter is called.

Unauthorized Recording is Prohibited: Any recording of a court proceeding held by video or teleconference, including "screen shots" or other audio or visual copying of a hearing is prohibited. Violation may result in sanctions, including removal of court-issued media credentials, denial of entry to future hearings, or any other sanctions deemed necessary by the court. For more information on photographing, recording, or broadcasting Judicial Proceedings, please refer to Local Rule 173(a) of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR PRE-HEARING DISPOSITIONS

Each matter on this calendar will have one of three possible designations: No Ruling, Tentative Ruling, or Final Ruling. These instructions apply to those designations.

No Ruling: All parties will need to appear at the hearing unless otherwise ordered.

Tentative Ruling: If a matter has been designated as a tentative ruling it will be called, and all parties will need to appear at the hearing unless otherwise ordered. The court may continue the hearing on the matter, set a briefing schedule, or enter other orders appropriate for efficient and proper resolution of the matter. The original moving or objecting party shall give notice of the continued hearing date and the deadlines. The minutes of the hearing will be the court's findings and conclusions.

Final Ruling: Unless otherwise ordered, there will be **no hearing on these matters**. The final disposition of the matter is set forth in the ruling and it will appear in the minutes. The final ruling may or may not finally adjudicate the matter. If it is finally adjudicated, the minutes constitute the court's findings and conclusions.

Orders: Unless the court specifies in the tentative or final ruling that it will issue an order, the prevailing party shall lodge an order within 14 days of the final hearing on the matter.

Post-Publication Changes: The court endeavors to publish its rulings as soon as possible. However, calendar preparation is ongoing, and these rulings may be revised or updated at any time prior to 4:00 p.m. the day before the scheduled hearings. Please check at that time for any possible updates

9:30 AM

1. [24-12302](#)-B-13 **IN RE: MONICA RUBI VILLATORO**
[RSW-2](#)

MOTION TO MODIFY PLAN
4-14-2026 [\[42\]](#)

MONICA RUBI VILLATORO/MV
ROBERT WILLIAMS/ATTY. FOR DBT.

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Granted.

ORDER: The Moving Party shall submit a proposed order in conformance with the ruling below.

Monica Rubi Villatoro ("Debtor") moves for an order confirming the *Second Modified Chapter 13 Plan* dated April 14, 2026. Docs. #42, #46. Debtor's current plan was confirmed on February 5, 2026. Doc. #39.

No party has timely objected.

This motion was set for hearing on 35 days' notice as required by Local Rule of Practice ("LBR") 3015-1(d)(1). The failure of any party in interest, including but not limited to creditors, the U.S. Trustee, and the case Trustee, to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B) may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Therefore, the defaults of the above-mentioned parties in interest are entered. Upon default, factual allegations will be taken as true (except those relating to amounts of damages). *Televideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917 (9th Cir. 1987).

The motion requests that the confirmed 60-month plan be modified as follows:

1. Debtor to pay an aggregate of \$14,048.39 through April 2026 and make monthly payments of \$1,696.00 beginning in May 2026.
2. Debtor's attorney to receive an aggregate of \$1,300.00 through April 2026, with the dividend for attorney's fees to be \$117.50 beginning in May 2026.
3. Section 3.07 is modified to provide that Class 1 secured creditor Freedom Mortgage shall be paid a total of \$7,954.64 in regular monthly mortgage payments through April 2026. Regular monthly mortgage payments shall resume in May 2026, and all missed payments will be paid with late fees by month 60.
4. Section 3.07 is further modified to provide that Class 1 secured creditor Freedom Mortgage shall be paid a total of \$3,357.93 on the real estate arrears through November 2025.

Monthly dividend payments of \$671.56 shall resume in December 2025

5. Dividends to all other creditors will be unaffected.

Doc. #30.

Debtor declares that this modification is necessary because Debtor fell behind in plan payments after a reduction in income due to his work being seasonal. Doc. #45. Debtor's *Amended Schedule I & J*, dated April 21, 2026, reflects a monthly net income of \$1,702.93, an increase over the \$1,587.93 monthly net income listed in the *Amended Schedule I & J* dated November 18, 2025. Compare Doc. #37 and Doc. #50. It appears that Debtor's monthly net income is sufficient to make the amended monthly plan payments.

No party in interest has objected, and the defaults of all non-responding parties in interest are entered. This motion is GRANTED. The order shall include the docket control number of the motion, shall reference the plan by the date it was filed, and shall be approved as to form by Trustee.

2. [26-10808](#)-B-13 **IN RE: FELIX TISCARENO-GARCIA**

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE TO PAY FEES
5-4-2026 [[31](#)]

TENTATIVE RULING: This matter will proceed as scheduled.

DISPOSITION: The minutes of the hearing will be the court's findings and conclusions.

ORDER: The court will issue an order.

This matter will proceed as scheduled. If the fees due at the time of the hearing have not been paid prior to the hearing, the case will be dismissed on the grounds stated in the OSC.

If the installment fees due at the time of hearing are paid before the hearing, the order permitting the payment of filing fees in installments will be modified to provide that if future installments are not received by the due date, the case will be dismissed without further notice or hearing.

3. [24-10010](#)-B-13 **IN RE: TY PERRY AND DIANA PELAIZ-PERRY**
[PLG-4](#)

MOTION TO MODIFY PLAN
4-10-2026 [[66](#)]

DIANA PELAIZ-PERRY/MV
RABIN POURNAZARIAN/ATTY. FOR DBT.

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Granted.

ORDER: The Moving Party shall submit a proposed order in conformance with the ruling below.

Ty Perry and Diana Pelaiz-Perry ("Debtors") move for an order confirming the *Fifth Modified Chapter 13 Plan* dated April 10, 2026. Docs. #66, #68. Debtor's current plan was confirmed on July 8, 2025. Doc. #63.

No party has timely objected.

This motion was set for hearing on 35 days' notice as required by Local Rule of Practice ("LBR") 3015-1(d)(1). The failure of any party in interest, including but not limited to creditors, the U.S. Trustee, and the case Trustee, to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B) may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Therefore, the defaults of the above-mentioned parties in interest are entered. Upon default, factual allegations will be taken as true (except those relating to amounts of damages). *Televideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917 (9th Cir. 1987).

