

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT Eastern District of California

Chief Judge Fredrick E. Clement

Sacramento Federal Courthouse 501 I Street, 7th Floor Courtroom 28, Department A Sacramento, California

DAY: TUESDAY

DATE: APRIL 29, 2025

CALENDAR: 9:00 A.M. CHAPTER 13 CASES

Unless otherwise ordered, all matters before Chief Judge Fredrick E. Clement shall be simultaneously: (1) IN PERSON at Sacramento Courtroom No. 28, (2) via ZOOMGOV VIDEO, (3) via ZOOMGOV TELEPHONE, and (4) via COURTCALL.

You may choose any of these options unless otherwise ordered or stated below.

All parties who wish to appear at a hearing remotely must sign up by 4:00 p.m. one business day prior to the hearing.

Information regarding how to sign up can be found on the **Court Appearances** page of our website at:

https://www.caeb.uscourts.gov/Calendar/CourtAppearances

Each party who has signed up will receive a Zoom link or phone number, meeting I.D., and password via e-mail.

If the deadline to sign up has passed, parties who wish to appear remotely must contact the Courtroom Deputy for the Department holding the hearing.

Please also note the following:

- Parties in interest may connect to the video or audio feed free of charge and should select which method they will use to appear when signing up.
- Members of the public and the press appearing by ZoomGov may only listen in to the hearing using the zoom telephone number. Video appearances are not permitted.
- Members of the public and the press may not listen in to the trials or evidentiary hearings, though they may appear in person in most instances.

To appear remotely for law and motion or status conference proceedings, you must comply with the following guidelines and procedures:

- Review the <u>Pre-Hearing Dispositions</u> prior to appearing at the hearing.
- Review the court's **Zoom Procedures and Guidelines** for these, and additional instructions.
- Parties appearing via CourtCall are encouraged to review the CourtCall Appearance Information.

If you are appearing by ZoomGov phone or video, please join at least 10 minutes prior to the start of the calendar and wait with your microphone muted until the matter is called.

Unauthorized Recording is Prohibited: Any recording of a court proceeding held by video or teleconference, including screen shots or other audio or visual copying of a hearing is prohibited. Violation may result in sanctions, including removal of court-issued media credentials, denial of entry to future hearings, or any other sanctions deemed necessary by the court. For more information on photographing, recording, or broadcasting Judicial Proceedings, please refer to Local Rule 173(a) of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California.

PRE-HEARING DISPOSITION INSTRUCTIONS

RULINGS

Each matter on this calendar will have one of three possible designations: No Ruling, Tentative Ruling, or Final Ruling.

"No Ruling" means the likely disposition of the matter will not be disclosed in advance of the hearing. The matter will be called; parties wishing to be heard should rise and be heard.

"Tentative Ruling" means the likely disposition, and the reasons therefor, are set forth herein. The matter will be called. Aggrieved parties or parties for whom written opposition was not required should rise and be heard. Parties favored by the tentative ruling need not appear. However, non-appearing parties are advised that the court may adopt a ruling other than that set forth herein without further hearing or notice.

"Final Ruling" means that the matter will be resolved in the manner, and for the reasons, indicated below. The matter will not be called; parties and/or counsel need not appear and will not be heard on the matter.

CHANGES TO PREVIOUSLY PUBLISHED RULINGS

On occasion, the court will change its intended ruling on some of the matters to be called and will republish its rulings. The parties and counsel are advised to recheck the posted rulings after 3:00 p.m. on the next business day prior to the hearing. Any such changed ruling will be preceded by the following bold face text: "[Since posting its original rulings, the court has changed its intended ruling on this matter]".

ERRORS IN RULINGS

Clerical errors of an insignificant nature, e.g., nomenclature ("2017 Honda Accord," rather than "2016 Honda Accord"), amounts, ("\$880," not "\$808"), may be corrected in (1) tentative rulings by appearance at the hearing; or (2) final rulings by appropriate ex parte application. Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(a) incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9024. All other errors, including those occasioned by mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect, must be corrected by noticed motion. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 60(b), incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9023.

1. 24-25205-A-13 IN RE: MERRILEE ZERROUGUI

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN 3-21-2025 [50]

MERRILEE ZERROUGUI/ATTY. FOR MV. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

Motion: Confirmation of a Chapter 13 Plan **Disposition:** Denied without prejudice

Order: Civil minute order

DISCUSSION

Who is Entitled to Notice

As a rule, a motion to confirm a Chapter 13 plan must be served on all creditors. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(a)(9). But the Eastern District has limited notice of a motion to confirm to creditors that have actually filed claims, provided the claims bar date has passed. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(h)(1); LBR 2002-3.

