



**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
Eastern District of California**

Judge Fredrick E. Clement
Sacramento Federal Courthouse
501 I Street, 7th Floor
Courtroom 28, Department A
Sacramento, California

DAY: WEDNESDAY
DATE: JANUARY 21, 2026
CALENDAR: 9:00 A.M. CHAPTER 13 CASES

Unless otherwise ordered, all matters before Chief Judge Fredrick E. Clement shall be simultaneously: (1) **IN PERSON** at Sacramento Courtroom No. 28, (2) via **ZOOMGOV VIDEO**, (3) via **ZOOMGOV TELEPHONE**, and (4) via **COURTCALL**.

You may choose any of these options unless otherwise ordered or stated below.

All parties who wish to appear at a hearing remotely must sign up by 4:00 p.m. **one business** day prior to the hearing.

Information regarding how to sign up can be found on the **Court Appearances** page of our website at:

<https://www.caeb.uscourts.gov/Calendar/CourtAppearances>

Each party who has signed up will receive a Zoom link or phone number, meeting I.D., and password via e-mail.

If the deadline to sign up has passed, parties who wish to appear remotely must contact the Courtroom Deputy for the Department holding the hearing.

Please also note the following:

- Parties in interest may connect to the video or audio feed free of charge and should select which method they will use to appear when signing up.
- Members of the public and the press appearing by **ZoomGov** may only listen in to the hearing using the zoom telephone number. Video appearances are not permitted.
- Members of the public and the press may not listen in to the trials or evidentiary hearings, though they may appear in person in most instances.

To appear remotely for law and motion or status conference proceedings, you must comply with the following guidelines and procedures:

- Review the [Pre-Hearing Dispositions](#) prior to appearing at the hearing.
- Review the court's [Zoom Procedures and Guidelines](#) for these, and additional instructions.
- Parties appearing via CourtCall are encouraged to review the [CourtCall Appearance Information](#).

If you are appearing by ZoomGov phone or video, please join at least 10 minutes prior to the start of the calendar and wait with your microphone muted until the matter is called.

Unauthorized Recording is Prohibited: Any recording of a court proceeding held by video or teleconference, including screen shots or other audio or visual copying of a hearing is prohibited. Violation may result in sanctions, including removal of court-issued media credentials, denial of entry to future hearings, or any other sanctions deemed necessary by the court. For more information on photographing, recording, or broadcasting Judicial Proceedings, please refer to Local Rule 173(a) of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California.

PRE-HEARING DISPOSITION INSTRUCTIONS

RULINGS

Each matter on this calendar will have one of three possible designations: No Ruling, Tentative Ruling, or Final Ruling.

"No Ruling" means the likely disposition of the matter will not be disclosed in advance of the hearing. The matter will be called; parties wishing to be heard should rise and be heard.

"Tentative Ruling" means the likely disposition, and the reasons therefor, are set forth herein. The matter will be called. Aggrieved parties or parties for whom written opposition was not required should rise and be heard. Parties favored by the tentative ruling need not appear. However, non-appearing parties are advised that the court may adopt a ruling other than that set forth herein without further hearing or notice.

"Final Ruling" means that the matter will be resolved in the manner, and for the reasons, indicated below. The matter will not be called; parties and/or counsel need not appear and will not be heard on the matter.

CHANGES TO PREVIOUSLY PUBLISHED RULINGS

On occasion, the court will change its intended ruling on some of the matters to be called and will republish its rulings. The parties and counsel are advised to recheck the posted rulings after 3:00 p.m. on the next business day prior to the hearing. Any such changed ruling will be preceded by the following bold face text: **"[Since posting its original rulings, the court has changed its intended ruling on this matter]"**.

ERRORS IN RULINGS

Clerical errors of an insignificant nature, e.g., nomenclature ("2017 Honda Accord," rather than "2016 Honda Accord"), amounts, ("\$880," not "\$808"), may be corrected in (1) tentative rulings by appearance at the hearing; or (2) final rulings by appropriate ex parte application. Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(a) *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9024. All other errors, including those occasioned by mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect, must be corrected by noticed motion. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 60(b), *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9023.

1. [25-26500](#)-A-13 **IN RE: MAURO ESQUIBEL BAHENA**
[DPC-1](#)

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P. CUSICK
12-23-2025 [\[18\]](#)

MOHAMMAD MOKARRAM/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Objection: Trustee's Objection to Confirmation of Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4), 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required

Disposition: Continued to March 24, 2026, at 1:00 p.m. before the Honorable Christopher D. Jaime in Department B

Order: Civil minute order

The Chapter 13 trustee objects to confirmation of the debtor(s) plan.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court will continue the hearing on this objection to allow the parties to augment the evidentiary record.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on this objection will be continued to March 24, 2026, at 1:00 p.m. in front of the Honorable Christopher D. Jaime in Department B. The court may rule in this matter without further hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no later than February 10, 2026, the debtor(s) shall do one of the following:

(A) File a Statement of No Opposition. If the debtor(s) agree that the Chapter 13 trustee's objection is well taken, the debtor(s) shall concede the merits and file a statement of non-opposition to the objection. L.R. 230(c) ("A responding party who has no opposition to the granting of the motion shall serve and file a statement to that effect..."); LBR 1001-1(c)-(d) (omitting the applicability of L.R. 230 unless the court orders otherwise);

(B) Respond in Writing to the Objection. If the debtor(s) disagree with the trustee's objection, the debtor(s) shall file and serve a written response to the objection; the response shall specifically address each issue raised in the trustee's objection to confirmation, state whether the issue is disputed or undisputed, and

include admissible evidence in support of the debtor's position. If the debtor(s) file a response under paragraph 3(B) of this order, then the trustee shall file and serve a reply, if any, no later than March 10, 2026. The evidentiary record will close after March 10, 2026; or

(C) File a Modified Plan. If the debtor(s) wish to resolve the Chapter 13 trustee's objection by filing a modified plan, then the debtor(s) shall: (1) file and serve a modified Chapter 13 plan; and (2) file and serve a motion to confirm the modified plan.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the parties resolve the trustee's objection, and there are no additional objections to confirmation pending, then the debtor(s) may submit an order confirming the plan which has been signed by the Chapter 13 trustee. The trustee's signature on the order confirming plan represents to the court that no further objections to confirmation of the proposed plan are pending.

2. 25-26500-A-13 **IN RE: MAURO ESQUIBEL BAHENA**
JCW-1

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY U.S. BANK NATIONAL
ASSOCIATION
12-18-2025 [\[13\]](#)

MOHAMMAD MOKARRAM/ATTY. FOR DBT.
JENNIFER WONG/ATTY. FOR MV.

Final Ruling

Objection: Creditor's Objection to Confirmation of Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4), 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required

Disposition: Continued to March 24, 2026, at 1:00 p.m. before the Honorable Christopher D. Jaime in Department B

Order: Civil minute order

Creditor, U.S. Bank National Association, objects to confirmation of the debtor(s) plan.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court will continue the hearing on this objection to allow the parties to augment the evidentiary record.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on this objection will be continued to March 24, 2026, at 1:00 p.m. in front of the Honorable Christopher D. Jaime in Department B. The court may rule in this matter without further hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no later than February 10, 2026, the debtor(s) shall do one of the following:

(A) File a Statement of No Opposition. If the debtor(s) agree that the creditor's objection is well taken, the debtor(s) shall concede the merits and file a statement of non-opposition to the objection. L.R. 230(c) ("A responding party who has no opposition to the granting of the motion shall serve and file a statement to that effect..."); LBR 1001-1(c)-(d) (omitting the applicability of L.R. 230 unless the court orders otherwise);

(B) Respond in Writing to the Objection. If the debtor(s) disagrees with the creditor's objection, the debtor(s) shall file and serve a written response to the objection; the response shall specifically address each issue raised in the creditor's objection to confirmation, state whether the issue is disputed or undisputed, and include admissible evidence in support of the debtor's position. If the debtor(s) file a response under paragraph 3(B) of this order, then the creditor shall file and serve a reply, if any, no later than March 10, 2026. The evidentiary record will close after March 10, 2026; or

(C) File a Modified Plan. If the debtor(s) wish to resolve the creditor's objection by filing a modified plan, then the debtor(s) shall: (1) file and serve a modified Chapter 13 plan; and (2) file and serve a motion to confirm the modified plan.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any stipulation between the parties resolving this matter must be approved and signed by the Chapter 13 trustee prior to filing with the court. The trustee's signature on the stipulation warrants that the terms of the proposed stipulation do not impact the plan's compliance with 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a).

3. [25-25101](#)-A-13 **IN RE: SMOEUN SREAN AND SAMPHOS HANG**
[DPC-1](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
12-19-2025 [\[19\]](#)

PAULDEEP BAINS/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: January 7, 2026

Opposition Filed: Unopposed

Cause: 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(6) - Plan Delinquency

Best Interests of Creditors/Estate: Dismiss

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

CASE DISMISSAL

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this chapter 13 case for delinquency in payments under the confirmed chapter 13 plan. For the reasons stated in the motion, cause exists under § 1307(c)(6) to dismiss the case. Payments under the confirmed plan are delinquent in the amount of \$5,480.00 with one payment(s) of \$5,480.00 due prior to the hearing on this motion.

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)

Except as provided in subsection (f) of this section, on request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, or may dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause, including—

...

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

The court finds that dismissal is in the best interests of the creditors and the estate. This case has not been previously converted from a chapter 7.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss this chapter 13 case has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent debtor for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted because of the delinquency under the confirmed chapter 13 plan in this case. The court hereby dismisses this case.

4. [25-24803](#)-A-13 **IN RE: PAULA FRIEL**
[DPC-1](#)

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P.
CUSICK
10-29-2025 [[21](#)]

MIKALAH LIVIAKIS/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

No Ruling

5. [25-24803](#)-A-13 **IN RE: PAULA FRIEL**
[RAS-1](#)

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY HSBC BANK
USA, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
10-8-2025 [[17](#)]

MIKALAH LIVIAKIS/ATTY. FOR DBT.
DAVID COATS/ATTY. FOR MV.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

No Ruling

6. [25-26103](#)-A-13 **IN RE: ANNA DUPREE**
[DPC-1](#)

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P. CUSICK
12-23-2025 [\[12\]](#)

FRED IHEJIRIKA/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Objection: Trustee's Objection to Confirmation of Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4), 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required

Disposition: Continued to March 24, 2026, at 1:00 p.m. before the Honorable Christopher D. Jaime in Department B

Order: Civil minute order

The Chapter 13 trustee objects to confirmation of the debtor(s) plan.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court will continue the hearing on this objection to allow the parties to augment the evidentiary record.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on this objection will be continued to March 24, 2026, at 1:00 p.m. in front of the Honorable Christopher D. Jaime in Department B. The court may rule in this matter without further hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no later than February 10, 2026, the debtor(s) shall do one of the following:

(A) File a Statement of No Opposition. If the debtor(s) agree that the Chapter 13 trustee's objection is well taken, the debtor(s) shall concede the merits and file a statement of non-opposition to the objection. L.R. 230(c) ("A responding party who has no opposition to the granting of the motion shall serve and file a statement to that effect..."); LBR 1001-1(c)-(d) (omitting the applicability of L.R. 230 unless the court orders otherwise);

(B) Respond in Writing to the Objection. If the debtor(s) disagree with the trustee's objection, the debtor(s) shall file and serve a written response to the objection; the response shall specifically address each issue raised in the trustee's objection to confirmation, state whether the issue is disputed or undisputed, and

include admissible evidence in support of the debtor's position. If the debtor(s) file a response under paragraph 3(B) of this order, then the trustee shall file and serve a reply, if any, no later than March 10, 2026. The evidentiary record will close after March 10, 2026; or

(C) File a Modified Plan. If the debtor(s) wish to resolve the Chapter 13 trustee's objection by filing a modified plan, then the debtor(s) shall: (1) file and serve a modified Chapter 13 plan; and (2) file and serve a motion to confirm the modified plan.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the parties resolve the trustee's objection, and there are no additional objections to confirmation pending, then the debtor(s) may submit an order confirming the plan which has been signed by the Chapter 13 trustee. The trustee's signature on the order confirming plan represents to the court that no further objections to confirmation of the proposed plan are pending.

7. [25-26004](#)-A-13 **IN RE: JOHN WRIGHT**
[DPC-1](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
12-17-2025 [\[30\]](#)

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: January 7, 2026

Opposition Filed: Unopposed

Cause: 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1) - Plan Delinquency

Best Interests of Creditors/Estate: Dismiss

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

CASE DISMISSAL

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this chapter 13 case for delinquency in payments under the chapter 13 plan. For the reasons stated in the motion, cause exists under § 1307(c)(1) to dismiss the case. Payments under the plan are delinquent in the amount of \$5,583.33 with one payment(s) of \$5,583.33 due prior to the hearing

on this motion. Additionally, a plan has been filed but no motion to confirm has been set for hearing.

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)

Except as provided in subsection (f) of this section, on request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, or may dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause, including—

...

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

The court finds that dismissal is in the best interests of the creditors and the estate. This case has not been previously converted from a chapter 7.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss this chapter 13 case has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent debtor for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted because of the delinquency under the chapter 13 plan in this case. The court hereby dismisses this case.

8. [25-24405](#)-A-13 **IN RE: JESSICA SODERLING**
[DPC-2](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
12-19-2025 [\[35\]](#)

PETER MACALUSO/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed by the debtor

Disposition: Granted

Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: January 7, 2026

Opposition Filed: January 6, 2026- timely

Cause: 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1) - Plan Delinquency

Best Interests of Creditors/Estate: Dismiss

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this case, asserting that cause exists under § 1307(c)(1) as the debtor has failed to make all payments due under the plan. The trustee contends that the plan payments are delinquent in the amount of \$6,100.00, with one payment(s) of \$12,200.00 due prior to the hearing on this motion.

The debtor has filed a timely opposition which was not accompanied by the Declaration of the Debtor, ECF No 41. The opposition admits that the debtor has not remedied the delinquency. See Reply, ECF No. 41.

The opposition does not resolve the grounds for dismissal. A delinquency still exists as of the date of the opposition. A statement of intent to file an amended plan before the hearing is insufficient. The court is unable to deny the motion given the outstanding delinquency.

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)

Except as provided in subsection (f) of this section, on request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, or may dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause, including—

...

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

The court finds that dismissal is in the best interests of the creditors and the estate. This case has not been previously converted from a chapter 7. The court will dismiss the case.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion, the opposition, responses, and oral argument at the hearing, if any, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted. The debtor has failed to make all payments due under the chapter 13 plan in this case. Delinquency constitutes cause to dismiss this case. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1). The court hereby dismisses this case.

9. [25-24706](#)-A-13 **IN RE: LUIS/MARLEN CURIEL**
[DPC-1](#)

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P.
CUSICK
10-22-2025 [\[23\]](#)

ERIC SEYVERTSEN/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Matter: Objection to Confirmation of Chapter 13 Plan
Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4); no written opposition required
Disposition: Overruled as moot
Order: Civil minute order

Chapter 13 debtors may modify the plan before confirmation. 11 U.S.C. § 1323(a). If the debtor files a modification of the plan under § 1323, the modified plan becomes the plan. 11 U.S.C. § 1323(b). Filing a modified plan renders moot any objection to confirmation of the prior plan. The debtor has filed a modified plan after this objection to confirmation was filed. The objection will be overruled as moot.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the objection to confirmation is overruled as moot.