The motion requests that the confirmed 60-month plan be modified as follows:

1. Debtor to pay an aggregate of \$50,957.00 through March 2026, to pay \$0.00 for months 27-29, and then pay \$1,940.00 for months 30-60.
2. Distribution to general unsecured creditors will be reduced from 76.00% to 69.00%.

Compare Docs. #61 and #68.

Debtor declares that this modification is necessary because Debtor fell behind in plan payments after a reduction in income after Ty Perry suffered a stroke in March 2025 and his disability payments have terminated. Doc. #69. Perry further declares that he has no income now, and Debtors request suspension of plan payments in April, May, and June of 2026, to afford him time to get a new job. *Id.*

Debtor's Amended Schedule I & J, dated May 19, 2025, reflects a monthly net income of \$1,942.15, which is sufficient to make the amended monthly plan payments. Doc. #53.

No party in interest has objected, and the defaults of all non-responding parties in interest are entered. This motion is GRANTED. The order shall include the docket control number of the motion, shall reference the plan by the date it was filed, and shall be approved as to form by Trustee.

4. [25-13915](#)-B-13 **IN RE: EDUARDO FARIAS**
[CAE-1](#)

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE: DISMISSAL OF CASE AND
DISGORGEMENT OF FEE
4-27-2026 [\[51\]](#)

ROBERT WILLIAMS/ATTY. FOR DBT.
DISMISSED 03/25/2026

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Vacated.

ORDER: The court will issue an order.

On April 27, 2026, the court entered an Order to Show Cause ("OSC") arising from the dismissal of this Chapter 13 case prior to plan confirmation which triggered General Order 26-03. Doc. #51. The court set a hearing date of March 20, 2026, and a deadline of May 11, 2026, in which Robert S. Williams ("Williams"), Debtor's counsel in the above-styled case, could obviate the need for the OSC hearing. *Id.*

On March 8, 2026, Williams timely filed his fee application, with a hearing date set for June 20, 2026, at 9:30 a.m.. Doc. #58. Accordingly, this OSC is hereby VACATED.

5. [23-11116](#)-B-13 **IN RE: HUMBERTO/NANCY VIDALES**
[TCS-13](#)

CONTINUED MOTION TO MODIFY PLAN
3-18-2026 [\[231\]](#)

NANCY VIDALES/MV
TIMOTHY SPRINGER/ATTY. FOR DBT.

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Denied.

ORDER: The court will issue an order.

This matter was originally heard on April 22, 2026. Doc. #240.

Humberto and Nancy ("Debtor") moves for an order confirming the Seventh Modified Chapter 13 Plan dated March 18, 2026. Doc. #235. Debtor's current plan was confirmed on December 8, 2023. Docs. #131. Chapter 13 trustee Lilian G. Tsang ("Trustee") timely objected to confirmation of the plan for the following reason(s):

1. The Debtors are delinquent in their plan payments. The proposed plan asserts that Debtors will have paid an aggregate of \$135,210.52 for months 1-34. Trustee states that Debtors will have only paid \$129,297.05 through month 34.
2. The plan provides for a mortgage arrearage payment on a claim (the Wells Fargo N.A. claim) to which Debtors have already objected and which has been disallowed.

Doc. #53.

The court continued this objection to May 20, 2026, at 9:30 a.m. Doc. #58. Debtors were directed to file and serve a written response to the objection not later than fourteen (14) days before the continued hearing date, or file a confirmable, modified plan in lieu of a response not later than seven (7) days before the continued hearing date, or the objection would be sustained on the grounds stated in the objection without further hearing. *Id.*

Debtors neither filed a written response nor a modified plan. Therefore, Trustee's objection will be SUSTAINED on the grounds stated in the objection, and this motion will be DENIED.

6. [26-10448](#)-B-13 **IN RE: PHUC THAI AND HONG LE**
[CAE-1](#)

STATUS CONFERENCE RE: ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
4-27-2026 [[31](#)]

SIMRAN HUNDAL/ATTY. FOR DBT.
DISMISSED: 03/26/2026

NO RULING.

7. [25-25050](#)-B-13 **IN RE: WENDELL DELA CRUZ**
[RKW-1](#)

CONTINUED MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN
3-17-2026 [[84](#)]

WENDELL DELA CRUZ/MV
RHONDA WALKER/ATTY. FOR DBT.

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing in this matter.

DISPOSITION: Denied as moot.

ORDER: The court will prepare the order.

On May 14, 2026, Wendell Dela Cruz ("Debtor") filed a *Second Modified Chapter 13 Plan*. Doc. #125. Accordingly, this *Motion to Confirm* the First Modified Chapter 13 Plan dated December 10, 2026, is DENIED as moot.

8. [26-10750](#)-B-13 **IN RE: OSCAR ROMANVASQUEZ**
[LGT-2](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
4-15-2026 [[20](#)]

LILIAN TSANG/MV
SIMRAN HUNDAL/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Continued to June 17, 2026, at 9:30 a.m.

ORDER: The court will issue an order.

Chapter 13 trustee Lillian G. Tsang ("Trustee") asks the court to dismiss this case for cause under 11 U.S.C. §§ 1307(c)(1), (c)(4), and (c)(7) for unreasonable delay by the debtor that is prejudicial to creditors and failure to commence making timely payments due under the plan. Doc. #20. Trustee raises the following grounds for dismissal:

1. Debtor failed to provide certain required documents, specifically the Business Case Questionnaire.
2. As of April 15, 2026, Debtor has failed to commence plan payments and is delinquent in the amount of \$4,658.00 with additional payments accruing monthly.
3. Debtor failed to file tax returns for the years 2020, 2021, and 2022.

Doc. #20.