In this case, there is no matrix attached to the certificate of service. See Proof of Service, ECF No. 53. A custom list of creditors was made an attached to the proof of service. There were three creditors that have filed claims that were not properly served. The Franchise Tax Board and Citizens Bank, N.A., were not listed on the proof of service. Id. Next, general counsel for the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development was not served. Id. Last, Creditor Jefferson Capital Systems was not sent to the correct address. Due to these procedural deficiencies, the court will deny the motion without prejudice.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Debtor's motion has been presented to the court. Given the procedural deficiencies discussed by the court in its ruling,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is denied without prejudice.

2. $\frac{25-20806}{DPC-1}$ IN RE: LARRY TRIHUB

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P. CUSICK $4-9-2025 \quad [17]$

JOSHUA STERNBERG/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Matter: Objection to Confirmation of Chapter 13 Plan Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4); no written opposition required

Disposition: Overruled as moot

Order: Civil minute order

Chapter 13 debtors may modify the plan before confirmation. 11 U.S.C. § 1323(a). If the debtor files a modification of the plan under § 1323, the modified plan becomes the plan. 11 U.S.C. § 1323(b). Filing a modified plan renders moot any objection to confirmation of the prior plan. The debtor has filed a modified plan after this objection to confirmation was filed. The objection will be overruled as moot.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the objection to confirmation is overruled as moot.

3. $\frac{25-20806}{\text{SKI}-1}$ IN RE: LARRY TRIHUB

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY SANTANDER BANK, N.A. $4-8-2025 \quad [13]$

JOSHUA STERNBERG/ATTY. FOR DBT. SHERYL ITH/ATTY. FOR MV.

Final Ruling

Matter: Objection to Confirmation of Chapter 13 Plan
Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4); no written opposition required

Disposition: Overruled as moot

Order: Civil minute order

Chapter 13 debtors may modify the plan before confirmation. 11 U.S.C. § 1323(a). If the debtor files a modification of the plan under § 1323, the modified plan becomes the plan. 11 U.S.C. § 1323(b). Filing a modified plan renders moot any objection to confirmation of the prior plan. The debtor has filed a modified plan after this objection to confirmation was filed. The objection will be overruled as moot.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the objection to confirmation is overruled as moot.

4. $\frac{24-25114}{PGM-1}$ -A-13 IN RE: CHARLES/KATHRYN WYATT

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN 3-17-2025 [26]

PETER MACALUSO/ATTY. FOR DBT. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

Motion: Confirm Chapter 13 Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(d)(1), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Prepared by the movant, approved by the trustee

Subject: First Amended Chapter 13 Plan, filed March 17, 2025

DEFAULT OF RESPONDENT

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

The debtor seeks confirmation of the First Chapter 13 Plan, ECF No. 30. The plan is supported by Schedules I and J filed November 26, 2024, ECF No. 13. The Chapter 13 trustee has filed a response to the motion, ECF No. 32. The trustee requests that the Class 2 claim of Nationstar monthly rate be raised to \$30.00 a month. The debtor has agreed and ask that the court note this increase in its order confirming. Response, ECF No. 34.

CHAPTER 13 PLAN CONFIRMATION

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. In re Andrews, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); In re Barnes, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court finds that the debtor has sustained that burden, and the court will approve confirmation of the plan. Additionally, the confirmation order shall increase the payment to Nationstar by \$30 per month.

5. $\frac{24-25015}{DPC-2}$ -A-13 IN RE: STEVEN/KAREN STRAND

OBJECTION TO DEBTOR'S CLAIM OF EXEMPTIONS 3-25-2025 [31]

CATHERINE KING/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

This case was dismissed on April 22, 2025. Accordingly, the motion will be removed from the calendar as moot. No appearances are required.

6. 25-20016-A-13 IN RE: MATTHEW MCCANDLESS

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE TO PAY FEES 4-11-2025 [54]

PETER MACALUSO/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Tentative Ruling

If the filing fee has not been paid in full by the time of the hearing, the case may be dismissed without further notice or hearing.

7. $\underbrace{25-20030}_{NLG-1}$ -A-13 IN RE: WILLIS MARSH

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY NEWREZ LLC 2-10-2025 [19]

MARK SHMORGON/ATTY. FOR DBT. NICHOLE GLOWIN/ATTY. FOR MV. STIPULATION, ECF NO. 25

Final Ruling

The parties have resolved the matter by stipulation, Order, ECF No. 25. The court has entered a confirmation order. Order, ECF No. 26. This matter will be dropped from calendar.

