

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT Eastern District of California

Chief Judge Fredrick E. Clement Sacramento Federal Courthouse 501 I Street, 7th Floor Courtroom 28, Department A Sacramento, California

DAY: TUESDAY DATE: MAY 13, 2025 CALENDAR: 9:00 A.M. CHAPTER 13 CASES

Unless otherwise ordered, all matters before Chief Judge Fredrick E. Clement shall be simultaneously: (1) IN PERSON at Sacramento Courtroom No. 28, (2) via ZOOMGOV VIDEO, (3) via ZOOMGOV TELEPHONE, and (4) via COURTCALL.

You may choose any of these options unless otherwise ordered or stated below.

All parties who wish to appear at a hearing remotely must sign up by 4:00 p.m. **one business** day prior to the hearing.

Information regarding how to sign up can be found on the **Court Appearances** page of our website at:

https://www.caeb.uscourts.gov/Calendar/CourtAppearances

Each party who has signed up will receive a Zoom link or phone number, meeting I.D., and password via e-mail.

If the deadline to sign up has passed, parties who wish to appear remotely must contact the Courtroom Deputy for the Department holding the hearing.

Please also note the following:

- Parties in interest may connect to the video or audio feed free of charge and should select which method they will use to appear when signing up.
- Members of the public and the press appearing by **ZoomGov** may only listen in to the hearing using the zoom telephone number. Video appearances are not permitted.
- Members of the public and the press may not listen in to the trials or evidentiary hearings, though they may appear in person in most instances.

To appear remotely for law and motion or status conference proceedings, you must comply with the following guidelines and procedures:

- Review the <u>Pre-Hearing Dispositions</u> prior to appearing at the hearing.
- Review the court's <u>Zoom Procedures and Guidelines</u> for these, and additional instructions.
- Parties appearing via CourtCall are encouraged to review the CourtCall Appearance Information.

If you are appearing by ZoomGov phone or video, please join at least 10 minutes prior to the start of the calendar and wait with your microphone muted until the matter is called.

Unauthorized Recording is Prohibited: Any recording of a court proceeding held by video or teleconference, including screen shots or other audio or visual copying of a hearing is prohibited. Violation may result in sanctions, including removal of court-issued media credentials, denial of entry to future hearings, or any other sanctions deemed necessary by the court. For more information on photographing, recording, or broadcasting Judicial Proceedings, please refer to Local Rule 173(a) of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California.

RULINGS

Each matter on this calendar will have one of three possible designations: No Ruling, Tentative Ruling, or Final Ruling.

"No Ruling" means the likely disposition of the matter will not be disclosed in advance of the hearing. The matter will be called; parties wishing to be heard should rise and be heard.

"Tentative Ruling" means the likely disposition, and the reasons therefor, are set forth herein. The matter will be called. Aggrieved parties or parties for whom written opposition was not required should rise and be heard. Parties favored by the tentative ruling need not appear. However, non-appearing parties are advised that the court may adopt a ruling other than that set forth herein without further hearing or notice.

"Final Ruling" means that the matter will be resolved in the manner, and for the reasons, indicated below. The matter will not be called; parties and/or counsel need not appear and will not be heard on the matter.

CHANGES TO PREVIOUSLY PUBLISHED RULINGS

On occasion, the court will change its intended ruling on some of the matters to be called and will republish its rulings. The parties and counsel are advised to recheck the posted rulings after 3:00 p.m. on the next business day prior to the hearing. Any such changed ruling will be preceded by the following bold face text: "[Since posting its original rulings, the court has changed its intended ruling on this matter]".

ERRORS IN RULINGS

Clerical errors of an insignificant nature, e.g., nomenclature ("2017 Honda Accord," rather than "2016 Honda Accord"), amounts, ("\$880," not "\$808"), may be corrected in (1) tentative rulings by appearance at the hearing; or (2) final rulings by appropriate ex parte application. Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(a) *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9024. All other errors, including those occasioned by mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect, must be corrected by noticed motion. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 60(b), *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9023. 1. <u>23-23501</u>-A-13 IN RE: MARSHALL FINNEY BLG-4

MOTION TO MODIFY PLAN 4-3-2025 [76]

CHAD JOHNSON/ATTY. FOR DBT. TRUSTEE NON-OPPOSITION

No Ruling

2. <u>23-23501</u>-A-13 **IN RE: MARSHALL FINNEY** <u>DPC-2</u>

CONTINUED MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 3-13-2025 [69]

CHAD JOHNSON/ATTY. FOR DBT.

No Ruling

3. <u>25-21002</u>-A-13 IN RE: RUBEN/CAROLINE MORENO DPC-1

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P. CUSICK 4-16-2025 [14]

MIKALAH LIVIAKIS/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Objection: Trustee's Objection to Confirmation of Plan Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4), 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required **Disposition:** Continued to August 19, 2025, at 9:00 a.m. Order: Civil minute order

The Chapter 13 trustee objects to confirmation of the debtor(s) plan.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court will continue the hearing on this objection to allow the parties to augment the evidentiary record.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on this objection will be continued to August 19, 2025, at 9:00 a.m. The court may rule in this matter without further hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no later than June 24, 2025, the debtor(s) shall do one of the following:

(A) File a Statement of No Opposition. If the debtor(s) agree that the Chapter 13 trustee's objection is well taken, the debtor(s) shall concede the merits and file a statement of non-opposition to the objection. L.R. 230(c) ("A responding party who has no opposition to the granting of the motion shall serve and file a statement to that effect...); LBR 1001-1(c)-(d) (omitting the applicability of L.R. 230 unless the court orders otherwise);

(B) <u>Respond in Writing to the Objection</u>. If the debtor(s) disagree with the trustee's objection, the debtor(s) shall file and serve a written response to the objection; the response shall specifically address each issue raised in the trustee's objection to confirmation, state whether the issue is disputed or undisputed, and include admissible evidence in support of the debtor's position. If the debtor(s) file a response under paragraph 3(B) of this order, then the trustee shall file and serve a reply, if any, no later than July 22, 2025. The evidentiary record will close after July 22, 2025; or

(C) <u>File a Modified Plan</u>. If the debtor(s) wish to resolve the Chapter 13 trustee's objection by filing a modified plan, then the debtor(s) shall: (1) file and serve a modified Chapter 13 plan; and (2) file and serve a motion to confirm the modified plan.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the parties resolve the trustee's objection, and there are no additional objections to confirmation pending, then the debtor(s) may submit an order confirming the plan which has been signed by the Chapter 13 trustee. The trustee's signature on the order confirming plan represents to the court that no further objections to confirmation of the proposed plan are pending.

4. 25-20703-A-13 IN RE: SASHA SPANGLER

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE TO PAY FEES 4-24-2025 [28]

Tentative Ruling

If the filing fee has not been paid in full by the time of the hearing, the case may be dismissed without further notice or hearing.

5. <u>25-20703</u>-A-13 **IN RE: SASHA SPANGLER** <u>DPC-1</u>

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 4-14-2025 [24]

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required Disposition: Granted Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: April 29, 2025
Opposition Filed: Unopposed
Cause: 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1) - Plan Delinquency
Best Interests of Creditors/Estate: Dismiss

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

CASE DISMISSAL

Delinquency

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this chapter 13 case for delinquency in payments under the chapter 13 plan. For the reasons stated in the motion, cause exists under § 1307(c)(1) to dismiss the case. Payments under the plan are delinquent in the amount of \$444.98 with one payment(s) of \$444.98 due prior to the hearing on this motion.

Failure to Confirm Plan

The trustee moves to dismiss this chapter 13 case. For the reasons stated in the motion, cause exists under § 1307(c)(1) to dismiss the case. The debtor has failed to file a complete plan. The debtor filed an incomplete Chapter 13 case on February 18, 2025. The debtor then filed a plan on March 19, 2025, but there has been no motion to confirm the plan. Further, the trustee has stated that the plan, ECF No. 22, is incomplete and inaccurate. This constitutes unreasonable delay by the debtor that is prejudicial to creditors. The court will dismiss the case.

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)

Except as provided in subsection (f) of this section, on request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, or may dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause, including-

• • •

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

The court finds that dismissal is in the best interests of the creditors and the estate. This case has not been previously converted from a chapter 7.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss this chapter 13 case has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent debtor for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted because of the delinquency under the chapter 13 plan in this case. The court hereby dismisses this case.

