

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

Honorable Fredrick E. Clement  
Sacramento Federal Courthouse  
501 I Street, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Courtroom 28, Department A  
Sacramento, California

**PRE-HEARING DISPOSITIONS**

**DAY:** TUESDAY  
**DATE:** MARCH 17, 2020  
**CALENDAR:** 9:00 A.M. CHAPTERS 9 AND 11 CASES

Each matter on this calendar will have one of three possible designations: No Ruling, Tentative Ruling, or Final Ruling. These instructions apply to those designations.

**No Ruling:** All parties will need to appear at the hearing unless otherwise ordered.

**Tentative Ruling:** If a matter has been designated as a tentative ruling it will be called. The court may continue the hearing on the matter, set a briefing schedule or enter other orders appropriate for efficient and proper resolution of the matter. The original moving or objecting party shall give notice of the continued hearing date and the deadlines. The minutes of the hearing will be the court's findings and conclusions.

**Final Ruling:** Unless otherwise ordered, there will be no hearing on these matters. The final disposition of the matter is set forth in the ruling and it will appear in the minutes. The final ruling may or may not finally adjudicate the matter. If it is finally adjudicated, the minutes constitute the court's findings and conclusions.

**Orders:** Unless the court specifies in the tentative or final ruling that it will issue an order, the prevailing party shall lodge an order within 14 days of the final hearing on the matter.

1. [17-20731](#)-A-11     **IN RE: CS360 TOWERS, LLC**  
[DB-51](#)

MOTION FOR FINAL DECREE AND ORDER CLOSING CASE  
2-11-2020    [\[804\]](#)

STEPHAN BROWN/ATTY. FOR DBT.  
JAMIE DREHER/ATTY. FOR MV.

### **Final Ruling**

**Motion:** Enter Final Decree Closing Chapter 11 Case

**Notice:** LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

**Disposition:** Granted

**Order:** Prepared by moving party

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

Under § 350(a) and Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 3022, the court must enter a final decree closing a case when the estate has been "fully administered." 11 U.S.C. § 350(a); Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3022. "However, neither the Bankruptcy Code nor the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure define the term 'fully administered.'" See *In re Ground Sys., Inc.*, 213 B.R. 1016, 1018 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1997) (denying motion for entry of final decree because debtor's plan required estate to remain open pending completion of plan payments and such a plan requirement did not run afoul of the Code and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure).

The Advisory Committee Note to Rule 3022 lists a number of factors for courts to consider in determining whether the estate has been fully administered. See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3022 advisory committee's note-1991 Am. These factors present a court with "flexibility in determining whether an estate is fully administered," and "not all of the factors . . . need to be present to establish that a case is fully administered for final decree purposes." *In re Provident Fin., Inc.*, Nos. MT-10-1134-JuPaD, MT-10-1135-JuPaD, Bankr. No. 09-61756, 2010 WL 6259973 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. Oct. 12, 2010) (unpublished opinion).

The Advisory Committee Note also states that entry of a final decree "should not be delayed solely because the payments required by the plan have not been completed." Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3022 advisory committee's note-1991 Am. It further provides that "[t]he court should not keep the case open only because of the possibility that the court's jurisdiction may be invoked in the future. A final decree closing the case after the estate is fully administered does not deprive the court of jurisdiction to enforce or interpret its

own orders and does not prevent the court from reopening the case for cause pursuant to § 350(b) of the Code." *Id.*

Here, factors supporting a finding of full administration of the estate have been satisfied. The order confirming the plan has become final pursuant to Rule 8002 and payments under the confirmed plan have commenced. All motions, other than this motion, contested matters, and adversary proceedings have been resolved. No other factors listed in the advisory committee note have been contested by any creditor or party in interest.

2. [19-24759](#)-A-11     **IN RE: AK BUILDERS AND COATINGS, INC**

CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE RE: VOLUNTARY PETITION  
7-29-2019    [[1](#)]

MICHAEL NOBLE/ATTY. FOR DBT.

**No Ruling**

3. [11-17165](#)-A-11     **IN RE: OAKHURST LODGE, INC., A CALIFORNIA CORPORATION**

CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE RE: VOLUNTARY PETITION  
6-22-2011    [[1](#)]

DONNA STANDARD/ATTY. FOR DBT.

**Final Ruling**

The status conference is continued to March 31, 2020, at 9:00 a.m.