

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT**

Eastern District of California

Honorable Fredrick E. Clement  
Bankruptcy Judge

2500 Tulare Street, Fifth Floor  
Department A, Courtroom 11  
Fresno, California

**THURSDAY**

**FEBRUARY 13, 2014**

**PRE-HEARING DISPOSITIONS**

**GENERAL DESIGNATIONS**

Each pre-hearing disposition is prefaced by the words "Final Ruling," "Tentative Ruling" or "No Tentative Ruling." Except as indicated below, matters designated "Final Ruling" will not be called and counsel need not appear at the hearing on such matters. Matters designated "Tentative Ruling" or "No Tentative Ruling" will be called.

**MATTERS RESOLVED BEFORE HEARING**

If the court has issued a final ruling on a matter and the parties directly affected by a matter have resolved the matter by stipulation or withdrawal of the motion before the hearing, then the moving party shall, not later than 4:00 p.m. (PST) on the day before the hearing, inform the following persons by telephone that they wish the matter to be dropped from calendar notwithstanding the court's ruling: (1) all other parties directly affected by the motion; and (2) Kathy Torres, Judicial Assistant to the Honorable Fredrick E. Clement, at (559) 499-5860.

**ERRORS IN FINAL RULINGS**

If a party believes that a final ruling contains an error that would, if reflected in the order or judgment, warrant a motion under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 52(b), 59(e) or 60, as incorporated by Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, 7052, 9023 and 9024, then the party affected by such error shall, not later than 4:00 p.m. (PST) on the day before the hearing, inform the following persons by telephone that they wish the matter either to be called or dropped from calendar, as appropriate, notwithstanding the court's ruling: (1) all other parties directly affected by the motion; and (2) Kathy Torres, Judicial Assistant to the Honorable Fredrick E. Clement, at (559) 499-5860. Absent such a timely request, a matter designated "Final Ruling" will not be called.

9:00 a.m.

1. [11-17816](#)-A-13 MARLOWE FOSSEN MOTION TO MODIFY PLAN  
TCS-3 1-29-14 [[82](#)]  
MARLOWE FOSSEN/MV  
TIMOTHY SPRINGER/Atty. for dbt.

**Final Ruling**

**Motion:** Confirm Modified Chapter 13 Plan

**Notice:** LBR 3015-1(d)(2), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

**Disposition:** Granted

**Order:** Prepared by Chapter 13 trustee, approved by debtor's counsel

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 3015-1(d)(2), 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1323, 1325, 1329 and by Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(a)(5) and 3015(g) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor bears the burden of proof as to each element. *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407 (9th Cir. 1994). The court finds that the debtor has sustained that burden, and the court will approve modification of the plan.

2. [09-15034](#)-A-13 MANUEL/ERIKA PRADO MOTION TO APPROVE LOAN  
GMA-1 MODIFICATION  
MANUEL PRADO/MV  
2-7-14 [[47](#)]  
GEOFFREY ADALIAN/Atty. for dbt.

**Final Ruling**

**Motion:** Loan Modification Approval

**Notice:** LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

**Disposition:** Granted

**Order:** Prepared by moving party according to the instructions below

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

The motion seeks approval of a loan modification agreement. A copy of the loan modification agreement accompanies the motion. See Fed. R. Bankr. 4001(c). The court will grant the motion and authorize the debtor and the holder of the loan to be modified to enter into the loan modification agreement subject to the parties' right to reinstatement of the original terms of the loan documents in the event

conditions precedent to the loan modification agreement are not satisfied. 11 U.S.C. § 364(d); Fed. R. Bankr. P. 4001(c). To the extent the modification is inconsistent with the confirmed plan, the debtor shall continue to perform the plan as confirmed until it is modified.

By granting this motion, the court is not approving the terms of any loan modification agreement. The order shall state only that the court grants the motion and that the parties are authorized to enter into the loan modification agreement subject to the parties' right to reinstate the agreement if all conditions precedent are not satisfied. The order shall not recite the terms of the loan modification agreement or state that the court approves the terms of the agreement.

3. [13-15146](#)-A-13 BARBARA BRYAN  
MHM-1  
MICHAEL MEYER/MV  
JOEL WINTER/Atty. for dbt.
- MOTION TO DISMISS CASE FOR  
UNREASONABLE DELAY THAT IS  
PREJUDICIAL TO CREDITORS  
2-14-14 [[53](#)]

**No tentative ruling.**

4. [13-17268](#)-A-13 CHRISTOPHER/NORMA ABLES  
JRL-1  
CHRISTOPHER ABLES/MV  
JERRY LOWE/Atty. for dbt.  
RESPONSIVE PLEADING
- CONTINUED MOTION TO CONFIRM  
PLAN  
12-12-13 [[20](#)]

**No tentative ruling.**

5. [13-17076](#)-A-13 RAQUEL ARROYO  
TOG-2  
RAQUEL ARROYO/MV  
THOMAS GILLIS/Atty. for dbt.  
RESPONSIVE PLEADING
- MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN  
1-18-14 [[45](#)]

**Final Ruling**

The debtor has withdrawn her chapter 13 plan from consideration. The court denies the motion to confirm as moot.