6. <u>24-20005</u>-A-13 **IN RE: ROBERT CURTISS** PSB-2

MOTION TO MODIFY PLAN 3-31-2025 [34]

PAULDEEP BAINS/ATTY. FOR DBT. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

No Ruling

7. <u>25-22009</u>-A-13 **IN RE: SUSIE CHUONG** <u>PGM-1</u>

MOTION TO EXTEND AUTOMATIC STAY 4-29-2025 [11]

PETER MACALUSO/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Extend the Automatic Stay Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required Disposition: Granted Order: Civil minute order

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

EXTENSION OF THE STAY

Upon request of a party in interest, the court may extend the automatic stay where the debtor has had one previous bankruptcy case that was pending within the 1-year period prior to the filing of the current bankruptcy case but was dismissed. See 11 U.S.C. § 362(c)(3)(B). Procedurally, the automatic stay may be extended only "after notice and a hearing completed before the expiration of the 30-day period" after the filing of the petition in the later case. Id. (emphasis added). To extend the stay, the court must find that the filing of the later case is in good faith as to the creditors to be stayed, and the extension of the stay may be made subject to conditions or limitations the court may impose. Id.

The debtor has explained the reasoning for dismissal in the last case was due to not having the time or means to find and hire an attorney. Declaration of Susie Chuong, ECF No. 13, 1:19-24. The debtor states that their financial situation has improved, and they have hired counsel to help reorganize and keep their home. *Id.* at 2:7-13. The filed schedules show that debtor has enough income to complete a plan and pay creditors. Schedules I/J, ECF No. 8.

For the reasons stated in the motion and supporting papers, the court finds that the filing of the current case is in good faith as to the creditors to be stayed. The motion will be granted.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

A motion to extend the automatic stay has been presented to the court in this case. Having considered the motion, oppositions, responses and replies, if any, and having heard oral argument presented at the hearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted, and the automatic stay of § 362(a) is extended in this case. The automatic stay shall remain in effect to the extent provided by the Bankruptcy Code.

8. <u>23-20113</u>-A-13 **IN RE: MARJORY HASKINS** DPC-2

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 4-16-2025 [38]

MICHAEL HAYS/ATTY. FOR DBT. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required Disposition: Granted Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: April 29, 2025
Opposition Filed: Unopposed
Cause: 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(6) - Plan Delinquency
Best Interests of Creditors/Estate: Dismiss

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

CASE DISMISSAL

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this chapter 13 case for delinquency in payments under the confirmed chapter 13 plan. For the reasons stated in the motion, cause exists under § 1307(c)(6) to dismiss the case. Payments under the confirmed plan are delinquent in the amount of \$371.00 with one payment(s) of \$125.00 due prior to the hearing on this motion.

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)

Except as provided in subsection (f) of this section, on request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, or may dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause, including-

• • •

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

The court finds that dismissal is in the best interests of the creditors and the estate. This case has not been previously converted from a chapter 7.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss this chapter 13 case has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent debtor for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted because of the delinquency under the confirmed chapter 13 plan in this case. The court hereby dismisses this case.

9. <u>23-24215</u>-A-13 IN RE: SANDRA LYMOND BRL-2

CONTINUED MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY , MOTION/APPLICATION FOR ADEQUATE PROTECTION 10-8-2024 $[\underline{106}]$

MARC VOISENAT/ATTY. FOR DBT. BENJAMIN LEVINSON/ATTY. FOR MV. CHRISTINA S. DICK, STEVEN P. DICKS VS,

No Ruling

10. <u>23-24215</u>-A-13 **IN RE: SANDRA LYMOND** RAS-1

CONTINUED MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY 10-3-2024 [100]

MARC VOISENAT/ATTY. FOR DBT. KELLI BROWN/ATTY. FOR MV. TOWD POINT MORTGAGE TRUST 2019-3, U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, VS.

No Ruling

11. 25-21515-A-13 IN RE: MELITON/RAQUEL GERONIMO

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR FAILURE TO UPDATE CONTACT INFORMATION IN PACER 4-17-2025 [16]

CARL GUSTAFSON/ATTY. FOR DBT.

No Ruling

12. <u>24-22416</u>-A-13 **IN RE: REYNALDO TABOT** EJV-5

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN 4-3-2025 [74]

ERIC GRAVEL/ATTY. FOR DBT. TRUSTEE NON-OPPOSITION

Final Ruling

Motion: Confirm Chapter 13 Plan Notice: LBR 3015-1(d)(1), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required Disposition: Granted Order: Prepared by the movant, approved by the trustee

Subject: Amended Chapter 13 Plan, filed April 3, 2025

DEFAULT OF RESPONDENT

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

The debtor seeks confirmation of the Amended Chapter 13 Plan, ECF No. 77. The plan is supported by Schedules I and J filed, February 5, 2025, ECF No. 51. The Chapter 13 trustee has filed a non-opposition to the motion, ECF No. 79.

CHAPTER 13 PLAN CONFIRMATION

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court finds that the debtor has sustained that burden, and the court will approve confirmation of the plan.

13. <u>22-20917</u>-A-13 IN RE: DENNIS HUNT DPC-1

> MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 4-16-2025 [19]

MOHAMMAD MOKARRAM/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required Disposition: Granted Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: April 29, 2025
Opposition Filed: Unopposed
Cause: 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(6) - Plan Delinquency
Best Interests of Creditors/Estate: Dismiss

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

CASE DISMISSAL

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this chapter 13 case for delinquency in payments under the confirmed chapter 13 plan. For the reasons stated in the motion, cause exists under § 1307(c)(6) to dismiss the case. Payments under the confirmed plan are delinquent in the amount of \$1,780.00 with one payment(s) of \$890.00 due prior to the hearing on this motion.

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)

Except as provided in subsection (f) of this section, on request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, or may dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause, including-

• • •

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

The court finds that dismissal is in the best interests of the creditors and the estate. This case has not been previously converted from a chapter 7.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss this chapter 13 case has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent debtor for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted because of the delinquency under the confirmed chapter 13 plan in this case. The court hereby dismisses this case.

14. <u>25-20319</u>-A-13 **IN RE: AARON BATE** DPC-2

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 4-15-2025 [24]

PETER MACALUSO/ATTY. FOR DBT. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed by debtors Disposition: Continued to June 10, 2025, at 9:00 a.m. Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: April 29, 2025 Opposition Filed: April 29, 2025 - timely Motion to Modify Plan Filed: April 29, 2025 - timely

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this case, asserting that cause exists under § 1307(c)(1) and (6) as the debtor has failed to make all payments due under the plan. The trustee contends that the debtor is delinquent in the amount of \$1,780.00, with one payment(s) of \$890.00 due prior to the hearing date on this motion.

A modified plan has been timely filed and set for hearing in this case. The scheduled hearing on the modification is June 10, 2025, at 9:00 a.m. The court will continue the hearing on this motion to dismiss to coincide with the hearing on the plan modification. If the modification is disapproved, and the motion to dismiss has not been withdrawn or otherwise resolved, the court may dismiss the case at the continued hearing.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

IT IS ORDERED that the chapter 13 trustee's motion to dismiss is continued to June 10, 2025, at 9:00 a.m.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the trustee elects to oppose the debtor's motion to modify, then not later than 14 days prior to the continued hearing date the trustee shall file a status report updating this motion to dismiss. The status report shall provide a concise list explaining the remaining issues in the motion to dismiss and indicate the amount of any plan delinquency. The status report shall be succinct and shall not consist of a cut and paste of the opposition filed by the trustee in response to a motion to amend or modify the debtor's plan.

15. <u>24-24523</u>-A-13 IN RE: AMBER BARBOSA-CUSPARD DPC-1

CONTINUED MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 3-14-2025 [29]

PETER MACALUSO/ATTY. FOR DBT. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required Disposition: Granted Order: Civil minute order

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this case, asserting that cause exists under § 1307(c)(1) and (6) as the debtors have failed to make all payments due under the plan. The trustee contends that the debtor is delinquent in the amount of \$13,470.00.

The debtors' opposition states that the debtor has will be able to be current under the modified plan they filed. In effect, the debtor's statements regarding amounts remaining to be paid admits the existence of a delinquency.

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1) (unreasonable delay) provides the rule of decision. The trustee filed this motion to dismiss because the debtor had failed to prosecute this case. Motion to Dismiss, ECF No. 29. The court continued the hearing to allow the debtor to attempt to confirm a Chapter 13 plan pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 1329. See Civil

Minute Order, ECF No. 43. The debtor filed a Chapter 13 plan and a motion to confirm the plan, Motion to Confirm Plan, ECF No. 34. The court has indicated its intention to deny confirmation of the plan based on delinquency. For these reasons, the motion to dismiss the case will be granted.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion, the opposition, responses, and oral argument at the hearing, if any, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted. The debtor has failed to make all payments due under the proposed chapter 13 plan in this case. Payments are delinquent in the amount of \$13,470.00. This delinquency constitutes cause to dismiss this case. 11 U.S.C. \$1307(c)(1), (6). The court hereby dismisses this case.

