

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
Eastern District of California

Honorable Ronald H. Sargis
Bankruptcy Judge
Sacramento, California

April 5, 2023 at 9:00 a.m.

1. <u>20-20076-E-13</u> <u>DPC-3</u>	SHARON/JOHN FARVE Peter Macaluso	MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 2-24-23 <u>[75]</u>
---	-------------------------------------	---

Tentative Ruling: Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court’s resolution of the matter.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor’s Attorney, Persons who have file a Request for Notice, and Office of the United States Trustee on February 24, 2023. By the court’s calculation, 40 days’ notice was provided. 28 days’ notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed, material, factual issues remain to be resolved, then a later evidentiary hearing will be set. LOCAL BANKR. R. 9014-1(g).

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that:

1. the debtors, Sharon Fay Farve and John Delford Farve (“Debtors”), are delinquent in plan payments.

DEBTOR’S OPPOSITION

Debtors filed an Opposition on March 21, 2023. Dckt. 80. Debtors state the delinquency will be cured prior to the hearing date.

DISCUSSION

Delinquent

Debtors are \$2,220.00 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$370.00 plan payment. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Unfortunately for Debtors, a promise to pay is not evidence that resolves the Motion.

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue an order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

Tentative Ruling: Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court’s resolution of the matter.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor’s Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on February 24, 2023. By the court’s calculation, 40 days’ notice was provided. 28 days’ notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed, material, factual issues remain to be resolved, then a later evidentiary hearing will be set. LOCAL BANKR. R. 9014-1(g).

<p>The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.</p>
--

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that:

1. the debtor, (“Debtor”), Randolph Michael Carpadus has no Plan pending.

DEBTOR’S OPPOSITION

Debtor filed an Opposition on March 22, 2023. Dckt. 30. Debtor states they will file an amended plan prior to the hearing.

DISCUSSION

Debtor did not file a Plan or a Motion to Confirm a Plan following the court’s denial of confirmation to Debtor’s prior plan on December 15, 2022. A review of the docket shows that Debtor has not yet filed a new plan or a motion to confirm a plan. Debtor offers no explanation for the delay in setting a plan for confirmation. That is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Unfortunately for Debtor, a promise to file an amended plan is not evidence that resolves the Motion.

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue an order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

3. [19-23208-E-13](#) **PAUL/PAMELA ROBERTS** **MOTION TO DISMISS CASE**
[DPC-3](#) **Matthew DeCaminada** **2-23-23 [105]**

Tentative Ruling: Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court’s resolution of the matter.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor’s Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on February 23, 2023. By the court’s calculation, 41 days’ notice was provided. 28 days’ notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed, material, factual issues remain to be resolved, then a later evidentiary hearing will be set. LOCAL BANKR. R. 9014-1(g).

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that:

1. the debtor, Paul Wayne Roberts and Pamela Lee Roberts (“Debtor”), is delinquent in Plan payments.

DEBTOR’S OPPOSITION

Debtor filed an Opposition on March 22, 2023. Dckt. 109. Debtor states they will file a modified plan.

DISCUSSION

Delinquent

Debtor is \$13,000.00 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$2,000.00 plan payment. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Unfortunately for Debtor, a promise to file a modified plan is not evidence that resolves the Motion.

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue an order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

Tentative Ruling: Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court's resolution of the matter.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor (*pro se*) and Office of the United States Trustee on February 23, 2023. By the court's calculation, 41 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed, material, factual issues remain to be resolved, then a later evidentiary hearing will be set. LOCAL BANKR. R. 9014-1(g).

<p>The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.</p>
--

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that:

1. the debtor, Lori Denise Mickens ("Debtor"), is delinquent in Plan payments.

DEBTOR'S RESPONSE

Debtor filed a Response on March 22, 2023. Dckt. 69. Debtor states they have requested a withdrawal from their Profit Sharing Plan to bring the Plan current. Debtor does not know, however, if the delinquency will be cured prior to the hearing date. Debtor requests a short continuance.

TRUSTEE'S REPLY

Trustee filed a reply on March 27, 2023. Dckt. 70. Trustee is not opposed to a continuance, however, notes that the Debtor has not listed a Profit Sharing Plan on Schedule A/B, nor have they explained why they became delinquent and why they will refrain from being delinquent in the future.

At the hearing, **XXXXXXXXXXXX**

~~DISCUSSION~~

~~Delinquent~~

~~Debtor is \$6,322.00 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$850.00 plan payment. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).~~

~~Unfortunately for Debtor, a promise to pay is not evidence that resolves the Motion.~~

~~Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.~~

The court shall issue an order substantially in the following form holding that:

~~Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.~~

~~The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing;~~

~~**IT IS ORDERED** that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.~~

5. [17-22214-E-13](#) **RICHARD CRABTREE** **MOTION TO DISMISS CASE**
[DPC-2](#) **Douglas Jacobs** **3-6-23 [81]**

Tentative Ruling: Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court’s resolution of the matter.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor’s Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on March 6, 2023. By the court’s calculation, 30 days’ notice was provided. 28 days’ notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed, material, factual issues remain to be resolved, then a later evidentiary hearing will be set. LOCAL BANKR. R. 9014-1(g).

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that:

1. the debtor, Richard Lee Crabtree (“Debtor”), is delinquent in Plan payments.

DEBTOR’S RESPONSE

Debtor filed a Response on March 21, 2023. Dckt. 85. Debtor admits to being delinquent, however, states they will be requesting a hardship discharge for medical reasons.

Upon the court’s review of the file, no motion for entry of a hardship discharge has been filed. At the hearing, ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~

DISCUSSION

Delinquent

~~Debtor is \$10,052.00 delinquent in plan payments. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).~~

~~Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.~~

~~The court shall issue an order substantially in the following form holding that:~~

~~Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.~~

~~The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing;~~

~~**IT IS ORDERED** that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.~~

Tentative Ruling: Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court's resolution of the matter.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on February 24, 2023. By the court's calculation, 40 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed, material, factual issues remain to be resolved, then a later evidentiary hearing will be set. LOCAL BANKR. R. 9014-1(g).

<p>The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.</p>
--

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that:

1. the debtor, Andrew Caragan and Rina Caragan ("Debtor"), is delinquent in Plan payments.

DEBTOR'S RESPONSE

Debtor filed a Response on March 21, 2023. Dckt. 39. Debtor states the delinquency will be cured prior to the hearing date.

DISCUSSION

Delinquent

Debtor is \$2,757.02 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$553.00 plan payment. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Unfortunately for Debtor, a promise to pay is not evidence that resolves the Motion.

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue an order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

7. [22-21027-E-13](#) **JAMES BURKE** **MOTION TO DISMISS CASE**
[DPC-1](#) **Michael Hays** **3-1-23 [51]**

Tentative Ruling: Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court’s resolution of the matter.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor’s Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on March 1, 2023. By the court’s calculation, 35 days’ notice was provided. 28 days’ notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed, material, factual issues remain to be resolved, then a later evidentiary hearing will be set. LOCAL BANKR. R. 9014-1(g).

The hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is continued to 9:00 a.m. on May 10, 2023.
--

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that:

1. the debtor, James Jacob Burke (“Debtor”), is delinquent in Plan payments.

DEBTOR’S RESPONSE

Debtor filed a Response on March 21, 2023. Dckt. 55. Debtor states the delinquency will be cured prior to April 15, 2023. Debtor requests a conditional order that their case not be dismissed as long as the payment is received by then.

DISCUSSION

Delinquent

Debtor is \$5,244.00 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$1,769.00 plan payment. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Though Debtor requests a conditional order, the court continues the hearing.

The court shall issue an order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is continued to 9:00 a.m. on May 10, 2023.

