

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
Eastern District of California

**Honorable Ronald H. Sargis**  
**Chief Bankruptcy Judge**  
**Sacramento, California**

**February 4, 2021 at 11:00 a.m.**

---

1.	<a href="#"><u>17-22481-E-7</u></a>	WILLIAM LANDES	CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE
	<a href="#"><u>20-2130</u></a>	MPD-1	RE: MOTION FOR SUMMARY
			JUDGMENT
	REGER V. ESSEX BANK		8-11-20 <a href="#"><u>[12]</u></a>

**No Tentative Ruling:** Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court's resolution of the matter.

-----

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion—Hearing Required.

Sufficient Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Plaintiff-Trustee, Defendant and Office of the United States Trustee on August 11, 2020. By the court's calculation, 65 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion for Summary Judgment has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (upholding a court ruling based upon a local rule construing a party's failure to file opposition as consent to grant a motion). The defaults of the non-responding parties and other parties in interest are entered.

<b>The Motion for Summary Judgment is <span style="color: red;">xxxxx</span>.</b>
---

**NO TENTATIVE RULING IS POSTED FOR THIS MATTER.**