16. <u>24-24523</u>-A-13 IN RE: AMBER BARBOSA-CUSPARD PGM-1

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN 4-1-2025 [34]

PETER MACALUSO/ATTY. FOR DBT. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Confirm Chapter 13 Plan Notice: LBR 3015-1(d)(1), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed by the trustee Disposition: Denied Order: Civil minute order

The motion requests confirmation of the Chapter 13 plan in this case. See 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1323, 1325; Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(b); LBR 3015-1(d)(1)-(2). The Chapter 13 trustee opposes the motion, objecting to confirmation.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

PLAN FEASIBILITY

The proposed plan must be feasible. 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(6). Feasibility is a "factual determination" as to the plan's "reasonable likelihood of success." First Nat'l Bank of Boston v. Fantasia (In re Fantasia), 211 B.R. 420, 423 (B.A.P. 1st Cir. 1997). The bankruptcy court needs to "be satisfied that the debtor has the present as well as the future financial capacity to comply with the terms of the plan." Id. As one court summarized feasibility, "Thus, a plan is not feasible and is not confirmable if a debtor's income will not support the plan's proposed payments. In re Barnes, 275 B.R. 889, 894 (Bankr. E.D. Cal.2002) ("[T]he debtors showed no disposable income with which to fund a plan.... [T]he debtors have been unable to actually pay the amount projected ... to the trustee."); In re Bernardes, 267 B.R. 690, 695 (Bankr. D.N.J. 2001) ("While the feasibility requirement is not rigorous ... the plan proponent must, at minimum, demonstrate that the Debtor's income exceeds expenses by an amount sufficient to make the payments proposed by the plan."); In re Wilkinson, 99 B.R. 366, 369 (Bankr. N.D. Ohio 1989) ("[D]ebtors will not be able to comply with the plan and make all payments thereunder.")." In re Buccolo, 397 B.R. 527, 530 (Bankr. D.N.J. 2008), aff'd, 2009 WL 2132435 (D.N.J. July 13, 2009).

Plan Delinquency

The trustee indicates that the plan payments are delinquent in the amount of \$13,470.00. The plan cannot be confirmed if the plan payments are not current.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The debtor's motion to confirm a chapter 13 plan has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion together with papers filed in support and opposition to it, and having heard the arguments of counsel, if any, and good cause appearing, presented at the hearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is denied. The court denies confirmation of the chapter 13 plan.

17. <u>21-20025</u>-A-13 **IN RE: HAROLD DEAN** DPC-2

> MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 4-16-2025 [93]

LUCAS GARCIA/ATTY. FOR DBT. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

No Ruling

18. <u>25-20926</u>-A-13 **IN RE: WINZELL MAESTAS** DPC-1

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P. CUSICK 4-18-2025 [13]

AUGUST BULLOCK/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Objection: Trustee's Objection to Confirmation of Plan Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4), 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required **Disposition:** Continued to August 19, 2025, at 9:00 a.m. Order: Civil minute order

The Chapter 13 trustee objects to confirmation of the debtor(s) plan.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court will continue the hearing on this objection to allow the parties to augment the evidentiary record.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on this objection will be continued to August 19, 2025, at 9:00 a.m. The court may rule in this matter without further hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no later than June 24, 2025, the debtor(s) shall do one of the following:

(A) <u>File a Statement of No Opposition</u>. If the debtor(s) agree that the Chapter 13 trustee's objection is well taken, the debtor(s) shall concede the merits and file a statement of non-opposition to the objection. L.R. 230(c) ("A responding party who has no opposition to the granting of the motion shall serve and file a statement to that effect...); LBR 1001-1(c)-(d) (omitting the applicability of L.R. 230 unless the court orders otherwise);

(B) <u>Respond in Writing to the Objection</u>. If the debtor(s) disagree with the trustee's objection, the debtor(s) shall file and serve a written response to the objection; the response shall specifically address each issue raised in the trustee's objection to confirmation, state whether the issue is disputed or undisputed, and include admissible evidence in support of the debtor's position. If the debtor(s) file a response under paragraph 3(B) of this order, then the trustee shall file and serve a reply, if any, no later than July 22, 2025. The evidentiary record will close after July 22, 2025; or

(C) <u>File a Modified Plan</u>. If the debtor(s) wish to resolve the Chapter 13 trustee's objection by filing a modified plan, then the debtor(s) shall: (1) file and serve a modified Chapter 13 plan; and (2) file and serve a motion to confirm the modified plan.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the parties resolve the trustee's objection, and there are no additional objections to confirmation pending, then the debtor(s) may submit an order confirming the plan which has been signed by the Chapter 13 trustee. The trustee's signature on the order confirming plan represents to the court that no further objections to confirmation of the proposed plan are pending.

19. <u>24-20027</u>-A-13 **IN RE: RASUL SHEVCHENKO** DPC-4

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 4-16-2025 [74]

MARK SHMORGON/ATTY. FOR DBT. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed by debtors Disposition: Continued to May 28, 2025, at 9:00 a.m. Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: April 29, 2025 Opposition Filed: April 18, 2025 - timely Motion to Modify Plan Filed: April 18, 2025 - timely

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this case, asserting that cause exists under § 1307(c)(1) and (6) as the debtor has failed to make all payments due under the plan. The trustee contends that the debtor is delinquent in the amount of \$1,936.00, with one payment(s) of \$968.00 due prior to the hearing date on this motion.

A modified plan has been timely filed and set for hearing in this case. The scheduled hearing on the modification is May 28, 2025, 2025, at 9:00 a.m. The court will continue the hearing on this motion to dismiss to coincide with the hearing on the plan modification. If the modification is disapproved, and the motion to dismiss has not been withdrawn or otherwise resolved, the court may dismiss the case at the continued hearing.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

IT IS ORDERED that the chapter 13 trustee's motion to dismiss is continued to May 28, 2025, at 9:00 a.m.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the trustee elects to oppose the debtor's motion to modify, then not later than 14 days prior to the continued hearing date the trustee shall file a status report updating this motion to dismiss. The status report shall provide a concise list explaining the remaining issues in the motion to dismiss and indicate the amount of any plan delinquency. The status report shall be succinct and shall not consist of a cut and paste of the opposition filed by the trustee in response to a motion to amend or modify the debtor's plan. 20. <u>24-20232</u>-A-13 **IN RE: MARVA URBANE** <u>DPC-1</u>

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 4-7-2025 [26]

MOHAMMAD MOKARRAM/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

This case was converted to Chapter 7 on May 8, 2025. Accordingly, the motion will be removed from the calendar as moot. No appearances are required.

21. 25-20533-A-13 IN RE: ANTONIO SECADA

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE TO PAY FEES 4-14-2025 [43]

Tentative Ruling

If the filing fee has not been paid in full by the time of the hearing, the case may be dismissed without further notice or hearing.

22. <u>25-20533</u>-A-13 IN RE: ANTONIO SECADA DPC-2

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 4-3-2025 [39]

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required Disposition: Granted Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: April 29, 2025
Opposition Filed: Unopposed
Cause: 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1) - Plan Delinquency
Best Interests of Creditors/Estate: Dismiss

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court

considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

CASE DISMISSAL

Delinquency

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this chapter 13 case for delinquency in payments under the chapter 13 plan. For the reasons stated in the motion, cause exists under § 1307(c)(1) to dismiss the case. Payments under the plan are delinquent in the amount of \$500.00 with one payment(s) of \$500.00 due prior to the hearing on this motion.

Failure to Appear

The debtor has failed to appear at the 341 meeting of creditors. See 11 U.S.C. §§ 341, 343. This has caused unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors.

Failure to Provide Necessary Documents

The debtor has failed to provide the trustee with required or requested documents. See 11 U.S.C. § 521(a)(3)-(4). The debtor has not provided the trustee with employer payment advices received prior to the filing of the petition.

Failure to Provide Tax Documents

Section 1308 of the Bankruptcy Code provides: "Not later than the day before the date on which the meeting of the creditors is first scheduled to be held under section 341(a), if the debtor was required to file a tax return under applicable nonbankruptcy law, the debtor shall file with appropriate tax authorities all tax returns for all taxable periods ending during the 4-year period ending on the date of the filing of the petition." 11 U.S.C. § 1308(a). The debtor has failed to provide the trustee with tax transcripts or a copy of their tax returns with attachments for the most recent pre-petition tax year.