If Debtor has cured the default and the Trustee concurs, counsel for the Debtor may prepare and transmit an *ex parte* motion to dismiss this Motion to Dismiss and proposed order. If the Trustee concurs, the Trustee shall countersign the *ex parte* motion so stating no opposition, and the Trustee shall file the *ex parte* motion and lodge the proposed order with the court.

Tentative Ruling: Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court's resolution of the matter.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on February 23, 2023. By the court's calculation, 41 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed, material, factual issues remain to be resolved, then a later evidentiary hearing will be set. LOCAL BANKR. R. 9014-1(g).

<p>The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.</p>
--

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that:

1. the debtor, Kimberly Marie Gordon ("Debtor"), is delinquent in Plan payments.

DEBTOR'S OPPOSITION

Debtor filed an Opposition on March 21, 2023. Dckt. 78. Debtor states the delinquency will be cured prior to the hearing date.

DISCUSSION

Delinquent

Debtor is \$3,700.00 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$740.00 plan payment. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Unfortunately for Debtor, a promise to pay is not evidence that resolves the Motion.

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue an order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

9. [21-23539-E-13](#) **DEREK WOLF** **MOTION TO DISMISS CASE**
[DPC-2](#) **Peter Macaluso** **2-22-23 [146]**

Tentative Ruling: Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court’s resolution of the matter.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor’s Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on February 22, 2023. By the court’s calculation, 42 days’ notice was provided. 28 days’ notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed, material, factual issues remain to be resolved, then a later evidentiary hearing will be set. LOCAL BANKR. R. 9014-1(g).

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that:

1. the debtor, Derek L Wolf (“Debtor”), is delinquent in Plan payments.

DEBTOR’S OPPOSITION

Debtor filed an Opposition on March 21, 2023. Dckt. 158. Debtor states they will file and serve an Amended Plan and requests a thirty day continuance.

DISCUSSION

Delinquent

Debtor is \$13,345.14 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$2,258.07 plan payment. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Unfortunately for Debtor, a promise to file an amended plan is not evidence that resolves the Motion.

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue an order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

10. 22-22443 -E-13	RANDOLPH CARPADUS	MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
DPC-2	Gabriel Liberman	2-13-23 [26]

Tentative Ruling: Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court’s resolution of the matter.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor’s Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on February 13, 2023. By the court’s calculation, 51 days’ notice was provided. 28 days’ notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed, material, factual issues remain to be resolved, then a later evidentiary hearing will be set. LOCAL BANKR. R. 9014-1(g).

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that:

1. the debtor, Randolph Michael Carpadus (“Debtor”), has no plan pending.

DEBTOR’S RESPONSE

Debtor filed a Response on March 22, 2023. Dckt. 30. Debtor states they will file an Amended Plan prior to the hearing date.

DISCUSSION

Prior Plan Denied, No New Plan

Debtor did not file a Plan or a Motion to Confirm a Plan following the court’s denial of confirmation to Debtor’s prior plan on December 15, 2022. A review of the docket shows that Debtor has not yet filed a new plan or a motion to confirm a plan. Debtor offers no explanation for the delay in setting a plan for confirmation. That is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Unfortunately for Debtor, a promise to file an amended plan is not evidence that resolves the Motion.

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue an order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

Tentative Ruling: Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court's resolution of the matter.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Hearing Required.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on March 6, 2023. By the court's calculation, 30 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Convert has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party's failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). The defaults of the non-responding parties and other parties in interest are entered.

The Motion to Convert the Chapter 13 Bankruptcy Case to a Case under Chapter 7 is granted, and the case is converted to one under Chapter 7.

This Motion to Convert the Chapter 13 bankruptcy case of Daniel Kevin Snook and Sharon Lynne Azevedo ("Debtor") has been filed by David Cusick ("Movant"), the Chapter 13 Trustee. Movant asserts that the case should be dismissed or converted based on the following grounds:

1. the Debtor, David Kevin Snook, ("deceased Debtor") is deceased.
2. Debtor is delinquent on plan payments.

DEBTOR'S RESPONSE

Debtor Sharon Lynne Azevedo filed a Response on March 13, 2023. Dckt. 54. Debtor states debtor Sharon Lynne Azevedo ("Debtor Sharon") has filed a motion for substitution on March 10, 2023. Debtor Sharon further states she has obtained Court approval to employ a real estate agent in order to sell the real property located at 8252 Mercer Way, Fair Oaks, CA 95628 to pay off all claims of the chapter 13 case.

TRUSTEE'S REPLY TO DEBTOR

Trustee filed a reply on March 16, 2023. Dckt. 71. Trustee does not believe the motion to substitute will resolve the issues in the motion to dismiss but is not opposed to a continuance. If the court agrees to continue the Motion, Trustee requests the matter be continued to the May 10, 2023 calendar at 9:00 a.m.

APPLICABLE LAW

Questions of conversion or dismissal must be dealt with a thorough, two-step analysis: “[f]irst, it must be determined that there is ‘cause’ to act[.]; [s]econd, once a determination of ‘cause’ has been made, a choice must be made between conversion and dismissal based on the ‘best interests of the creditors and the estate.’” *Nelson v. Meyer (In re Nelson)*, 343 B.R. 671, 675 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2006) (citing *Ho v. Dowell (In re Ho)*, 274 B.R. 867, 877 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2002)).

The Bankruptcy Code Provides:

[O]n request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, or may dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c). The court engages in a “totality of circumstances” test, weighing facts on a case-by-case basis and determining whether cause exists, and if so, whether conversion or dismissal is proper. *Drummond v. Welsh (In re Welsh)*, 711 F.3d 1120, 1123 (9th Cir. 2013) (citing *Leavitt v. Soto (In re Leavitt)*, 171 F.3d 1219 (9th Cir. 1999)). Bad faith is one of the enumerated “for cause” grounds under 11 U.S.C. § 1307. *Nady v. DeFrantz (In re DeFrantz)*, 454 B.R. 108, 112 n.4 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2011) (citing *In re Leavitt*, 171 F.3d at 1224).

DISCUSSION

Death of Debtors

Under 11 U.S.C. § 1016, a Chapter 13 case may be dismissed upon death or incompetency of a debtor. This is largely due to Chapter 13 plans being dependent on the debtor’s future earnings. 9 Collier on Bankruptcy P 1016.04 (16th 2021). However, if further administration is possible and in the best interest of the parties, the case may proceed and concluded in the same manner, so far as possible, as though death or incompetency had not occurred, with the court appointing a personal representative successor to the late debtor. 11 U.S.C. § 1016.

It is unlikely that the remaining debtor will be able to carry out the plan with only social security income. It is possible however with the sale of the home proposed in Debtor’s response that Debtor is able to cure any delinquency’s and continue with the plan.

Delinquent

Debtor is \$5,200.00 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$2,600.00 plan payment. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

It is possible that Debtor is able to cure the delinquency with the sale of the property at 8252 Mercer Way, Fair Oaks, CA 95628. Debtor has taken the first step to making the sale as she has hired a real estate agent with court approval. Declaration, Dckt 55.

At the hearing, ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~

~~_____ Cause exists to convert this case pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c). The Motion is granted, and the case is converted to a case under Chapter 7.~~

~~The court shall issue an order substantially in the following form holding that:~~

~~_____ Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.~~

~~_____ The Motion to Convert the Chapter 13 case filed by David Cusick (“the Chapter 13 Trustee”) having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing;~~

~~_____ IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Convert is granted, and the case is converted to a proceeding under Chapter 7 of Title 11, United States Code.~~

Tentative Ruling: Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court’s resolution of the matter.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor’s Attorney, Persons who have filed a Request for Notice, and Office of the United States Trustee on February 24, 2023. By the court’s calculation, 40 days’ notice was provided. 28 days’ notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed, material, factual issues remain to be resolved, then a later evidentiary hearing will be set. LOCAL BANKR. R. 9014-1(g).