10. [25-24706](#)-A-13 **IN RE: LUIS/MARLEN CURIEL**
[ES-2](#)

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN
12-1-2025 [\[37\]](#)

ERIC SEYVERTSEN/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

Motion: Confirm Chapter 13 Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(d)(1), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Denied without prejudice

Order: Civil minute order

The motion requests confirmation of the Chapter 13 plan in this case. See 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1323, 1325; Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(b); LBR 3015-1(d)(1)-(2).

The motion will be denied without prejudice as follows.

SERVICE AND NOTICE

As of November 1, 2022, the court adopted Local Bankruptcy Rules 2002-3, 9036-1 and 7005-1 (requiring attorneys and trustees to use a standardized Certificate of Service, EDC 7-005).

The form certificate of service is intended to allow parties to memorialize service efficiently and accurately, and to aid the court in ensuring sufficient service is achieved in each proceeding.

Matrix

Where the Clerk's Matrix of Creditors is attached to the Certificate of Service form, *such list shall be downloaded not more than 7 days prior to the date of serving the pleadings and other documents and shall reflect the date of downloading.* The serving party may download that matrix either in "pdf label format" or in "raw data format." Where the matrix attached is in "raw data format," signature on the Certificate of Service is the signor's representation that no changes, e.g., additions, deletions, modifications, of the data have been made except: (1) formatting of existing data; or (2) removing creditors from that list by the method described in paragraph (c) of this rule.

LBR 7005-1(d) (emphasis added).

In this case there is no matrix attached to the certificate of service. Instead, exhibits in support of the motion are attached to the certificate. See Certificate of Service, ECF No. 41. Accordingly, service of the motion does not comply with LBR 7005-1, and the court cannot determine if all creditors and parties in interest were served with the motion. The court will deny the motion without prejudice.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The debtor's motion to confirm has been presented to the court. Because of the procedural deficiencies discussed by the court in its ruling,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is denied without prejudice.

11. [25-24706](#)-A-13 **IN RE: LUIS/MARLEN CURIEL**
[ES-3](#)

OBJECTION TO NOTICE OF POSTPETITION MORTGAGE FEES, EXPENSES,
AND CHARGES
12-19-2025 [\[43\]](#)

ERIC SEYVERTSEN/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Tentative Ruling

Matter: Objection to Notice of Post Petition Mortgage Fees, Expenses, and Charges

Disposition: Sustained

Order: Civil minute order

ISSUE

Are the charges on the Notice of Postpetition Mortgage fees presumptively valid for the following charges: \$700 for "Objection to Plan", \$625 for "Proof of Claim", and \$600 for "Plan Review"? No.

FACTS

Ranlife, Inc. has listed the three charges listed above on its Notice of Postpetition Mortgage fees. Creditor has not filed any evidence to show that these charges are valid. The creditor has filed exhibits in response to debtor's objection: however, none of the exhibits have been authenticated with a declaration.

LAW

Unlike proofs of claim initially filed with the Court, fees and charges disclosed pursuant to Rules 3002.1(b) and (c) are not presumptively valid as provided by Rule 3001(f). See, Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3002.1(d); See also, *In re Brumley*, 570 B.R. 287, 289 (Bankr. W.D. Mich. 2017). As such, creditors bear the burden of proof to prove that their additional postpetition fees and charges are appropriate under Rule 3002.1. See *In re Brumley* at 290.

DISCUSSION

In this case, the creditor has not shown that the charges are valid and has not met its burden of proof. The creditor has merely filed exhibits but has failed to authenticate them in any way.

Federal Rule of Evidence 901(a) provides that to meet the authentication requirement, "the proponent must produce evidence sufficient to support a finding that the item is what the proponent claims it is." Fed. R. Evid. 901(a). Evidence may be authenticated in a number of ways. Filing exhibits without a declaration or any other authentication is insufficient. As such, the creditor has failed to meet its burden of proof. The objection will be sustained.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

Debtor's objection to notice of postpetition mortgage fees has been presented to the court. Having considered the objection, oppositions, and replies, if any, and having heard oral argument presented at the hearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the objection is sustained.

12. [25-24706](#)-A-13 **IN RE: LUIS/MARLEN CURIEL**
[KMM-1](#)

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY CREDITOR
RANLIFE, INC.
9-30-2025 [\[19\]](#)

ERIC SEYVERTSEN/ATTY. FOR DBT.
KIRSTEN MARTINEZ/ATTY. FOR MV.

Final Ruling

Matter: Objection to Confirmation of Chapter 13 Plan
Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4); no written opposition required
Disposition: Overruled as moot
Order: Civil minute order

Chapter 13 debtors may modify the plan before confirmation. 11 U.S.C. § 1323(a). If the debtor files a modification of the plan under § 1323, the modified plan becomes the plan. 11 U.S.C. § 1323(b). Filing a modified plan renders moot any objection to confirmation of the prior plan. The debtor has filed a modified plan after this objection to confirmation was filed. The objection will be overruled as moot.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the objection to confirmation is overruled as moot.

13. [25-24913](#)-A-13 **IN RE: KEVIN KRUTZNER**
[DPC-1](#)

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P.
CUSICK
10-29-2025 [\[11\]](#)

DEBTOR DISMISSED: 12/04/25

Final Ruling

This case was dismissed on December 4, 2025. Accordingly, the objection will be removed from the calendar as moot. No appearances are required.

14. [24-25114](#)-A-13 **IN RE: CHARLES/KATHRYN WYATT**
[DPC-1](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
12-19-2025 [\[42\]](#)

PETER MACALUSO/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed by debtors

Disposition: Continued to February 24, 2026, at 9:00 a.m.

Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: January 7, 2026

Opposition Filed: January 6, 2026 - timely

Motion to Modify Plan Filed: January 7, 2026- timely

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this case, asserting that cause exists under § 1307(c)(1) and (6) as the debtor has failed to make all payments due under the plan. The trustee contends that the debtor is delinquent in the amount of \$4,349.06, with one payment(s) of \$3,900.00 due prior to the hearing date on this motion.

A modified plan has been timely filed and set for hearing in this case. The scheduled hearing on the modification is February 24, 2026, at 9:00 a.m. The court will continue the hearing on this

motion to dismiss to coincide with the hearing on the plan modification. If the modification is disapproved, and the motion to dismiss has not been withdrawn or otherwise resolved, the court may dismiss the case at the continued hearing.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

IT IS ORDERED that the chapter 13 trustee's motion to dismiss is continued to February 24, 2026, at 9:00 a.m.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the trustee elects to oppose the debtor's motion to modify, then not later than 14 days prior to the continued hearing date the trustee shall file a status report updating this motion to dismiss. The status report shall provide a concise list explaining the remaining issues in the motion to dismiss and indicate the amount of any plan delinquency. The status report shall be succinct and shall not consist of a cut and paste of the opposition filed by the trustee in response to a motion to amend or modify the debtor's plan.

15. [24-24416](#)-A-13 **IN RE: JAMES GANTT AND SABRINA**
WILLIAMS-GANTT
[DPC-1](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
12-19-2025 [\[33\]](#)

THOMAS AMBERG/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Disposition: Denied

Order: Civil minute order

The trustee filed a motion to dismiss based on delinquency. The trustee has since filed a supplemental document stating that the debtors are current and request the motion be denied, ECF No. 46. The motion will be denied.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion, the opposition, responses, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is denied.

16. [25-24818](#)-A-13 **IN RE: SEAN/CYNTHIA HENSCHEL**
[JCW-1](#)

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY ALLY BANK
10-30-2025 [\[14\]](#)

MIKALAH LIVIAKIS/ATTY. FOR DBT.
JENNIFER WONG/ATTY. FOR MV.
STIPULATION, ECF NO. 22

Final Ruling

The parties have resolved the matter by stipulation. An order granting the stipulation was filed December 18, 2025. Order, ECF No. 24. The matter will be dropped from calendar.

17. [25-25418](#)-A-13 **IN RE: ELIZABETH RIVAS**
[PGM-2](#)

CONTINUED MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN
10-30-2025 [\[20\]](#)

PETER MACALUSO/ATTY. FOR DBT.

No Ruling

18. [25-26918](#)-A-13 **IN RE: KATRINA KLINGENSMITH**

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE TO PAY FEES
12-23-2025 [\[11\]](#)

DEBTOR DISMISSED: 12/30/25

Final Ruling

The case was dismissed December 30, 2025, the order to show cause is discharged as moot.

19. [25-21023](#)-A-13 **IN RE: ANDREA AVINA**
[SLH-1](#)

MOTION TO MODIFY PLAN
12-16-2025 [\[29\]](#)

SETH HANSON/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Modify Chapter 13 Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(d)(2), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed by the trustee

Disposition: Denied

Order: Civil minute order

The motion requests modification of the Chapter 13 plan in this case. See 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325, 1329; Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(b); LBR 3015-1(d)(2). The Chapter 13 trustee opposes the motion, objecting to the modification.

Chapter 13 plan modification is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1323, 1325, 1329 and by Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(a)(5) and 3015(g) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. "[T]he only limits on modification are those set forth in the language of the Code itself, coupled with the bankruptcy judge's discretion and good judgment in reviewing the motion to modify." *In re Powers*, 202 B.R. 618, 622 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1996).

PLAN FEASIBILITY

The proposed plan must be feasible. 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(6). Feasibility is a "factual determination" as to the plan's "reasonable likelihood of success." *First Nat'l Bank of Boston v. Fantasia (In re Fantasia)*, 211 B.R. 420, 423 (B.A.P. 1st Cir. 1997). The bankruptcy court needs to "be satisfied that the debtor has the present as well as the future financial capacity to comply with the terms of the plan." *Id.* As one court summarized feasibility, "Thus, a plan is not feasible and is not confirmable if a debtor's income will not support the plan's proposed payments. *In re Barnes*, 275 B.R. 889, 894 (Bankr. E.D. Cal.2002) ("[T]he debtors showed no disposable income with which to fund a plan.... [T]he debtors have been unable to actually pay the amount projected ... to the trustee."); *In re Bernardes*, 267 B.R. 690, 695 (Bankr. D.N.J. 2001) ("While the feasibility requirement is not rigorous ... the plan proponent must, at minimum, demonstrate that the Debtor's income exceeds expenses by an amount sufficient to make the payments proposed by the plan."); *In re Wilkinson*, 99 B.R. 366, 369 (Bankr. N.D. Ohio 1989) ("[D]ebtors will not be able to comply with the plan and make all payments thereunder."). *In re Buccolo*, 397 B.R. 527, 530 (Bankr. D.N.J. 2008), *aff'd*, 2009 WL 2132435 (D.N.J. July 13, 2009).

Plan Delinquency

The trustee indicates that the plan payments are delinquent in the amount of \$900.00. The plan cannot be confirmed if the plan payments are not current.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The debtor's motion to modify a chapter 13 plan has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion together with papers filed in support and opposition to it, and having heard the arguments of counsel, if any, and good cause appearing, presented at the hearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is denied. The court denies modification of the chapter 13 plan.

20. [25-25223](#)-A-13 **IN RE: QAIS POPAL**

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE TO PAY FEES
12-30-2025 [[53](#)]

1/5/2025 FINAL INSTALLMENT FEE PAID \$156

Final Ruling

The case was dismissed January 9, 2026, the order to show cause is discharged as moot.

21. [25-25223](#)-A-13 **IN RE: QAIS POPAL**
[SKI-1](#)

CONTINUED AMENDED OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY TD
BANK, N.A.
10-16-2025 [[19](#)]

SHERYL ITH/ATTY. FOR MV.

Final Ruling

This case was dismissed on January 9, 2026. Accordingly, the objection will be removed from the calendar as moot. No appearances are required.

22. [25-26226](#)-A-13 **IN RE: AARON/RACHEL MCCONVILLE**
[DPC-1](#)

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P. CUSICK
12-22-2025 [\[33\]](#)

PAULDEEP BAINS/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

Objection: Trustee's Objection to Confirmation of Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4), 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required

Disposition: Continued to March 24, 2026, at 11:00 a.m. before the Honorable Christopher M. Klein in Department C

Order: Civil minute order

The Chapter 13 trustee objects to confirmation of the debtor(s) plan.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court will continue the hearing on this objection to allow the parties to augment the evidentiary record.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on this objection will be continued to March 24, 2026, at 11:00 a.m. before the Honorable Christopher M. Klein in Department C. The court may rule in this matter without further hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no later than February 10, 2026, the debtor(s) shall do one of the following:

(A) File a Statement of No Opposition. If the debtor(s) agree that the Chapter 13 trustee's objection is well taken, the debtor(s) shall concede the merits and file a statement of non-opposition to the objection. L.R. 230(c) ("A responding party who has no opposition to the granting of the motion shall serve and file a statement to that effect..."); LBR 1001-1(c)-(d) (omitting the applicability of L.R. 230 unless the court orders otherwise);

(B) Respond in Writing to the Objection. If the debtor(s) disagree with the trustee's objection, the debtor(s) shall file and serve a written response to the objection; the response shall specifically address each issue raised in the trustee's objection to

confirmation, state whether the issue is disputed or undisputed, and include admissible evidence in support of the debtor's position. If the debtor(s) file a response under paragraph 3(B) of this order, then the trustee shall file and serve a reply, if any, no later than March 10, 2026. The evidentiary record will close after March 10, 2026; or

(C) File a Modified Plan. If the debtor(s) wish to resolve the Chapter 13 trustee's objection by filing a modified plan, then the debtor(s) shall: (1) file and serve a modified Chapter 13 plan; and (2) file and serve a motion to confirm the modified plan.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the parties resolve the trustee's objection, and there are no additional objections to confirmation pending, then the debtor(s) may submit an order confirming the plan which has been signed by the Chapter 13 trustee. The trustee's signature on the order confirming plan represents to the court that no further objections to confirmation of the proposed plan are pending.

23. [25-26226](#)-A-13 **IN RE: AARON/RACHEL MCCONVILLE**
[PSB-2](#)

MOTION TO VALUE COLLATERAL OF JEFFERSON CAPITAL SYSTEMS LLC
12-17-2025 [\[29\]](#)

PAULDEEP BAINS/ATTY. FOR DBT.
TRUSTEE NON-OPPOSITION

Final Ruling

Motion: Value Collateral [Personal Property; Motor Vehicle]

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Civil minute order

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the respondent is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

VALUATION OF COLLATERAL

Chapter 13 debtors may value collateral by noticed motion. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3012. Section 506(a) of the Bankruptcy Code provides, "An allowed claim of a creditor secured by a lien on property in which the estate has an interest . . . is a secured claim to the extent of the value of such creditor's interest in the estate's interest in such property" and is unsecured as to the remainder. 11 U.S.C. § 506(a). For personal property, value is defined as "replacement

value" on the date of the petition. *Id.* § 506(a)(2). For "property acquired for personal, family, or household purposes, replacement value shall mean the price a retail merchant would charge for property of that kind considering the age and condition of the property at the time value is determined." *Id.* The costs of sale or marketing may not be deducted. *Id.*

A debtor's ability to value collateral consisting of a motor vehicle is limited by the terms of the hanging paragraph of § 1325(a). See 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a) (hanging paragraph). Under this statute, a lien secured by a motor vehicle cannot be stripped down to the collateral's value if: (i) the lien securing the claim is a purchase money security interest, (ii) the debt was incurred within the 910-day period preceding the date of the petition, and (iii) the motor vehicle was acquired for the debtor's personal use. 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a) (hanging paragraph).