For the aforementioned reasons, the case should be dismissed.

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)

Except as provided in subsection (f) of this section, on request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, or may dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause, including-

• • •

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

The court finds that dismissal is in the best interests of the creditors and the estate. This case has not been previously converted from a chapter 7.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss this chapter 13 case has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent debtor for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted because of the delinquency and other previously mentioned issues under the chapter 13 plan in this case. The court hereby dismisses this case.

23. <u>24-24434</u>-A-13 IN RE: ROBERT MCBRIDE AND VERTIS BROWN-MCBRIDE <u>GC-2</u>

CONTINUED MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN 1-6-2025 [42]

JULIUS CHERRY/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Confirm Chapter 13 Plan Notice: Continued from March 4, 2025 Disposition: Granted Order: Prepared by the movant, approved by the trustee

Subject: First Amended Chapter 13 Plan, filed January 5, 2025

DEFAULT OF RESPONDENT

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

The debtor seeks confirmation of the First Chapter 13 Plan, ECF No. 36. The plan is supported by Schedules I and J filed February 26, 2025, ECF No. 72.

The Chapter 13 trustee originally filed opposition to the motion, ECF No. 51, stating the following issues: 1) the debtor was delinquent \$10,525.04; 2) the plan relies on a motion to value collateral; and 3) the schedules did not show that the plan was feasible.

Since the opposition was filed, the debtor has become current, Am. Response, ECF No. 58. The motion to value collateral has been granted, GC-3. Last, the schedules were supplemented on February 26, 2025, ECF No. 72. Since the trustee's issues have been resolved, the motion to confirm will be granted.

CHAPTER 13 PLAN CONFIRMATION

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court finds that the debtor has sustained that burden, and the court will approve confirmation of the plan.

24. <u>24-24434</u>-A-13 IN RE: ROBERT MCBRIDE AND VERTIS BROWN-MCBRIDE <u>GC-3</u>

MOTION TO VALUE COLLATERAL OF REAL TIME RESOLUTIONS, INC. 3-3-2025 [73]

JULIUS CHERRY/ATTY. FOR DBT. TRUSTEE NON-OPPOSITION

Final Ruling

Motion: Value Collateral [Real Property; Principal Residence] Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required Disposition: Granted Order: Civil minute order

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the respondent is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

VALUATION OF COLLATERAL

Chapter 13 debtors may strip off a wholly unsecured junior lien encumbering the debtor's principal residence. 11 U.S.C. §§ 506(a), 1322(b)(2); In re Lam, 211 B.R. 36, 40-42 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1997); In re Zimmer, 313 F.3d 1220, 1222-25 (9th Cir. 2002) (holding that the trial court erred in deciding that a wholly unsecured lien was within the scope of the antimodification clause of § 1322(b)(2) of the Bankruptcy Code). A motion to value the debtor's principal residence should be granted upon a threefold showing by the moving party. First, the moving party must proceed by noticed motion. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3012. Second, the motion must be served on the holder of the secured claim. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3012, 9014(a); LBR 3015-1(j). Third, the moving party must prove by admissible evidence that the debt secured by liens senior to the respondent's claim exceeds the value of the principal residence. 11 U.S.C. § 506(a); Lam, 211 B.R. at 40-42; Zimmer, 313 F.3d at 1222-25. "In the absence of contrary evidence, an owner's opinion of property value may be conclusive." Enewally v. Wash. Mut. Bank (In re Enewally), 368 F.3d 1165, 1173 (9th Cir. 2004).

The debtor requests that the court value real property collateral. The collateral is the debtor's principal residence located at 3650 Coyote Road, West Sacramento, California. While the debtor has not stated in their declaration the amount owed on the first deed of trust, Claim No. 8 states that \$845,000.00 is owed and no objection has been filed in response to that claim. See Claim No. 8.

The court values the collateral at \$800,000.00. The debt secured by liens senior to the respondent's lien exceeds the value of the collateral. Because the amount owed to senior lienholders exceeds the collateral's value, the respondent's claim is wholly unsecured and no portion will be allowed as a secured claim. *See* 11 U.S.C. § 506(a).

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The debtor's motion to value real property collateral has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted. The real property collateral located at 3650 Coyote Road, West Sacramento, California has a value of \$800,000.00. The collateral is encumbered by senior liens securing debt that exceeds the collateral's value. The respondent has a secured claim in the amount of \$0.00 and a general unsecured claim for the balance of the claim.

25. <u>24-21038</u>-A-13 IN RE: PERFECTO GUADIANA DPC-2

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 4-15-2025 [85]

MICHAEL HAYS/ATTY. FOR DBT. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed by the debtor Disposition: Granted Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: April 29, 2025
Opposition Filed: April 29, 2025 - timely
Cause: 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1) - unreasonable delay
Best Interests of Creditors/Estate: Dismiss

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this case, asserting that cause exists under § 1307(c)(1) as the debtor has failed confirm a plan or set a plan for confirmation. This Chapter 13 case was filed on March 15, 2024. It has been over a year and no plan has been confirmed.

Failure to Confirm a Plan

The trustee moves to dismiss this chapter 13 case. For the reasons stated in the motion, cause exists under § 1307(c)(1) to dismiss the case. The debtor has failed to confirm a plan within a reasonable time. The case has been pending for approximately 14 months, yet a plan has not been confirmed. The debtor filed a plan, but it was denied by the court on February 19, 2025, ECF No. 82. Since then, the debtor has failed to file an amended plan and set the plan for confirmation. This constitutes unreasonable delay by the debtor that is prejudicial to creditors. The court will dismiss the case.

The debtor has filed a timely opposition, ECF No. 89. The declaration from debtor's attorney's office states that an amended plan will be filed by the date of the hearing. *See* Declaration, ECF No. 91.

The opposition does not resolve the grounds for dismissal. An amended plan still has not be set for confirmation or filed. A statement of intent to file an amended plan does not resolve the issue that there is still not a plan pending confirmation. The court is unable to deny the motion given the failure to file an amended plan and set it for confirmation.

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)

Except as provided in subsection (f) of this section, on request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, or may dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause, including-

• • •

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

The court finds that dismissal is in the best interests of the creditors and the estate. This case has not been previously converted from a chapter 7. The court will dismiss the case.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion, the opposition, responses, and oral argument at the hearing, if any, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted. The debtor has failed to set a plan for confirmation which constitutes unreasonable delay under 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1). The court hereby dismisses this case.

26. <u>25-20844</u>-A-13 IN RE: AYODELIE/MICHELLE BAYLIS DPC-1

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P. CUSICK 4-23-2025 [15]

MOHAMMAD MOKARRAM/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Objection: Trustee's Objection to Confirmation of Plan Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4), 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required **Disposition:** Continued to August 19, 2025, at 9:00 a.m. Order: Civil minute order

The Chapter 13 trustee objects to confirmation of the debtor(s) plan.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. In re Andrews, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); In re Barnes, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court will continue the hearing on this objection to allow the parties to augment the evidentiary record.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on this objection will be continued to August 19, 2025, at 9:00 a.m. The court may rule in this matter without further hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no later than June 24, 2025, the debtor(s) shall do one of the following:

(A) File a Statement of No Opposition. If the debtor(s) agree that the Chapter 13 trustee's objection is well taken, the debtor(s) shall concede the merits and file a statement of non-opposition to the objection. L.R. 230(c) ("A responding party who has no opposition to the granting of the motion shall serve and file a statement to that effect...); LBR 1001-1(c)-(d) (omitting the applicability of L.R. 230 unless the court orders otherwise);

(B) <u>Respond in Writing to the Objection</u>. If the debtor(s) disagree with the trustee's objection, the debtor(s) shall file and serve a written response to the objection; the response shall specifically address each issue raised in the trustee's objection to confirmation, state whether the issue is disputed or undisputed, and include admissible evidence in support of the debtor's position. If the debtor(s) file a response under paragraph 3(B) of this order, then the trustee shall file and serve a reply, if any, no later than July 22, 2025. The evidentiary record will close after July 22, 2025; or

(C) <u>File a Modified Plan</u>. If the debtor(s) wish to resolve the Chapter 13 trustee's objection by filing a modified plan, then the debtor(s) shall: (1) file and serve a modified Chapter 13 plan; and (2) file and serve a motion to confirm the modified plan.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the parties resolve the trustee's objection, and there are no additional objections to confirmation pending, then the debtor(s) may submit an order confirming the plan which has been signed by the Chapter 13 trustee. The trustee's signature on the order confirming plan represents to the court that no further objections to confirmation of the proposed plan are pending.