On May 6, 2026, Oscar Romanvasquez ("Debtor") responded. No other party in interest has responded and the defaults of all other parties are entered. In his Response, Debtor stated that the Business Case Questionnaire had been provided and that Debtor has filed his 2020, 2021, and 2022 tax returns. Doc. #24. As for Objection #2, Debtor advised that Debtor's son, nephew, and uncle, who had been living with him and providing assistance in the form of rent, had either moved out or were in the process of doing so, thereby reducing his income. *Id.* Debtor further advised that an amended plan and supporting documents were forthcoming. *Id.*

On May 8, 2026, the Trustee filed a Supplemental Objection advising that Debtor has provided the missing tax returns. Doc. #26. However, the 341 meeting of creditors had not been concluded due to Debtor's failure to provide Declarations of Support from family, and the 2025 tax returns had not been provided at least 7 days before the meeting. *Id.* Debtor has also failed to provide certain required documents: proof of income, the 2025 tax returns, and declarations of financial support from family members listed on Schedule I as contributors to his income. *Id.* Finally, Trustee advised that Debtor was now delinquent by \$9,316.00 as of April 25, 2026, with additional payments accruing. *Id.*

Based on Debtor's representation that a modified plan is coming which will presumably address the deficiency, the court elects to CONTINUE this matter until **June 17, 2026, at 9:30 a.m.** If Debtor fails to file a modified Plan no later than one week before the continued hearing date, the court is inclined to grant this motion and dismiss this case without further argument from the parties.

9. [21-24053](#)-B-13 **IN RE: ALICIA MORELAND**
[TLA-3](#)

MOTION TO SELL
4-29-2026 [[74](#)]

ALICIA MORELAND/MV
THOMAS AMBERG/ATTY. FOR DBT.

TENTATIVE RULING: Hearing in this matter will proceed as Scheduled. If the court grants the motion, this matter will proceed for higher and better bids.

DISPOSITION: Granted as to the sale. Disposition of the other issues raised in this motion will be determined at the hearing.

ORDER: Order preparation to be determined at the hearing.

This case was originally heard by Judge Frederick Clement and later reassigned to the undersigned judge.

Alicia Moreland ("Debtor"), Debtor in the above-styled Chapter 13 case, seeks authorization to sell residential real property located at 932 Jackson Street, Winters, CA 95694 ("the Property") to Victor A. Gonzalez Rivera ("Buyer" or "Rivera") for \$485,000.00 pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 363, and subject to higher and better bids at the hearing. Doc. #74 *et seq.* Debtor and Debtor's non-filing spouse, William Moreland ("William," and collectively "the Morelands" or "Sellers") co-own the Property, but Debtor declares that the mortgage is entirely in William's name. Doc. #77.

Debtor also requests to pay five percent (5%) in broker's commission to Carrion Properties ("Carrion" or "Buyer's Broker"), with said commission split evenly with the eventual buyer's broker, if any. *Id.* The motion avers that Buyer's broker is GI Joe Homes, Inc. ("Buyer's Broker") *Id.*

Written opposition was not required and may be presented at the hearing. In the absence of opposition, this motion will be GRANTED.

This motion was filed and served on at least 21 days' notice pursuant to Local Rule of Practice ("LBR") 9014-1(f)(2) and Fed. R. Bankr. P. ("Rule") 2002(a)(2) and (a)(6) and will proceed as scheduled. Unless opposition is presented at the hearing, the court intends to enter the respondents' defaults and grant the motion to sell the Property subject to higher and better bids. If opposition is presented at the hearing, the court will consider the opposition and whether further hearing is proper pursuant to LBR 9014-1(f)(2). The court will issue an order if a further hearing is necessary.

BACKGROUND

Debtor filed for Chapter 13 on December 2, 2021. Doc. #1. Debtor avers that she is in month 53 of a 60-month plan as of the date this motion was filed. Doc. #77.

Debtor filed the instant motion on April 29, 2026. Doc. #74. Notably, Debtor has not filed a motion to employ her Broker, and the current motion does not seek court approval of the retention of Debtor's Broker, although it does contemplate a broker's commission of 5.00% of the sale prices (\$12,125.00) to be split between Carrion and Buyer's Broker, if the sale is consummated without any overbids). *Id.* The court also notes that no overbid procedures are included in the moving papers.

DISCUSSION

Sale of Property

11 U.S.C. § 363(b)(1) allows the trustee to "sell, or lease, other than in the ordinary course of business, property of the estate."

11 U.S.C. § 1303 states that the "[Chapter 13] debtor shall have, exclusive of the trustee, the rights and powers of a trustee under sections . . . 363(b) . . . of this title." 11 U.S.C. § 1302(b)(1) excludes from a chapter 13 trustee's duties the collection of estate property and reduction of estate assets to money. Therefore, the debtor has the authority to sell property of the estate under § 363(b).

Proposed sales under 11 U.S.C. § 363(b) are reviewed to determine whether they are: (1) in the best interests of the estate resulting from a fair and reasonable price; (2) supported by a valid business judgment; and (3) proposed in good faith. *In re Alaska Fishing Adventure, LLC*, 594 B.R. 883, 887 (Bankr. D. Alaska 2018) citing *240 N. Brand Partners v. Colony GFP Partners, Ltd. P'ship (In re 240 N. Brand Partners)*, 200 B.R. 653, 659 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1996); *In re Wilde Horse Enters., Inc.*, 136 B.R. 830, 841 (Bankr. C.D. Cal. 1991). In the context of sales of estate property under § 363, a bankruptcy court "should determine only whether the [Debtor's] judgment was reasonable and whether a sound business justification exists supporting the sale and its terms." *Alaska Fishing*, 594 B.R. at 889, quoting 3 COLLIER ON BANKRUPTCY ¶ 363.02[4] (Richard Levin & Henry J. Sommer, 16th ed.). "[T]he [Debtor's] business judgment is to be given 'great judicial deference.'" *Id.*, citing *In re Psychometric Sys., Inc.*, 367 B.R. 670, 674 (Bankr. D. Colo. 2007); *In re Bakalis*, 220 B.R. 525, 531-32 (Bankr. E.D.N.Y. 1998).

Sales to an insider are subject to heightened scrutiny. *Alaska Fishing Adventure, LLC*, 594 B.R. at 887 citing *Mission Product Holdings, Inc. v. Old Cold, LLC (In re Old Cold LLC)*, 558 B.R. 500, 516 (B.A.P. 1st Cir. 2016). There is no indication that Buyer is an insider, and Debtor declares that she has no relationship with Buyer. Doc. #77.