In this case, the debtor seeks to value collateral consisting of a motor vehicle described as a 2019 Chevy Trax. The debt owed to the respondent is secured by a purchase money security interest. See 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a) (hanging paragraph). The court values the vehicle at \$5,000.00.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The debtor's motion to value collateral consisting of a motor vehicle has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted. The personal property collateral described as a 2019 Chevy Trax has a value of \$5,000.00. No senior liens on the collateral have been identified. The respondent has a secured claim in the amount of \$5,000.00 equal to the value of the collateral that is unencumbered by senior liens. The respondent has a general unsecured claim for the balance of the claim.

24. [25-24327](#)-A-13 **IN RE: VAMBA FREEMAN**

CONTINUED MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY
11-18-2025 [\[87\]](#)

PETER MACALUSO/ATTY. FOR DBT.
NILESH CHOUDHARY/ATTY. FOR MV.
ATHER IQBAL, VIVEK SHARMA VS.

No Ruling

25. [25-24827](#)-A-13 **IN RE: JORGE GARIBAY**

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN
12-15-2025 [\[68\]](#)

ONYINYE ANYAMA/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Confirm Chapter 13 Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(d)(1), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed by the trustee

Disposition: Denied

Order: Civil minute order

The motion requests confirmation of the Chapter 13 plan in this case. See 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1323, 1325; Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(b); LBR 3015-1(d)(1)-(2). The Chapter 13 trustee opposes the motion, objecting to confirmation.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

SERVICE AND NOTICE

As of November 1, 2022, the court adopted Local Bankruptcy Rules 2002-3, 9036-1 and 7005-1 (requiring attorneys and trustees to use a standardized Certificate of Service, EDC 7-005).

The form certificate of service is intended to allow parties to memorialize service efficiently and accurately, and to aid the court in ensuring sufficient service is achieved in each proceeding.

Matrix

Where the Clerk's Matrix of Creditors is attached to the Certificate of Service form, *such list shall be downloaded not more than 7 days prior to the date of serving the pleadings and other documents and shall reflect the date of downloading.* The serving party may download that matrix either in "pdf label format" or in "raw data format." Where the matrix attached is in "raw data format," signature on the Certificate of Service is the signor's representation that no changes, e.g., additions, deletions, modifications, of the data have been made except: (1) formatting of existing data; or (2) removing creditors from that list by the method described in paragraph (c) of this rule.

LBR 7005-1(d) (emphasis added).

In this case there is no matrix attached to the certificate of service. Instead, exhibits in support of the motion are attached to the certificate. See Certificate of Service, ECF No. 71. Accordingly, service of the motion does not comply with LBR 7005-1, and the court cannot determine if all creditors and parties in interest were served with the motion.

The court will also assess the merits of plan confirmation and deny the motion for the following reasons.

PLAN FEASIBILITY

The proposed plan must be feasible. 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(6). Feasibility is a "factual determination" as to the plan's "reasonable likelihood of success." *First Nat'l Bank of Boston v. Fantasia (In re Fantasia)*, 211 B.R. 420, 423 (B.A.P. 1st Cir. 1997). The bankruptcy court needs to "be satisfied that the debtor has the present as well as the future financial capacity to comply with the terms of the plan." *Id.* As one court summarized feasibility, "Thus, a plan is not feasible and is not confirmable if a debtor's income will not support the plan's proposed payments. *In re Barnes*, 275 B.R. 889, 894 (Bankr. E.D. Cal.2002) ("[T]he debtors showed no disposable income with which to fund a plan.... [T]he debtors have been unable to actually pay the amount projected ... to the trustee."); *In re Bernardes*, 267 B.R. 690, 695 (Bankr. D.N.J. 2001) ("While the feasibility requirement is not rigorous ... the plan proponent must, at minimum, demonstrate that the Debtor's income exceeds expenses by an amount sufficient to make the payments proposed by the plan."); *In re Wilkinson*, 99 B.R. 366, 369 (Bankr. N.D. Ohio 1989) ("[D]ebtors will not be able to comply with the plan and make all payments thereunder."). *In re Buccolo*, 397 B.R. 527, 530 (Bankr. D.N.J. 2008), *aff'd*, 2009 WL 2132435 (D.N.J. July 13, 2009).

Plan Overextension

The trustee calculates that the plan will take 103 months to complete. This exceeds the maximum length of 60 months allowed under 11 U.S.C. § 1322(d).

The debtor has proposed a plan payment of \$1,197.97 for 60 months. However, the plan payment does not equal the aggregate monthly dividends as listed in the plan. The Trustee estimates that "Debtors would need to increase their Plan payment to \$3,467.32 a month, which includes Class 1 claims, Debtor's Attorney fees of \$7,770.00, and the Trustee's administrative fee, which is currently 7.80%." Opposition, ECF No. 75.

Therefore, the plan is not feasible under 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(6). The trustee states that debtor's schedules I and J do not show that they could make increased payments necessary to complete the plan in 60 months.

Debtor has responded, ECF No. 78, and stated that they intend to file amended Schedules I and J to show feasibility. Accurate budget schedules are essential for the court's determination of plan feasibility under 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(6). Current, accurate Schedules I and J are part of a debtor's prima facie case for plan confirmation or modification and must be filed at the outset of the debtor's motion, and not in response to opposition by the trustee. Accordingly, the debtor has not met the burden of proof required for plan confirmation. The court will deny the motion.

POST-PETITION MORTGAGE PAYMENTS

Further, the trustee cannot determine if the debtor is complying with §1322 or §1325(a)(1) because the trustee is unsure if the debtor paid post-petition payments for October and November 2025. The mortgage was not previously listed as Class 1 so the trustee was not responsible for making payments to the creditor at that time. The plan should clarify whether post-petition payments have been made or if the trustee is responsible for curing any existing default.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The debtor's motion to confirm a chapter 13 plan has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion together with papers filed in support and opposition to it, and having heard the arguments of counsel, if any, and good cause appearing, presented at the hearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is denied. The court denies confirmation of the chapter 13 plan.

26. [25-24827](#)-A-13 **IN RE: JORGE GARIBAY**
[DPC-1](#)

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P.
CUSICK
10-29-2025 [[33](#)]

ONYINYE ANYAMA/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

Matter: Objection to Confirmation of Chapter 13 Plan
Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4); no written opposition required
Disposition: Overruled as moot
Order: Civil minute order

Chapter 13 debtors may modify the plan before confirmation. 11 U.S.C. § 1323(a). If the debtor files a modification of the plan under § 1323, the modified plan becomes the plan. 11 U.S.C. § 1323(b). Filing a modified plan renders moot any objection to confirmation of the prior plan. The debtor has filed a modified plan after this objection to confirmation was filed. The objection will be overruled as moot.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the objection to confirmation is overruled as moot.

27. [25-24827](#)-A-13 **IN RE: JORGE GARIBAY**
[DPC-2](#)

CONTINUED MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
11-18-2025 [[50](#)]

ONYINYE ANYAMA/ATTY. FOR DBT.

No Ruling

28. [25-23528](#)-A-13 **IN RE: ISRAEL CHIA**
[DPC-1](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
12-19-2025 [\[16\]](#)

JULIUS CHERRY/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed by the debtor

Disposition: Granted

Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: January 7, 2026

Opposition Filed: January 6, 2026 - timely

Cause: 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(6) - Plan Delinquency

Best Interests of Creditors/Estate: Dismiss

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this case, asserting that cause exists under § 1307(c)(6) as the debtor has failed to make all payments due under the confirmed plan. The trustee contends that the plan payments are delinquent in the amount of \$3,700.00, with one payment(s) of \$1,850.00 due before the hearing on this motion.

LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B)

Opposition. Opposition, if any, to the granting of the motion shall be in writing and shall be served and filed with the Court by the responding party at least fourteen (14) days preceding the date or continued date of the hearing. *Opposition shall be accompanied by evidence establishing its factual allegations.* Without good cause, no party shall be heard in opposition to a motion at oral argument if written opposition to the motion has not been timely filed. Failure of the responding party to timely file written opposition may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion or may result in the imposition of sanctions.

LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B) (emphasis added).

The opposition does not comply with LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). A declaration is required to prove the contentions in the opposition and to provide additional relevant information. For example, there is no evidence indicating that the debtor is current or that they will make additional plan payments.

The debtor's opposition does not fully resolve the grounds for dismissal. A delinquency still exists as of the date of the opposition. A statement of intent to pay the delinquency on or before a future date is not equivalent to cure of the delinquency.

The court is unable to deny the motion given the outstanding delinquency.

The court gives no weight to an opposition which fails to provide sworn testimony by the party opposing the motion. Unsworn statements by counsel are not evidence and will not be considered.

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)

Except as provided in subsection (f) of this section, on request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, or may dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause, including—

...

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

The court finds that dismissal is in the best interests of the creditors and the estate. This case has not been previously converted from a chapter 7. The court will dismiss the case.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion, the opposition, responses, and oral argument at the hearing, if any, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted. The debtor has failed to make all payments due under the confirmed chapter 13 plan in this case. Delinquency constitutes cause to dismiss this case. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1), (6). The court hereby dismisses this case.

29. [25-25828](#)-A-13 **IN RE: JAKE JOHNSON**
[SKI-1](#)

MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY AND/OR MOTION FOR
RELIEF FROM CO-DEBTOR STAY
12-19-2025 [[21](#)]

GABRIEL LIBERMAN/ATTY. FOR DBT.
SHERYL ITH/ATTY. FOR MV.
SANTANDER BANK, N.A. VS.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

Motion: Stay Relief

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Continued to February 24, 2026, at 9:00 a.m.

Order: Civil minute order

An order granting the stipulation to continue was entered on January 15, 2026. Order, ECF No. 37. The motion will be continued to February 24, 2026, at 9:00 a.m. No later than 14 days before the hearing, parties shall file a joint status report regarding resolved and outstanding matters.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is continued to February 24, 2026, at 9:00 a.m. No later than 14 days before the hearing, parties shall file a joint status report regarding resolved and outstanding matters.

30. [24-22629](#)-A-13 **IN RE: RUMMY SANDHU**
[DPC-4](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
12-19-2025 [\[119\]](#)

PETER MACALUSO/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed by the debtor

Disposition: Granted

Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: January 7, 2026

Opposition Filed: January 6, 2026 - timely

Cause: 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(6) - Plan Delinquency

Best Interests of Creditors/Estate: Dismiss

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this case, asserting that cause exists under § 1307(c)(6) as the debtor has failed to make all payments due under the confirmed plan. The trustee contends that the plan payments are delinquent in the amount of \$4,529.75, with one payment(s) of \$4,529.75 due before the hearing on this motion.

LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B)

Opposition. Opposition, if any, to the granting of the motion shall be in writing and shall be served and filed with the Court by the responding party at least fourteen (14) days preceding the date or continued date of the hearing. *Opposition shall be accompanied by evidence establishing its factual allegations.* Without good cause, no party shall be heard in opposition to a motion at oral argument if written opposition to the motion has not been timely filed. Failure of the responding party to timely file written opposition may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion or may result in the imposition of sanctions.

LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B) (emphasis added).

The opposition does not comply with LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). A declaration is required to prove the contentions in the opposition and to provide additional relevant information. For example, there is no evidence indicating that the debtor will be current or that they will make additional plan payments.

The debtor's opposition does not fully resolve the grounds for dismissal. A delinquency still exists as of the date of the opposition. A statement of intent to pay the delinquency on or before a future date is not equivalent to cure of the delinquency.

The court is unable to deny the motion given the outstanding delinquency.

The court gives no weight to an opposition which fails to provide sworn testimony by the party opposing the motion. Unsworn statements by counsel are not evidence and will not be considered.

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)

Except as provided in subsection (f) of this section, on request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, or may dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause, including—

...

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

The court finds that dismissal is in the best interests of the creditors and the estate. This case has not been previously converted from a chapter 7. The court will dismiss the case.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion, the opposition, responses, and oral argument at the hearing, if any, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted. The debtor has failed to make all payments due under the confirmed chapter 13 plan in this case. Delinquency constitutes cause to dismiss this case. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1), (6). The court hereby dismisses this case.

31. [25-24829](#)-A-13 **IN RE: JORDAN/HAYLEY LANGLITZ**
[DPC-1](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
12-19-2025 [\[14\]](#)

MIKALAH LIVIAKIS/ATTY. FOR DBT.
DEBTOR NON-OPPOSITION

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: January 7, 2025

Opposition Filed: Unopposed

Cause: 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1) - Plan Delinquency

Best Interests of Creditors/Estate: Dismiss

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). Debtor has filed non-opposition to the motion. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

CASE DISMISSAL

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this chapter 13 case for delinquency in payments under the chapter 13 plan. For the reasons stated in the motion, cause exists under § 1307(c)(1) to dismiss the case. Payments under the plan are delinquent in the amount of \$5,835.28 with one payment(s) of \$3,917.64 due prior to the hearing on this motion.

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)

Except as provided in subsection (f) of this section, on request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, or may dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause, including—

...

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

The court finds that dismissal is in the best interests of the creditors and the estate. This case has not been previously converted from a chapter 7.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss this chapter 13 case has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent debtor for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted because of the delinquency under the chapter 13 plan in this case. The court hereby dismisses this case.

32. [25-25429](#)-A-13 **IN RE: ARTHUR WILLIAMS**
[DPC-2](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
12-19-2025 [[31](#)]

PETER MACALUSO/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: January 7, 2026

Opposition Filed: Unopposed

Cause: 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1) - Plan Delinquency

Best Interests of Creditors/Estate: Dismiss

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

CASE DISMISSAL

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this chapter 13 case for delinquency in payments under the chapter 13 plan. For the reasons stated in the motion, cause exists under § 1307(c)(1) to dismiss the case. Payments under the plan are delinquent in the amount of

\$5,000.00 with one payment(s) of \$5,000.00 due prior to the hearing on this motion.

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)

Except as provided in subsection (f) of this section, on request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, or may dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause, including—

...

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

The court finds that dismissal is in the best interests of the creditors and the estate. This case has not been previously converted from a chapter 7.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss this chapter 13 case has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent debtor for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted because of the delinquency under the chapter 13 plan in this case. The court hereby dismisses this case.

33. [25-25429](#)-A-13 **IN RE: ARTHUR WILLIAMS**
[SKI-1](#)

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY TD BANK, N.A.
10-10-2025 [\[8\]](#)

PETER MACALUSO/ATTY. FOR DBT.
SHERYL ITH/ATTY. FOR MV.

Final Ruling

This case has been dismissed, DPC-2, and as such the matter is moot and will be dropped from calendar. No further appearances are required.

34. [25-24130](#)-A-13 **IN RE: LUIS BENUTO**
[MBW-1](#)

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY SAFE CREDIT UNION
1-6-2026 [\[40\]](#)

MATTHEW DECAMINADA/ATTY. FOR DBT.
JOHN MENDONZA/ATTY. FOR MV.