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that:

1. the debtor, Louis Agalos Javar (“Debtor”), is delinquent on plan payments.

DEBTOR’S ATTORNEY’S RESPONSE

Debtor’s attorney filed a Response on March 14, 202. Dckt. 78. Debtor’s attorney states the debtor has not contacted the attorney regarding the motion to dismiss. Debtor’s attorney asks the court to give the Debtor until the date of the hearing to bring the payments current.

DISCUSSION

Delinquent

Debtor is \$14,455.00 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$3,139.60 plan payment. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue an order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

13. [21-22085-E-13](#)
[DPC-3](#)

SHARRON WINGHAM
Bert Vega

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
3-1-23 [\[80\]](#)

Tentative Ruling: Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court’s resolution of the matter.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor’s Attorney, Persons who have filed a Request for Notice, and Office of the United States Trustee on March 1, 2023. By the court’s calculation, 35 days’ notice was provided. 28 days’ notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed, material, factual issues remain to be resolved, then a later evidentiary hearing will be set. LOCAL BANKR. R. 9014-1(g).

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that:

1. the debtor, Sharron Renee Wingham (“Debtor”), is delinquent on plan payments.

DEBTOR’S RESPONSE

Debtor filed a Response on March 20, 2023. Dckt. 84. Debtor states the delinquency will be cured by June 30 via an installment plan.

DISCUSSION

Delinquent

Debtor is \$3,750.00 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$934.50 plan payment. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Unfortunately for Debtor, a promise to pay in installment plans is not evidence that resolves the Motion.

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue an order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

Tentative Ruling: Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court's resolution of the matter.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, Persons who have filed a Request for Notice, and Office of the United States Trustee on March 1, 2023. By the court's calculation, 35 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed, material, factual issues remain to be resolved, then a later evidentiary hearing will be set. LOCAL BANKR. R. 9014-1(g).

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that:

1. the debtors, Derwin Darby and Gloria Ann Darby ("Debtors"), is delinquent on plan payments.

DEBTORS' OPPOSITION

Debtors filed an Opposition on March 22, 2023. Dckt. 34. Debtors state they will present a viable modified plan at the hearing.

DISCUSSION

Delinquent

Debtor is \$11,100.00 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$3,700.00 plan payment. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Unfortunately for Debtor, a promise to file a modified plan is not evidence that resolves the Motion.

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue an order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

FINAL RULINGS

15. [19-26200-E-13](#)
[DPC-1](#)

ERIC HUTTON
Douglas Jacobs

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
2-23-23 [39]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the April 5, 2023 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—No Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor’s Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on February 23, 2023. By the court’s calculation, 41 days’ notice was provided. 28 days’ notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party’s failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the respondent and other parties in interest are entered. Upon review of the record, there are no disputed material factual issues, and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. The court will issue its ruling from the parties’ pleadings.

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that:

1. the debtor, Eric Eugene Hutton (“Debtor”), is delinquent in Plan payments.

DISCUSSION

Debtor is \$7,783.48 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$2,306.54 plan payment. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue an order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is xxxxx, and the case is dismissed.

16. [19-26101-E-13](#)
[DPC-3](#)

JUDITH HART
Justin Kuney

CONTINUED MOTION TO DISMISS
CASE
1-25-23 [109]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the April 5, 2023 Hearing is required.

If the Chapter 13 Trustee has determined that the Motion to Dismiss itself may be dismissed in light of the Modified Plan and Motion to Confirm having been filed and set for hearing, the Trustee may make an oral motion to so dismiss at the court’s April 5, 2023 hearing date, if not dismissed by *ex parte* motion prior to the hearing.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor’s Attorney, Persons who filed a Request for Notice and Office of the United States Trustee on January 25, 2023. By the court’s calculation, 28 days’ notice was provided. 28 days’ notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed, material, factual issues remain to be resolved, then a later evidentiary hearing will be set. LOCAL BANKR. R. 9014-1(g).

<p>The hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is continued to April 11, 2023 at 2:00 p.m. in Courtroom 33.</p>

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that:

1. the debtor, Judith Beverly Hart (“Debtor”), is delinquent in plan payments.

DEBTOR’S OPPOSITION

Debtor filed an Opposition on February 9, 2023. Dckt. 113. Debtor states she is in the process of modifying her Chapter 13 Plan and will be unable to cure her delinquency prior to the hearing date.

DISCUSSION

Delinquent

Debtor is \$6,420.11 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$1,573.55 plan payment. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Unfortunately for Debtor, a promise to file a modified plan is not evidence that resolves the Motion.

The Debtor has filed a Modified Plan and Motion to Confirm. The Trustee concurred in the request for a continuance.

April 5, 2023 Hearing

No status report has been filed prior to the hearing. However, the hearing on the Motion to Confirm the Modified Plan is set for hearing on April 11, 2023. Notice, Dckt. 117.

Debtor has filed a Modified Plan (Dckt. 118) and Motion to Confirm (Dckt. 116) to address the defaults. From the court’s preliminary review, it appears that the Motion states grounds with particularity upon which relief is based and that the Declaration in support (Dckt. 119) states personal knowledge testimony in support of the Motion to Confirm.

However, the Trustee has filed an opposition to the Motion to Confirm.

In light of the hearing on the Motion to Confirm being less than one week after this hearing and the Opposition having been filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee, the court continues the hearing on the Motion to Dismiss to be heard in conjunction with the Motion to Confirm.

The court shall issue an order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), having been presented to the court, and upon

review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is continued to **April 11, 2023 at 2:00 p.m.** in Courtroom 33.

17. [21-23303-E-13](#) **BRIAN/STEPHANIE PACE** **MOTION TO DISMISS CASE**
[DPC-2](#) **Eric Schwab** **3-1-23 [44]**

Final Ruling: No appearance at the April 5, 2023 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor’s Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on March 1, 2023. By the court’s calculation, 35 days’ notice was provided. 28 days’ notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party’s failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the respondent and other parties in interest are entered. Upon review of the record, there are no disputed material factual issues, and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. The court will issue its ruling from the parties’ pleadings.

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that:

1. the debtor, Brian Joseph Pace and Stephanie Kathleen Pace (“Debtor”), is delinquent in Plan payments.

DEBTOR’S RESPONSE

Debtor filed a Response on March 21, 2023. Dckt. 48. Debtor states they have no opposition to the Motion.

DISCUSSION

Debtor is \$13,025.29 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$2,533.00 plan payment. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue an order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

Final Ruling: No appearance at the April 5, 2023 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—No Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor’s Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on February 24, 2023. By the court’s calculation, 40 days’ notice was provided. 28 days’ notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party’s failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the respondent and other parties in interest are entered. Upon review of the record, there are no disputed material factual issues, and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. The court will issue its ruling from the parties’ pleadings.

The Motion to Dismiss is denied without prejudice as moot.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that:

1. the debtor, Flaviano Garcia Nava and Jessica Alene Nava (“Debtor”), is delinquent in Plan payments.

Debtor filed a Notice of Conversion on March 28, 2023, however, converting the case to a proceeding under Chapter 7. Dckt. 41. Debtor may convert a Chapter 13 case to a Chapter 7 case at any time. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(a). The right is nearly absolute, and the conversion is automatic and immediate. FED. R. BANKR. P. 1017(f)(3); *In re Bullock*, 41 B.R. 637, 638 (Bankr. E.D. Penn. 1984); *In re McFadden*, 37 B.R. 520, 521 (Bankr. M.D. Penn. 1984). Debtor’s case was converted to a proceeding under Chapter 7 by operation of law once the Notice of Conversion was filed on March 28, 2023. *McFadden*, 37 B.R. at 521.