Debtor entered into a contract ("the Agreement") with Buyer to sell Property for \$485,000.00, subject to certain terms and conditions listed in the Agreement. Doc. #37 (Exhibit A, pg. 21).

Property is subject to both a deed of trust and a home equity line both serviced by Shellpoint Mortgage Servicing ("Shellpoint") with payoff amounts of \$378,860.54 and \$56,379.24, respectively. Both loans will be paid off out of escrow. Doc. #76 (Exhibit B - Closing Statement). The closing statement also indicates that \$747.93 in prorated county taxes will be paid by Buyer. *Id.* There is no indication of any other taxes associated with the Property. After the sale is consummated, Debtor will receive \$9,041.20 again assuming no overbids. *Id.* Debtor declares her intention to amend her Schedule C if necessary to exempt those sale proceeds.

The sale under these circumstances should maximize potential recovery for the estate. The sale of the Property appears to be in the best interests of the estate because it will pay off the deed of trust and equity line in favor of Shellpoint. Also, the reason for the sale arises from the imminent relocation of Debtor and her spouse, who will apparently make more money in Texas, thus facilitating the plan's successful resolution. It appears that only seven months or less remain before plan completion. The sale appears to be supported by a valid business judgment and proposed in good faith. Objections may be presented at the hearing. In the absence of any such objections, it appears that this sale is an appropriate exercise of Debtor's business judgment and will be given deference.

Real Estate Brokers' Compensation

This motion affects the proposed disposition of estate assets and the Broker. Under Fed. R. Civ. P. ("Civ. Rule") 21 (Rule 7021 incorporated in contested matters under Rule 9014(c)), the court will exercise its discretion to add Broker as a party.

LBR 9014-1(d)(5)(B)(ii) permits joinder of claims for authorization for the sale of real property and allowance of fees and expenses for such professional under 11 U.S.C. §§ 327, 328, 330, 363, and Rule 6004. The court has noted that the instant motion does not expressly seek such authorization, and the court is not inclined to approve compensation to broker(s) whose employment has not been previously approved.

The court will hear arguments from Debtor and any other interested parties who participate in the hearing as to how to proceed with the issue of broker compensation. At a minimum, the Trustee must agree to any such compensation.

Overbid Procedure

No overbid procedures are included anywhere in the moving papers. The court interprets this to mean that any higher and/or better bids which are brought forth at the hearing will be considered.

The motion requests that the confirmed 60-month plan be modified as follows:

1. Debtor to pay an aggregate of \$50,957.00 through March 2026, to pay \$0.00 for months 27-29, and then pay \$1,940.00 for months 30-60.
2. Distribution to general unsecured creditors will be reduced from 76.00% to 69.00%.
3. The plan is otherwise unchanged.

Compare Docs. #61 with #68.

Debtors declare that this modification is necessary because Debtors fell behind in plan payments after a reduction in income while Linda Tinoco-Lopez was off work for maternity leave. Doc. #24 (Declaration).

Debtor's *Schedule I & J*, dated June 2, 2025, reflects a monthly net income of \$2,993.00, which is sufficient to make the amended monthly plan payments. Doc. #1 (Schedules I & J).

No party in interest has objected, and the defaults of all non-responding parties in interest are entered. This motion is GRANTED. The order shall include the docket control number of the motion, shall reference the plan by the date it was filed, and shall be approved as to form by Trustee.

11. [21-21056](#)-B-13 **IN RE: CARLOS MIRANDA**
[DPC-2](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
4-17-2026 [\[32\]](#)

DAVID CUSICK/MV
CANDACE BROOKS/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Withdrawn.

NO ORDER REQUIRED

The chapter 13 trustee withdrew this motion on May 13, 2026. Doc. #39. Accordingly, this matter will be taken off calendar pursuant to the trustee's withdrawal.

12. [26-10458](#)-B-13 **IN RE: FRED A SLEDGEGLOVER**
[BSH-2](#)

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN
4-12-2026 [[29](#)]

FREDA SLEDGEGLOVER/MV
BRIAN HADDIX/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Continued to June 17, 2026, at 9:30 a.m.

ORDER: The court will issue an order.

Freda Sledgeglover ("Debtor") moves for an order confirming the *Chapter 13 Plan* dated February 2, 2026. Doc. #44. No plan has been confirmed so far. Chapter 13 trustee Lilian G. Tsang ("Trustee") timely objected to confirmation of the plan for the following reason(s):

1. Debtor has failed to file a motion seeking valuation of the collateral of Class 2B creditor Springlight Financial. The court has since granted Debtor's motion for valuation of this collateral. See Doc. #37.
2. Debtor is delinquent in plan payments by \$2,750.00 as of April 30, 2026, with additional payments accruing.

Doc. #33. On May 12, 2026, Trustee filed a Supplemental Opposition advising that Objections #1 and #2 had been resolved but adding additional grounds to oppose confirmation:

3. Debtor has apparently not filed all required tax returns for 2025.
4. The plan proposes to pay \$0.00 towards priority claims, but the IRS's proof of claim asserts that Debtor owes \$11,636.00 in priority debt.

Doc. #35.

This motion to confirm plan will be CONTINUED to **June 17, 2026, at 9:30 a.m.** Unless this case is voluntarily converted to chapter 7, dismissed, or all objections to confirmation are withdrawn, the Debtor shall file and serve a written response to the objections no later than fourteen (14) days before the continued hearing date. The response shall specifically address each remaining issue raised in the objection(s) to confirmation, state whether each issue is disputed or undisputed, and include admissible evidence to support the Debtor's position. Any replies shall be filed and served no later than seven (7) days prior to the hearing date.

If the Debtor elects to withdraw the plan and file a modified plan in lieu of filing a response, then a confirmable, modified plan shall be filed, served, and set for hearing no later than seven (7) days before the continued hearing date. If the Debtor does not

timely file a modified plan or a written response, the objection will be sustained on the grounds stated, and the motion will be denied without further hearing.

13. [22-20366](#)-B-13 **IN RE: MARC COLLIER**
[DPC-2](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
4-17-2026 [[30](#)]

DAVID CUSICK/MV
BERT VEGA/ATTY. FOR DBT.

TENTATIVE RULING: This matter will be called as scheduled.

DISPOSITION: Granted or denied without prejudice.