Final Ruling

Objection: Creditor's Objection to Confirmation of Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4), 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required

Disposition: Continued to March 24, 2026, at 11:00 a.m. before the Honorable Christopher M. Klein in Department C

Order: Civil minute order

Creditor, Safe Credit Union, objects to confirmation of the debtor(s) plan.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court will continue the hearing on this objection to allow the parties to augment the evidentiary record.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on this objection will be continued to March 24, 2026, at 11:00 a.m. before the Honorable Christopher M. Klein in Department C. The court may rule in this matter without further hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no later than February 10, 2026, the debtor(s) shall do one of the following:

(A) File a Statement of No Opposition. If the debtor(s) agree that the creditor's objection is well taken, the debtor(s) shall concede the merits and file a statement of non-opposition to the objection. L.R. 230(c) ("A responding party who has no opposition to the granting of the motion shall serve and file a statement to that effect..."); LBR 1001-1(c)-(d) (omitting the applicability of L.R. 230 unless the court orders otherwise);

(B) Respond in Writing to the Objection. If the debtor(s) disagrees with the creditor's objection, the debtor(s) shall file and serve a written response to the objection; the response shall specifically address each issue raised in the creditor's objection

to confirmation, state whether the issue is disputed or undisputed, and include admissible evidence in support of the debtor's position. If the debtor(s) file a response under paragraph 3(B) of this order, then the creditor shall file and serve a reply, if any, no later than March 10, 2026. The evidentiary record will close after March 10, 2026; or

(C) File a Modified Plan. If the debtor(s) wish to resolve the creditor's objection by filing a modified plan, then the debtor(s) shall: (1) file and serve a modified Chapter 13 plan; and (2) file and serve a motion to confirm the modified plan.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any stipulation between the parties resolving this matter must be approved and signed by the Chapter 13 trustee prior to filing with the court. The trustee's signature on the stipulation warrants that the terms of the proposed stipulation do not impact the plan's compliance with 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a).

35. [24-22831](#)-A-13 **IN RE: SHANNIN/CHERYL VERONIE**
[DPC-1](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
12-19-2025 [\[27\]](#)

PAULDEEP BAINS/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: January 7, 2026

Opposition Filed: Unopposed

Cause: 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(6) - Plan Delinquency

Best Interests of Creditors/Estate: Dismiss

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

CASE DISMISSAL

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this chapter 13 case for delinquency in payments under the confirmed chapter 13 plan. For the reasons stated in the motion, cause exists under § 1307(c)(6) to dismiss the case. Payments under the confirmed plan are delinquent

in the amount of \$4,440.00 with one payment(s) of \$1,730.00 due prior to the hearing on this motion.

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)

Except as provided in subsection (f) of this section, on request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, or may dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause, including—

...

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

The court finds that dismissal is in the best interests of the creditors and the estate. This case has not been previously converted from a chapter 7.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss this chapter 13 case has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent debtor for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted because of the delinquency under the confirmed chapter 13 plan in this case. The court hereby dismisses this case.

36. [25-25531](#)-A-13 **IN RE: DASHENA WILLIAMS**
[DPC-1](#)

OBJECTION TO DISCHARGE BY DAVID P. CUSICK
12-10-2025 [\[58\]](#)

PETER MACALUSO/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Objection to Discharge

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Sustained

Order: Civil minute order

Instant Petition Filed: October 7, 2025

Previous Chapter: Chapter 7

Previous Petition Filed: July 11, 2024

Previous Discharge: November 4, 2024

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

The chapter 13 trustee has objected to the debtor(s) discharge in this case citing the debtor(s) ineligibility pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §1328(f).

OBJECTION TO DISCHARGE – 11 U.S.C. § 1328(f)

11 U.S.C. § 1328(f)(1)) provides:

Notwithstanding subsections (a) and (b), the court shall not grant a discharge of all debts provided for in the plan or disallowed under section 502, if the debtor has received a discharge-

- (1) in a case filed under chapter 7, 11, or 12 of this title during the 4-year period preceding the date of the order for relief under this chapter,
- (2) in a case filed under chapter 13 of this title during the 2-year period preceding the date of such order.

The statute has only three elements for the discharge bar to trigger under 1328(f)(1). First, the debtor must have received a prior bankruptcy discharge.

Second, the prior case must have been filed under Chapters 7, 11, or 12.

Third, the case in which the discharge was received must have been filed during the 4- year period preceding the date of the order for relief under this [Chapter 13] chapter. The third element represents a significant change to the Bankruptcy Code, which previously

imposed no time limitations for obtaining a discharge in a chapter 13 case filed after issuance of a discharge in a chapter 7 case.

Before BAPCPA, chapter 20 debtors could obtain a chapter 13 discharge after having received a discharge in chapter 7 without restriction. The Bankruptcy Abuse Prevention and Consumer Protection Act ("BAPCPA") enacted in 2005 imposed a restriction by adding § 1328(f), which states that a court cannot grant debtors a discharge in a chapter 13 case filed within four years of the filing of a case wherein a discharge was granted in chapter 7. §1328(f)(1).

Boukatch v. MidFirst Bank (In re Boukatch), 533 B.R. 292, 297 (9th Cir. BAP 2015).

Regarding the circumstances wherein a debtor receives a chapter 7 discharge and then files a subsequent chapter 13 petition the statute is clear, and the court shall not grant a discharge in these circumstances.

Relatively unambiguously, new §1328(f)((1) states mandatorily that the court "shall not" grant a discharge if the debtor received a discharge in a Chapter 7, 11 or 12 case "filed...during the 4-year period preceding the date of the order for relief under this chapter." The counting rule here is clear: the 'order for relief under this chapter' would be the date of filing the current Chapter 13 petition; the four-year period would run from the date of filing of the prior case in which the debtor received a discharge. In other words, the four-year bar to successive discharges runs from the *filing* of a prior Chapter 7 (11 or 12) case to the *filing* of the current Chapter case."

Keith M. Lunden, *Lunden On Chapter 13*, §152.2 at ¶ 3 (2021).

Because less than 4 years has passed since the filing of debtor(s) previous chapter 7 case on July 11, 2024, debtor is not eligible for a discharge in this chapter 13 case. The court will sustain the trustee's objection to discharge.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court finds that the debtor is not entitled to a discharge in this case. The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's Objection to Discharge has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of the debtor for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the objection,

IT IS ORDERED that the objection is sustained; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the clerk shall not enter a discharge in this case.

37. [25-24834](#)-A-13 **IN RE: HOLLY PLICHTA**
[DPC-1](#)

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P.
CUSICK
10-28-2025 [\[16\]](#)

THOMAS AMBERG/ATTY. FOR DBT.
DEBTOR NON-OPPOSITION

Final Ruling

Matter: Objection to Confirmation of Chapter 13 Plan
Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4); no written opposition required
Disposition: Overruled as moot
Order: Civil minute order

Chapter 13 debtors may modify the plan before confirmation. 11 U.S.C. § 1323(a). If the debtor files a modification of the plan under § 1323, the modified plan becomes the plan. 11 U.S.C. § 1323(b). Filing a modified plan renders moot any objection to confirmation of the prior plan. The debtor has filed a modified plan after this objection to confirmation was filed. The objection will be overruled as moot.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the objection to confirmation is overruled as moot.

38. [25-24834](#)-A-13 **IN RE: HOLLY PLICHTA**
[DPC-2](#)

CONTINUED MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
11-18-2025 [\[20\]](#)

THOMAS AMBERG/ATTY. FOR DBT.

No Ruling

39. [25-24834](#)-A-13 **IN RE: HOLLY PLICHTA**
[TLA-1](#)

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN
12-9-2025 [\[29\]](#)

THOMAS AMBERG/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Confirm Chapter 13 Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(d)(1), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed by the trustee

Disposition: Denied

Order: Civil minute order

The motion requests confirmation of the Chapter 13 plan in this case. See 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1323, 1325; Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(b); LBR 3015-1(d)(1)-(2). The Chapter 13 trustee opposes the motion, objecting to confirmation.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

PLAN FEASIBILITY

The proposed plan must be feasible. 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(6). Feasibility is a "factual determination" as to the plan's "reasonable likelihood of success." *First Nat'l Bank of Boston v. Fantasia (In re Fantasia)*, 211 B.R. 420, 423 (B.A.P. 1st Cir. 1997). The bankruptcy court needs to "be satisfied that the debtor has the present as well as the future financial capacity to comply with the terms of the plan." *Id.* As one court summarized feasibility, "Thus, a plan is not feasible and is not confirmable if a debtor's income will not support the plan's proposed payments. *In re Barnes*, 275 B.R. 889, 894 (Bankr. E.D. Cal.2002) ("[T]he debtors showed no disposable income with which to fund a plan.... [T]he debtors have been unable to actually pay the amount projected ... to the trustee."); *In re Bernardes*, 267 B.R. 690, 695 (Bankr. D.N.J. 2001) ("While the feasibility requirement is not rigorous ... the plan proponent must, at minimum, demonstrate that the Debtor's income exceeds expenses by an amount sufficient to make the payments proposed by the plan."); *In re Wilkinson*, 99 B.R. 366, 369 (Bankr. N.D. Ohio 1989) ("[D]ebtors will not be able to comply with the plan and make all payments thereunder."). *In re Buccolo*, 397 B.R. 527, 530 (Bankr. D.N.J. 2008), *aff'd*, 2009 WL 2132435 (D.N.J. July 13, 2009).

Plan Delinquency

The trustee indicates that the plan payments are delinquent in the amount of \$3,190.00. The plan cannot be confirmed if the plan payments are not current.

PLAN PAYMENTS UNCLEAR

The trustee has also raised concerns with the plans non-standard provisions. The trustee believes that the step-up payment should commence in January 2026 to the end of the plan. If the debtor agrees to clarifying language, this issue could be resolved. As of currently, the issue is outstanding.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The debtor's motion to confirm a chapter 13 plan has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion together with papers filed in support and opposition to it, and having heard the arguments of counsel, if any, and good cause appearing, presented at the hearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is denied. The court denies confirmation of the chapter 13 plan.

40. [24-22436](#)-A-13 **IN RE: AMBER DEAL**
[DPC-1](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
12-19-2025 [[21](#)]

MIKALAH LIVIAKIS/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: January 7, 2026

Opposition Filed: Unopposed

Cause: 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(6) - Plan Delinquency

Best Interests of Creditors/Estate: Dismiss

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

CASE DISMISSAL

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this chapter 13 case for delinquency in payments under the confirmed chapter 13 plan. For the reasons stated in the motion, cause exists under § 1307(c)(6) to dismiss the case. Payments under the confirmed plan are delinquent in the amount of \$3,780.00 with one payment(s) of \$1,295.00 due prior to the hearing on this motion.

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)

Except as provided in subsection (f) of this section, on request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, or may dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause, including—

...

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

The court finds that dismissal is in the best interests of the creditors and the estate. This case has not been previously converted from a chapter 7.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss this chapter 13 case has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent debtor for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted because of the delinquency under the confirmed chapter 13 plan in this case. The court hereby dismisses this case.

41. [25-26236](#)-A-13 **IN RE: CRYSTAL CODINA**
[DPC-1](#)

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P. CUSICK
12-23-2025 [[12](#)]

MOHAMMAD MOKARRAM/ATTY. FOR DBT.
WITHDRAWN BY M.P.

Final Ruling

The objection was withdrawn by the moving party on December 31, 2025, ECF No. 16. Accordingly, this matter will be removed from the calendar as moot. No appearances are required.

42. [24-25137](#)-A-13 **IN RE: JAY CHARLES AND LORI CHAPPELL CHARLES**
[DPC-1](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
12-19-2025 [\[28\]](#)

CANDACE BROOKS/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed by debtors

Disposition: Continued to February 24, 2026, at 9:00 a.m.

Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: January 7, 2026

Opposition Filed: January 6, 2026 - timely

Motion to Modify Plan Filed: January 6, 2026 - timely

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this case, asserting that cause exists under § 1307(c)(1) and (6) as the debtor has failed to make all payments due under the plan. The trustee contends that the plan payments are delinquent in the amount of \$3,718.00, with one payment(s) of \$1,859.00 due before the hearing on this motion.

A modified plan has been timely filed and set for hearing in this case. The scheduled hearing on the modification is February 24, 2026, at 9:00 a.m. The court will continue the hearing on this motion to dismiss to coincide with the hearing on the plan modification. If the modification is disapproved, and the motion to dismiss has not been withdrawn or otherwise resolved, the court may dismiss the case at the continued hearing.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

IT IS ORDERED that the chapter 13 trustee's motion to dismiss is continued to February 24, 2026, at 9:00 a.m.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the trustee elects to oppose the debtor's motion to modify, then not later than 14 days prior to the continued hearing date the trustee shall file a status report updating this motion to dismiss. The status report shall provide a concise list explaining the remaining issues in the motion to dismiss and indicate the amount of any plan delinquency. The status report shall be succinct and shall not consist of a cut and paste of the opposition filed by the trustee in response to a motion to amend or modify the debtor's plan.

43. [25-24237](#)-A-13 **IN RE: SERENA GARCIA**
[GC-1](#)

CONTINUED MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN
10-30-2025 [\[32\]](#)

JULIUS CHERRY/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

No Ruling

44. [25-26238](#)-A-13 **IN RE: KENNETH VAVURIS**
[DPC-1](#)

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P. CUSICK
12-22-2025 [\[40\]](#)

ERIC SCHWAB/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

Objection: Trustee's Objection to Confirmation of Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4), 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required

Disposition: Continued to March 24, 2026, at 11:00 a.m. before the Honorable Christopher M. Klein in Department C

Order: Civil minute order

The Chapter 13 trustee objects to confirmation of the debtor(s) plan.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court will continue the hearing on this objection to allow the parties to augment the evidentiary record.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on this objection will be continued to March 24, 2026, at 11:00 a.m. before the Honorable Christopher M. Klein in Department C. The court may rule in this matter without further hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no later than February 10, 2026, the debtor(s) shall do one of the following:

(A) File a Statement of No Opposition. If the debtor(s) agree that the Chapter 13 trustee's objection is well taken, the debtor(s) shall concede the merits and file a statement of non-opposition to the objection. L.R. 230(c) ("A responding party who has no opposition to the granting of the motion shall serve and file a statement to that effect..."); LBR 1001-1(c)-(d) (omitting the applicability of L.R. 230 unless the court orders otherwise);

(B) Respond in Writing to the Objection. If the debtor(s) disagree with the trustee's objection, the debtor(s) shall file and serve a written response to the objection; the response shall specifically address each issue raised in the trustee's objection to confirmation, state whether the issue is disputed or undisputed, and include admissible evidence in support of the debtor's position. If the debtor(s) file a response under paragraph 3(B) of this order, then the trustee shall file and serve a reply, if any, no later than March 10, 2026. The evidentiary record will close after March 10, 2026; or

(C) File a Modified Plan. If the debtor(s) wish to resolve the Chapter 13 trustee's objection by filing a modified plan, then the debtor(s) shall: (1) file and serve a modified Chapter 13 plan; and (2) file and serve a motion to confirm the modified plan.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the parties resolve the trustee's objection, and there are no additional objections to confirmation pending, then the debtor(s) may submit an order confirming the plan which has been signed by the Chapter 13 trustee. The trustee's signature on the order confirming plan represents to the court that no further objections to confirmation of the proposed plan are pending.