8. $\frac{24-23131}{SS-1}$ -A-13 IN RE: MULUGETA/DEBBIE ATSBAHA

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN 3-24-2025 [43]

SCOTT SHUMAKER/ATTY. FOR DBT. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

No Ruling

9. $\underline{25-20533}$ -A-13 IN RE: ANTONIO SECADA DPC-1

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P. CUSICK 4-3-2025 [35]

Final Ruling

Objection: Trustee's Objection to Confirmation of Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4), 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition

required

Disposition: Continued to August 5, 2025, at 9:00 a.m.

Order: Civil minute order

The Chapter 13 trustee objects to confirmation of the debtor(s) plan.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court will continue the hearing on this objection to allow the parties to augment the evidentiary record.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on this objection will be continued to August 5, 2025, at 9:00 a.m. The court may rule in this matter without further hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no later than June 17, 2025, the debtor(s) shall do one of the following:

(A) <u>File a Statement of No Opposition</u>. If the debtor(s) agree that the Chapter 13 trustee's objection is well taken, the debtor(s)

shall concede the merits and file a statement of non-opposition to the objection. L.R. 230(c) ("A responding party who has no opposition to the granting of the motion shall serve and file a statement to that effect...); LBR 1001-1(c)-(d) (omitting the applicability of L.R. 230 unless the court orders otherwise);

- (B) Respond in Writing to the Objection. If the debtor(s) disagree with the trustee's objection, the debtor(s) shall file and serve a written response to the objection; the response shall specifically address each issue raised in the trustee's objection to confirmation, state whether the issue is disputed or undisputed, and include admissible evidence in support of the debtor's position. If the debtor(s) file a response under paragraph 3(B) of this order, then the trustee shall file and serve a reply, if any, no later than July 15, 2025. The evidentiary record will close after July 15, 2025; or
- (C) File a Modified Plan. If the debtor(s) wish to resolve the Chapter 13 trustee's objection by filing a modified plan, then the debtor(s) shall: (1) file and serve a modified Chapter 13 plan; and (2) file and serve a motion to confirm the modified plan.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the parties resolve the trustee's objection, and there are no additional objections to confirmation pending, then the debtor(s) may submit an order confirming the plan which has been signed by the Chapter 13 trustee. The trustee's signature on the order confirming plan represents to the court that no further objections to confirmation of the proposed plan are pending.

10. $\frac{24-21835}{\text{SLH}-1}$ -A-13 IN RE: MARISOL/PHILLIP CHAVEZ

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN 3-7-2025 [48]

SETH HANSON/ATTY. FOR DBT. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Confirm Chapter 13 Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(d)(1), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed by

the trustee

Disposition: Denied

Order: Civil minute order

The motion requests confirmation of the Chapter 13 plan in this case. See 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1323, 1325; Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(b); LBR 3015-1(d)(1)-(2). The Chapter 13 trustee opposes the motion, objecting to confirmation.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local

Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

PLAN FEASIBILITY

The proposed plan must be feasible. 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(6). Feasibility is a "factual determination" as to the plan's "reasonable likelihood of success." First Nat'l Bank of Boston v. Fantasia (In re Fantasia), 211 B.R. 420, 423 (B.A.P. 1st Cir. 1997). The bankruptcy court needs to "be satisfied that the debtor has the present as well as the future financial capacity to comply with the terms of the plan." Id. As one court summarized feasibility, "Thus, a plan is not feasible and is not confirmable if a debtor's income will not support the plan's proposed payments. In re Barnes, 275 B.R. 889, 894 (Bankr. E.D. Cal.2002) ("[T]he debtors showed no disposable income with which to fund a plan.... [T]he debtors have been unable to actually pay the amount projected ... to the trustee."); In re Bernardes, 267 B.R. 690, 695 (Bankr. D.N.J. 2001) ("While the feasibility requirement is not rigorous ... the plan proponent must, at minimum, demonstrate that the Debtor's income exceeds expenses by an amount sufficient to make the payments proposed by the plan."); In re Wilkinson, 99 B.R. 366, 369 (Bankr. N.D. Ohio 1989) ("[D]ebtors will not be able to comply with the plan and make all payments thereunder.")." In re Buccolo, 397 B.R. 527, 530 (Bankr. D.N.J. 2008), aff'd, 2009 WL 2132435 (D.N.J. July 13, 2009).

Plan Delinquency

The trustee indicates that the plan payments are delinquent in the amount of \$2,850.00 with an additional payment of \$5,500.00 due on April 25, 2025. The plan cannot be confirmed if the plan payments are not current.