27. <u>25-20645</u>-A-13 IN RE: STEVEN/MELISSA KEEFE PSB-2

MOTION TO EMPLOY PLACER 1 REALTY AS BROKER(S) 4-29-2025 [28]

PAULDEEP BAINS/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Tentative Ruling

Application: Approval of Employment
Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required
Disposition: Approved
Order: Prepared by applicant pursuant to the instructions below

Unopposed applications are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc.* v. *Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

The court may approve employment of professional persons who "do not hold or represent an interest adverse to the estate, and that are disinterested persons." 11 U.S.C. § 327(a); see also id. § 101(14) (defining "disinterested person"). The motion was filed with a declaration from the broker, Alexander Genigeorgis, stating that the broker is a licensed real estate salesperson and employer by Placer 1 Realty. Placer 1 Realty is willing to market and sell the property for a commission of 3.5%. Declaration, ECF No. 31, 6:7-9. The agent states that there is no adverse interest held by Placer 1 Realty or its employees against the debtors. Declaration, ECF No. 31, 6:10-19. The agent states that he is a disinterested person as stated by 11 U.S.C. § 101(14). *Id*. From the information provided in the motion and supporting papers, the court will approve the employment.

The order shall contain the following provision: "Nothing contained herein shall be construed to approve any provision of any agreement between [professional's name] and the estate for indemnification, arbitration, choice of venue, jurisdiction, jury waiver, limitation of damages, or similar provision." The order shall also state its effective date, which date shall be 30 days before the date the employment application was filed except that the effective date shall not precede the petition date. 28. 24-23147-A-13 IN RE: ANTHONY WILLIAMS DPC-1

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 4-16-2025 [20]

STEVEN ALPERT/ATTY. FOR DBT. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed by the debtor Disposition: Withdrawn Order: Civil minute order

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this case, asserting that cause exists under § 1307(c)(6) as the debtor has failed to make all payments due under the confirmed plan.

TRUSTEE REPLY - Fed. R. Civ. P. 41

The trustee filed a timely request to dismiss his motion under Fed. R. Civ. P. 41; Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9014, 7041.

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41 governs the circumstances where a party may withdraw a motion or objection. Fed. R. Civ. P. 41, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7041, 9014(c) (applying rule dismissal of adversary proceedings to contested matters). A motion or objection may be withdrawn without a court order only if it has not been opposed or by stipulation "signed by all parties who have appeared." Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A). In all other instances, a motion or objection may be withdrawn "only by court order, on terms that the court considers proper." Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(2).

Here, the Chapter 13 trustee has signaled his abandonment of his motion to dismiss. Neither the debtor(s), nor any creditor, has expressed opposition to the withdrawal of the trustee's motion. No unfair prejudice will result from withdrawal of the motion and the court will accede to the trustee's request.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

IT IS ORDERED that the motion to dismiss is withdrawn.

29. 25-20647-A-13 IN RE: SUSAN LAUGHERY

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE TO PAY FEES 4-21-2025 [44]

Final Ruling

This case was dismissed on May 1, 2025. Accordingly, the motion will be removed from the calendar as moot. No appearances are required.

30. <u>25-21153</u>-A-13 **IN RE: ROBYN JUUL** DPC-1

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P CUSICK 4-25-2025 [13]

CARL GUSTAFSON/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Objection: Trustee's Objection to Confirmation of Plan Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4), 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required **Disposition:** Continued to August 19, 2025, at 9:00 a.m. Order: Civil minute order

The Chapter 13 trustee objects to confirmation of the debtor(s) plan.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court will continue the hearing on this objection to allow the parties to augment the evidentiary record.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on this objection will be continued to August 19, 2025, at 9:00 a.m. The court may rule in this matter without further hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no later than June 24, 2025, the debtor(s) shall do one of the following:

(A) File a Statement of No Opposition. If the debtor(s) agree that the Chapter 13 trustee's objection is well taken, the debtor(s) shall concede the merits and file a statement of non-opposition to the objection. L.R. 230(c) ("A responding party who has no opposition to the granting of the motion shall serve and file a statement to that effect...); LBR 1001-1(c)-(d) (omitting the applicability of L.R. 230 unless the court orders otherwise);

(B) <u>Respond in Writing to the Objection</u>. If the debtor(s) disagree with the trustee's objection, the debtor(s) shall file and serve a written response to the objection; the response shall specifically address each issue raised in the trustee's objection to confirmation, state whether the issue is disputed or undisputed, and include admissible evidence in support of the debtor's position. If the debtor(s) file a response under paragraph 3(B) of this order, then the trustee shall file and serve a reply, if any, no later than July 22, 2025. The evidentiary record will close after July 22, 2025; or

(C) <u>File a Modified Plan</u>. If the debtor(s) wish to resolve the Chapter 13 trustee's objection by filing a modified plan, then the debtor(s) shall: (1) file and serve a modified Chapter 13 plan; and (2) file and serve a motion to confirm the modified plan.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the parties resolve the trustee's objection, and there are no additional objections to confirmation pending, then the debtor(s) may submit an order confirming the plan which has been signed by the Chapter 13 trustee. The trustee's signature on the order confirming plan represents to the court that no further objections to confirmation of the proposed plan are pending.

31. <u>24-20754</u>-A-13 **IN RE: SUSAN OLIVER** <u>MOH-5</u>

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN 3-14-2025 [127]

MICHAEL HAYS/ATTY. FOR DBT. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Confirm Chapter 13 Plan Notice: LBR 3015-1(d)(1), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed by the trustee Disposition: Denied Order: Civil minute order

The motion requests confirmation of the Chapter 13 plan in this case. See 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1323, 1325; Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(b); LBR 3015-1(d)(1)-(2). The Chapter 13 trustee opposes the motion, objecting to confirmation.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

PLAN IDENTIFICATION

The trustee brings to the court's attention that the last motion to confirm was denied because the court was unable to ascertain which plan was served and asked in the future that both the correct name of the plan and the date the plan was filed be listed in any future motion. While the debtor failed to list the date that the plan was filed, they did properly label the plan in the motion and certificate of service as the "Second Amended Chapter 13 Plan". Motion, ECF No. 127; Certificate of Service, ECF No. 131. The court will not deny the motion on this basis.

INTEREST RATE

The debtor proposes an interest rate of 10% to secure creditor Global Finance Group, Inc., which the creditor has objected to in a previous motion. See Opposition, ECF No. 117.

The plan's interest rate on a secured claim should be evaluated under the principles established in *Till v. SCS Credit Corp.*, 541 U.S. 465 (2004). The court in *Till* held that the "prime-plus or formula rate best comports with the purposes of the Bankruptcy Code." *Till*, 541 U.S. at 480.

The *Till* Court found that "[i]t is sufficient for our purposes to note that, under 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(6), a court may not approve a plan unless, after considering all creditors' objections and receiving the advice of the trustee, the judge is persuaded that 'the debtor will be able to make all payments under the plan and to comply with the plan.' Together with the cramdown provision, this requirement obligates the court to select a rate high enough to compensate the creditor for its risk but not so high as to doom the plan. If the court determines that the likelihood of default is so high as to necessitate an 'eye-popping' interest rate, the plan probably should not be confirmed." *Id*. (citations omitted).

"The appropriate size of that risk adjustment depends, of course, on such factors as the circumstances of the estate, the nature of the security, and the duration and feasibility of the reorganization plan." *Id.* at 479. Without deciding the issue of the proper scale of the risk adjustment, the plurality opinion noted that other courts have generally approved upward adjustments of 1% to 3% to the interest rate. *See id.* at 480.

Here, the plan provides for an interest rate of 10% on the creditor's class 2 secured claim. The court takes judicial notice of the prime rate of interest as published in a leading newspaper. Bonds, Rates & Credit Markets: Consumer Money Rates, Wall St. J.,

May 7, 2025, http://online.wsj.com/mdc/public/page/mdc_bonds.html. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 201(b)(2).

The appropriate interest rate should be about 1% to 2% above the current prime rate given the nature of the security, the risk of default, and the lack of evidence submitted by the creditor that would warrant upward adjustment. The plan's proposed interest rate does comply with *Till* and § 1325(a) (5)'s present value requirement. The current prime rate is 7.5%, and an interest rate of 10% allows for a 2.5% risk factor. The court will not deny confirmation on this basis.

SERVICE

The debtor's certificate of service was filed on March 24, 2025, despite the motion to confirm being filed on March 14, 2025. See Motion to Confirm, ECF No. 127; Certificate of Service, ECF No. 131.