45. [25-26238](#)-A-13 **IN RE: KENNETH VAVURIS**
[FWP-2](#)

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY GARY YOUNG
12-29-2025 [\[52\]](#)

ERIC SCHWAB/ATTY. FOR DBT.
THOMAS PHINNEY/ATTY. FOR MV.

Final Ruling

Objection: Creditor's Objection to Confirmation of Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4), 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required

Disposition: Continued to March 24, 2026, at 11:00 a.m. before the Honorable Christopher M. Klein in Department C

Order: Civil minute order

Creditor, Gary Young, objects to confirmation of the debtor(s) plan.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court will continue the hearing on this objection to allow the parties to augment the evidentiary record.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on this objection will be continued to March 24, 2026, at 11:00 a.m. before the Honorable Christopher M. Klein in Department C. The court may rule in this matter without further hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no later than February 10, 2026, the debtor(s) shall do one of the following:

(A) File a Statement of No Opposition. If the debtor(s) agree that the creditor's objection is well taken, the debtor(s) shall concede the merits and file a statement of non-opposition to the objection. L.R. 230(c) ("A responding party who has no opposition to the granting of the motion shall serve and file a statement to that effect..."); LBR 1001-1(c)-(d) (omitting the applicability of L.R. 230 unless the court orders otherwise);

(B) Respond in Writing to the Objection. If the debtor(s) disagrees with the creditor's objection, the debtor(s) shall file and serve a written response to the objection; the response shall specifically address each issue raised in the creditor's objection to confirmation, state whether the issue is disputed or undisputed,

and include admissible evidence in support of the debtor's position. If the debtor(s) file a response under paragraph 3(B) of this order, then the creditor shall file and serve a reply, if any, no later than March 10, 2026. The evidentiary record will close after March 10, 2026; or

(C) File a Modified Plan. If the debtor(s) wish to resolve the creditor's objection by filing a modified plan, then the debtor(s) shall: (1) file and serve a modified Chapter 13 plan; and (2) file and serve a motion to confirm the modified plan.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any stipulation between the parties resolving this matter must be approved and signed by the Chapter 13 trustee prior to filing with the court. The trustee's signature on the stipulation warrants that the terms of the proposed stipulation do not impact the plan's compliance with 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a).

46. [25-26238](#)-A-13 **IN RE: KENNETH VAVURIS**
[JCW-1](#)

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY JPMORGAN CHASE BANK,
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
12-23-2025 [\[46\]](#)

ERIC SCHWAB/ATTY. FOR DBT.
JENNIFER WONG/ATTY. FOR MV.

Final Ruling

Objection: Creditor's Objection to Confirmation of Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4), 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required

Disposition: Continued to March 24, 2026, at 11:00 a.m. before the Honorable Christopher M. Klein in Department C

Order: Civil minute order

Creditor, JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association, objects to confirmation of the debtor(s) plan.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court will continue the hearing on this objection to allow the parties to augment the evidentiary record.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on this objection will be continued to March 24, 2026, at 11:00 a.m. before the Honorable Christopher M. Klein in Department C. The court may rule in this matter without further hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no later than February 10, 2026, the debtor(s) shall do one of the following:

(A) File a Statement of No Opposition. If the debtor(s) agree that the creditor's objection is well taken, the debtor(s) shall concede the merits and file a statement of non-opposition to the objection. L.R. 230(c) ("A responding party who has no opposition to the granting of the motion shall serve and file a statement to that effect..."); LBR 1001-1(c)-(d) (omitting the applicability of L.R. 230 unless the court orders otherwise);

(B) Respond in Writing to the Objection. If the debtor(s) disagrees with the creditor's objection, the debtor(s) shall file and serve a written response to the objection; the response shall specifically address each issue raised in the creditor's objection to confirmation, state whether the issue is disputed or undisputed, and include admissible evidence in support of the debtor's position. If the debtor(s) file a response under paragraph 3(B) of this order, then the creditor shall file and serve a reply, if any, no later than March 10, 2026. The evidentiary record will close after March 10, 2026; or

(C) File a Modified Plan. If the debtor(s) wish to resolve the creditor's objection by filing a modified plan, then the debtor(s) shall: (1) file and serve a modified Chapter 13 plan; and (2) file and serve a motion to confirm the modified plan.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any stipulation between the parties resolving this matter must be approved and signed by the Chapter 13 trustee prior to filing with the court. The trustee's signature on the stipulation warrants that the terms of the proposed stipulation do not impact the plan's compliance with 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a).

47. [25-24740](#)-A-13 **IN RE: JOSEPH/MELISSA COONEY**
[DPC-1](#)

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P.
CUSICK
10-22-2025 [[12](#)]

PETER MACALUSO/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Matter: Objection to Confirmation of Chapter 13 Plan
Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4); no written opposition required
Disposition: Overruled as moot
Order: Civil minute order

Chapter 13 debtors may modify the plan before confirmation. 11 U.S.C. § 1323(a). If the debtor files a modification of the plan under § 1323, the modified plan becomes the plan. 11 U.S.C. § 1323(b). Filing a modified plan renders moot any objection to confirmation of the prior plan. The debtor has filed a modified plan after this objection to confirmation was filed. The objection will be overruled as moot.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the objection to confirmation is overruled as moot.

48. [25-24740](#)-A-13 **IN RE: JOSEPH/MELISSA COONEY**
[GAL-1](#)

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY ONEMAIN
FINANCIAL GROUP, LLC
10-23-2025 [[16](#)]

PETER MACALUSO/ATTY. FOR DBT.
GARRY MASTERSON/ATTY. FOR MV.
WITHDRAWN BY M.P.

Final Ruling

Matter: Objection to Confirmation of Chapter 13 Plan
Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4); no written opposition required
Disposition: Overruled as moot
Order: Civil minute order

Chapter 13 debtors may modify the plan before confirmation. 11 U.S.C. § 1323(a). If the debtor files a modification of the plan under § 1323, the modified plan becomes the plan. 11 U.S.C. § 1323(b). Filing a modified plan renders moot any objection to

confirmation of the prior plan. The debtor has filed a modified plan after this objection to confirmation was filed. The objection will be overruled as moot.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the objection to confirmation is overruled as moot.

49. [25-24740](#)-A-13 **IN RE: JOSEPH/MELISSA COONEY**
[PGM-1](#)

CONTINUED MOTION TO VALUE COLLATERAL OF AMERICAN HONDA
FINANCE CORPORATION
10-31-2025 [\[21\]](#)

PETER MACALUSO/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

The motion is continued to February 24, 2026, at 9:00 a.m. Not later than February 10, 2026, the parties will file a joint status report.

50. [25-26341](#)-A-13 **IN RE: TIMOTHY SHAKESNIDER-RICE AND VERA**
SHAKESNIDER
[DPC-1](#)

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P. CUSICK
12-23-2025 [\[20\]](#)

PETER MACALUSO/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Objection: Trustee's Objection to Confirmation of Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4), 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required

Disposition: Continued to March 24, 2026, at 11:00 a.m. before the Honorable Christopher M. Klein in Department C

Order: Civil minute order

The Chapter 13 trustee objects to confirmation of the debtor(s) plan.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation.

In re Andrews, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court will continue the hearing on this objection to allow the parties to augment the evidentiary record.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on this objection will be continued to March 24, 2026, at 11:00 a.m. before the Honorable Christopher M. Klein in Department C. The court may rule in this matter without further hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no later than February 10, 2026, the debtor(s) shall do one of the following:

(A) File a Statement of No Opposition. If the debtor(s) agree that the Chapter 13 trustee's objection is well taken, the debtor(s) shall concede the merits and file a statement of non-opposition to the objection. L.R. 230(c) ("A responding party who has no opposition to the granting of the motion shall serve and file a statement to that effect..."); LBR 1001-1(c)-(d) (omitting the applicability of L.R. 230 unless the court orders otherwise);

(B) Respond in Writing to the Objection. If the debtor(s) disagree with the trustee's objection, the debtor(s) shall file and serve a written response to the objection; the response shall specifically address each issue raised in the trustee's objection to confirmation, state whether the issue is disputed or undisputed, and include admissible evidence in support of the debtor's position. If the debtor(s) file a response under paragraph 3(B) of this order, then the trustee shall file and serve a reply, if any, no later than March 10, 2026. The evidentiary record will close after March 10, 2026; or

(C) File a Modified Plan. If the debtor(s) wish to resolve the Chapter 13 trustee's objection by filing a modified plan, then the debtor(s) shall: (1) file and serve a modified Chapter 13 plan; and (2) file and serve a motion to confirm the modified plan.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the parties resolve the trustee's objection, and there are no additional objections to confirmation pending, then the debtor(s) may submit an order confirming the plan which has been signed by the Chapter 13 trustee. The trustee's signature on the order confirming plan represents to the court that no further objections to confirmation of the proposed plan are pending.

51. [23-21744](#)-A-13 **IN RE: RAFAEL RAMIREZ GUTIERREZ AND JOHANNA
RAMIREZ
[DPC-1](#)**

CONTINUED MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
11-19-2025 [\[21\]](#)

SCOTT JOHNSON/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: Continued from January 6, 2026

Disposition: Denied

Order: Civil minute order

The hearing on this motion was continued from January 6, 2026, to allow for hearing on the debtor's motion to modify the chapter 13 plan. The motion to modify, SMJ-1, has been granted.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion, the opposition, responses, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is denied.

52. [23-21744](#)-A-13 **IN RE: RAFAEL RAMIREZ GUTIERREZ AND JOHANNA
RAMIREZ
[SMJ-1](#)**

MOTION TO MODIFY PLAN
12-17-2025 [\[25\]](#)

SCOTT JOHNSON/ATTY. FOR DBT.
TRUSTEE NON-OPPOSITION

Final Ruling

Motion: Modify Chapter 13 Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(d)(2), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Prepared by movant, approved by the trustee

Subject: First Modified Chapter 13 Plan, filed December 17, 2025

DEFAULT OF RESPONDENT

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

The debtor(s) seek approval of the proposed modified Chapter 13 Plan. The plan is supported by Schedules I and J filed on December 18, 2025, ECF No. 29. The Chapter 13 trustee has filed a non-opposition to the motion, ECF No. 31.

CHAPTER 13 PLAN MODIFICATION

Chapter 13 plan modification is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1323, 1325, 1329 and by Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(a)(5) and 3015(g) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. "[T]he only limits on modification are those set forth in the language of the Code itself, coupled with the bankruptcy judge's discretion and good judgment in reviewing the motion to modify." *In re Powers*, 202 B.R. 618, 622 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1996).

Chapter 13 debtors seeking plan modification have the burden of proving that all requirements of § 1322(a) and (b) and § 1325(a) have been met. See 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322(a)-(b), 1325(a), 1329(b)(1); see also *In re Powers*, 202 B.R. at 622 ("[Section] 1329(b)(1) protects the parties from unwarranted modification motions by ensuring that the proposed modifications satisfy the same standards as required of the initial plan."); see also *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407 (9th Cir. 1994); *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1408 (9th Cir. 1995).

The court finds that the debtor has sustained this burden of proof.
The court will grant the motion and approve the modification.

53. [25-20746](#)-A-13 **IN RE: JOHN/KRISTEN FIORICA**
[DPC-2](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
12-19-2025 [\[46\]](#)

MARK SHMORGON/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed by the debtor

Disposition: Granted

Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: January 7, 2026

Opposition Filed: December 23, 2025 - timely

Cause: 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(6) - Plan Delinquency

Best Interests of Creditors/Estate: Dismiss

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this case, asserting that cause exists under § 1307(c)(6) as the debtor has failed to make all payments due under the confirmed plan. The trustee contends that the plan payments are delinquent in the amount of \$3,364.18, with one payment(s) of \$2,087.74 due before the hearing on this motion.

The debtor has filed a timely opposition which is accompanied by the Declaration of the Debtor, ECF Nos. 50 & 52. The debtor's declaration states that the debtor will bring the plan payment current by the date of the hearing on this motion. See Declaration, ECF No. 52.

The opposition does not fully resolve the grounds for dismissal. A delinquency still exists as of the date of the opposition. A statement of intent to pay the delinquency on or before a future date is not equivalent to cure of the delinquency. The court is unable to deny the motion given the outstanding delinquency.

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)

Except as provided in subsection (f) of this section, on request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, or may dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause, including—

...

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

The court finds that dismissal is in the best interests of the creditors and the estate. This case has not been previously converted from a chapter 7. The court will dismiss the case.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion, the opposition, responses, and oral argument at the hearing, if any, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted. The debtor has failed to make all payments due under the confirmed chapter 13 plan in this case. Delinquency constitutes cause to dismiss this case. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1), (6). The court hereby dismisses this case.

54. [25-24946](#)-A-13 **IN RE: JESSE BUGGS**
[RLG-1](#)

MOTION TO VALUE COLLATERAL OF MATADORS COMMUNITY CREDIT
UNION
12-15-2025 [\[20\]](#)

STEVEN ALPERT/ATTY. FOR DBT.
TRUSTEE NON-OPPOSITION

Final Ruling

Motion: Value Collateral

Disposition: Denied without prejudice

Order: Civil minute order

As a contested matter, a motion to value collateral is governed by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9014(a). Rule 9014 requires Rule 7004 service of motions in contested matters. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9014(b). Under Rule 7004, service on FDIC-insured institutions must "be made by certified mail addressed to an officer of the institution" unless one of the exceptions applies. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7004(h).

Service of the motion was insufficient. Service of the motion was not made by certified mail or was not addressed to an officer of the responding party. No showing has been made that the exceptions in Rule 7004(h) are applicable. See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7004(h)(1)-(3).

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Jesse Buggs's motion has been presented to the court. Given the procedural deficiencies discussed by the court in its ruling,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is denied without prejudice.

55. [24-23147](#)-A-13 **IN RE: ANTHONY WILLIAMS**
[DPC-3](#)

CONTINUED MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
11-14-2025 [\[42\]](#)

STEVEN ALPERT/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: Continued from December 16, 2025

Disposition: Denied

Order: Civil minute order

The hearing on this motion was continued from December 16, 2025, to allow for hearing on the debtor's motion to modify the chapter 13 plan. The motion to modify, PLG-1, has been granted.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion, the opposition, responses, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is denied.

56. [24-23147](#)-A-13 **IN RE: ANTHONY WILLIAMS**
[PLG-1](#)

MOTION TO MODIFY PLAN
12-12-2025 [\[52\]](#)

STEVEN ALPERT/ATTY. FOR DBT.
TRUSTEE NON-OPPOSITION

Final Ruling

Motion: Modify Chapter 13 Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(d)(2), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Prepared by movant, approved by the trustee

Subject: First Modified Chapter 13 Plan, filed December 12, 2025

DEFAULT OF RESPONDENT

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

The debtor(s) seek approval of the proposed modified Chapter 13 Plan. The plan is supported by Schedules I and J filed on December 12, 2025, ECF No. 51. The Chapter 13 trustee has filed a non-opposition to the motion, ECF No. 61.

CHAPTER 13 PLAN MODIFICATION

Chapter 13 plan modification is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1323, 1325, 1329 and by Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(a)(5) and 3015(g) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. "[T]he only limits on modification are those set forth in the language of the Code itself, coupled with the bankruptcy judge's discretion and good judgment in reviewing the motion to modify." *In re Powers*, 202 B.R. 618, 622 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1996).

