

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

Eastern District of California

Honorable Christopher M. Klein Bankruptcy Judge Sacramento, California

February 20, 2025 at 2:00 p.m.

Unless otherwise ordered, all matters before the Honorable Christopher M. Klein shall be simultaneously: (1) In Person, at Sacramento Courtroom #35, (2) via ZoomGov Video, (3) via ZoomGov Telephone, and (4) via CourtCall.

You may choose any of these options unless otherwise ordered or stated below.

All parties who wish to appear at a hearing remotely must sign up by 4:00 p.m. one business day prior to the hearing. Information regarding how to sign up can be found on the Remote Appearances page of our website at https://www.caeb.uscourts.gov/Calendar/RemoteAppearances. Each party who has signed up will receive a Zoom link or phone number, meeting I.D., and password via e-mail.

If the deadline to sign up has passed, parties who wish to appear remotely must contact the Courtroom Deputy for the Department holding the hearing.

Please also note the following:

- Parties in interest may connect to the video or audio feed free of charge and should select which method they will use to appear when signing up.
- Members of the public and the press appearing by ZoomGov may only listen in to the hearing using the zoom telephone number. Video appearances are not permitted.
- Members of the public and the press may not listen in to trials or evidentiary hearings, though they may appear in person in most instances.

To appear remotely for law and motion or status conference proceedings, you must comply with the following guidelines and procedures:

- 1. Review the <u>Pre-Hearing Dispositions</u> prior to appearing at the hearing.
- 2. Parties appearing via CourtCall are encouraged to review the CourtCall Appearance Information.

If you are appearing by ZoomGov phone or video, please join at least 10 minutes prior to the start of the calendar and wait with your microphone muted until the matter is called.

Unauthorized Recording is Prohibited: Any recording of a court proceeding held by video or teleconference, including "screen shots" or other audio or visual copying of a hearing is prohibited. Violation may result in sanctions, including removal of court-issued medica credentials, denial of entry to future hearings, or any other sanctions deemed necessary by the court. For more information on photographing, recording, or broadcasting Judicial Proceedings, please refer to Local Rule 173(a) of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California.

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

Eastern District of California

Honorable Christopher M. Klein Bankruptcy Judge Sacramento, California

February 20, 2025 at 2:00 p.m.

L. <u>20-20109</u>-C-13 KARLA SLADARIU LGT-1 Peter Macaluso

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 1-23-25 [152]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the February 20,2025 hearing is required.

The Motion is dismissed without prejudice.

On February 7, 2025, the movant filed a Notice to Withdraw her Motion to Dismiss. Dckt. 158. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2), incorporated by Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041, allows dismissal after a responsive pleading has been filed on terms the court considers proper.

The court finds withdrawal is warranted here. The Motion is dismissed without prejudice, and the court removes this Motion from the calendar.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion filed by Chapter 13 Trustee Lilian Tsang having been presented to the court, the movant having requested that the Motion itself be dismissed pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion is dismissed without prejudice.

2. <u>25-20021</u>-C-13 DAVID LEE Pro Se

DEBTOR DISMISSED: 01/24/25

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE TO PAY FEES 1-21-25 [13]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the February 20,2025 hearing is required.

The above captioned case was dismissed on January 24, 2025. Dkt. 15. Therefore, the Order to Show Cause is discharged as moot.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Order to Show Cause having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

 ${\bf IT} \ {\bf IS} \ {\bf ORDERED}$ that the Order to Show Cause is discharged as moot.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE TO PAY FEES 1-13-25 [29]

DEBTOR DISMISSED: 01/23/25

Final Ruling: No appearance at the February 20,2025 hearing is required.

The above captioned case was dismissed on January 23, 2025. Dkt. 34. Therefore, the Order to Show Cause is discharged as moot.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Order to Show Cause having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

 ${\bf IT} \ {\bf IS} \ {\bf ORDERED}$ that the Order to Show Cause is discharged as moot.