RETURN REIMBURSEMENT

The trustee also states that the debtor has improperly claimed a disbursement from Creditor Loancare, LLC, in the amount of \$2,854.12. This amount would not be included in the total paid into the plan. The trustee has redistributed this money to the creditor on December 31, 2024.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The debtor's motion to confirm a chapter 13 plan has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion together with papers filed in support and opposition to it, and having heard the

arguments of counsel, if any, and good cause appearing, presented at the hearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is denied. The court denies confirmation of the chapter 13 plan.

11. $\frac{24-20344}{PGM-6}$ -A-13 IN RE: RANDY HOWARD

MOTION TO MODIFY PLAN 3-25-2025 [120]

PETER MACALUSO/ATTY. FOR DBT. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

No Ruling

12. $\frac{24-20344}{RTD-1}$ -A-13 IN RE: RANDY HOWARD

CONTINUED MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY 2-5-2025 [101]

PETER MACALUSO/ATTY. FOR DBT. ROXANNE DANERI/ATTY. FOR MV. SACRAMENTO CREDIT UNION VS.

No Ruling

13. $\frac{22-22845}{DPC-2}$ -A-13 IN RE: CHRISTOPHER LEE

CONTINUED MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 3-13-2025 [56]

THOMAS AMBERG/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: Continued from April 15, 2025

Disposition: Denied

Order: Civil minute order

The hearing on this motion was continued from April 15, 2025, to allow for hearing on the debtor's motion to modify the chapter 13 plan. The motion to modify, TLA-3, has been granted.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion, the opposition, responses, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is denied.

14. $\frac{22-22845}{\text{TLA}-3}$ -A-13 IN RE: CHRISTOPHER LEE

MOTION TO MODIFY PLAN 3-17-2025 [60]

THOMAS AMBERG/ATTY. FOR DBT. TRUSTEE NON-OPPOSITION

Final Ruling

Motion: Modify Chapter 13 Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(d)(2), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Prepared by movant, approved by the trustee

Subject: Second Amended Chapter 13 Plan, filed March 17, 2025

DEFAULT OF RESPONDENT

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

The debtor(s) seek approval of the proposed modified Chapter 13 Plan. The plan is supported by Schedules I and J filed on March 17, 2025, ECF No. 66. The Chapter 13 trustee has filed a non-opposition to the motion, ECF No. 69.

CHAPTER 13 PLAN MODIFICATION

Chapter 13 plan modification is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1323, 1325, 1329 and by Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(a)(5) and 3015(g) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. "[T]he only limits on modification are those set forth in the language of the Code itself, coupled with the bankruptcy judge's discretion and good judgment in reviewing the motion to modify." In re Powers, 202 B.R. 618, 622 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1996).

Chapter 13 debtors seeking plan modification have the burden of proving that all requirements of § 1322(a) and (b) and § 1325(a) have been met. See 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322(a)-(b), 1325(a), 1329(b)(1); see also In re Powers, 202 B.R. at 622 ("[Section] 1329(b)(1) protects the parties from unwarranted modification motions by ensuring that the proposed modifications satisfy the same standards as required of the initial plan."); see also In re Barnes, 32 F.3d 405, 407 (9th Cir. 1994); In re Andrews, 49 F.3d 1404, 1408 (9th Cir. 1995).

The court finds that the debtor has sustained this burden of proof. The court will grant the motion and approve the modification.

15. $\frac{25-20645}{BRL-1}$ -A-13 IN RE: STEVEN/MELISSA KEEFE

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY MICHAEL FELIX COUTINHO, EQUITY TRUST COMPANY AND FORGE TRUST CO 3-25-2025 [16]

PAULDEEP BAINS/ATTY. FOR DBT. BENJAMIN LEVINSON/ATTY. FOR MV.

Final Ruling

Objection: Creditor's Objection to Confirmation of Plan **Notice:** LBR 3015-1(c)(4), 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition

required

Disposition: Continued to August 5, 2025, at 9:00 a.m.