ORDER: The minutes of the hearing will be the court's findings and conclusions. The court will issue an order.

The chapter 13 trustee asks the court to dismiss this case for failure to complete the terms of the confirmed plan (11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(6)). Doc. #30.

This motion was set for hearing on 28 days' notice as required by Local Rule of Practice ("LBR") 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the creditors, the debtor, the U.S. Trustee, or any other party in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B) may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Boone v. Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the above-mentioned parties in interest are entered and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. Upon default, factual allegations will be taken as true (except those relating to amount of damages). *Televideo Systems, Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917 (9th Cir. 1987). Constitutional due process requires that a plaintiff make a *prima facie* showing that they are entitled to the relief sought, which the Movant has done here.

As an informative matter, Rule 7004(g) allows service of a debtor's attorney under Rule 5. In the **Trustee's** Certificate of Service (Doc. #33) and **Debtor's** Certificate of Service (Doc. #36) under Section 6, **How Service is Accomplished**, only Box 6A1 was marked indicating to the court that service was accomplished by First Class Mail. Debtor's attorney is not listed on Attachment 6A-1. The first line of Section 6 reads as follows: " Rule 5 and Rules 7005, 9036 Service (**Check at least one, if applicable**)." Box 6B is not marked to indicate to the court that service was accomplished in this manner. *Id.* However, the docket for this case does indicate that Debtor's attorney was served electronically by the court, so any error in service to Debtor's attorney is harmless in this case.

As of February 17, 2026, Debtor has failed to make all payments due under the plan and Debtor is delinquent \$3,727.71. Doc. #32. Before the hearing on this motion, an additional payment of \$2,318.84 will become due for a total of \$6,046.55 due before the hearing. Doc. #30.

Marc Le Shone Collier ("Debtor") filed a written opposition on May 15, 2026, which was untimely. Doc. #34. Debtor states that a payment was made on May 14, 2026, in the amount of \$3,727.71 via cashier's check, which should resolve the delinquency. *Id.* Additionally, Debtor avers that the current month payment will be made by the date of this hearing. *Id.*

The trustee has reviewed the schedules and determined that the Debtor's assets are over-encumbered and are of no benefit to the estate. Because there is no equity to be realized for the benefit of the estate, dismissal is in the best interest of creditors and the estate. Doc. #32.

This matter will be called and proceed as scheduled to inquire whether Debtor is current on payments under the plan. If so, this motion will be DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. If not, this motion may be GRANTED, and the case dismissed.

14. [24-25569](#)-B-13 **IN RE: KATHLEEN TINSMAN**
[DPC-2](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
4-17-2026 [\[38\]](#)

DAVID CUSICK/MV
MICHAEL HAYS/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

TENTATIVE RULING: This matter will be called as scheduled.

DISPOSITION: Granted or denied without prejudice.

ORDER: The minutes of the hearing will be the court's findings and conclusions. The court will issue an order.

Chapter 13 trustee David Cusick ("Trustee") moves to dismiss this case for failure to make all payments due under the plan (11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(6)). Doc. #38.

This motion was set for hearing on 28 days' notice as required by Local Rule of Practice ("LBR") 9014-1(f)(1) and will proceed as scheduled. The failure of the creditors, the U.S. Trustee, or any other party in interest except Debtor to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B) may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Therefore, the defaults of the above-mentioned parties in interest

except Debtor are entered. Upon default, factual allegations will be taken as true (except those relating to amounts of damages). *Televideo Sys, Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917 (9th Cir. 1987).

Under 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c), the court may convert or dismiss a case, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause. "A debtor's unjustified failure to expeditiously accomplish any task required either to propose or to confirm a chapter 13 plan may constitute cause for dismissal under § 1307(c)(1)." *Ellsworth v. Lifescape Med. Assocs., P.C. (In re Ellsworth)*, 455 B.R. 904, 915 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2011). There is "cause" for dismissal under 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1) and (c)(6) for unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors and failure to make all payments due under the plan.

As an informative matter, Rule 7004(g) allows service of a debtor's attorney under Rule 5. In the Trustee's Certificate of Service (Doc. #41) under Section 6, **How Service is Accomplished**, only Box 6A1 was marked indicating to the court that service was accomplished by First Class Mail. Debtor's attorney is not listed on Attachment 6A-1. The first line of Section 6 reads as follows: "Rule 5 and Rules 7005, 9036 Service (**Check at least one, if applicable**)." Box 6B is not marked to indicate to the court that service was accomplished in this manner. *Id.* However, the docket for this case does indicate that Debtor's attorney was served electronically by the court, so any error in service to Debtor's attorney is harmless in this case.

As of February 23, 2026, Kathleen Mae Tinsman ("Debtor") has failed to make all payments due under the plan and is delinquent \$927.00. Before the hearing on this motion, an additional payment of \$927.00 will become due for a total of \$1,854.00 due before the hearing. Doc. #38.

Debtor timely filed written opposition stating that if Debtor cannot bring her delinquency current, the case will be converted to one under Chapter 7. Doc. #42. Debtor's son contacted her counsel indicating that at 79 years old Debtor has difficulty keeping her finances in order but will bring her case current prior to this hearing. Doc. #43.

In addition, the trustee has reviewed the schedules and determined that the Debtor's assets are over encumbered and are of no benefit to the estate. Because there is no equity to be realized for the benefit of the estate, dismissal is in the best interest of creditors and the estate. Doc. #40.

This matter will be called as scheduled to inquire whether Debtor has cured the delinquency. If so, this motion may be DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. Otherwise, the motion may be GRANTED, and the case dismissed.

15. [25-22969](#)-B-13 **IN RE: THOMAS ACKERNECHT**
[BB-3](#)

CONTINUED AMENDED MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN
2-24-2026 [\[93\]](#)

THOMAS ACKERNECHT/MV
BONNIE BAKER/ATTY. FOR DBT.

NO RULING.

16. [21-20070](#)-B-13 **IN RE: BENIGNA GONZALEZ AND JESUS MONROY**
[DPC-2](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
4-17-2026 [\[59\]](#)

DAVID CUSICK/MV
MICHAEL HAYS/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Withdrawn.