4. $\frac{24-25464}{LGT-1}$ -C-13 MISTY ADUNA Mark Wolff

No Tentative Ruling:

The Motion has been set on Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) procedure which requires 28 days' notice. The Proof of Service shows that 38 days' notice was provided. Dkt. 39.

No opposition has been filed. Therefore, the court enters the defaults of the non-responding parties in interest, finds there are no disputed material factual issues, and determines the matter will be resolved without oral argument. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995); *Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006).

The Motion to Dismiss is xxxxxxxx

The Chapter 13 Trustee filed this Motion to Dismiss arguing that cause for dismissal exists because the debtor has failed to complete the Credit Counseling Certificate prior to the filing of the case.

OPPOSITION

The debtor filed an opposition asserting that she initially filed the case pro se and after she retained counsel to represent her in the case, debtor completed the counseling course and received a Certificate of Counseling and filed the Certificate on January 10, 2025.

DISCUSSION

At the hearing xxxxxxxxxx

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee, Lilian G. Tsang, having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is xxxxxxxx

5. <u>24-25270</u>-C-13 MARIA AVALOS <u>LGT-1</u> Pro Se

Tentative Ruling:

The Motion has been set on Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) procedure which requires 28 days' notice. The Proof of Service shows that 37 days' notice was provided. Dkt. 24.

No opposition has been filed. Therefore, the court enters the defaults of the non-responding parties in interest, finds there are no disputed material factual issues, and determines the matter will be resolved without oral argument. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995); *Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006).

The Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The Chapter 13 Trustee filed this Motion to Dismiss arguing that cause for dismissal exists because the debtor has failed to appear at the Meeting of Creditors. Additionally, the Chapter 13 Trustee asserts the debtor has failed to set a hearing on a motion to value collateral, and failed to provide all pay advices, tax returns, a valid picture ID, proof of social security number, Credit Counseling Certificate and other required documents.

Debtor did not appear at the Meeting of Creditors held pursuant to 11 U.S.C. \S 341. Attendance is mandatory. 11 U.S.C. \S 343. Failure to appear at the Meeting of Creditors constitutes evidence of unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors, which is cause for dismissal of the case. 11 U.S.C. \S 1307(c)(1).

The debtor has not provided all necessary pay advices. 11 U.S.C. \$ 521(a)(1)(B)(iv); FED. R. BANKR. P. 4002(b)(2)(A). That constitutes evidence of unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors, which is cause for dismissal of the case. 11 U.S.C. \$ 1307(c)(1).

The debtor has not provided all necessary tax returns. 11 U.S.C. \S 521(e)(2)(A)(i); FED. R. BANKR. P. 4002(b)(3). That constitutes evidence of unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors, which is cause for dismissal of the case. 11 U.S.C. \S 1307(c)(1).

The debtor has not provided the Chapter 13 trustee with proof of a Social Security Number. See 11 U.S.C. \S 521(h)(2). That constitutes evidence of unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to creditors, which is cause for dismissal of the case. 11 U.S.C. \S 1307(c)(1).

The debtor has not filed the required Credit Counseling Certificate. The Bankruptcy Code requires that the credit counseling course be taken within a period of 180 days ending on the date of the filing of the petition

for relief. 11 U.S.C. \S 109(h)(1). Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 1007(b)(3)(A), (C), and (D) and Rule 1007(c) require that a debtor file with the petition a statement of compliance with the counseling requirement along with either:

- A. an attached certificate and debt repayment plan;
- B. a certification under § 109(h)(3); or
- C. a request for a determination by the court under \$109(h)(4).

Based on the foregoing, cause exists to dismiss this case pursuant to 11 U.S.C. \S 1307(c)(1). Furthermore, the court finds that dismissal, and not conversion, is in the best interest of creditors and the Estate. The Motion is granted, and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee, Lilian G. Tsang, having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and the case is dismissed, the court having found that dismissal, and not conversion, is in the best interest of creditors and the Estate.