Chapter 13 debtors seeking plan modification have the burden of proving that all requirements of § 1322(a) and (b) and § 1325(a) have been met. See 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322(a)-(b), 1325(a), 1329(b)(1); see also *In re Powers*, 202 B.R. at 622 ("[Section] 1329(b)(1) protects the parties from unwarranted modification motions by ensuring that the proposed modifications satisfy the same standards as required of the initial plan."); see also *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407 (9th Cir. 1994); *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1408 (9th Cir. 1995).

The court finds that the debtor has sustained this burden of proof. The court will grant the motion and approve the modification.

57. [24-24247](#)-A-13 **IN RE: NEERAJ BHARDWAJ**
[DPC-3](#)

CONTINUED MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
11-14-2025 [\[144\]](#)

SCOTT JOHNSON/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: Continued from December 16, 2025

Disposition: Denied

Order: Civil minute order

The hearing on this motion was continued from December 16, 2025, to allow for hearing on the debtor's motion to modify the chapter 13 plan. The motion to modify, SMJ-7, has been granted.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion, the opposition, responses, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is denied.

58. [24-24247](#)-A-13 **IN RE: NEERAJ BHARDWAJ**
[SMJ-7](#)

MOTION TO MODIFY PLAN
12-2-2025 [\[150\]](#)

SCOTT JOHNSON/ATTY. FOR DBT.
TRUSTEE NON-OPPOSITION

Final Ruling

Motion: Modify Chapter 13 Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(d)(2), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Prepared by movant, approved by the trustee

Subject: First Modified Chapter 13 Plan, filed December 2, 2025

DEFAULT OF RESPONDENT

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

The debtor(s) seek approval of the proposed modified Chapter 13 Plan. The plan is supported by Schedules I and J filed on December 31, 2025, ECF No. 160. The Chapter 13 trustee has filed a non-opposition to the motion, ECF No. 161.

CHAPTER 13 PLAN MODIFICATION

Chapter 13 plan modification is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1323, 1325, 1329 and by Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(a)(5) and 3015(g) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. "[T]he only limits on modification are those set forth in the language of the Code itself, coupled with the bankruptcy judge's discretion and good judgment in reviewing the motion to modify." *In re Powers*, 202 B.R. 618, 622 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1996).

Chapter 13 debtors seeking plan modification have the burden of proving that all requirements of § 1322(a) and (b) and § 1325(a) have been met. See 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322(a)-(b), 1325(a), 1329(b)(1); see also *In re Powers*, 202 B.R. at 622 ("[Section] 1329(b)(1) protects the parties from unwarranted modification motions by ensuring that the proposed modifications satisfy the same standards as required of the initial plan."); see also *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407 (9th Cir. 1994); *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1408 (9th Cir. 1995).

The court finds that the debtor has sustained this burden of proof. The court will grant the motion and approve the modification.

59. [25-24047](#)-A-13 **IN RE: LAUREN MILLER**
[DPC-1](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
12-19-2025 [[17](#)]

MOHAMMAD MOKARRAM/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: January 7, 2026

Opposition Filed: Unopposed

Cause: 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(6) - Plan Delinquency

Best Interests of Creditors/Estate: Dismiss

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

CASE DISMISSAL

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this chapter 13 case for delinquency in payments under the confirmed chapter 13 plan. For the reasons stated in the motion, cause exists under § 1307(c)(6) to dismiss the case. Payments under the confirmed plan are delinquent in the amount of \$1,950.00 with one payment(s) of \$1,950.00 due prior to the hearing on this motion.

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)

Except as provided in subsection (f) of this section, on request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, or may dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause, including—

...

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

The court finds that dismissal is in the best interests of the creditors and the estate. This case has not been previously converted from a chapter 7.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss this chapter 13 case has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent debtor for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted because of the delinquency under the confirmed chapter 13 plan in this case. The court hereby dismisses this case.

60. [25-24847](#)-A-13 **IN RE: LAURA HAWKINS**
[DPC-1](#)

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P.
CUSICK
10-29-2025 [\[15\]](#)

NICHOLAS WAJDA/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Matter: Objection to Confirmation of Chapter 13 Plan
Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4); no written opposition required
Disposition: Overruled as moot
Order: Civil minute order

Chapter 13 debtors may modify the plan before confirmation. 11 U.S.C. § 1323(a). If the debtor files a modification of the plan under § 1323, the modified plan becomes the plan. 11 U.S.C. § 1323(b). Filing a modified plan renders moot any objection to confirmation of the prior plan. The debtor has filed a modified plan after this objection to confirmation was filed. The objection will be overruled as moot.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the objection to confirmation is overruled as moot.

61. [25-24847](#)-A-13 **IN RE: LAURA HAWKINS**
[WLG-1](#)

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN
12-9-2025 [\[22\]](#)

NICHOLAS WAJDA/ATTY. FOR DBT.
TRUSTEE NON-OPPOSITION

Final Ruling

Motion: Confirm Chapter 13 Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(d)(1), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Prepared by the movant, approved by the trustee

Subject: Chapter 13 Plan, filed December 9, 2025

DEFAULT OF RESPONDENT

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

The debtor seeks confirmation of the Chapter 13 Plan, ECF No. 27. The plan is supported by Schedules I and J filed December 9, 2025, ECF No. 21. The Chapter 13 trustee has filed a non-opposition to the motion, ECF No. 29.

CHAPTER 13 PLAN CONFIRMATION

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court finds that the debtor has sustained that burden, and the court will approve confirmation of the plan.

62. [25-26148](#)-A-13 **IN RE: KIM GESE**
[DPC-1](#)

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P. CUSICK
12-23-2025 [\[14\]](#)

MIKALAH LIVIAKIS/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Objection: Trustee's Objection to Confirmation of Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4), 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required

Disposition: Continued to March 24, 2026, at 11:00 a.m. before the Honorable Christopher M. Klein in Department C

Order: Civil minute order

The Chapter 13 trustee objects to confirmation of the debtor(s) plan.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court will continue the hearing on this objection to allow the parties to augment the evidentiary record.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on this objection will be continued to March 24, 2026, at 11:00 a.m. before the Honorable Christopher M. Klein in Department C. The court may rule in this matter without further hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no later than February 10, 2026, the debtor(s) shall do one of the following:

(A) File a Statement of No Opposition. If the debtor(s) agree that the Chapter 13 trustee's objection is well taken, the debtor(s) shall concede the merits and file a statement of non-opposition to the objection. L.R. 230(c) ("A responding party who has no opposition to the granting of the motion shall serve and file a statement to that effect..."); LBR 1001-1(c)-(d) (omitting the applicability of L.R. 230 unless the court orders otherwise);

(B) Respond in Writing to the Objection. If the debtor(s) disagree with the trustee's objection, the debtor(s) shall file and serve a written response to the objection; the response shall specifically address each issue raised in the trustee's objection to confirmation, state whether the issue is disputed or undisputed, and

include admissible evidence in support of the debtor's position. If the debtor(s) file a response under paragraph 3(B) of this order, then the trustee shall file and serve a reply, if any, no later than March 10, 2026. The evidentiary record will close after March 10, 2026; or

(C) File a Modified Plan. If the debtor(s) wish to resolve the Chapter 13 trustee's objection by filing a modified plan, then the debtor(s) shall: (1) file and serve a modified Chapter 13 plan; and (2) file and serve a motion to confirm the modified plan.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the parties resolve the trustee's objection, and there are no additional objections to confirmation pending, then the debtor(s) may submit an order confirming the plan which has been signed by the Chapter 13 trustee. The trustee's signature on the order confirming plan represents to the court that no further objections to confirmation of the proposed plan are pending.

63. [21-21850](#)-A-13 **IN RE: JACKQUELINE BARNES**
[DPC-1](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
12-19-2025 [\[45\]](#)

MOHAMMAD MOKARRAM/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

No Ruling

64. [25-26550](#)-A-13 **IN RE: MICHAEL RIVEN**

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE TO PAY FEES
12-30-2025 [\[21\]](#)

Tentative Ruling

If the filing fee has not been paid in full by the time of the hearing, the case may be dismissed without further notice or hearing.

65. [24-25154](#)-A-13 **IN RE: GREGORY/KASHONDA LAWSON**

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE TO PAY FEES
12-23-2025 [\[45\]](#)

MOHAMMAD MOKARRAM/ATTY. FOR DBT.
12/26/2026 FILING FEE PAID \$199

Final Ruling

As the fee has been paid in full, the order to show cause is discharged.

66. [25-24754](#)-A-13 **IN RE: DIEDRE HIGGINS**

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY NEWREZ LLC
9-29-2025 [\[22\]](#)

MICHAEL HAYS/ATTY. FOR DBT.
PATRICK KANE/ATTY. FOR MV.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

No Ruling

67. [25-21056](#)-A-13 **IN RE: SHIRLEAN MOORE-JORDAN**
[DPC-3](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
12-19-2025 [\[47\]](#)

GABRIEL LIBERMAN/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Civil minute order

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

CASE DISMISSAL

The trustee moves to dismiss this chapter 13 case. For the reasons stated in the motion, cause exists under § 1307(c)(1) to dismiss the case. The debtor has failed to confirm a plan within a reasonable time. The case has been pending for approximately 10 months, yet a plan has not been confirmed.

This constitutes unreasonable delay by the debtor that is prejudicial to creditors. The court will dismiss the case.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss this chapter 13 case has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent debtor for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted. The court hereby dismisses this case.

68. [23-22657](#)-A-13 **IN RE: ARIANA MORENO**
[DPC-1](#)

CONTINUED MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
11-14-2025 [\[37\]](#)

SCOTT JOHNSON/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: Continued from December 16, 2025

Disposition: Denied

Order: Civil minute order

The hearing on this motion was continued from December 16, 2025, to allow for hearing on the debtor's motion to modify the chapter 13 plan. The motion to modify, SMJ-1, has been granted.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion, the opposition, responses, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is denied.

69. [23-22657](#)-A-13 **IN RE: ARIANA MORENO**
[SMJ-1](#)

MOTION TO MODIFY PLAN
12-2-2025 [\[41\]](#)

SCOTT JOHNSON/ATTY. FOR DBT.
TRUSTEE NON-OPPOSITION

Final Ruling

Motion: Modify Chapter 13 Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(d)(2), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Prepared by movant, approved by the trustee

Subject: First Modified Chapter 13 Plan, filed December 2, 2025

DEFAULT OF RESPONDENT

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

The debtor(s) seek approval of the proposed modified Chapter 13 Plan. The plan is supported by Schedules I and J filed on December 2, 2025, ECF No. 46. The Chapter 13 trustee has filed a non-opposition to the motion, ECF No. 50.

CHAPTER 13 PLAN MODIFICATION

Chapter 13 plan modification is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1323, 1325, 1329 and by Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(a)(5) and 3015(g) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. "[T]he only limits on modification are those set forth in the language of the Code itself, coupled with the bankruptcy judge's discretion and good judgment in reviewing the motion to modify." *In re Powers*, 202 B.R. 618, 622 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1996).

Chapter 13 debtors seeking plan modification have the burden of proving that all requirements of § 1322(a) and (b) and § 1325(a) have been met. See 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322(a)-(b), 1325(a), 1329(b)(1);

see also *In re Powers*, 202 B.R. at 622 (“[Section] 1329(b)(1) protects the parties from unwarranted modification motions by ensuring that the proposed modifications satisfy the same standards as required of the initial plan.”); see also *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407 (9th Cir. 1994); *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1408 (9th Cir. 1995).

The court finds that the debtor has sustained this burden of proof. The court will grant the motion and approve the modification.

70. [22-22758](#)-A-13 **IN RE: LEONARDO PADILLA ORTIZ**
[DPC-3](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
12-19-2025 [\[91\]](#)

JULIUS CHERRY/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed by the debtor

Disposition: Granted

Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: January 7, 2026

Opposition Filed: January 7, 2026 - timely

Cause: 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(6) - Plan Delinquency

Best Interests of Creditors/Estate: Dismiss

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this case, asserting that cause exists under § 1307(c)(6) as the debtor has failed to make all payments due under the confirmed plan. The trustee contends that the plan payments are delinquent in the amount of \$850.00, with one payment(s) of \$325.00 due before the hearing on this motion.

LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B)

Opposition. Opposition, if any, to the granting of the motion shall be in writing and shall be served and filed with the Court by the responding party at least fourteen (14) days preceding the date or continued date of the hearing. *Opposition shall be accompanied by evidence establishing its factual allegations.* Without good cause, no party shall be heard in opposition to a motion at oral argument if written opposition to the motion has not been timely filed. Failure of the responding party to timely file written opposition may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion or may result in the imposition of sanctions.

LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B) (emphasis added).

The opposition does not comply with LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). A declaration is required to prove the contentions in the opposition and to provide additional relevant information. For example, there is no evidence indicating that the debtor will make additional plan payments.

The debtor's opposition does not fully resolve the grounds for dismissal. A delinquency still exists as of the date of the opposition. A statement of intent to pay the delinquency on or before a future date is not equivalent to cure of the delinquency. The court is unable to deny the motion given the outstanding delinquency.

The court gives no weight to an opposition which fails to provide sworn testimony by the party opposing the motion. Unsworn statements by counsel are not evidence and will not be considered.

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)

Except as provided in subsection (f) of this section, on request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, or may dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause, including—

...

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

The court finds that dismissal is in the best interests of the creditors and the estate. This case has not been previously converted from a chapter 7. The court will dismiss the case.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion, the opposition, responses, and oral argument at the hearing, if any, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted. The debtor has failed to make all payments due under the confirmed chapter 13 plan in this case. Delinquency constitutes cause to dismiss this case. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1), (6). The court hereby dismisses this case.

71. [25-24358](#)-A-13 **IN RE: DORIAN CARTAGENA**
[PLG-1](#)

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN
11-25-2025 [\[21\]](#)

STEVEN ALPERT/ATTY. FOR DBT.
TRUSTEE NON-OPPOSITION

Final Ruling

Motion: Confirm Chapter 13 Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(d)(1), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Prepared by the movant, approved by the trustee

Subject: First Modified Chapter 13 Plan, filed November 11, 2025

DEFAULT OF RESPONDENT

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

The debtor seeks confirmation of the First Modified Chapter 13 Plan, ECF No. 25. The plan is supported by Schedules I and J filed November 25, 2025, ECF No. 27. The Chapter 13 trustee has filed a non-opposition to the motion, ECF No. 28.

CHAPTER 13 PLAN CONFIRMATION

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court finds that the debtor has sustained that burden, and the court will approve confirmation of the plan.

72. [25-25959](#)-A-13 **IN RE: ELEASE CHEEK**
[DPC-2](#)

OBJECTION TO DEBTOR'S CLAIM OF EXEMPTIONS
12-22-2025 [\[17\]](#)

CANDACE BROOKS/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Objection: Objection to Claim of Exemptions
Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required
Disposition: Sustained
Order: Civil minute order

Unopposed objections are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c); LBR 9001-1(d), (n) (contested matters include objections). Written opposition to the sustaining of this objection was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

Section 703.580 of the California Code of Civil Procedure allocates the burden of proof in state-law exemption proceedings. Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 703.580(b). The bankruptcy appellate panel in this circuit has concluded that "where a state law exemption statute specifically allocates the burden of proof to the debtor, Rule 4003(c) does not change that allocation." *In re Diaz*, 547 B.R. 329, 337 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2016). In this exemption proceeding in bankruptcy, therefore, the debtor bears the burden of proof.