The court shall issue an order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is denied without prejudice as moot.

19. [18-22810-E-13](#) **LYNBERG/CHONALYN RUBI** **MOTION TO DISMISS CASE**
[DPC-2](#) **Mark Wolff** **2-8-23 [43]**

Final Ruling: No appearance at the March 5, 2023 Hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor’s Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on February 8, 2023. By the court’s calculation, 56 days’ notice was provided. 28 days’ notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed, material, factual issues remain to be resolved, then a later evidentiary hearing will be set. LOCAL BANKR. R. 9014-1(g).

The Motion to Dismiss is dismissed without prejudice.
--

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that:

1. the debtor, Lynberg B. Rubi and Chonalyn L. Rubi (“Debtor”), is delinquent in Plan payments.

On March 29, 2023, the Trustee filed an *Ex Parte* Motion to dismiss this Motion (Dckt. 51), stating that the Debtor is now current on the Plan payments.

The Motion is dismissed without prejudice.

The court shall issue an order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), the Trustee having filed an Ex Parte Motion to Dismiss this Motion, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is dismissed without prejudice, and the Bankruptcy Case shall proceed in this court.

20. [19-21920-E-13](#)
[DPC-1](#)

SUZANNE FLEMONS
Diana Cavanaugh

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
3-6-23 [64]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the March 5, 2023 Hearing is required.

If the Chapter 13 Trustee has determined that the Motion to Dismiss itself may be dismissed in light of the Modified Plan and Motion to Confirm having been filed and set for hearing, the Trustee may make an oral motion to so dismiss at the court’s April 5, 2023 hearing date, if not dismissed by *ex parte* motion prior to the hearing.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor’s Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on March 6, 2023. By the court’s calculation, 30 days’ notice was provided. 28 days’ notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed, material, factual issues remain to be resolved, then a later evidentiary hearing will be set. LOCAL BANKR. R. 9014-1(g).

The hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is dismissed without prejudice, and the Bankruptcy Case shall continue in this court.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that:

1. the debtor, Suzanne Flemons (“Debtor”), is delinquent in Plan payments.

DEBTOR'S OPPOSITION

Debtor filed an Opposition on March 22, 2023. Dckt. 68. Debtor states they will file a modified plan prior to the hearing date.

DISCUSSION

Delinquent

Debtor is \$14,600.00 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$3,773.54 plan payment. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Plan and Motion to Confirm Filed

Debtor has filed on March 29, 2023, a Second Modified Plan (Dckt. 73) and Motion to Confirm (Dckt. 71) to address the defaults. From the court's preliminary review, it appears that the Motion states grounds with particularity upon which relief is based and that the Declaration in support (Dckt. 74) states personal knowledge testimony in support of the Motion to Confirm.

The court shall issue an order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is continued to **2:00 p.m. on May 16, 2023**, to be conducted in conjunction with the hearing on the Motion to Confirm the Second Modified Plan.

Final Ruling: No appearance at the April 5, 2023 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—No Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor’s Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on February 24, 2023. By the court’s calculation, 40 days’ notice was provided. 28 days’ notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party’s failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the respondent and other parties in interest are entered. Upon review of the record, there are no disputed material factual issues, and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. The court will issue its ruling from the parties’ pleadings.

<p>The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.</p>
--

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that:

1. the debtor, Stephanie Ann Powers (“Debtor”), is delinquent in Plan payments.

DISCUSSION

Delinquent

Debtor is \$1,205.00 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$225.00 plan payment. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue an order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

22. [19-26523-E-13](#)
[DPC-3](#)

JULIAN HARDY
Richard Jare

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
2-22-23 [65]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the March 5, 2023 Hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor’s Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on March 22, 2023. By the court’s calculation, 42 days’ notice was provided. 28 days’ notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed, material, factual issues remain to be resolved, then a later evidentiary hearing will be set. LOCAL BANKR. R. 9014-1(g).

<p>The Motion to Dismiss is dismissed without prejudice.</p>

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that:

1. the debtor, Julian Chappell Franz Hardy (“Debtor”), is delinquent in Plan payments.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), having filed an *Ex Parte* Motion to Dismiss the pending Motion on March 29, 2023, Dckt. 74; no prejudice to the responding party appearing by the dismissal of the Motion; the Chapter 13 Trustee having the right to request dismissal of the motion pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041; and the dismissal being consistent with the opposition filed by Debtor; the *Ex*

Parte Motion is granted, the Chapter 13 Trustee's Motion is dismissed without prejudice, and the court removes this Motion from the calendar.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 Case filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee") having been presented to the court, the Chapter 13 Trustee having requested that the Motion itself be dismissed pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041, Dckt. 34, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Chapter 13 Trustee's Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 Case is dismissed without prejudice, and the bankruptcy case shall proceed in this court.

23.	18-27024 -E-13	PEDRO/GAUDENCIA AMBALONG	CONTINUED MOTION TO DISMISS
	DPC -2	Ronald Holland	CASE
			1-12-23 [38]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the March 5, 2023 Hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, Office of the United States Trustee, and persons who have filed a Request for Notice on January 12, 2023. By the court's calculation, 41 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed, material, factual issues remain to be resolved, then a later evidentiary hearing will be set. LOCAL BANKR. R. 9014-1(g).

<p>The Motion to Dismiss is dismissed without prejudice, and the bankruptcy case shall proceed in this court.</p>
--

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that:

1. The deceased debtors, Pedro B. Ambalong and Gaudencia L. Ambalong (“Deceased Debtor”), is delinquent in plan payments.

DECEASED DEBTOR’S COUNSEL’S REPLY

Deceased Debtor’s Counsel filed a Reply on February 2, 2023. Dckt. 43. Deceased Debtor’s Counsel states both debtors are deceased. *Id.* In addition, Counsel contends that the estate of the Deceased Debtor was entitled to a discharge prior to the completion of plan payments. *Id.* Counsel states that they will forthwith file the appropriate notices of death, motion to appoint Jodi Ambalong as the representative of the bankruptcy estate, and a Motion for Discharge. *Id.* Counsel requests that the case not be dismissed pending the motion for discharge. *Id.*

TRUSTEE’S SUPPLEMENTAL EX PARTE MOTION TO DISMISS

On March 29, 2023, the Trustee filed an *Ex Parte* Motion to dismiss this Motion (Dckt. 67), stating that the Debtors have died and a motion for hardship discharge is pending.

The Motion is dismissed without prejudice.

The court shall issue an order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Chapter 13 Trustee’s Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 Case is dismissed without prejudice, and the bankruptcy case shall proceed in this court.

Final Ruling: No appearance at the April 5, 2023 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor’s Attorney, Office of the United States Trustee, and persons who have filed a Request for Notice on January 17, 2023. By the court’s calculation, 36 days’ notice was provided. 28 days’ notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed, material, factual issues remain to be resolved, then a later evidentiary hearing will be set. LOCAL BANKR. R. 9014-1(g).

The Motion to Dismiss is dismissed without prejudice, and the bankruptcy case shall proceed in this court.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that:

1. The debtors, Tammy Lou Potter Goddard and Betty Ann Potter Goddard (“Debtor”), are delinquent in plan payments.

DEBTOR’S RESPONSE

Debtor filed a Response on February 8, 2023. Dckt. 165. Debtor states that the delinquency was inadvertent and due to a misunderstanding of the amount of the payments. *Id.* Debtor notes that they have routinely made every monthly payment and believed they were current. *Id.* Debtor proposes a payment plan to cure the deficiency by March 1, 2023. *Id.*

Debtor requests a continuance of this motion until either March 28, 2023 or April 11, 2023, with the condition that the trustee can dismiss their continued motion if the Debtor is current. *Id.* If the Debtor is not current by that time, Debtor will file a Motion for Modification to incorporate any remaining arrears into their plan payments. *Id.*

DISCUSSION

Delinquent

Debtor is \$3,980.26 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$1,395.00 plan payment. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Unfortunately for Debtor, a promise to pay and or file a modified plan is not evidence that resolves the Motion.