Order: Civil minute order

Creditors, Michael Felix Coutinho, Equity Trust Company, and Forge Trust, object to confirmation of the debtor(s) plan.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court will continue the hearing on this objection to allow the parties to augment the evidentiary record.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on this objection will be continued to August 5, 2025, at 9:00 a.m. The court may rule in this matter without further hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no later than June 17, 2025, the debtor(s) shall do one of the following:

(A) File a Statement of No Opposition. If the debtor(s) agree that the creditor's objection is well taken, the debtor(s) shall concede the merits and file a statement of non-opposition to the objection. L.R. 230(c) ("A responding party who has no opposition to the granting of the motion shall serve and file a statement to that effect...); LBR 1001-1(c)-(d) (omitting the applicability of L.R. 230 unless the court orders otherwise);

- (B) Respond in Writing to the Objection. If the debtor(s) disagrees with the creditor's objection, the debtor(s) shall file and serve a written response to the objection; the response shall specifically address each issue raised in the creditor's objection to confirmation, state whether the issue is disputed or undisputed, and include admissible evidence in support of the debtor's position. If the debtor(s) file a response under paragraph 3(B) of this order, then the creditor shall file and serve a reply, if any, no later than July 15, 2025. The evidentiary record will close after July 15, 2025; or
- (C) File a Modified Plan. If the debtor(s) wish to resolve the creditor's objection by filing a modified plan, then the debtor(s) shall: (1) file and serve a modified Chapter 13 plan; and (2) file and serve a motion to confirm the modified plan.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any stipulation between the parties resolving this matter must be approved and signed by the Chapter 13 trustee prior to filing with the court. The trustee's signature on the stipulation warrants that the terms of the proposed stipulation do not impact the plan's compliance with 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a).

16. 25-20647-A-13 IN RE: SUSAN LAUGHERY

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE TO PAY FEES 3-21-2025 [27]

4/16/2025 INSTALLMENT FEE PAID \$79

Final Ruling

As the installment fee has been paid, the order to show cause is discharged. The case will remain pending.

17. $\frac{25-20647}{DPC-1}$ -A-13 IN RE: SUSAN LAUGHERY

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 4-1-2025 [35]

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted
Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: April 15, 2025 Opposition Filed: Unopposed

Cause: 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1) - Plan Delinquency

Best Interests of Creditors/Estate: Dismiss

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

CASE DISMISSAL

Delinquency

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this chapter 13 case for delinquency in payments under the chapter 13 plan. For the reasons stated in the motion, cause exists under § 1307(c)(1) to dismiss the case. Payments under the plan are delinquent in the amount of \$800.00 with a further payment of \$800.00 due April 25, 2025.

Social Security Number and Identification

The debtor has failed to provide the trustee with required or requested documents. See 11 U.S.C. § 521(a)(3)-(4). Trustee states that the debtor has failed to provided verification of their social security and government issued photo identification. Declaration, ECF No. 37, 2:20-23.

Tax Returns

The debtor has failed to provide the trustee with a required tax return (for the most recent tax year ending immediately before the commencement of the case and for which a Federal income tax return was filed) no later than 7 days before the date first set for the first meeting of creditors. 11 U.S.C. \S 521(e)(2)(A)-(B).

Meeting of Creditors

The debtor has failed to appear at a § 341 meeting of creditors. See 11 U.S.C. §§ 341, 343. The first meeting of creditors was held on March 27, 2025. It has been continued to April 24, 2025, at 2:00 pm. Dec., ECF No. 37, 2:16-19.

For the reasons stated in the motion, cause exists to dismiss the case. Id. § 1307(c)(1).

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)

Except as provided in subsection (f) of this section, on request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, or may dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause, including—

. . .

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

The court finds that dismissal is in the best interests of the creditors and the estate. This case has not been previously converted from a chapter 7.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss this chapter 13 case has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent debtor for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted because of the reasons stated in the motion. The court hereby dismisses this case.

18. $\frac{25-20647}{EJS-1}$ -A-13 IN RE: SUSAN LAUGHERY

MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY 3-25-2025 [29]

ERIC SCHWAB/ATTY. FOR MV.

CAMBRIDGE GARDEN APARTMENTS/GRT PROPERTY MANAGEMENT INCORPORATED LP VS.

RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

Since the case has been dismissed under the previous ruling, DPC-1, this matter will be denied as moot.

19. $\frac{23-22854}{DPC-1}$ -A-13 IN RE: ALMA/DEMETRIUS PERRY

CONTINUED MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 3-6-2025 [23]

THOMAS AMBERG/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: Continued from April 15, 2025

Disposition: Denied

Order: Civil minute order

The hearing on this motion was continued from April 15, 2025, to allow for hearing on the debtor's motion to modify the chapter 13 plan. The motion to modify, TLA-1, has been granted.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion, the opposition, responses, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is denied.