NO ORDER REQUIRED

The chapter 13 trustee withdrew this motion on May 13, 2026.
Doc. #65. Accordingly, this matter will be taken off calendar
pursuant to the trustee's withdrawal.

17. [23-24174](#)-B-13 **IN RE: MICHAEL/SUSAN MARASCO**
[DPC-3](#)

CONTINUED MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
3-13-2026 [\[203\]](#)

DAVID CUSICK/MV
TIMOTHY STEARNS/ATTY. FOR DBT.

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Continued to July 8, 2026, at 9:30 a.m.

ORDER: The court will issue an order.

The trustee's motion to dismiss will be continued to July 8, 2026,
at 9:30 a.m.

18. [26-11281](#)-B-13 **IN RE: DAVID/ALICIA MORRIS**
[MJ-1](#)

MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY
4-14-2026 [\[12\]](#)

ACAR LEASING LTD/MV
ROBERT WILLIAMS/ATTY. FOR DBT.
MEHRDAUD JAFARNIA/ATTY. FOR MV.

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Granted.

ORDER: The Moving Party shall submit a proposed order in
conformance with the ruling below.

Acar Leasing Ltd. ("Movant") seeks relief from the automatic stay under 11 U.S.C. §§ 362(d)(1) and (d)(2) with respect to a 2025 Chevrolet Equinox (VIN: 3GN7DNRP5SS223699) ("Vehicle"). Doc. #12. Movant also requests waiver of the 14-day stay of Fed. R. Bankr. P. 4001(a)(4). *Id.*

David Alfred Morris and Alicia Marie Morris ("Debtors") did not file opposition and the vehicle was surrendered to the dealership on March 2, 2026. This motion will be GRANTED.

This motion was set for hearing on 28 days' notice as required by Local Rule of Practice ("LBR") 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the creditors, the debtor, the U.S. Trustee, or any other party in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B) may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Boone v. Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the above-mentioned parties in interest are entered and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. Upon default, factual allegations will be taken as true (except those relating to amount of damages). *Televideo Systems, Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917 (9th Cir. 1987). Constitutional due process requires that a plaintiff make a *prima facie* showing that they are entitled to the relief sought, which the movant has done here.

11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(1) allows the court to grant relief from the stay for cause, including the lack of adequate protection. "Because there is no clear definition of what constitutes 'cause,' discretionary relief from the stay must be determined on a case-by-case basis." *In re Mac Donald*, 755 F.2d 715, 717 (9th Cir. 1985).

11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(2) allows the court to grant relief from the stay if the debtor does not have an equity in such property and such property is not necessary to an effective reorganization.

After review of the included evidence, the court finds that "cause" exists to lift the stay because Debtors have missed three pre-petition payments totaling \$2,171.25. Docs. #14, #16. Additionally, Movant recovered possession of the Vehicle pre-petition on March 2, 2026. *Id.* Since the Vehicle has been recovered, the only issue is disposition of the collateral.

The court also finds that the Debtors do not have any equity in the Vehicle. The Vehicle is valued at \$27,150.00 and Debtors owe \$46,278.77. The Vehicle was surrendered on March 2, 2026. Docs. #14, #16.

Accordingly, the motion will be granted pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 362(d)(1) and (d)(2) to permit the Movant to dispose of its collateral pursuant to applicable law and to use the proceeds from its disposition to satisfy its claim. No other relief is awarded.

The 14-day stay of Fed. R. Bankr. P. 4001(a)(4) will be ordered waived because Debtors have failed to make at least three (3) pre-petition payments to Movant and the Vehicle is a depreciating asset.

19. [26-11587](#)-B-13 **IN RE: SUSUP CHAM**
[SLH-1](#)

MOTION TO VALUE COLLATERAL OF SPRINGLEAF FINANCIAL
4-16-2026 [8]

SUSUP CHAM/MV
SETH HANSON/ATTY. FOR DBT.

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Granted.

ORDER: The Moving Party shall submit a proposed order in conformance with the ruling below.

Susup Cham ("Debtor") moves for an order valuing a 2017 Chrysler Pacifica ("Vehicle") at \$11,651.00 under 11 U.S.C. § 506(a). Doc. #8. Vehicle is encumbered by a purchase money security interest in favor Springleaf Financial ("Creditor"). *Id.* Creditor has not filed a proof of claim yet.

Debtor complied with Fed. R. Bankr. Pro. Rules 3012(b) and 7004(b)(3) by serving Creditor a copy of the motion by first-class mail to the attention of an officer, a managing or general agent, or to any other agent authorized by appointment or by law to receive service of process. Doc. #12.

No party in interest timely filed written opposition. This motion will be GRANTED.

This motion was set for hearing on 28 days' notice as required by Local Rule of Practice ("LBR") 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the creditors, the chapter 13 trustee, the U.S. Trustee, or any other

party in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B) may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Boone v. Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the above-mentioned parties in interest are entered and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. Upon default, factual allegations will be taken as true (except those relating to amounts of damages). *Televideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917 (9th Cir. 1987). Constitutional due process requires that a plaintiff make a *prima facie* showing that they are entitled to the relief sought, which the movant has done here.

11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(*) (the hanging paragraph) states that 11 U.S.C. § 506 is not applicable to claims described in that paragraph if (1) the creditor has a purchase money security interest securing the debt that is the subject of the claim, (2) the debt was incurred within 910 days preceding the filing of the petition, and (3) the collateral is a motor vehicle acquired for the personal use of the debtor.

11 U.S.C. § 506(a)(1), which applies to all debtors under this title, states:

An allowed claim of a creditor secured by a lien on property in which the estate has an interest, or that is subject to setoff under section 553 of this title, is a secured claim to the extent of the value of such creditor's interest in the estate's interest in such property, or to the extent of the amount subject to setoff, as the case may be, and is an unsecured claim to the extent that the value of such creditor's interest or the amount so subject to set off is less than the amount of such allowed claim. Such value shall be determined in light of the purpose of the valuation and of the proposed disposition or use of such property, and in conjunction with any hearing on such disposition or use or on a plan affecting such creditor's interest.