6. [13-15181](#)-A-13 LINDSAY LEMONS  
GEG-1  
WAYNE STORMS/MV  
12-23-13 [[101](#)]  
SCOTT LYONS/Atty. for dbt.  
GLEN GATES/Atty. for mv.  
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

CONTINUED MOTION FOR RELIEF  
FROM AUTOMATIC STAY

**No tentative ruling.**

7. [13-17682](#)-A-13 EUGENE/MARILYN MORA  
GH-1  
EUGENE MORA/MV  
GARY HUSS/Atty. for dbt.

MOTION TO VALUE COLLATERAL OF  
CITIFINANCIAL SERVICES  
1-20-14 [[18](#)]

**Final Ruling**

**Motion:** Value Collateral [Real Property; Principal Residence]

**Notice:** LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

**Disposition:** Granted

**Order:** Prepared by the moving party

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

Chapter 13 debtors may strip off a wholly unsecured junior lien encumbering the debtor's principal residence. 11 U.S.C. §§ 506(a), 1322(b)(2); *In re Lam*, 211 B.R. 36, 40-42 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1997); *In re Zimmer*, 313 F.3d 1220, 1222-25 (9th Cir. 2002). A motion to value the debtor's principal residence should be granted upon a threefold showing by the moving party. First, the moving party must proceed by noticed motion. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3012. Second, the motion must be served on the holder of the secured claim. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3012, 9014(a); LBR 3015-1(j). Third, the moving party must prove by admissible evidence that the debt secured by liens senior to the responding party's claim exceeds the value of the principal residence. 11 U.S.C. § 506(a); *Lam*, 211 B.R. at 40-42; *Zimmer*, 313 F.3d at 1222-25.

The motion seeks to value real property collateral that is the moving party's principal residence. Because the amount owed to senior lienholders exceeds the value of the collateral, the responding party's claim is wholly unsecured and no portion will be allowed as a secured claim. See 11 U.S.C. § 506(a).

8. [13-16984](#)-A-13 CLIFFORD HARMON  
MHM-1  
MICHAEL MEYER/MV

CONTINUED MOTION TO DISMISS  
CASE FOR UNREASONABLE DELAY  
THAT IS PREJUDICIAL TO  
CREDITORS, MOTION TO DISMISS  
CASE FOR FAILURE TO MAKE PLAN  
PAYMENTS, MOTION TO DISMISS  
CASE FOR FAILURE TO PROVIDE TAX  
DOCUMENTS, MOTION TO DISMISS  
CASE  
12-26-13 [[37](#)]

**No tentative ruling.**

9. [13-14086](#)-A-13 IDA JONES  
SAH-13  
IDA JONES/MV  
SUSAN HEMB/Atty. for dbt.

CONTINUED MOTION TO CONFIRM  
PLAN  
11-19-13 [[106](#)]

**Final Ruling**

**Motion:** Confirm Chapter 13 Plan

**Notice:** LBR 3015-1(d)(1), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

**Disposition:** Granted

**Order:** Prepared by Chapter 13 trustee, approved by debtor's counsel

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 3015-1(d)(1), 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor bears the burden of proof as to each element. *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407 (9th Cir. 1994). The court finds that the debtor has sustained that burden, and the court will approve confirmation of the plan.

10. [14-10706](#)-A-13 SAMMY/ALVA MARTINEZ  
TCS-1  
SAMMY MARTINEZ/MV  
TIMOTHY SPRINGER/Atty. for dbt.

MOTION TO EXTEND AUTOMATIC STAY  
2-25-14 [[8](#)]

**Tentative Ruling**

**Motion:** Extend the Automatic Stay

**Notice:** LBR 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required

**Disposition:** Granted except as to any creditor without proper notice of this motion

**Order:** Prepared by moving party pursuant to the instructions below

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

Upon request of a party in interest, the court may extend the automatic stay where the debtor has had one previous bankruptcy case that was pending within the 1-year period prior to the filing of the current bankruptcy case but was dismissed. See 11 U.S.C. § 362(c)(3)(B). Procedurally, the automatic stay may be extended only "after notice and a hearing *completed* before the expiration of the 30-day period" after the filing of the petition in the later case. *Id.* (emphasis added). To extend the stay, the court must find that the filing of the *later case* is in good faith as to the creditors to be stayed, and the extension of the stay may be made subject to conditions or limitations the court may impose. *Id.*

For the reasons stated in the motion and supporting papers, the court finds that the filing of the current case is in good faith as to the creditors to be stayed. The motion will be granted except as to any creditor without proper notice of this motion.

If this case was filed under Chapter 13 of title 11, the court will extend the automatic stay subject to the condition that all plan payments are timely made to the Chapter 13 trustee for the next six months, and the order shall provide that (i) the debtor shall make such timely payments for the next six months to the Chapter 13 trustee, (ii) if the debtor fails to make any such monthly payment, the Chapter 13 trustee may file a certification of noncompliance with the order on this motion along with a proposed order, and (iii) upon the filing of such certification, the court may then dismiss the case without further notice or a hearing.

10:00 a.m.

1. [12-12164](#)-A-12 NATHAN SPARKMAN  
MNE-1  
M. ENMARK/MV  
PETER FEAR/Atty. for dbt.  
M. ENMARK/Atty. for mv.  
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE  
1-29-14 [[76](#)]

**No tentative ruling.**