At the Hearing, the Trustee reported that the monetary delinquency has been reduced, with a cure in payments. The Trustee requested a continuance to afford the Debtor the opportunity to complete the cure.

Amended Supplemental *Ex Parte* Motion to Dismiss

Trustee filed an Amended Supplemental *Ex Parte* Motion to Dismiss pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 41 and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041. Trustee states Debtor is current in Plan payments and requests the court dismiss this Motion.

No prejudice to the responding party appearing by the dismissal of the Motion; the Chapter 13 Trustee having the right to request dismissal of the motion pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041; and the dismissal being consistent with the opposition of Debtor; the *Ex Parte* Motion is granted, the Chapter 13 Trustee's Motion is dismissed without prejudice, and the court removes this Motion from the calendar.

The court shall issue an order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Chapter 13 Trustee's Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 Case is dismissed without prejudice, and the bankruptcy case shall proceed in this court.

CASE DISMISSED: 2/21/23

Final Ruling: No appearance at the April 5, 2023 hearing is required.

The Order to Show Cause was served by the Clerk of the Court on Debtor (*pro se*) and Chapter 13 Trustee as stated on the Certificate of Service on February 16 and 17, 2023. The court computes that 47 and 48 days' notice has been provided.

The court issued an Order to Show Cause based on Debtor's failure to pay fees.

The Order to Show Cause is discharged as moot.

The court having dismissed this bankruptcy case by prior order filed on February 21, 2023 (Dckt. 14), the Order to Show Cause is discharged as moot, with no sanctions ordered.

The court shall issue an order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Order to Show Cause having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Order to Show Cause is discharged as moot, and no sanctions are ordered.

Final Ruling: No appearance at the April 5, 2023 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—No Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor’s Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on February 8, 2023. By the court’s calculation, 56 days’ notice was provided. 28 days’ notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party’s failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the respondent and other parties in interest are entered. Upon review of the record, there are no disputed material factual issues, and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. The court will issue its ruling from the parties’ pleadings.

<p>The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.</p>
--

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that:

1. the debtor, Jeanie Ream (“Debtor”), is delinquent in Plan payments.

DISCUSSION

Delinquent

Debtor is \$2,100.00 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$525.00 plan payment. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue an order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

27. [21-23534-E-13](#)
[DPC-1](#)

JESSE FARLEY
Richard Sturdevant

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
2-8-23 [43]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the April 5, 2023 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor’s Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on February 8, 2023. By the court’s calculation, 56 days’ notice was provided. 28 days’ notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed, material, factual issues remain to be resolved, then a later evidentiary hearing will be set. LOCAL BANKR. R. 9014-1(g).

The Motion to Dismiss is denied without prejudice.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that:

1. the debtor, Jesse Farley (“Debtor”), is delinquent in Plan payments.

DEBTOR’S OPPOSITION

Debtor filed an opposition on March 22, 2023. Dckt. 55. Debtor states they filed a Modified Plan that cures the delinquency.

FILING OF MODIFIED PLAN

Debtor filed a Modified Plan and Motion to Confirm on March 22, 2023. Dckts. 52, 48. The court has reviewed the Motion to Confirm the Modified Plan and the Declaration in support filed by Debtor. Dckt. 50. The Motion appears to comply with Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9013 (stating grounds with particularity), and the Declaration appears to provide testimony as to facts to support confirmation based upon Debtor's personal knowledge. FED. R. EVID. 601, 602.

Debtor appearing to be actively prosecuting this case, the Motion to Dismiss is denied without prejudice.

The court shall issue an order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is denied without prejudice.

Final Ruling: No appearance at the April 5, 2023 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—No Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor’s Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on February 24, 2023. By the court’s calculation, 40 days’ notice was provided. 28 days’ notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party’s failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the respondent and other parties in interest are entered. Upon review of the record, there are no disputed material factual issues, and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. The court will issue its ruling from the parties’ pleadings.

<p>The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.</p>
--

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that:

1. the debtor, Aida Abobon Palma (“Debtor”), is delinquent in Plan payments.

DISCUSSION

Delinquent

Debtor is \$44,141.88 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$7,485.47 plan payment. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue an order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

29. [22-20235](#)-E-13
[DPC-2](#)

KENNETH LOKE
Michael Hays

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
3-1-23 [26]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the April 5, 2023 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—No Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor’s Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on March 1, 2023. By the court’s calculation, 35 days’ notice was provided. 28 days’ notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party’s failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the respondent and other parties in interest are entered. Upon review of the record, there are no disputed material factual issues, and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. The court will issue its ruling from the parties’ pleadings.

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that:

1. the debtor, Kenneth Scott Loke (“Debtor”), is delinquent in Plan payments.

DEBTOR’S COUNSEL’S RESPONSE

Debtor’s Counsel filed a Response on March 21, 2023. Dckt. 30. Debtor’s Counsel states they have no grounds to oppose and have not been able to make contact with the Debtor.

DISCUSSION

Delinquent

Debtor is \$10,897.00 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$1,830.00 plan payment. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue an order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

Final Ruling: No appearance at the April 5, 2023 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—No Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor’s Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on March 7, 2023. By the court’s calculation, 29 days’ notice was provided. 28 days’ notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party’s failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the respondent and other parties in interest are entered. Upon review of the record, there are no disputed material factual issues, and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. The court will issue its ruling from the parties’ pleadings.

<p>The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.</p>
--

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that:

1. the debtor, Jerry Richard Lopez (“Debtor”), is delinquent in Plan payments.
2. There is no current plan pending.

DISCUSSION

Delinquent

Debtor is \$3,000.00 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$2,715.35 plan payment. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Prior Plan Denied, No New Plan

Debtor did not file a Plan or a Motion to Confirm a Plan following the court's denial of confirmation to Debtor's prior plan on January 26, 2023. A review of the docket shows that Debtor has not yet filed a new plan or a motion to confirm a plan. That is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue an order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

Final Ruling: No appearance at the April 5, 2023 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—No Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor’s Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on February 28, 2023. By the court’s calculation, 36 days’ notice was provided. 28 days’ notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party’s failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the respondent and other parties in interest are entered. Upon review of the record, there are no disputed material factual issues, and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. The court will issue its ruling from the parties’ pleadings.

The Motion to Dismiss is denied without prejudice as moot.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that:

1. the debtor, David Raleigh Taylor (“Debtor”), is delinquent on plan payments.

Debtor filed a Notice of Conversion on March 31, 2023, however, converting the case to a proceeding under Chapter 7. Dckt. 52. Debtor may convert a Chapter 13 case to a Chapter 7 case at any time. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(a). The right is nearly absolute, and the conversion is automatic and immediate. FED. R. BANKR. P. 1017(f)(3); *In re Bullock*, 41 B.R. 637, 638 (Bankr. E.D. Penn. 1984); *In re McFadden*, 37 B.R. 520, 521 (Bankr. M.D. Penn. 1984). Debtor’s case was converted to a proceeding under Chapter 7 by operation of law once the Notice of Conversion was filed on March 31, 2023. *McFadden*, 37 B.R. at 521.

The court shall issue an order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is denied without prejudice as moot.

32. [17-27346-E-13](#)
[DPC-11](#)

KENNETH TABOR
Scott Shumaker

CONTINUED MOTION TO DISMISS
CASE
1-17-23 [255]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the April 5, 2023 hearing is required

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor’s Attorney, persons who have filed a Request for Notice, and Office of the United States Trustee on January 17, 2023. By the court’s calculation, 36 days’ notice was provided. 28 days’ notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed, material, factual issues remain to be resolved, then a later evidentiary hearing will be set. LOCAL BANKR. R. 9014-1(g).