11 U.S.C. § 506(a)(2) states:

If the debtor is an individual in a case under chapter 7 or 13, such value with respect to personal property securing an allowed claim shall be determined based on the replacement value of such property as of the date of the filing of the petition without deduction for costs of sale or marketing. With respect to property acquired for personal, family, or household purposes, replacement value shall mean the price a retail merchant would charge for property of that kind considering the age and condition of the property at the time value is determined.

Here, Debtors borrowed money from Creditor in August 2025 and used the Vehicle, which was paid off, as collateral for the loan. Because the Creditor's interest in the Vehicle is not a purchase money security interest, the elements of § 1325(a)(*) are not met and § 506 is applicable.

Debtor declares Vehicle has a replacement value of \$11,651.00. Doc. #10. Generally, a debtor is competent to testify as to the value of their own property. In this instance, the court notes that Debtor's declaration as to the proper value of the Vehicle is quite sparse and contains no personal observations by Debtor upon which Debtor's valuation is based. *Id.* Rather, according to the Declaration, Debtor relied on a valuation of the Vehicle provided by an online service called "Edmunds," with a copy of "Edmunds Appraisal" attached as an exhibit. *Id.*; Doc. #11. While the lack of any basis for valuation drawn from Debtor's own personal observations is troubling, the court also notes that the Edmunds valuation adopted by Debtor appears to be the "Dealer Retail" value, which is likely higher than the replacement value of the Vehicle. Doc. #11. Creditor has not responded, and in the absence of contrary evidence, the Debtor's opinion of value may be conclusive even where based on an analysis provided by online research. *Enewally v. Wash. Mut. Bank (In re Enewally)*, 368 F.3d 1165, 1173 (9th Cir. 2004).

No party in interest timely filed written opposition. Accordingly, this motion will be GRANTED. Creditor's secured claim will be fixed at \$11,651.00. The proposed order shall specifically identify the collateral and the proof of claim to which it relates. The order will be effective upon confirmation of the chapter 13 plan.

11:00 AM

1. [24-13719](#)-B-7 **IN RE: B & B AGRI SERVICES INC.**
[GG-1](#)

FINAL STATUS CONFERENCE RE: MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
7-28-2025 [33]

DINAH PARLAN/MV
ROBERT WILLIAMS/ATTY. FOR DBT.
ANERIO ALTMAN/ATTY. FOR MV.

After posting the original pre-hearing dispositions, the court has modified its intended ruling on this matter.

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing in this matter.

DISPOSITION: Continued to May 27, 2026, at 11:00 a.m.

ORDER: The court will prepare the order.

On May 13, 2026, Creditor Dinah Parlan ("Movant") submitted a Status Report in the above-styled matter advising that the owners of B & B Agri Service, Inc. ("Debtor" or "B & B") have unanimously agreed to voluntarily dismiss this case, thereby obviating the need for this motion. Doc. #106. The parties are attempting to secure approval from the Trustee for the dismissal. *Id.* Movant requests a continuance of at least 35 days in which to obtain approval for the voluntary dismissal of this case. *Id.*

Initially, the court was inclined to grant the request for continuance and set this matter for hearing on July 1, 2026, at 11:00 a.m. and issued a pre-hearing disposition to that effect. In response to the pre-hearing disposition, the Trustee filed an *Application to Shorten Time and Reconvene Status Conference* on May 19, 2026. In that application, Trustee advised the court as to certain "concerns in this case" arising from the aborted depositions which were to have taken place on April 21-22, 2026. *Id.*

Trustee infers from the events surrounding those depositions, which did not take place as scheduled and may have been set for the sole purpose of providing leverage over two of Debtor's principals in order to influence them into dismissing Debtor's Chapter 7 case. *Id.* Trustee characterizes these facts as a continuation of the "gamesmanship" of which this court has already expressed its displeasure to Movant's counsel. *Id.* Trustee also argues that, if its inferences regarding the aborted depositions are correct, the conduct of Movant's counsel rises to the level of interference with the administration of a pending bankruptcy case. *Id.* Trustee also argues that, because of the depreciating nature of the estate assets which Trustee seeks to administer, resolution of this *Motion to Dismiss* should be expedited, with the Status Conference on the motion set sooner than July 1, 2026. *Id.*

The court finds Trustee's arguments for expediting this matter to be persuasive. Accordingly, the Trustee's *Application to Shorten Time*

and Reconvene Status Conference is GRANTED, and the Status Conference re: the *Motion to Dismiss* which was previously continued to July 1, 2026, is hereby reset to May 27, 2026, at 11:00 a.m. Any party wishing to file and serve a status report must do so on or before May 22, 2026.

2. [24-13719](#)-B-7 **IN RE: B & B AGRI SERVICES INC.**
[25-1032](#) **CAE-1**

CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE RE: COMPLAINT
7-22-2025 [[1](#)]

VETTER V. PARLAN
D. GARDNER/ATTY. FOR PL.

After posting the original pre-hearing dispositions, the court has modified its intended ruling on this matter.

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing in this matter.

DISPOSITION: Continued to July 1, 2026, at 11:00 a.m.

ORDER: The court will prepare the order.

On May 13, 2026, Creditor Dinah Parlan ("Movant") submitted a Status Report in the bankruptcy case which underlies this adversary proceeding, advising that the owners of B & B Agri Service, Inc. ("Debtor" or "B & B") have unanimously agreed to voluntarily dismiss this case, thereby obviating the need for this motion. Doc. #106. The parties are attempting to secure approval from the Trustee for the dismissal. *Id.* Movant requested a continuance of at least 35 days in which to obtain approval for the voluntary dismissal of the underlying Chapter 7 bankruptcy case, and the court originally continued that matter to July 1, 2026. *Id.*; Item #1, above.

Subsequently, the Trustee registered opposition to a continuance that far out and requested that hearing on the motion to dismiss be set on shortened notice for May 27, 2026, at 11:00 a.m. Doc. #114. The court granted that motion and reset the Motion to Dismiss for May 27, 2026.

While no such motions or requests have been made in this adversary proceeding, the court concludes that continuance of the Status Conference to a date after hearing on the motion to dismiss is appropriate. Accordingly, this matter is hereby CONTINUED until July 1, 2026, at 11:00 a.m.

