

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
Eastern District of California**

Honorable Christopher D. Jaime
1200 I Street, Suite 200
Modesto, California

PRE-HEARING DISPOSITIONS COVER SHEET

DAY: TUESDAY

DATE: April 30, 2024

CALENDAR: 1:00 P.M. CHAPTER 13

Each matter on this calendar will have one of three possible designations: No Ruling, Tentative Ruling, or Final Ruling. These instructions apply to those designations.

No Ruling: All parties will need to appear at the hearing unless otherwise ordered.

Tentative Ruling: If a matter has been designated as a tentative ruling it will be called. The court may continue the hearing on the matter, set a briefing schedule, or enter other orders appropriate for efficient and proper resolution of the matter. The original moving or objecting party shall give notice of the continued hearing date and the deadlines. The minutes of the hearing will be the court's findings and conclusions.

Final Ruling: Unless otherwise ordered, there will be no hearing on these matters and no appearance is necessary. The final disposition of the matter is set forth in the ruling and it will appear in the minutes. The final ruling may or may not finally adjudicate the matter. If it is finally adjudicated, the minutes constitute the court's findings and conclusions.

Orders: Unless the court specifies in the tentative or final ruling that it will issue an order, the prevailing party shall lodge an order within seven (7) days of the final hearing on the matter.

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

Eastern District of California

Honorable Christopher D. Jaime

Bankruptcy Judge

Modesto, California

April 30, 2024 at 1:00 p.m.

1.	24-90061 -B-13	STEPHANIE KESTLER	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE
		Pro Se	TO PAY FEES
			3-12-24 [24]

DEBTOR DISMISSED: 03/15/24

Final Ruling

The case having previously been dismissed, the Order to Show Cause is discharged as moot with no sanctions ordered.

The order to show cause is ORDERED DISCHARGED AS MOOT for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

April 30, 2024 at 1:00 p.m.

Page 1 of 3

2. [23-90506](#)-B-13 KARLA GREER-TLASCALA
[LGT](#)-1 Simran Singh Hundal

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO CLAIM OF
VALLEY FIRST CREDIT UNION,
CLAIM NUMBER 6
3-18-24 [[20](#)]

Final Ruling

This matter was continued from April 23, 2024, to allow any party in interest to file an opposition or response by 5:00 p.m. Friday, April 26, 2024. Valley First Credit Union ("Creditor") filed a timely declaration. However, problematic is that the declaration was filed by attorney Michael D. Vanlochem, whose name appears in the upper left-hand corner of the document. That constitutes an appearance on Creditor's behalf. See Local Bankr. R. 2017-1(b)(2)(B). Attorney Vanlochem does not appear to be admitted to the bar of the Eastern District of California, which means he is ineligible and not authorized to appear in this case on Creditor's behalf. See Local Bankr. R. 1001-1(c); Local Dist. Ct. R. 180(b).¹ The declaration is therefore STRICKEN as a fugitive document.

Given the above, the court's conditional ruling at dkt. 23, sustaining the objection to claim, shall become the court's final decision. The continued hearing on April 30, 2024, at 1:00 p.m. is vacated.

The motion is ORDERED SUSTAINED for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

¹The court searched the District Court database of attorneys admitted to practice in the Eastern District of California that is located at: <https://www.caed.uscourts.gov/caednew/index.cfm/attorney-info/attorney-admission-search/>. As of April 29, 2024, a search of the database returns no admitted attorney with the last name of "Vanlochem."

3. [23-90451](#)-B-13 CHRISTOPHER JACKSON CONTINUED OBJECTION TO CLAIM OF
[LGT](#)-1 Mikalah Liviakis VALLEY FIRST CREDIT UNION,
CLAIM NUMBER 14
3-18-24 [[20](#)]

Final Ruling

This matter was continued from April 23, 2024, to allow any party in interest to file an opposition or response by 5:00 p.m. Friday, April 26, 2024. Valley First Credit Union ("Creditor") filed a timely declaration. However, problematic is that the declaration was filed by attorney Michael D. Vanlochem, whose name appears in the upper left-hand corner of the document. That constitutes an appearance on Creditor's behalf. See Local Bankr. R. 2017-1(b)(2)(B). Attorney Vanlochem does not appear to be admitted to the bar of the Eastern District of California, which means he is ineligible and not authorized to appear in this case on Creditor's behalf. See Local Bankr. R. 1001-1(c); Local Dist. Ct. R. 180(b).¹ The declaration is therefore STRICKEN as a fugitive document.

Given the above, the court's conditional ruling at dkt. 28, sustaining the objection to claim, shall become the court's final decision. The continued hearing on April 30, 2024, at 1:00 p.m. is vacated.

The objection is ORDERED SUSTAINED for reasons stated in the minutes.

The court will issue an order.

¹The court searched the District Court database of attorneys admitted to practice in the Eastern District of California that is located at: <https://www.caed.uscourts.gov/caednew/index.cfm/attorney-info/attorney-admission-search/>. As of April 29, 2024, a search of the database returns no admitted attorney with the last name of "Vanlochem."