LBR 9014-1(e)(2)

 A proof of service, in the form of a certificate of service, shall be filed with the Clerk concurrently with the pleadings or documents served, or not more than three (3) days after they are filed.

LBR 9014(e)(2)(emphasis added).

The debtor has failed to comply with local procedural rules and as such the matter may be dismissed summarily. However, the court will deny the motion on the merits rather than dismiss on procedural grounds.

FAILURE TO FILE TAX RETURNS

Together 11 U.S.C. §§ 1308 and 1325(a)(9) prohibit confirmation of a chapter 13 plan if the debtor has not filed all tax returns due during the 4-year period prior to the filing of the petition.

The court may not confirm a plan unless "the debtor has filed all applicable Federal, State, and local tax returns as required by section 1308." 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(9).

(a) Not later than the day before the date on which the meeting of the creditors is first scheduled to be held under section 341(a), if the debtor was required to file a tax return under applicable nonbankruptcy law, the debtor shall file with appropriate tax authorities all tax returns for all taxable periods ending during the 4-year period ending on the date of the filing of the petition. 11 U.S.C. § 1308(a).

The trustee state that Debtor may not have filed all applicable tax returns as required because priority claims have been filed showing certain quarterly tax returns have not been filed. Since the debtor has not filed recent tax returns, and was required to do so, then the plan may not be confirmed as this contravenes the provisions of 11 U.S.C. S§ 1325(a) (9) and 1308.

PLAN UNDERFUNDED

The trustee opposes confirmation of the plan contending the plan is not properly funded. The trustee states that there has been a claim for post-petition taxes that was filed after the plan, Claim 18. The claim is for 4,906.76. The debtor will need to pay the priority claim unless it is remedied in another way. Because of this the plan does not provide for payments to the trustee in an amount necessary for the execution of the plan. See 11 U.S.C. § 1322(a)(1).

The court will deny confirmation of the debtor's plan.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The debtor's motion to confirm a chapter 13 plan has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion together with papers filed in support and opposition to it, and having heard the arguments of counsel, if any, and good cause appearing, presented at the hearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is denied. The court denies confirmation of the chapter 13 plan.

32. <u>24-24257</u>-A-13 IN RE: JAIME ARMENDARIZ PGM-1

CONTINUED MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN 2-18-2025 [36]

PETER MACALUSO/ATTY. FOR DBT. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Confirm Chapter 13 Plan Notice: LBR 3015-1(d)(1), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed by the trustee Disposition: Denied Order: Civil minute order

The motion requests confirmation of the Chapter 13 plan in this case. See 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1323, 1325; Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(b); LBR 3015-1(d)(1)-(2). The Chapter 13 trustee opposes the motion, objecting to confirmation.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

PLAN FEASIBILITY

The proposed plan must be feasible. 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(6). Feasibility is a "factual determination" as to the plan's "reasonable likelihood of success." First Nat'l Bank of Boston v. Fantasia (In re Fantasia), 211 B.R. 420, 423 (B.A.P. 1st Cir. 1997). The bankruptcy court needs to "be satisfied that the debtor has the present as well as the future financial capacity to comply with the terms of the plan." Id. As one court summarized feasibility, "Thus, a plan is not feasible and is not confirmable if a debtor's income will not support the plan's proposed payments. In re Barnes, 275 B.R. 889, 894 (Bankr. E.D. Cal.2002) ("[T]he debtors showed no disposable income with which to fund a plan.... [T]he debtors have been unable to actually pay the amount projected ... to the trustee."); In re Bernardes, 267 B.R. 690, 695 (Bankr. D.N.J. 2001) ("While the feasibility requirement is not rigorous ... the plan proponent must, at minimum, demonstrate that the Debtor's income exceeds expenses by an amount sufficient to make the payments proposed by the plan."); In re Wilkinson, 99 B.R. 366, 369 (Bankr. N.D. Ohio 1989) ("[D]ebtors will not be able to comply with the plan and make all payments thereunder.")." In re Buccolo, 397 B.R. 527, 530 (Bankr. D.N.J. 2008), aff'd, 2009 WL 2132435 (D.N.J. July 13, 2009).

Plan Delinquency

The trustee indicates that the plan payments are delinquent in the amount of \$325.00. Debtor states that they will be current by the hearing, but the plan is currently delinquent. The plan cannot be confirmed if the plan payments are not current.

AMENDED PLAN ADJUSTMENT

The trustee has objected stating that the debtor has failed to provide for the step-up payments in the amended plan that are stated in the Amended Schedule I, ECF No. 39. The debtor acknowledges this error in their response and asks that the order confirming state "Debtor has paid a total of \$600.00 through January 2025, plan payments of \$525.00 per month will commence February 25, 2025 for 53 months, and \$902.00 for 3 months." See Response, ECF No. 65. Should the debtor become current by the hearing, this language will be added to the order confirming.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The debtor's motion to confirm a chapter 13 plan has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion together with papers filed in support and opposition to it, and having heard the arguments of counsel, if any, and good cause appearing, presented at the hearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is denied. The court denies confirmation of the chapter 13 plan.

33. <u>23-20665</u>-A-13 IN RE: GARRETT/SARA COX DPC-1

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 4-16-2025 [32]

THOMAS AMBERG/ATTY. FOR DBT. WITHDRAWN BY M.P.

Final Ruling

The motion was withdrawn by the moving party on April 29, 2025, ECF No. 38. Accordingly, this matter will be removed from the calendar as moot. No appearances are required.

34. <u>24-25471</u>-A-13 **IN RE: WENDY ROBINSON** DPC-2

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 4-9-2025 [41]

PETER MACALUSO/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required Disposition: Granted Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: April 29, 2025
Opposition Filed: Unopposed
Cause: 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1) - Plan Delinquency
Best Interests of Creditors/Estate: Dismiss

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

CASE DISMISSAL

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this chapter 13 case for delinquency in payments under the chapter 13 plan. For the reasons stated in the motion, cause exists under § 1307(c)(1) to dismiss the case. Payments under the plan are delinquent in the amount of \$9,182.46 with one payment(s) of \$3,060.82 due prior to the hearing on this motion.

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)

Except as provided in subsection (f) of this section, on request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, or may dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause, including-

• • •

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

The court finds that dismissal is in the best interests of the creditors and the estate. This case has not been previously converted from a chapter 7.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss this chapter 13 case has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent debtor for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted because of the delinquency under the chapter 13 plan in this case. The court hereby dismisses this case.

35. <u>21-23472</u>-A-13 **IN RE: BARRY/GINA ROTHMAN** DPC-2

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 4-7-2025 [77]

MARY TERRANELLA/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case
Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required
Disposition: Withdrawn
Order: Civil minute order

TRUSTEE REPLY - Fed. R. Civ. P. 41

The trustee filed a timely request to dismiss his motion under Fed. R. Civ. P. 41; Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9014, 7041.

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41 governs the circumstances where a party may withdraw a motion or objection. Fed. R. Civ. P. 41, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7041, 9014(c) (applying rule dismissal of adversary proceedings to contested matters). A motion or objection may be withdrawn without a court order only if it has not been opposed or by stipulation "signed by all parties who have appeared." Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a) (1) (A). In all other instances, a motion or objection may be withdrawn "only by court order, on terms that the court considers proper." Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a) (2).

Here, the Chapter 13 trustee has signaled his abandonment of his motion to dismiss. Neither the debtor(s), nor any creditor, has expressed opposition to the withdrawal of the trustee's motion. No unfair prejudice will result from withdrawal of the motion and the court will accede to the trustee's request.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

IT IS ORDERED that the motion to dismiss is withdrawn.

36. <u>22-21973</u>-A-13 **IN RE: BEATRICE EATON** <u>DPC-2</u>

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 4-16-2025 [<u>89</u>]

MARC VOISENAT/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required Disposition: Granted Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: April 29, 2025
Opposition Filed: Unopposed
Cause: 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(6) - Plan Delinquency
Best Interests of Creditors/Estate: Dismiss

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

CASE DISMISSAL

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this chapter 13 case for delinquency in payments under the confirmed chapter 13 plan. For the reasons stated in the motion, cause exists under § 1307(c)(6) to dismiss the case. Payments under the confirmed plan are delinquent in the amount of \$491.40 with one payment(s) of \$169.72 due prior to the hearing on this motion.

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)

Except as provided in subsection (f) of this section, on request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, or may dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause, including-

. . .

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

The court finds that dismissal is in the best interests of the creditors and the estate. This case has not been previously converted from a chapter 7.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss this chapter 13 case has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent debtor for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted because of the delinquency under the confirmed chapter 13 plan in this case. The court hereby dismisses this case.