20. $\frac{23-22854}{TLA-1}$ -A-13 IN RE: ALMA/DEMETRIUS PERRY

MOTION TO MODIFY PLAN 3-17-2025 [27]

THOMAS AMBERG/ATTY. FOR DBT. TRUSTEE NON-OPPOSITION

Final Ruling

Motion: Modify Chapter 13 Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(d)(2), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Prepared by movant, approved by the trustee

Subject: First Amended Chapter 13 Plan, filed March 17, 2025

DEFAULT OF RESPONDENT

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

The debtor(s) seek approval of the proposed modified Chapter 13 Plan. The plan is supported by Schedules I and J filed on March 17, 2025, ECF No. 33. The Chapter 13 trustee has filed a non-opposition to the motion, ECF No. 36.

CHAPTER 13 PLAN MODIFICATION

Chapter 13 plan modification is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1323, 1325, 1329 and by Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(a)(5) and 3015(g) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. "[T]he only limits on modification are those set forth in the language of the Code itself, coupled with the bankruptcy judge's discretion and good judgment in reviewing the motion to modify." In re Powers, 202 B.R. 618, 622 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1996).

Chapter 13 debtors seeking plan modification have the burden of proving that all requirements of § 1322(a) and (b) and § 1325(a) have been met. See 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322(a)-(b), 1325(a), 1329(b)(1); see also In re Powers, 202 B.R. at 622 ("[Section] 1329(b)(1) protects the parties from unwarranted modification motions by ensuring that the proposed modifications satisfy the same standards as required of the initial plan."); see also In re Barnes, 32 F.3d 405, 407 (9th Cir. 1994); In re Andrews, 49 F.3d 1404, 1408 (9th Cir. 1995).

The court finds that the debtor has sustained this burden of proof. The court will grant the motion and approve the modification.

21. $\frac{25-20057}{DPC-1}$ -A-13 IN RE: STEVEN BUSHER

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P CUSICK

2-13-2025 [15]

KEVIN TANG/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Matter: Objection to Confirmation of Chapter 13 Plan Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4); no written opposition required

Disposition: Overruled as moot

Order: Civil minute order

Chapter 13 debtors may modify the plan before confirmation. 11 U.S.C. § 1323(a). If the debtor files a modification of the plan under § 1323, the modified plan becomes the plan. 11 U.S.C. § 1323(b). Filing a modified plan renders moot any objection to confirmation of the prior plan. The debtor has filed a modified plan after this objection to confirmation was filed. The objection will be overruled as moot.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the objection to confirmation is overruled as moot.

22. $\underline{25-20561}$ -A-13 IN RE: TRACEY MYNHIER DPC-1

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID CUSICK 4-3-2025 [25]

MICHAEL HAYS/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Objection: Trustee's Objection to Confirmation of Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4), 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition

required

Disposition: Continued to August 5, 2025, at 9:00 a.m.

Order: Civil minute order

The Chapter 13 trustee objects to confirmation of the debtor(s) plan.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court will continue the hearing on this objection to allow the parties to augment the evidentiary record.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on this objection will be continued to August 5, 2025, at 9:00 a.m. The court may rule in this matter without further hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no later than June 17, 2025, the debtor(s) shall do one of the following:

- (A) File a Statement of No Opposition. If the debtor(s) agree that the Chapter 13 trustee's objection is well taken, the debtor(s) shall concede the merits and file a statement of non-opposition to the objection. L.R. 230(c) ("A responding party who has no opposition to the granting of the motion shall serve and file a statement to that effect...); LBR 1001-1(c)-(d) (omitting the applicability of L.R. 230 unless the court orders otherwise);
- (B) Respond in Writing to the Objection. If the debtor(s) disagree with the trustee's objection, the debtor(s) shall file and serve a written response to the objection; the response shall specifically address each issue raised in the trustee's objection to confirmation, state whether the issue is disputed or undisputed, and

include admissible evidence in support of the debtor's position. If the debtor(s) file a response under paragraph 3(B) of this order, then the trustee shall file and serve a reply, if any, no later than July 15, 2025. The evidentiary record will close after July 15, 2025; or

(C) <u>File a Modified Plan</u>. If the debtor(s) wish to resolve the Chapter 13 trustee's objection by filing a modified plan, then the debtor(s) shall: (1) file and serve a modified Chapter 13 plan; and (2) file and serve a motion to confirm the modified plan.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the parties resolve the trustee's objection, and there are no additional objections to confirmation pending, then the debtor(s) may submit an order confirming the plan which has been signed by the Chapter 13 trustee. The trustee's signature on the order confirming plan represents to the court that no further objections to confirmation of the proposed plan are pending.

23. <u>24-25266</u>-A-13 **IN RE: SCOTT WENDORF AND SUZANNE TOLMICH** WENDORF DPC-1

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 4-1-2025 [73]

STEPHAN BROWN/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

This case was dismissed on April 16, 2025. Accordingly, the motion will be removed from the calendar as moot. No appearances are required.