The Motion to Dismiss is dismissed without prejudice, and the bankruptcy case shall proceed in this court.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that:

1. the debtor, Kenneth Roger Tabor (“Debtor”), is delinquent in Plan Payments.
2. Trustee recommends dismissal because Debtor is in a confirmed 100% plan and has paid such a large amount. There is \$449,750.00 of non-exempt equity.

DEBTOR'S RESPONSE

Debtor filed a Response on February 7, 2023. Dckt. 259. Debtor states the delinquency will be cured prior to the hearing date or propose a confirmable modified plan prior to the hearing date on this matter.

DISCUSSION

Debtor is \$5,835.00 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$1,945.00 plan payment. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Unfortunately for Debtor, a promise to pay or file a new plan is not evidence that resolves this Motion.

At the hearing, the Trustee reported that the delinquency remains. Counsel for Debtor says that certified checks are "in the mail."

The Trustee requested that this matter be continued to afford Debtor the opportunity to complete the cure.

Amended Supplemental Ex Parte Motion to Dismiss

Trustee filed an Amended Supplemental Ex Parte Motion to Dismiss pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041; and the dismissal being consistent with the opposition of Debtor; the Ex Parte Motion is granted, the Chapter 13 Trustee's Motion is dismissed without prejudice, and the court removes this Motion from the calendar.

The court shall issue an order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Chapter 13 Trustee's Motion to Dismiss the Case is dismissed without prejudice, and the bankruptcy case shall proceed in this court.

Final Ruling: No appearance at the April 5, 2023 hearing is required

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor’s Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on February 8, 2023. By the court’s calculation, 56 days’ notice was provided. 28 days’ notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed, material, factual issues remain to be resolved, then a later evidentiary hearing will be set. LOCAL BANKR. R. 9014-1(g).

The Motion to Dismiss is dismissed without prejudice, and the bankruptcy case shall proceed in this court.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that:

1. the debtors, Richard Andrew West and Donia Lin West (“Debtors”), are delinquent in plan payments.

DEBTORS’ RESPONSE

Debtors filed a Response on March 21, 2023. Dckt. 51. Debtors states the delinquency will be cured prior to the hearing date.

On March 29, 2023, the Trustee filed an *Ex Parte* Motion to dismiss this Motion (Dckt. 51), stating that the Debtor is now current on the Plan payments.

The Motion is dismissed without prejudice.

The court shall issue an order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), the Trustee having filed an *Ex Parte* Motion

to Dismiss this Motion, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is dismissed without prejudice, and the Bankruptcy Case shall proceed in this court.

34. [22-22848-E-13](#)

JEFFREY/NIKEA HARRISON
Thomas Amberg

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE
TO PAY FEES**
3-7-23 [51]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the April 5, 2023 hearing is required.

The Order to Show Cause was served by the Clerk of the Court on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Chapter 13 Trustee as stated on the Certificate of Service on March 7, 2023. The court computes that 29 days' notice has been provided.

The court issued an Order to Show Cause based on Debtor's failure to pay the required fees in this case: \$74.00 due on March 2, 2023.

The Order to Show Cause is discharged, and the bankruptcy case shall proceed in this court.

The court's docket reflects that the default in payment that is the subsection of the Order to Show Cause has been cured.

The court shall issue an order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Order to Show Cause having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Order to Show Cause is discharged, no sanctions ordered, and the bankruptcy case shall proceed in this court.

Final Ruling: No appearance at the April 5, 2023 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—No Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor’s Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on March 6, 2023. By the court’s calculation, 30 days’ notice was provided. 28 days’ notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party’s failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the respondent and other parties in interest are entered. Upon review of the record, there are no disputed material factual issues, and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. The court will issue its ruling from the parties’ pleadings.

<p>The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.</p>
--

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that:

1. the debtor, Eileen Leona Hecht (“Debtor”), is delinquent on plan payments.

DISCUSSION

Delinquent

Debtor is \$25,615.20 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$3,201.90 plan payment. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue an order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

36. 20-23454-E-13 DPC-3	JUANETHEL ALEXANDER Matthew Mellen	MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 2-8-23 [73]
--	---	---

Final Ruling: No appearance at the April 5, 2023 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—No Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor’s Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on February 8, 2023. By the court’s calculation, 56 days’ notice was provided. 28 days’ notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party’s failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the respondent and other parties in interest are entered. Upon review of the record, there are no disputed material factual issues, and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. The court will issue its ruling from the parties’ pleadings.

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that:

1. the debtor, Juanethel Alexander (“Debtor”), is delinquent on plan payments.
2. Debtors plan exceeds 60 months.

DISCUSSION

Delinquent

Debtor is \$2,035.84 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$1,678.28 plan payment. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Exceeding Sixty Months

Debtor is in material default under the Plan because the Trustee projects the plan will run over 95 months. Section 3.07(c) of the Plan makes that failure a breach of the Plan. Failure to provide for those claims puts Debtor in material default of the confirmed Plan. *See* 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue an order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

Final Ruling: No appearance at the April 5, 2023 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—No Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor’s Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on March 6, 2023. By the court’s calculation, 30 days’ notice was provided. 28 days’ notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party’s failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the respondent and other parties in interest are entered. Upon review of the record, there are no disputed material factual issues, and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. The court will issue its ruling from the parties’ pleadings.

<p>The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.</p>
--

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that:

1. Debtor Steven Leon Alsey (“deceased Debtor”), is deceased.

Attorney’s Notice of Death

Debtors’ Attorney filed a Notice of Death of Debtor for the Debtor indicating deceased Debtor died August 4, 2022. Dckt 24.

DISCUSSION

Death of Debtors

Under 11 U.S.C. § 1016, a Chapter 13 case may be dismissed upon death or incompetency of a debtor. This is largely due to Chapter 13 plans being dependent on the debtor’s future earnings. 9 Collier on Bankruptcy P 1016.04 (16th 2021). However, if further administration is possible and in the best interest of the parties, the case may proceed and concluded in the same manner, so far as possible,

as though death or incompetency had not occurred, with the court appointing a personal representative successor to the late debtor. 11 U.S.C. § 1016.

Here, it may be possible that the co-debtor, and wife of the deceased Debtor, Annette Virginia Alsey (“Debtor Annette”), proceeds with the bankruptcy proceedings. However, Debtor Annette has not filed a motion to substitute, nor a response to this motion. Additionally, given the Plan relied substantially on deceased Debtor’s income, it is unlikely Debtor Annette will be able to stay current on Plan payments.

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue an order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

Final Ruling: No appearance at the April 5, 2023 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—No Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor’s Attorney, persons who have filed a Request for Notice and Office of the United States Trustee on February 23, 2023. By the court’s calculation, 41 days’ notice was provided. 28 days’ notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party’s failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the respondent and other parties in interest are entered. Upon review of the record, there are no disputed material factual issues, and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. The court will issue its ruling from the parties’ pleadings.

<p>The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.</p>
--

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that:

1. the debtor, Isidro Rodrigo Floress (“Debtor”), is delinquent on plan payments.

DISCUSSION

Delinquent

Debtor is \$1,960.00 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$280.00 plan payment. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue an order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

Final Ruling: No appearance at the April 5, 2023 hearing is required.