37. <u>24-22673</u>-A-13 **IN RE: ANNA ALMONTE** DPC-1

CONTINUED MOTION TO CONVERT CASE FROM CHAPTER 13 TO CHAPTER 7 AND/OR MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 3-14-2025 [56]

ERIC SCHWAB/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case Notice: Continued from April 15, 2025 Disposition: Granted Order: Civil minute order

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this case, asserting that cause exists under § 1307(c)(1) and (6) as the debtors have failed to make all payments due under the plan. The trustee contends that the debtor is delinquent in the amount of \$31,475.00 under the plan confirmed in October 2024.

The debtors' opposition states that the debtor has will be able to be current under the modified plan they filed. In effect, the debtor's statements regarding amounts remaining to be paid admits the existence of a delinquency.

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1) (delinquency) provides the rule of decision.

The trustee filed this motion to dismiss because the debtor was delinquent in the amount of \$31,475.00. Motion to Dismiss, ECF No. 56. The court continued the hearing to allow the debtor to cure the default by filing a modified Chapter 13 plan pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 1329. See Civil Minute Order, ECF No. 84. The debtor filed a modified plan and moved to confirm the plan, Motion to Modify Plan, ECF No. 62. The court has indicated its intention to deny confirmation of the modified plan based on a lack of feasibility.

CASE DISMISSAL

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this chapter 13 case because the debtor is delinquent under the plan. For the reasons stated in the motion, cause exists under \$1307(c)(1) to dismiss the case.

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)

Except as provided in subsection (f) of this section, on request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, or may dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause, including-

. . .

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

The court finds that conversion to Chapter 7 is in the best interests of the creditors and the estate. This case has not been previously converted from a chapter 7. The trustee states that there are significant non-exempt assets totaling \$76,889.00. Trustee's Motion to Dismiss, ECF No. 56.

The court takes judicial notice of the voluntary petition, schedules, and statements filed in this case, as well as judicial notice of their contents. Fed. R. Evid. 201. The contents of the schedules and statements are non-hearsay admissions of the debtors to the extent they are offered against the debtors in this matter. Fed. R. Evid. 801(d)(2)(A), (D). The Debtor's Schedules show that there may be as much as \$98,589 in non-exempt assets. *See* Schedules B/C, ECF No. 19. For this reason, this case will be converted to a chapter 7 case.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion, the opposition, responses, and oral argument at the hearing, if any, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted because of the failure to confirm an amended chapter 13 plan in this case. The court hereby converts this case to a Chapter 7.

38. <u>24-22673</u>-A-13 **IN RE: ANNA ALMONTE** EJS-2

MOTION TO MODIFY PLAN 3-19-2025 [62]

ERIC SCHWAB/ATTY. FOR DBT. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Modify Chapter 13 Plan
Notice: LBR 3015-1(d)(2), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed by
the trustee
Disposition: Denied
Order: Civil minute order

The motion requests modification of the Chapter 13 plan in this case. See 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325, 1329; Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(b); LBR 3015-1(d)(2). The Chapter 13 trustee opposes the motion, objecting to the modification.

Chapter 13 plan modification is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1323, 1325, 1329 and by Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(a)(5) and 3015(g) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. "[T]he only limits on modification are those set forth in the language of the Code itself, coupled with the bankruptcy judge's discretion and good judgment in reviewing the motion to modify." In re Powers, 202 B.R. 618, 622 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1996).

PLAN FEASIBILITY

The proposed plan must be feasible. 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(6). Feasibility is a "factual determination" as to the plan's "reasonable likelihood of success." First Nat'l Bank of Boston v. Fantasia (In re Fantasia), 211 B.R. 420, 423 (B.A.P. 1st Cir. 1997). The bankruptcy court needs to "be satisfied that the debtor has the present as well as the future financial capacity to comply with the terms of the plan." Id. As one court summarized feasibility, "Thus, a plan is not feasible and is not confirmable if a debtor's income will not support the plan's proposed payments. In re Barnes, 275 B.R. 889, 894 (Bankr. E.D. Cal.2002) ("[T]he debtors showed no disposable income with which to fund a plan... [T]he debtors have been unable to actually pay the amount projected ... to the trustee."); In re Bernardes, 267 B.R. 690, 695 (Bankr. D.N.J. 2001) ("While the feasibility requirement is not rigorous ... the plan proponent must, at minimum, demonstrate that the Debtor's income exceeds expenses by an amount sufficient to make the payments proposed by the plan."); In re Wilkinson, 99 B.R. 366, 369 (Bankr. N.D. Ohio 1989) ("[D]ebtors will not be able to comply with the plan and make all payments thereunder.")." In re Buccolo, 397 B.R. 527, 530 (Bankr. D.N.J. 2008), aff'd, 2009 WL 2132435 (D.N.J. July 13, 2009).

Plan Delinquency

The trustee indicates that the plan payments are delinquent in the amount of \$1,830.00. The plan cannot be confirmed if the plan payments are not current.

Relies on Estimated Employment

The debtors plan relies on an estimated monthly income from debtor expecting to return to work in April 2025. It has not been proven that employment is guaranteed or that debtor will have income sufficient to pay the plan. Trustee states that debtor should have received a pay stub for her part time employment but has not submitted any further evidence of employment. 11 U.S.C. § 109(e) states that "only an *individual with regular income...*may be a debtor under chapter 13 of this title." 11 U.S.C. § 109(e) (emphasis added). Further, section 1325(a) (6) states that the court shall confirm the plan if "the debtor will be able to make all payments under the plan and to comply with the plan". 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a) (6).

The debtor's declaration states that she "expects to resume work at least part time in April, 2025" and that "the amount of the payment, \$1,830.00 per month is based on my estimated monthly income". Declaration of Anna Almonte, ECF No. 66, 1:24-28. Further, the debtor's most recent response filed on May 6, 2025, states that the debtor will "report [her] immanent employment in a supplement to Schedules I & J, in the meantime [she] is supporting [herself] and the plan with savings." Declaration of Anna Almonte, ECF No. 88, 1:21-23.

For these reasons, the plan is not feasible and cannot be confirmed.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The debtor's motion to modify a chapter 13 plan has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion together with papers filed in support and opposition to it, and having heard the arguments of counsel, if any, and good cause appearing, presented at the hearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is denied. The court denies modification of the chapter 13 plan.

39. <u>24-22673</u>-A-13 IN RE: ANNA ALMONTE EJS-3

OBJECTION TO CLAIM OF BANKERS HEALTHCARE GROUP LLC, CLAIM NUMBER 3 3-19-2025 [68]

ERIC SCHWAB/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Objection: Claim **Disposition:** Overruled without prejudice **Order:** Civil minute order

A claim objection is a contested matter. See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007(a)(2)(A). As a contested matter, the objection must be served in the manner provided by Rule 7004. See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9014(b). Service on corporations must be made "to the attention of an officer, a managing or general agent, or to any other agent authorized by appointment or by law to receive service of process." Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7004(b)(3).

Service of the objection was insufficient. While the address the debtor served the objection to was correct, the objection was not mailed to the attention of an officer, managing or general agent, or other agent authorized to accept service. See Certificate of Service, ECF Nos. 72, 76.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Debtor's Objection to Claim No. 3 has been presented to the court. Given the procedural deficiencies discussed by the court in its ruling,

IT IS ORDERED that the objection is overruled without prejudice.

40. <u>24-22673</u>-A-13 **IN RE: ANNA ALMONTE** RDW-1

CONTINUED MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY, AND/OR MOTION FOR ADEQUATE PROTECTION 2-24-2025 [<u>40</u>]

ERIC SCHWAB/ATTY. FOR DBT. REILLY WILKINSON/ATTY. FOR MV. UMPQUA BANK VS.