24. $\frac{23-22167}{DPC-2}$ -A-13 IN RE: ROBERT/SHERYL WILLIAMS

MOTION TO CONVERT CASE FROM CHAPTER 13 TO CHAPTER 7 AND/OR MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 3-14-2025 [49]

CATHERINE KING/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

This case was dismissed on April 16, 2025. Accordingly, the motion will be removed from the calendar as moot. No appearances are required.

25. $\frac{23-23672}{CEP-5}$ -A-13 IN RE: NAWAL BSHARAH

CONTINUED MOTION FOR COMPENSATION FOR CLAY E. PRESLEY, DEBTORS ATTORNEY(S)
2-15-2025 [148]

CLAY PRESLEY/ATTY. FOR DBT. DEBTOR DISMISSED: 07/03/24

No Ruling

26. $\frac{24-22673}{DPC-1}$ -A-13 IN RE: ANNA ALMONTE

MOTION TO CONVERT CASE FROM CHAPTER 13 TO CHAPTER 7 AND/OR MOTION TO DISMISS CASE $3-14-2025 \quad [\frac{56}{9}]$

ERIC SCHWAB/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed by debtors

Disposition: Continued to May 13, 2025, at 9:00 a.m.

Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: April 15, 2025 Opposition Filed: None filed

Motion to Modify Plan Filed: March 19, 2025 - timely

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this case, asserting that cause exists under \S 1307(c)(1) and (6) as the debtor has failed to make all payments due under the plan. The trustee contends that the debtor is delinquent in the amount of \S 31,475.00, with two payment(s) of \S 6,295.00 due prior to the hearing date on this motion.

A modified plan has been timely filed and set for hearing in this case. The scheduled hearing on the modification is May 13, 2025, at 9:00 a.m. The court will continue the hearing on this motion to dismiss to coincide with the hearing on the plan modification. If the modification is disapproved, and the motion to dismiss has not been withdrawn or otherwise resolved, the court may dismiss the case at the continued hearing.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

IT IS ORDERED that the chapter 13 trustee's motion to dismiss is continued to May 13, 2025, at 9:00 a.m.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the trustee elects to oppose the debtor's motion to modify, then not later than 14 days prior to the continued hearing date the trustee shall file a status report updating this motion to dismiss. The status report shall provide a concise list explaining the remaining issues in the motion to dismiss and indicate the amount of any plan delinquency. The status report shall be succinct and shall not consist of a cut and paste of the opposition filed by the trustee in response to a motion to amend or modify the debtor's plan.

27. $\underline{25-20777}$ -A-13 IN RE: MARIA LISA SANTOS WILSON DPC-1

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P. CUSICK $4-9-2025 \quad [12]$

ARETE KOSTOPOULOS/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Objection: Trustee's Objection to Confirmation of Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4), 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition

required

Disposition: Continued to August 5, 2025, at 9:00 a.m.

Order: Civil minute order

The Chapter 13 trustee objects to confirmation of the debtor(s) plan.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court will continue the hearing on this objection to allow the parties to augment the evidentiary record.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on this objection will be continued to August 5, 2025, at 9:00 a.m. The court may rule in this matter without further hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no later than June 17, 2025, the debtor(s) shall do one of the following:

- (A) File a Statement of No Opposition. If the debtor(s) agree that the Chapter 13 trustee's objection is well taken, the debtor(s) shall concede the merits and file a statement of non-opposition to the objection. L.R. 230(c) ("A responding party who has no opposition to the granting of the motion shall serve and file a statement to that effect...); LBR 1001-1(c)-(d) (omitting the applicability of L.R. 230 unless the court orders otherwise);
- (B) Respond in Writing to the Objection. If the debtor(s) disagree with the trustee's objection, the debtor(s) shall file and serve a written response to the objection; the response shall specifically address each issue raised in the trustee's objection to confirmation, state whether the issue is disputed or undisputed, and include admissible evidence in support of the debtor's position. If the debtor(s) file a response under paragraph 3(B) of this order, then the trustee shall file and serve a reply, if any, no later than July 15, 2025. The evidentiary record will close after July 15, 2025; or
- (C) <u>File a Modified Plan</u>. If the debtor(s) wish to resolve the Chapter 13 trustee's objection by filing a modified plan, then the debtor(s) shall: (1) file and serve a modified Chapter 13 plan; and (2) file and serve a motion to confirm the modified plan.
- IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the parties resolve the trustee's objection, and there are no additional objections to confirmation pending, then the debtor(s) may submit an order confirming the plan which has been signed by the Chapter 13 trustee. The trustee's signature on the order confirming plan represents to the court that no further objections to confirmation of the proposed plan are pending.