The Motion to Dismiss is dismissed without prejudice, and the bankruptcy case shall proceed in this court.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), having filed a “Withdrawal of Motion”, which the court construes to be an Ex Parte Motion to Dismiss the pending Motion on March 24, 2023, Dckt. 129; no prejudice to the responding party appearing by the dismissal of the Motion; the Chapter 13 Trustee having the right to request dismissal of the motion pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041; and the dismissal being consistent with the opposition filed by Kenneth W. Johnson (“Debtor”); the Ex Parte Motion is granted, the Chapter 13 Trustee’s Motion is dismissed without prejudice, and the court removes this Motion from the calendar.

The court shall issue an order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 Case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”) having been presented to the court, the Chapter 13 Trustee having requested that the Motion itself be dismissed pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041, Dckt. 129, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Chapter 13 Trustee’s Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 Case is dismissed without prejudice, and the bankruptcy case shall proceed in this court.

CASE DISMISSED: 2/23/23

Final Ruling: No appearance at the April 5, 2023 hearing is required.

The Order to Show Cause was served by the Clerk of the Court on Debtor (*pro se*) as stated on the Certificate of Service on February 9, 2023. The court computes that 55 days' notice has been provided.

The court issued an Order to Show Cause based on Debtor's failure to pay one or more installment(s) according to the schedule specified in the Order. The amount of \$78.00, due February 6, 2023, has not been paid.

<p>The Order to Show Cause is discharged as moot.</p>
--

The court having dismissed this bankruptcy case by prior order filed on February 23, 2023 (Dckt. 30), the Order to Show Cause is discharged as moot, with no sanctions ordered.

The court shall issue an order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Order to Show Cause having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Order to Show Cause is discharged as moot, and no sanctions are ordered.

Final Ruling: No appearance at the April 5, 2023 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—No Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor’s Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on February 22, 2023. By the court’s calculation, 42 days’ notice was provided. 28 days’ notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party’s failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the respondent and other parties in interest are entered. Upon review of the record, there are no disputed material factual issues, and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. The court will issue its ruling from the parties’ pleadings.

<p>The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.</p>
--

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that:

1. the debtors, Michael James Brooks and Stephanie Marie Brooks (“Debtors”), are delinquent in plan payments.

DISCUSSION

Delinquent

Debtors are \$11,120.00 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$2,660.00 plan payment. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue an order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

42. [22-21161](#)-E-13
[DPC-2](#)

RICHARD CRUZ
Joseph Canning

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
3-1-23 [\[30\]](#)

Final Ruling: No appearance at the April 5, 2023 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—No Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor’s Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on March 1, 2023. By the court’s calculation, 35 days’ notice was provided. 28 days’ notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party’s failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the respondent and other parties in interest are entered. Upon review of the record, there are no disputed material factual issues, and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. The court will issue its ruling from the parties’ pleadings.

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that:

1. the debtor, Richard Wanye Cruz (“Debtor”), is delinquent on plan payments.

DISCUSSION

Delinquent

Debtor is \$1,752.00 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$292.00 plan payment. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue an order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

43. 19-25668-E-13	BRIAN MURPHY	MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
DPC-2	Justin Kuney	2-8-23 [42]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the April 5, 2023 hearing is required.

<p>The Motion to Dismiss is dismissed without prejudice, and the bankruptcy case shall proceed in this court.</p>
--

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), having filed an Ex Parte Motion to Dismiss the pending Motion on March 29, 2023, Dckt. 51; no prejudice to the responding party appearing by the dismissal of the Motion; the Chapter 13 Trustee having the right to request dismissal of the motion pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041; and the dismissal being consistent with the opposition filed by Brian Floyd

Murphy (“Debtor”); the Ex Parte Motion is granted, the Chapter 13 Trustee’s Motion is dismissed without prejudice, and the court removes this Motion from the calendar.

The court shall issue an order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 Case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”) having been presented to the court, the Chapter 13 Trustee having requested that the Motion itself be dismissed pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041, Dckt. 51, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Chapter 13 Trustee’s Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 Case is dismissed without prejudice, and the bankruptcy case shall proceed in this court.

44. [22-22973](#)-E-13 **PATRICIA SHERRON**
Pro Se

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE
TO PAY FEES
2-21-23 [\[39\]](#)**

CASE DISMISSED: 2/26/23

Final Ruling: No appearance at the April 5, 2023 hearing is required.

The Order to Show Cause was served by the Clerk of the Court on Debtor (*pro se*) and Chapter 13 Trustee as stated on the Certificate of Service on February 21, 2023. The court computes that 43 days’ notice has been provided.

The court issued an Order to Show Cause based on Debtor’s failure to pay one installment in the amount of \$78.00, due on February 14, 2023 .

<p>The Order to Show Cause is discharged as moot.</p>
--

The court having dismissed this bankruptcy case by prior order filed on February 26, 2023 (Dckt. 45), the Order to Show Cause is discharged as moot, with no sanctions ordered.

The court shall issue an order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Order to Show Cause having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Order to Show Cause is discharged as moot, and no sanctions are ordered.

45. [20-20879-E-13](#)
[DPC-2](#)

BRUCE WELLER
Peter Macaluso

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
2-22-23 [59]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the April 5, 2023 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—No Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor’s Attorney, Persons who have filed a Request for Notice, and Office of the United States Trustee on February 22, 2023. By the court’s calculation, 42 days’ notice was provided. 28 days’ notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party’s failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the respondent and other parties in interest are entered. Upon review of the record, there are no disputed material factual issues, and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. The court will issue its ruling from the parties’ pleadings.

<p>The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.</p>
--

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that:

1. the debtor, Bruce Allan Weller (“Debtor”), is delinquent on plan payments.

DISCUSSION

Delinquent

Debtor is \$2,940.00 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$735.00 plan payment. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue an order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

Final Ruling: No appearance at the April 5, 2023 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—No Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor’s Attorney, Persons who have filed a Request for Notice, and Office of the United States Trustee on March 6, 2023. By the court’s calculation, 30 days’ notice was provided. 28 days’ notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party’s failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the respondent and other parties in interest are entered. Upon review of the record, there are no disputed material factual issues, and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. The court will issue its ruling from the parties’ pleadings.

The Motion to Convert the Chapter 13 Bankruptcy Case to a Case under Chapter 7 is granted, and the case is converted to one under Chapter 7.

This Motion to Convert the Chapter 13 bankruptcy case of Abdul Munif (“Debtor”) has been filed by David Cusick (“Movant”), the Chapter 13 Trustee. Movant asserts that the case should be dismissed or converted based on the following grounds:

- A. the Debtor is delinquent on plan payments.
- B. Debtor has not filed all tax returns.
- C. Debtor’s business income may not be accurate.

DEBTOR'S RESPONSE

Debtor filed a Response on March 28, 2023. Dckt. 33. Debtor states that he does not have the ability to fund the chapter 13 plan as proposed and would not oppose conversion to chapter 7.

APPLICABLE LAW

Questions of conversion or dismissal must be dealt with a thorough, two-step analysis: “[f]irst, it must be determined that there is ‘cause’ to act[;] [s]econd, once a determination of ‘cause’ has been made, a choice must be made between conversion and dismissal based on the ‘best interests of the creditors and the estate.’” *Nelson v. Meyer (In re Nelson)*, 343 B.R. 671, 675 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2006) (citing *Ho v. Dowell (In re Ho)*, 274 B.R. 867, 877 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2002)).

The Bankruptcy Code Provides:

[O]n request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, or may dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c). The court engages in a “totality of circumstances” test, weighing facts on a case-by-case basis and determining whether cause exists, and if so, whether conversion or dismissal is proper. *Drummond v. Welsh (In re Welsh)*, 711 F.3d 1120, 1123 (9th Cir. 2013) (citing *Leavitt v. Soto (In re Leavitt)*, 171 F.3d 1219 (9th Cir. 1999)). Bad faith is one of the enumerated “for cause” grounds under 11 U.S.C. § 1307. *Nady v. DeFrantz (In re DeFrantz)*, 454 B.R. 108, 112 n.4 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2011) (citing *In re Leavitt*, 171 F.3d at 1224).