Further, the preponderance-of-the-evidence standard applies. *See In re Pashenee*, 531 B.R. 834, 839 (Bankr. E.D. Cal. 2015).

The debtor has not met their burden of proof in showing that they are entitled to the exemption. Debtor has not shown that Important Details, Inc, is a tool of the trade under C.C.P. § 704.060. The debtor has not shown that the asset being exempted is not a personal business asset or property of the estate. The debtor has failed to respond to the objection and as such, the court will sustain the trustee's objection.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

Trustee's objection to exemptions has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent for failure to appear,

timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the objection,

IT IS ORDERED that the objection is sustained.

73. [25-24660](#)-A-13 **IN RE: ROBERT/KELLY COUTS**
[DPC-1](#)

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P.
CUSICK
10-22-2025 [\[18\]](#)

PATRICIA WILSON/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Matter: Objection to Confirmation of Chapter 13 Plan
Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4); no written opposition required
Disposition: Overruled as moot
Order: Civil minute order

Chapter 13 debtors may modify the plan before confirmation. 11 U.S.C. § 1323(a). If the debtor files a modification of the plan under § 1323, the modified plan becomes the plan. 11 U.S.C. § 1323(b). Filing a modified plan renders moot any objection to confirmation of the prior plan. The debtor has filed a modified plan after this objection to confirmation was filed. The objection will be overruled as moot.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the objection to confirmation is overruled as moot.

74. [25-24660](#)-A-13 **IN RE: ROBERT/KELLY COUTS**
[DWL-2](#)

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN
12-9-2025 [\[35\]](#)

PATRICIA WILSON/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Confirm Chapter 13 Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(d)(1), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed by the trustee

Disposition: Denied

Order: Civil minute order

The motion requests confirmation of the Chapter 13 plan in this case. See 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1323, 1325; Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(b); LBR 3015-1(d)(1)-(2). The Chapter 13 trustee opposes the motion, objecting to confirmation.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

SERVICE

The motion requests confirmation of the Chapter 13 plan in this case. See 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1323, 1325; Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(b); LBR 3015-1(d)(1)-(2).

Here, service of the motion was insufficient.

The court is unable to determine if the motion and supporting papers were served properly on the debtor or any other parties in interest. A certificate of service has not been filed with this motion as required. LBR 9014-1(e). While this is sufficient means to deny the motion, the court will rule on the merits.

REDUCTION OF COLLATERAL VALUE WITHOUT A MOTION

LBR 3015-1(i) provides that "[t]he hearing on a valuation motion or motion to avoid lien must be concluded before or in conjunction with the confirmation of the plan. If a motion is not filed, or it is unsuccessful, the Court may deny confirmation of the plan."

In this case, the plan proposes to reduce Onemain's Class 2 secured claim based on the value of the collateral securing such claim. But the debtor has not yet obtained a favorable order on a motion to determine the value of such collateral. Accordingly, the court must deny confirmation of the plan.

ATTORNEY FEES

The plan shows the administrative fees in this case show \$12,000.00 was paid prior to the filing of this case and \$9,000.00 shall be paid through the plan. However, the trustee has stated that the Disclosure of Compensation of Attorney for Debtors shows \$12,000.00 for legal fees, \$3,000.00 paid prior and \$9,000.00 balance due. This must be corrected to clear up the inconsistency with the plan.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The debtor's motion to confirm a chapter 13 plan has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion together with papers filed in support and opposition to it, and having heard the arguments of counsel, if any, and good cause appearing, presented at the hearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is denied. The court denies confirmation of the chapter 13 plan.

75. [25-26160](#)-A-13 **IN RE: BILLY SPURGIN**
[DPC-1](#)

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P. CUSICK
12-22-2025 [\[41\]](#)

PETER MACALUSO/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Objection: Trustee's Objection to Confirmation of Plan

Disposition: Sustained

Order: Civil minute order

The hearing on the Chapter 13 trustee's objection to confirmation was continued to allow the parties to augment the evidentiary record.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The debtor has filed a statement indicating that the plan is not ready for confirmation. Response, ECF No. 60. Accordingly, the court will sustain this objection.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's objection to confirmation has been presented to the court. Having considered the objection, oppositions, responses, and replies, if any, and having heard oral argument presented at the hearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the objection is sustained.

76. [25-26160](#)-A-13 **IN RE: BILLY SPURGIN**
[RAS-1](#)

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY U.S. BANK TRUST
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
12-15-2025 [\[38\]](#)

PETER MACALUSO/ATTY. FOR DBT.
DAVID COATS/ATTY. FOR MV.

Final Ruling

Objection: Creditor U.S. Bank Trust National Association's Objection to Confirmation of Plan

Disposition: Sustained

Order: Civil minute order

The hearing on the Creditor U.S. Bank Trust National Association's objection to confirmation was continued to allow the parties to augment the evidentiary record.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The debtor has filed a statement indicating that debtors plan is not ready for confirmation and that the objection should be sustained. Response, ECF No. 62. Accordingly, the court will sustain this objection.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The creditor U.S. Bank Trust National Association's objection to confirmation has been presented to the court. Having considered the objection, oppositions, responses, and replies, if any, and having heard oral argument presented at the hearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the objection is sustained.

77. [25-26160](#)-A-13 **IN RE: BILLY SPURGIN**
[RDW-1](#)

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY GOLDEN 1 CREDIT UNION
12-29-2025 [\[47\]](#)

PETER MACALUSO/ATTY. FOR DBT.
REILLY WILKINSON/ATTY. FOR MV.

Final Ruling

Objection: Creditor Golden 1 Credit Union's Objection to Confirmation of Plan

Disposition: Sustained

Order: Civil minute order

The hearing on the Creditor Golden 1 Credit Union's objection to confirmation was continued to allow the parties to augment the evidentiary record.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The debtor has filed a statement indicating that the plan is not ready for confirmation and the objection should be sustained. Response, ECF No. 63. Accordingly, the court will sustain this objection.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The creditor Golden 1 Credit Union's objection to confirmation has been presented to the court. Having considered the objection, oppositions, responses, and replies, if any, and having heard oral argument presented at the hearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the objection is sustained.

78. [25-27061](#)-A-13 **IN RE: JASON WOODS**
[MS-1](#)

MOTION TO AVOID LIEN OF BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.
12-16-2025 [\[8\]](#)

MARK SHMORGON/ATTY. FOR DBT.
TRUSTEE NON-OPPOSITION

Final Ruling

Motion: Avoid Lien that Impairs Exemption

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Prepared by moving party

Judicial Lien Avoided: \$5,667.00 (Bank of America, N.A.)

All Other Liens:

- [Deed of Trust] \$170,547.00 (Select Portfolio Servicing, Inc.)

Exemption: \$444,500.00

Value of Property: \$444,500.00

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

The debtor seeks an order avoiding the judicial lien of creditor Bank of America, N.A. under 11 U.S.C. § 522(f).

LIEN AVOIDANCE

Section 522(f) of the Bankruptcy Code authorizes the court to avoid a lien "on an interest of the debtor in property to the extent that such lien impairs an exemption to which the debtor would have been entitled." 11 U.S.C. § 522(f)(1). There are four elements to avoidance of a lien that impairs an exemption: (1) there must be an exemption to which the debtor would have been entitled; (2) the property must be listed on the schedules and claimed as exempt; (3) the lien must impair the exemption claimed; and (4) the lien must be a judicial lien or nonpossessory, nonpurchase-money security interest in property described in § 522(f)(1)(B). *Goswami v. MTC Distrib. (In re Goswami)*, 304 B.R. 386, 390-91 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2003). Impairment is statutorily defined: a lien impairs an exemption "to the extent that the sum of - (i) the lien; (ii) all other liens on the property; and (iii) the amount of the exemption that the debtor could claim if there were no liens on the property;

exceeds the value that the debtor's interest in the property would have in the absence of any liens." 11 U.S.C. § 522(f)(2)(A).

The responding party's judicial lien, all other liens, and the exemption amount together totals \$620,714 which exceeds the property's value of \$444,500.00 by an amount greater than or equal to the judicial lien of \$5,667.00. As a result, the responding party's judicial lien will be avoided entirely.

79. [25-26163](#)-A-13 **IN RE: CARLOS/BLANCA MORUA**
[DPC-1](#)

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P. CUSICK
12-22-2025 [\[16\]](#)

MICHAEL HAYS/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Objection: Trustee's Objection to Confirmation of Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4), 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required

Disposition: Continued to April 1, 2026, at 9:30 a.m. before the Honorable Rene Lastreto II in Courtroom 13, Fifth Floor, 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno

Order: Civil minute order

The Chapter 13 trustee objects to confirmation of the debtor(s) plan.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court will continue the hearing on this objection to allow the parties to augment the evidentiary record.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on this objection will be continued to April 1, 2026, at 9:30 a.m. before the Honorable Rene Lastreto II in Courtroom 13, Fifth Floor, 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno. The court may rule in this matter without further hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no later than February 18, 2026, the debtor(s) shall do one of the following:

(A) File a Statement of No Opposition. If the debtor(s) agree that the Chapter 13 trustee's objection is well taken, the debtor(s) shall concede the merits and file a statement of non-opposition to the objection. L.R. 230(c) ("A responding party who has no opposition to the granting of the motion shall serve and file a statement to that effect..."); LBR 1001-1(c)-(d) (omitting the applicability of L.R. 230 unless the court orders otherwise);

(B) Respond in Writing to the Objection. If the debtor(s) disagree with the trustee's objection, the debtor(s) shall file and serve a written response to the objection; the response shall specifically address each issue raised in the trustee's objection to confirmation, state whether the issue is disputed or undisputed, and include admissible evidence in support of the debtor's position. If the debtor(s) file a response under paragraph 3(B) of this order, then the trustee shall file and serve a reply, if any, no later than March 18, 2026. The evidentiary record will close after March 18, 2026; or

(C) File a Modified Plan. If the debtor(s) wish to resolve the Chapter 13 trustee's objection by filing a modified plan, then the debtor(s) shall: (1) file and serve a modified Chapter 13 plan; and (2) file and serve a motion to confirm the modified plan.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the parties resolve the trustee's objection, and there are no additional objections to confirmation pending, then the debtor(s) may submit an order confirming the plan which has been signed by the Chapter 13 trustee. The trustee's signature on the order confirming plan represents to the court that no further objections to confirmation of the proposed plan are pending.

80. [25-26163](#)-A-13 **IN RE: CARLOS/BLANCA MORUA**
[RAS- 1](#)

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY U.S. BANK TRUST
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
12-16-2025 [\[13\]](#)

MICHAEL HAYS/ATTY. FOR DBT.
SARAH DOOLEY-LEWIS/ATTY. FOR MV.

Final Ruling

Objection: Creditor's Objection to Confirmation of Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4), 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required

Disposition: Continued to April 1, 2026, at 9:30 a.m. before the Honorable Rene Lastreto II in Courtroom 13, Fifth Floor, 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno

Order: Civil minute order

Creditor, U.S. Bank Trust, National Association, objects to confirmation of the debtor(s) plan.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court will continue the hearing on this objection to allow the parties to augment the evidentiary record.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on this objection will be continued to April 1, 2026, at 9:30 a.m. before the Honorable Rene Lastreto II in Courtroom 13, Fifth Floor, 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno. The court may rule in this matter without further hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no later than February 18, 2026, the debtor(s) shall do one of the following:

(A) File a Statement of No Opposition. If the debtor(s) agree that the creditor's objection is well taken, the debtor(s) shall concede the merits and file a statement of non-opposition to the objection. L.R. 230(c) ("A responding party who has no opposition to the granting of the motion shall serve and file a statement to that effect..."); LBR 1001-1(c)-(d) (omitting the applicability of L.R. 230 unless the court orders otherwise);

(B) Respond in Writing to the Objection. If the debtor(s) disagrees with the creditor's objection, the debtor(s) shall file and serve a written response to the objection; the response shall specifically address each issue raised in the creditor's objection to confirmation, state whether the issue is disputed or undisputed, and include admissible evidence in support of the debtor's position. If the debtor(s) file a response under paragraph 3(B) of this order, then the trustee shall file and serve a reply, if any, no later than March 18, 2026. The evidentiary record will close after March 18, 2026; or

(C) File a Modified Plan. If the debtor(s) wish to resolve the creditor's objection by filing a modified plan, then the debtor(s) shall: (1) file and serve a modified Chapter 13 plan; and (2) file and serve a motion to confirm the modified plan.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any stipulation between the parties resolving this matter must be approved and signed by the Chapter 13 trustee prior to filing with the court. The trustee's signature on the stipulation warrants that the terms of the proposed stipulation do not impact the plan's compliance with 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a).

81. [25-25964](#)-A-13 **IN RE: ELIZABETH FERRER**
[DPC-2](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
12-19-2025 [[23](#)]

Final Ruling

The motion was withdrawn by the moving party on December 29, 2025, ECF No. 31. Accordingly, this matter will be removed from the calendar as moot. No appearances are required.

82. [25-26164](#)-A-13 **IN RE: SIE DOE**
[DPC-1](#)

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P. CUSICK
12-22-2025 [\[36\]](#)

MICHAEL HAYS/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Objection: Trustee's Objection to Confirmation of Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4), 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required

Disposition: Continued to April 1, 2026, at 9:30 a.m. before the Honorable Rene Lastreto II in Courtroom 13, Fifth Floor, 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno

Order: Civil minute order

The Chapter 13 trustee objects to confirmation of the debtor(s) plan.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court will continue the hearing on this objection to allow the parties to augment the evidentiary record.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on this objection will be continued to April 1, 2026, at 9:30 a.m. before the Honorable Rene Lastreto II in Courtroom 13, Fifth Floor, 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno. The court may rule in this matter without further hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no later than February 18, 2026, the debtor(s) shall do one of the following:

(A) File a Statement of No Opposition. If the debtor(s) agree that the Chapter 13 trustee's objection is well taken, the debtor(s) shall concede the merits and file a statement of non-opposition to the objection. L.R. 230(c) ("A responding party who has no opposition to the granting of the motion shall serve and file a statement to that effect..."); LBR 1001-1(c)-(d) (omitting the applicability of L.R. 230 unless the court orders otherwise);

(B) Respond in Writing to the Objection. If the debtor(s) disagree with the trustee's objection, the debtor(s) shall file and serve a written response to the objection; the response shall specifically address each issue raised in the trustee's objection to confirmation, state whether the issue is disputed or undisputed, and include admissible evidence in support of the debtor's position. If the debtor(s) file a response under paragraph 3(B) of this order, then the trustee shall file and serve a reply, if any, no later than March 18, 2026. The evidentiary record will close after March 18, 2026; or

(C) File a Modified Plan. If the debtor(s) wish to resolve the Chapter 13 trustee's objection by filing a modified plan, then the debtor(s) shall: (1) file and serve a modified Chapter 13 plan; and (2) file and serve a motion to confirm the modified plan.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the parties resolve the trustee's objection, and there are no additional objections to confirmation pending, then the debtor(s) may submit an order confirming the plan which has been signed by the Chapter 13 trustee. The trustee's signature on the order confirming plan represents to the court that no further objections to confirmation of the proposed plan are pending.