28. $\frac{20-21479}{DPC-1}$ -A-13 IN RE: MARCO/CAROL GOMEZ

CONTINUED MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 3-13-2025 [60]

MARK WOLFF/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: Continued from April 15, 2025

Disposition: Denied

Order: Civil minute order

The hearing on this motion was continued from April 15, 2025, to allow for hearing on the debtor's motion to modify the chapter 13 plan. The motion to modify, DPC-1, has been granted.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion, the opposition, responses, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is denied.

29. $\frac{20-21479}{WW-5}$ -A-13 IN RE: MARCO/CAROL GOMEZ

MOTION TO MODIFY PLAN 3-20-2025 [64]

MARK WOLFF/ATTY. FOR DBT. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

Motion: Modify Chapter 13 Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(d)(2), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Prepared by movant, approved by the trustee

Subject: First Amended Chapter 13 Plan, filed March 20, 2025

DEFAULT OF RESPONDENT

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

The debtor(s) seek approval of the proposed modified Chapter 13 Plan. The plan is supported by Schedules I and J filed on March 20, 2025, ECF No. 68. The Chapter 13 trustee has filed a non-opposition to the motion, ECF No. 71.

CHAPTER 13 PLAN MODIFICATION

Chapter 13 plan modification is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1323, 1325, 1329 and by Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(a)(5) and 3015(g) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. "[T]he only limits on modification are those set forth in the language of the Code itself, coupled with the bankruptcy judge's discretion and good judgment in reviewing the motion to modify." In re Powers, 202 B.R. 618, 622 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1996).

Chapter 13 debtors seeking plan modification have the burden of proving that all requirements of § 1322(a) and (b) and § 1325(a) have been met. See 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322(a)-(b), 1325(a), 1329(b)(1); see also In re Powers, 202 B.R. at 622 ("[Section] 1329(b)(1) protects the parties from unwarranted modification motions by ensuring that the proposed modifications satisfy the same standards as required of the initial plan."); see also In re Barnes, 32 F.3d 405, 407 (9th Cir. 1994); In re Andrews, 49 F.3d 1404, 1408 (9th Cir. 1995).

The court finds that the debtor has sustained this burden of proof. The court will grant the motion and approve the modification.

30. 25-20480-A-13 **IN RE: PEGGY/RONALD GRAVES**

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE TO PAY FEES 4-9-2025 [22]

MICHAEL HAYS/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

As the installment fees have been paid in full, the order to show cause is discharged. The case will remain pending.

31. $\frac{22-22698}{NLG-1}$ -A-13 IN RE: NICKOLAS GARCIA AND JACK TYLER

MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY 3-25-2025 [80]

GABRIEL LIBERMAN/ATTY. FOR DBT. NICHOLE GLOWIN/ATTY. FOR MV. DEBTORS DISMISSED: 03/31/25 NEW AMERICAN FUNDING, LLC VS.

Final Ruling

Motion: Stay Relief

Disposition: Denied as moot
Order: Civil minute order

Federal courts have no authority to decide moot questions. Arizonans for Official English v. Arizona, 520 U.S. 43, 67-68, 72 (1997). "The basic question in determining mootness is whether there is a present controversy as to which effective relief can be granted." Nw. Envtl. Def. Ctr. v. Gordon, 849 F.2d 1241, 1244-45 (9th Cir. 1988) (citing United States v. Geophysical Corp., 732 F.2d 693, 698 (9th Cir.1984)).

Dismissal of a bankruptcy case terminates the automatic stay. Under \S 362(c)(1), the stay of an act against property of the estate terminates when such property leaves the estate. 11 U.S.C. \S 362(c)(1). And the dismissal of a case "revests the property of the estate in the entity in which such property was vested immediately before the commencement of the case." $Id. \S$ 349(b)(3). Under \S 362(c)(2), the stay of "any other act" under \S 362(a) terminates upon the earlier of three events: (i) dismissal of a case, (ii) closure of a case, or (iii) the time a discharge is granted or denied. 11 U.S.C. \S 362(c)(2)(A)-(C).

Because the case has been dismissed on March 31, 2025, ECF No. 88, the automatic stay no longer exists. The court is unable to grant effective relief. The motion will be denied as moot.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

New American Funding, LLC's motion for relief from the automatic stay has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion, and having heard oral argument presented at the hearing, if any,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is denied as moot. No relief will be awarded.