DISCUSSION

Delinquent

Debtor is \$11,612.12 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$5,806.06 plan payment. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Failure to File Tax Returns

Debtor admitted at the Meeting of Creditors that the federal income tax returns for the 2020 and 2021 tax years has not been filed still. Filing of the return is required. 11 U.S.C. §§ 1308, 1325(a)(9). Failure to file a tax return is a ground to dismiss the case. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(e).

Inaccurate Business Income

Debtor stated in the meeting of creditors that he has a tenant in unit 620A of his Maple property who has been inconsistent with paying rent. The tenant was 2 months behind on rent at the time of the meeting of creditors. Given this information, Debtor’s income listed in the Schedules may not accurately the loss in revenue from a tenant not paying rent. Dckt. 15.

Cause exists to convert this case pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c). The Motion is granted, and the case is converted to a case under Chapter 7.

The court shall issue an order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Convert the Chapter 13 case filed by David Cusick (“the Chapter 13 Trustee”) having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Convert is granted, and the case is converted to a proceeding under Chapter 7 of Title 11, United States Code.

47. 18-25882-E-13	PAUL NICKSON	MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
DPC-2	Thomas Amberg	2-8-23 [79]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the March 5, 2023 Hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor’s Attorney, Persons who have filed a Request for Notice, and Office of the United States Trustee on February 8, 2023. By the court’s calculation, 56 days’ notice was provided. 28 days’ notice is required.

Upon review of the Motion and supporting pleadings, and the files in this case, the court has determined that oral argument will not be of assistance in ruling on the Motion. The defaults of the non-responding parties in interest are entered.

<p>The hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is continued to 2:00 p.m. on May 16, 2023, to be conducted in conjunction with the hearing on Debtor’s Motion to Confirm Modified Plan.</p>
--

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that:

1. the debtor, Paul Nickson (“Debtor”), delinquent on plan payments

DEBTOR'S RESPONSE

Debtor filed a Response on March 20, 2023. Dckt. 83. Debtor states he will file a modified plan such that he is no longer delinquent.

TRUSTEE'S REPLY TO DEBTOR'S RESPONSE

Trustee filed a Reply to Debtor's Response on March 27, 2023. Trustee acknowledges that Debtor filed a motion to modify but does not believe it will be approved, due to Debtor not paying unsecured claims in full. Trustee requests a continuance to after the date of the hearing on the Motion to Confirm.

FILING OF MODIFIED PLAN

Debtor filed a Modified Plan and Motion to Confirm on March 21, 2023. Dckt. 90. The court has reviewed the Motion to Confirm the Modified Plan and the Declaration in support filed by Debtor. Dckt. 88. The Motion appears to comply with Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9013 (stating grounds with particularity), and the Declaration appears to provide testimony as to facts to support confirmation based upon Debtor's personal knowledge. FED. R. EVID. 601, 602.

The hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is continued to be conducted in conjunction with the hearing on the Motion to Confirm.

The court shall issue an order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED the hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is continued to **2:00 p.m. on May 16, 2023**, to be conducted in conjunction with the hearing on Debtor's Motion to Confirm Modified Plan.

Final Ruling: No appearance at the April 5, 2023 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—No Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor’s Attorney, Persons who have filed a Request for Notice, and Office of the United States Trustee on February 28, 2023. By the court’s calculation, 36 days’ notice was provided. 28 days’ notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party’s failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the respondent and other parties in interest are entered. Upon review of the record, there are no disputed material factual issues, and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. The court will issue its ruling from the parties’ pleadings.

<p>The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.</p>
--

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that:

1. the debtor, Melissa Marie Chambers (“Debtor”), is delinquent on plan payments.

DISCUSSION

Delinquent

Debtor is \$1,400.00 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$200.00 plan payment. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue an order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

49. [22-23289](#)-E-13

PAULA KUHLMAYER
Marc Caraska

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE
TO PAY FEES
2-23-23 [\[19\]](#)**

Final Ruling: No appearance at the April 5, 2023 hearing is required.

The Order to Show Cause was served by the Clerk of the Court on Debtor, Debtor’s Attorney, and Chapter 13 Trustee as stated on the Certificate of Service on February 23, 2023. The court computes that 41 days’ notice has been provided.

The court issued an Order to Show Cause based on Debtor’s failure to pay the required fees in this case: \$78.00 due on February 21, 2023.

The Order to Show Cause is discharged, and the bankruptcy case shall proceed in this court.

The court’s docket reflects that the default in payment that is the subject of the Order to Show Cause has been cured.

The court shall issue an order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Order to Show Cause having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Order to Show Cause is discharged, no sanctions ordered, and the bankruptcy case shall proceed in this court.

50. [20-25295-E-13](#)
[DPC-1](#)

MARC WILKIE
Candace Brooks

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
2-24-23 [70]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the April 5, 2023 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor’s Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on February 24, 2023. By the court’s calculation, 40 days’ notice was provided. 28 days’ notice is required.

Upon review of the Motion and supporting pleadings, and the files in this case, the court has determined that oral argument will not be of assistance in ruling on the Motion. The defaults of the non-responding parties in interest are entered.

<p>The Motion to Dismiss is denied without prejudice.</p>
--

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that:

1. the debtor, Marc A. Wilkie (“Debtor”), is delinquent on plan payments.

DEBTOR’S RESPONSE

Debtor filed a Response on March 21, 2023. Dckt. 74. Debtor states that he would like to file a modified plan in order to cure the delinquency.

FILING OF MODIFIED PLAN

Debtor filed a Modified Plan and Motion to Confirm on March 21, 2023. Dckt. 77. The court has reviewed the Motion to Confirm the Modified Plan and the Declaration in support filed by Debtor. Dckt. 80. The Motion appears to comply with Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9013 (stating grounds with particularity), and the Declaration appears to provide testimony as to facts to support confirmation based upon Debtor’s personal knowledge. FED. R. EVID. 601, 602.

Debtor appearing to be actively prosecuting this case, the Motion to Dismiss is denied without prejudice.

The court shall issue an order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is denied without prejudice.

51. [21-22895](#)-E-13
[DPC-1](#)

MISTEE MCCAFFERTY
Gabriel Liberman

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
2-24-23 [20]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the April 5, 2023 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—No Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor’s Attorney, Persons who have filed a Request for Notice, and Office of the United States Trustee on February 24, 2023. By the court’s calculation, 41 days’ notice was provided. 28 days’ notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party’s failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the respondent and other parties in interest are entered. Upon review of the record, there are no disputed material factual issues, and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. The court will issue its ruling from the parties’ pleadings.

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that:

1. the debtor, Mistee Anne McCafferty("Debtor"), is delinquent on plan payments.

DISCUSSION

Delinquent

Debtor is \$2,100.00 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$525.00 plan payment. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue an order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick ("Trustee"), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

Final Ruling: No appearance at the April 5, 2023 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—No Opposition Filed.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor’s Attorney, Persons who have filed a Request for Notice, and Office of the United States Trustee on February 24, 2023. By the court’s calculation, 40 days’ notice was provided. 28 days’ notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party’s failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the respondent and other parties in interest are entered. Upon review of the record, there are no disputed material factual issues, and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. The court will issue its ruling from the parties’ pleadings.

<p>The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.</p>
--

The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that:

1. the debtors, Daniel Pedroza and Jasmine KuuipoAlohalani Pedroza (“Debtors”), are delinquent on plan payments.

DISCUSSION

Delinquent

Debtors are \$7,980.00 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$1,400.00 plan payment. Before the hearing, another plan payment will be due. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue an order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by The Chapter 13 Trustee, David Cusick (“Trustee”), having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.