No Ruling

41. <u>25-21174</u>-A-13 **IN RE: KIMBERLY BLAIR** KMM-1

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY SERVBANK, SB 3-31-2025 [16]

MIKALAH LIVIAKIS/ATTY. FOR DBT. KIRSTEN MARTINEZ/ATTY. FOR MV. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

Objection: Creditor's Objection to Confirmation of Plan **Notice:** LBR 3015-1(c)(4), 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required **Disposition:** Continued to August 19, 2025, at 9:00 a.m. **Order:** Civil minute order

Creditor, Servbank, SB, objects to confirmation of the debtor(s) plan.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court will continue the hearing on this objection to allow the parties to augment the evidentiary record.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on this objection will be continued to August 19, 2025, at 9:00 a.m. The court may rule in this matter without further hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no later than June 24, 2025, the debtor(s) shall do one of the following:

(A) File a Statement of No Opposition. If the debtor(s) agree that the creditor's objection is well taken, the debtor(s) shall concede the merits and file a statement of non-opposition to the objection. L.R. 230(c) ("A responding party who has no opposition to the granting of the motion shall serve and file a statement to that effect...); LBR 1001-1(c)-(d) (omitting the applicability of L.R. 230 unless the court orders otherwise);

(B) <u>Respond in Writing to the Objection</u>. If the debtor(s) disagrees with the creditor's objection, the debtor(s) shall file and serve a written response to the objection; the response shall specifically address each issue raised in the creditor's objection to confirmation, state whether the issue is disputed or undisputed, and include admissible evidence in support of the debtor's position. If the debtor(s) file a response under paragraph 3(B) of this order, then the creditor shall file and serve a reply, if any, no later than July 22, 2025. The evidentiary record will close after July 22, 2025; or

(C) <u>File a Modified Plan</u>. If the debtor(s) wish to resolve the creditor's objection by filing a modified plan, then the debtor(s) shall: (1) file and serve a modified Chapter 13 plan; and (2) file and serve a motion to confirm the modified plan.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any stipulation between the parties resolving this matter must be approved and signed by the Chapter 13 trustee prior to filing with the court. The trustee's signature on the stipulation warrants that the terms of the proposed stipulation do not impact the plan's compliance with 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a).

42. <u>24-22678</u>-A-13 **IN RE: ALAN/MEGAN KENNEDY** EJS-2

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN 4-1-2025 [84]

ERIC SCHWAB/ATTY. FOR DBT. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

This case was converted to Chapter 7 on May 5, 2025. Accordingly, the objection will be removed from the calendar as moot. No appearances are required.

43. <u>23-24087</u>-A-13 **IN RE: KERRY LUCY** DPC-4

CONTINUED MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 3-13-2025 [64]

SETH HANSON/ATTY. FOR DBT. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

No Ruling

44. <u>23-24087</u>-A-13 **IN RE: KERRY LUCY** <u>SLH-2</u>

MOTION TO MODIFY PLAN 3-31-2025 [69]

SETH HANSON/ATTY. FOR DBT. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

No Ruling

45. <u>25-20389</u>-A-13 IN RE: KRYSTAL WILLINGHAM PLG-1

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN 3-25-2025 [19]

RABIN POURNAZARIAN/ATTY. FOR DBT. TRUSTEE NON-OPPOSITION

Final Ruling

Motion: Confirm Chapter 13 Plan Notice: LBR 3015-1(d)(1), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required Disposition: Granted Order: Prepared by the movant, approved by the trustee

Subject: First Amended Chapter 13 Plan, filed March 25, 2025

DEFAULT OF RESPONDENT

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true.

TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

The debtor seeks confirmation of the First Chapter 13 Plan, ECF No. 23. The plan is supported by Schedules I and J filed March 25, 2025, ECF No. 18. The Chapter 13 trustee has filed a non-opposition to the motion, ECF No. 29.

CHAPTER 13 PLAN CONFIRMATION

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court finds that the debtor has sustained that burden, and the court will approve confirmation of the plan.

46. <u>22-21690</u>-A-13 **IN RE: TRACI HAMILTON** DPC-5

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 4-7-2025 [234]

RICHARD JARE/ATTY. FOR DBT. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed by the debtor Disposition: Withdrawn Order: Civil minute order

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this case, asserting that cause exists under § 1307(c)(6) as the debtor has failed to make all payments due under the confirmed plan.

TRUSTEE REPLY - Fed. R. Civ. P. 41

The trustee filed a timely request to dismiss his motion under Fed. R. Civ. P. 41; Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9014, 7041.

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41 governs the circumstances where a party may withdraw a motion or objection. Fed. R. Civ. P. 41, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7041, 9014(c) (applying rule dismissal of adversary proceedings to contested matters). A motion or objection may be withdrawn without a court order only if it has not been opposed or by stipulation "signed by all parties who have appeared." Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a) (1) (A). In all other instances, a

motion or objection may be withdrawn "only by court order, on terms that the court considers proper." Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(2).

Here, the Chapter 13 trustee has signaled his abandonment of his motion to dismiss. Neither the debtor(s), nor any creditor, has expressed opposition to the withdrawal of the trustee's motion. No unfair prejudice will result from withdrawal of the motion and the court will accede to the trustee's request.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

IT IS ORDERED that the motion to dismiss is withdrawn.

47. <u>24-24591</u>-A-13 IN RE: NICHOLAS/LINDSAY DEROSA MJD-2

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN 4-3-2025 [36]

MATTHEW DECAMINADA/ATTY. FOR DBT. TRUSTEE NON-OPPOSITION

Final Ruling

Motion: Confirm Chapter 13 Plan Notice: LBR 3015-1(d)(1), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required Disposition: Granted Order: Prepared by the movant, approved by the trustee

Subject: Chapter 13 Plan, filed April 3, 2025

DEFAULT OF RESPONDENT

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

The debtor seeks confirmation of the Chapter 13 Plan, ECF No. 40. The plan is supported by Schedules I and J filed April 3, 2025, ECF No. 41. The Chapter 13 trustee has filed a non-opposition to the motion, ECF No. 43.

CHAPTER 13 PLAN CONFIRMATION

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court finds that the debtor has sustained that burden, and the court will approve confirmation of the plan.

48. <u>22-22197</u>-A-13 **IN RE: RALPH/SUSAN LITTLER** <u>DPC-1</u>

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 4-16-2025 [30]

JULIUS CHERRY/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required Disposition: Granted Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: April 29, 2025
Opposition Filed: Unopposed
Cause: 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(6) - Plan Delinquency
Best Interests of Creditors/Estate: Dismiss

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

CASE DISMISSAL

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this chapter 13 case for delinquency in payments under the confirmed chapter 13 plan. For the reasons stated in the motion, cause exists under § 1307(c)(6) to dismiss the case. Payments under the confirmed plan are delinquent in the amount of \$1,490.00 with one payment(s) of \$465.00 due prior to the hearing on this motion.

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)

Except as provided in subsection (f) of this section, on request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, or may dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause, including-

. . .

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

The court finds that dismissal is in the best interests of the creditors and the estate. This case has not been previously converted from a chapter 7.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss this chapter 13 case has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent debtor for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted because of the delinquency under the confirmed chapter 13 plan in this case. The court hereby dismisses this case.

49. <u>24-25497</u>-A-13 IN RE: WAYNE/CHRISTINE PARKER DPC-1

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 4-9-2025 [21]

PATRICIA WILSON/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required Disposition: Granted Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: April 29, 2025
Opposition Filed: Unopposed
Cause: 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1) - Plan Delinquency
Best Interests of Creditors/Estate: Dismiss

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

CASE DISMISSAL

Delinquency

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this chapter 13 case for delinquency in payments under the chapter 13 plan. For the reasons stated in the motion, cause exists under § 1307(c)(1) to dismiss the case. Payments under the plan are delinquent in the amount of \$.87 with one payment(s) of \$4,160.00 due prior to the hearing on this motion.

Failure to Confirm a Plan

The trustee moves to dismiss this chapter 13 case. For the reasons stated in the motion, cause exists under § 1307(c)(1) to dismiss the case. The debtor has failed to confirm a plan within a reasonable time. The case has been pending for approximately 6 months, yet a plan has not been confirmed. The debtor has filed a plan but has not served the plan on all interested parties and no Motion to Confirm is pending. This constitutes unreasonable delay by the debtor that is prejudicial to creditors. The court will dismiss the case.

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)

Except as provided in subsection (f) of this section, on request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, or may dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause, including-

•••

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

The court finds that dismissal is in the best interests of the creditors and the estate. This case has not been previously converted from a chapter 7.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss this chapter 13 case has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent debtor for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted because of the delinquency under the chapter 13 plan in this case. The court hereby dismisses this case.

50. 25-21398-A-13 IN RE: JULIE WORLEY

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR FAILURE TO UPDATE CONTACT INFORMATION IN PACER 4-15-2025 [<u>18</u>]

CARL GUSTAFSON/ATTY. FOR DBT.

No Ruling

51. <u>25-21881</u>-A-13 IN RE: SCOTT WENDORF AND SUZANNE TOLMICH WENDORF TBG-2

CONTINUED MOTION TO EXTEND AUTOMATIC STAY 4-30-2025 [15]

STEPHAN BROWN/ATTY. FOR DBT.

No Ruling