3. [24-13719](#)-B-7 **IN RE: B & B AGRI SERVICES INC.**
[25-1033](#) [CAE-1](#)

CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE RE: COMPLAINT
7-22-2025 [[1](#)]

VETTER V. PARLAN
D. GARDNER/ATTY. FOR PL.

After posting the original pre-hearing dispositions, the court has modified its intended ruling on this matter.

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing in this matter.

DISPOSITION: Continued to July 1, 2026, at 11:00 a.m.

ORDER: The court will prepare the order.

On May 13, 2026, Creditor Dinah Parlan ("Movant") submitted a Status Report in the bankruptcy case which underlies this adversary proceeding, advising that the owners of B & B Agri Service, Inc. ("Debtor" or "B & B") have unanimously agreed to voluntarily dismiss this case, thereby obviating the need for this motion. Doc. #106. The parties are attempting to secure approval from the Trustee for the dismissal. *Id.* Movant requested a continuance of at least 35 days in which to obtain approval for the voluntary dismissal of the underlying Chapter 7 bankruptcy case, and the court originally continued that matter to July 1, 2026. *Id.*; Item #1, above.

Subsequently, the Trustee registered opposition to a continuance that far out and requested that hearing on the motion to dismiss be set on shortened notice for May 27, 2026, at 11:00 a.m. Doc. #114. The court granted that motion and reset the Motion to Dismiss for May 27, 2026.

While no such motions or requests have been made in this adversary proceeding, the court concludes that continuance of the Status Conference to a date after hearing on the motion to dismiss is appropriate. Accordingly, this matter is hereby CONTINUED until July 1, 2026, at 11:00 a.m.

4. [25-12231](#)-B-11 **IN RE: THE ROMAN CATHOLIC BISHOP OF FRESNO**
[26-1011](#) [CAE-1](#)

STATUS CONFERENCE RE: COMPLAINT
3-20-2026 [[1](#)]

OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS V.
THE EDWIN CALDIE/ATTY. FOR PL.

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing in this matter.

DISPOSITION: Continued to December 16, 2026, at 11:00 a.m.

ORDER: The court will prepare the order.

On April 17, 2026, the court approved a joint stipulation of the parties in the above-styled adversary proceeding which extended Defendant's responsive pleading deadline to December 1, 2026, or 30 days after Plaintiff provides Defendant's counsel with written notice that a response will be required. Doc. #10. The stipulation also called for the Status Conference to be reset to a date after December 1, 2026. *Id.*

Accordingly, this Status Conference is CONTINUED to December 16, 2026, at 11:00 a.m. The parties shall submit joint or unilateral Status Reports no later than December 9, 2026.

5. [25-14239](#)-B-7 **IN RE: JORDYN LEASURE**
[26-1012](#) [CAE-1](#)

STATUS CONFERENCE RE: COMPLAINT
3-23-2026 [[1](#)]

BDHOV, LP ET AL V. LEASURE
IAN QUINN/ATTY. FOR PL.

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing in this matter.

DISPOSITION: Continued to June 17, 2026, at 11:00 a.m.

ORDER: The court will prepare the order.

On April 20, 2026, a Joint Stipulation was entered in this adversary proceeding extending the deadline for the Debtor-Defendant Jordyn Elizabeth Leasure to file a Response to the Complaint until May 25, 2026. Doc. #7. Consequently, this Status Conference will be CONTINUED to June 17, 2026, at 11:00 a.m.

6. [24-24053](#)-B-13 **IN RE: BOUGNAVETH/KHAMPHOUVY PHOMMARATH**
[26-2020](#) [CAE-1](#)

STATUS CONFERENCE RE: COMPLAINT
3-20-2026 [[1](#)]

PHOMMARATH V. MOHELA
ELLIOT GALE/ATTY. FOR PL.
SUMMONS REISSUED TO 6/3/26

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing in this matter.

DISPOSITION: Concluded and dropped from the calendar.

No order is required.

On February 24, 2026, the clerk's office issued a new Summons in this adversary proceeding, with a status conference set for June 3, 2026. Doc. #7. Accordingly, this status conference, which was set by the now-stale summons issued on March 23, 2026, will be CONCLUDED and DROPPED from the calendar.

7. [26-10163](#)-B-7 **IN RE: HECTOR PICART**
[26-1010](#) [CAE-1](#)

STATUS CONFERENCE RE: COMPLAINT
3-16-2026 [[1](#)]

TORRES ESTRADA V. PICART
DISMISSED 4/24/26

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing in this matter.

DISPOSITION: Concluded and dropped from the calendar.

No order is required.

On April 24, 2026, the court entered an order dismissing this adversary proceeding without prejudice. Doc. #12. Accordingly, this status conference will be CONCLUDED and DROPPED from the calendar.

8. [25-13792](#)-B-7 **IN RE: PETE/JENNIFER KENNEDY**
[26-1005](#) [CAE-1](#)

STATUS CONFERENCE RE: COMPLAINT
2-10-2026 [[1](#)]

DANA V. KENNEDY ET AL
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing in this matter.

DISPOSITION: Continued to June 17, 2026, at 11:00 a.m.

ORDER: The court will prepare the order.

On May 13, 2026, Attorney Geordie Duckler of Geordie Duckler, P.C. filed a *Notice of Representation* advising that Duckler would be representing plaintiff Niccola Dana in the above-styled adversary proceeding. Doc. #24. Duckler further requested that this Status Conference be continued due to Duckler's unavailability at the currently scheduled date and time. *Id.* The court finds this request to be well-taken. Accordingly, this Status Conference is hereby CONTINUED to June 17, 2026, at 11:00 a.m.

9. [25-14095](#)-B-7 **IN RE: MARCO ARAMBULA**
[26-1009](#)

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE REGARDING FAILURE TO FILE CORPORATE
OWNERSHIP STATEMENT
4-27-2026 [[9](#)]

NORTH MILL EQUIPMENT FINANCE, LLC V. ARAMBULA

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: The OSC will be vacated.

ORDER: The court will issue an order.

Defendant North Mill Equipment Finance, LLC filed a Corporate
Ownership Statement (Doc. #12) on May 11, 2026, as required by Fed.
R. Bankr. P. 7007.1 and the *Order to Show Cause* ("OSC"). Doc. #9.
Accordingly, the OSC will be VACATED.