83. [25-26164](#)-A-13 **IN RE: SIE DOE**
[JCW-1](#)

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY AIS PORTFOLIO SERVICES,
LLC
12-5-2025 [\[28\]](#)

MICHAEL HAYS/ATTY. FOR DBT.
KRISTIN SCHULER-HINTZ/ATTY. FOR MV.

Final Ruling

Objection: Creditor's Objection to Confirmation of Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4), 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required

Disposition: Continued to April 1, 2026, at 9:30 a.m. before the Honorable Rene Lastreto II in Courtroom 13, Fifth Floor, 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno

Order: Civil minute order

Creditor, AIS Portfolio Services, LLC, objects to confirmation of the debtor(s) plan.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court will continue the hearing on this objection to allow the parties to augment the evidentiary record.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on this objection will be continued to April 1, 2026, at 9:30 a.m. before the Honorable Rene Lastreto II in Courtroom 13, Fifth Floor, 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno. The court may rule in this matter without further hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no later than February 18, 2026, the debtor(s) shall do one of the following:

(A) File a Statement of No Opposition. If the debtor(s) agree that the creditor's objection is well taken, the debtor(s) shall concede the merits and file a statement of non-opposition to the objection. L.R. 230(c) ("A responding party who has no opposition to the granting of the motion shall serve and file a statement to that effect..."); LBR 1001-1(c)-(d) (omitting the applicability of L.R. 230 unless the court orders otherwise);

(B) Respond in Writing to the Objection. If the debtor(s) disagrees with the creditor's objection, the debtor(s) shall file and serve a written response to the objection; the response shall specifically address each issue raised in the creditor's objection to confirmation, state whether the issue is disputed or undisputed, and include admissible evidence in support of the debtor's position. If the debtor(s) file a response under paragraph 3(B) of this order, then the trustee shall file and serve a reply, if any, no later than March 18, 2026. The evidentiary record will close after March 18, 2026; or

(C) File a Modified Plan. If the debtor(s) wish to resolve the creditor's objection by filing a modified plan, then the debtor(s) shall: (1) file and serve a modified Chapter 13 plan; and (2) file and serve a motion to confirm the modified plan.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any stipulation between the parties resolving this matter must be approved and signed by the Chapter 13 trustee prior to filing with the court. The trustee's signature on the stipulation warrants that the terms of the proposed stipulation do not impact the plan's compliance with 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a).

84. [25-26765](#)-A-13 **IN RE: JONATHAN JACOPS**

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR FAILURE TO UPDATE CONTACT
INFORMATION IN PACER
12-16-2025 [\[19\]](#)

RICHARD HALL/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

No Ruling

85. [19-24669](#)-A-13 **IN RE: RAMON CAPARAS**
[AF-8](#)

MOTION TO VACATE DISMISSAL OF CASE
12-9-2025 [\[187\]](#)

ARASTO FARSAD/ATTY. FOR DBT.
DEBTOR DISMISSED: 12/04/25
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

No Ruling

86. [25-26269](#)-A-13 **IN RE: JICK ICASIANO**
[DPC-1](#)

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P. CUSICK
12-23-2025 [\[18\]](#)

ERIC SCHWAB/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Objection: Trustee's Objection to Confirmation of Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4), 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition
required

Disposition: Continued to April 1, 2026, at 9:30 a.m. before the
Honorable Rene Lastreto II in Courtroom 13, Fifth Floor, 2500 Tulare
Street, Fresno

Order: Civil minute order

The Chapter 13 trustee objects to confirmation of the debtor(s)
plan.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325
and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local
Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that
the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation.
In re Andrews, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*,
32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court will continue the hearing on this objection to allow the parties to augment the evidentiary record.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on this objection will be continued to April 1, 2026, at 9:30 a.m. before the Honorable Rene Lastreto II in Courtroom 13, Fifth Floor, 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno. The court may rule in this matter without further hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no later than February 18, 2026, the debtor(s) shall do one of the following:

(A) File a Statement of No Opposition. If the debtor(s) agree that the Chapter 13 trustee's objection is well taken, the debtor(s) shall concede the merits and file a statement of non-opposition to the objection. L.R. 230(c) ("A responding party who has no opposition to the granting of the motion shall serve and file a statement to that effect..."); LBR 1001-1(c)-(d) (omitting the applicability of L.R. 230 unless the court orders otherwise);

(B) Respond in Writing to the Objection. If the debtor(s) disagree with the trustee's objection, the debtor(s) shall file and serve a written response to the objection; the response shall specifically address each issue raised in the trustee's objection to confirmation, state whether the issue is disputed or undisputed, and include admissible evidence in support of the debtor's position. If the debtor(s) file a response under paragraph 3(B) of this order, then the trustee shall file and serve a reply, if any, no later than March 18, 2026. The evidentiary record will close after March 18, 2026; or

(C) File a Modified Plan. If the debtor(s) wish to resolve the Chapter 13 trustee's objection by filing a modified plan, then the debtor(s) shall: (1) file and serve a modified Chapter 13 plan; and (2) file and serve a motion to confirm the modified plan.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the parties resolve the trustee's objection, and there are no additional objections to confirmation pending, then the debtor(s) may submit an order confirming the plan which has been signed by the Chapter 13 trustee. The trustee's signature on the order confirming plan represents to the court that no further objections to confirmation of the proposed plan are pending.

87. [25-26269](#)-A-13 **IN RE: JICK ICASIANO**
[EJS-1](#)

MOTION TO VALUE COLLATERAL OF U.S. SMALL BUSINESS
ADMINISTRATION
12-19-2025 [\[13\]](#)

ERIC SCHWAB/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Value Collateral [Business Property; Non-vehicular]

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Civil Minute Order

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). The default of the respondent is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

VALUATION OF COLLATERAL

Chapter 13 debtors may value collateral by noticed motion. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3012. Section 506(a) of the Bankruptcy Code provides, "An allowed claim of a creditor secured by a lien on property in which the estate has an interest . . . is a secured claim to the extent of the value of such creditor's interest in the estate's interest in such property" and is unsecured as to the remainder. 11 U.S.C. § 506(a). For personal property, value is defined as "replacement value" on the date of the petition. *Id.* § 506(a)(2).

In this case, the debtor seeks to value collateral consisting of business property described as "Business Inventory and Equipment" which has been listed in the attached exhibits, ECF No. 15. The court values the collateral at \$10,000.00.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The debtor's motion to value non-vehicular, business property has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted. The business property described as "Business Inventory and Equipment", which has been listed in the attached exhibits, ECF No. 15, and has a value of \$10,000.00. No senior liens on the collateral have been identified.

The respondent has a secured claim in the amount of \$10,000.00 equal to the value of the collateral that is unencumbered by senior liens. The respondent has a general unsecured claim for the balance of the claim.

88. [25-25570](#)-A-13 **IN RE: SETH NORMAN**
[DPC-2](#)

OBJECTION TO DEBTOR'S CLAIM OF EXEMPTIONS
12-22-2025 [\[29\]](#)

PETER MACALUSO/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

The objection is dropped as moot. The debtor has filed an Amended Schedule C. Schedule C, ECF Nol 37. A civil minute order shall issue.

89. [23-22271](#)-A-13 **IN RE: REBEKAH HOTT**
[DPC-1](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
12-19-2025 [\[22\]](#)

MARK SHMORGON/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed by debtors

Disposition: Continued to February 24, 2026, at 9:00 a.m.

Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: January 7, 2026

Opposition Filed: January 7, 2026 - timely

Motion to Modify Plan Filed: January 12, 2026 - timely

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this case, asserting that cause exists under § 1307(c)(1) and (6) as the debtor has failed to make all payments due under the plan. The trustee contends that the debtor is delinquent in the amount of \$3,034.00, with one payment(s) of \$1,517.00 due prior to the hearing date on this motion.

A modified plan has been timely filed and set for hearing in this case. The scheduled hearing on the modification is February 24, 2026, at 9:00 a.m. The court will continue the hearing on this motion to dismiss to coincide with the hearing on the plan modification. If the modification is disapproved, and the motion to

dismiss has not been withdrawn or otherwise resolved, the court may dismiss the case at the continued hearing.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

IT IS ORDERED that the chapter 13 trustee's motion to dismiss is continued to February 24, 2026, at 9:00 a.m.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the trustee elects to oppose the debtor's motion to modify, then not later than 14 days prior to the continued hearing date the trustee shall file a status report updating this motion to dismiss. The status report shall provide a concise list explaining the remaining issues in the motion to dismiss and indicate the amount of any plan delinquency. The status report shall be succinct and shall not consist of a cut and paste of the opposition filed by the trustee in response to a motion to amend or modify the debtor's plan.

90. [25-25071](#)-A-13 **IN RE: SHIL GUDKA**
[SKI-1](#)

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY CARMAX
BUSINESS SERVICES, LLC
10-9-2025 [\[10\]](#)

JULIUS CHERRY/ATTY. FOR DBT.
SHERYL ITH/ATTY. FOR MV.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

An Order Confirming Plan was filed on December 18, 2025, ECF No. 27. Accordingly, this matter will be removed from the calendar as moot. No appearances are required.

91. [25-26171](#)-A-13 **IN RE: CARRIE SLONE**
[DPC-1](#)

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P. CUSICK
12-22-2025 [\[23\]](#)

MICHAEL HAYS/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Objection: Trustee's Objection to Confirmation of Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4), 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required

Disposition: Continued to April 1, 2026, at 9:30 a.m. before the Honorable Rene Lastreto II in Courtroom 13, Fifth Floor, 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno

Order: Civil minute order

The Chapter 13 trustee objects to confirmation of the debtor(s) plan.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court will continue the hearing on this objection to allow the parties to augment the evidentiary record.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on this objection will be continued to April 1, 2026, at 9:30 a.m. before the Honorable Rene Lastreto II in Courtroom 13, Fifth Floor, 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno. The court may rule in this matter without further hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no later than February 18, 2026, the debtor(s) shall do one of the following:

(A) File a Statement of No Opposition. If the debtor(s) agree that the Chapter 13 trustee's objection is well taken, the debtor(s) shall concede the merits and file a statement of non-opposition to the objection. L.R. 230(c) ("A responding party who has no opposition to the granting of the motion shall serve and file a statement to that effect..."); LBR 1001-1(c)-(d) (omitting the applicability of L.R. 230 unless the court orders otherwise);

(B) Respond in Writing to the Objection. If the debtor(s) disagree with the trustee's objection, the debtor(s) shall file and serve a written response to the objection; the response shall specifically address each issue raised in the trustee's objection to confirmation, state whether the issue is disputed or undisputed, and include admissible evidence in support of the debtor's position. If the debtor(s) file a response under paragraph 3(B) of this order, then the trustee shall file and serve a reply, if any, no later than March 18, 2026. The evidentiary record will close after March 18, 2026; or

(C) File a Modified Plan. If the debtor(s) wish to resolve the Chapter 13 trustee's objection by filing a modified plan, then the debtor(s) shall: (1) file and serve a modified Chapter 13 plan; and (2) file and serve a motion to confirm the modified plan.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the parties resolve the trustee's objection, and there are no additional objections to confirmation pending, then the debtor(s) may submit an order confirming the plan which has been signed by the Chapter 13 trustee. The trustee's signature on the order confirming plan represents to the court that no further objections to confirmation of the proposed plan are pending.

92. [25-21872](#)-A-13 **IN RE: MELANIE JOHNSON**
[DPC-2](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
12-23-2025 [\[64\]](#)

MICHAEL HAYS/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: January 7, 2026

Opposition Filed: Unopposed

Cause: 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1) - Plan Delinquency

Best Interests of Creditors/Estate: Dismiss

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

CASE DISMISSAL

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this chapter 13 case for delinquency in payments under the chapter 13 plan. For the reasons stated in the motion, cause exists under § 1307(c)(1) to dismiss the case. Payments under the plan are delinquent in the amount of \$973.72 with one payment(s) of \$981.43 due prior to the hearing on this motion. Additionally, the debtor has not confirmed a plan or filed an amended plan and set it for confirmation.

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)

Except as provided in subsection (f) of this section, on request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, or may dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause, including—

...

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

The court finds that dismissal is in the best interests of the creditors and the estate. This case has not been previously converted from a chapter 7.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss this chapter 13 case has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent debtor for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted because of the delinquency under the chapter 13 plan in this case. The court hereby dismisses this case.

93. [25-25072](#)-A-13 **IN RE: DANIEL BENAVIDEZ AND LAURA CORTINAS**
[GC-1](#)

MOTION TO VALUE COLLATERAL OF CAPITAL ONE AUTO FINANCE
12-1-2025 [\[22\]](#)

JULIUS CHERRY/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Value Collateral

Notice: Written opposition filed by the responding party

Disposition: Continued to February 24, 2026, at 9:00 a.m.

Order: Civil minute order

The motion seeks to value a 2018 Honda Accord, debtor's personal property. The responding party has requested a continuance to obtain an appraisal or other evidence of the collateral's value. The court will continue the motion to the date indicated. No later than 14 days before the continued date of the hearing, the parties will file a joint status report.

If the parties have not resolved this matter, then the court will hold a scheduling conference on the continued date of the hearing and set an evidentiary hearing under Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014(d). An evidentiary hearing would be required because the disputed, material factual issue of the collateral's valuation must be resolved before the court can rule on the relief requested.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is continued to February 24, 2026, at 9:00 a.m. Not later than 14 days before the hearing, the parties shall file a joint status report.

94. [25-25472](#)-A-13 **IN RE: ROBERT CAVALLERO**
[DPC-2](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
12-19-2025 [\[21\]](#)

BONNIE BAKER/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed by the debtor

Disposition: Granted

Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: January 7, 2026

Opposition Filed: December 19, 2025- timely

Cause: 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1) - Plan Delinquency

Best Interests of Creditors/Estate: Dismiss

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this case, asserting that cause exists under § 1307(c)(1) as the debtor has failed to make all payments due under the plan. The trustee contends that the plan payments are delinquent in the amount of \$2,746.42, with one payment(s) of \$2,746.42 due prior to the hearing on this motion.

LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B)

Opposition. Opposition, if any, to the granting of the motion shall be in writing and shall be served and filed with the Court by the responding party at least fourteen (14) days preceding the date or continued date of the hearing. *Opposition shall be accompanied by evidence establishing its factual allegations.* Without good cause, no party shall be heard in opposition to a motion at oral argument if written opposition to the motion has not been timely filed. Failure of the responding party to timely file written opposition may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion or may result in the imposition of sanctions.

LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B) (emphasis added).

The opposition does not comply with LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). A declaration is required to prove the contentions in the opposition and to provide additional relevant information. For example, there is no evidence indicating that the debtor will deliver the payment to the chapter 13 trustee or the method of delivery. Neither is there evidence that the debtor will make additional plan payments.

The debtor's opposition does not fully resolve the grounds for dismissal. A delinquency still exists as of the date of the opposition. A statement of intent to pay the delinquency on or before a future date is not equivalent to cure of the delinquency.

The court is unable to deny the motion given the outstanding delinquency.

The court gives no weight to an opposition which fails to provide sworn testimony by the party opposing the motion. Unsworn statements by counsel are not evidence and will not be considered.

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)

Except as provided in subsection (f) of this section, on request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, or may dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause, including—

...

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

The court finds that dismissal is in the best interests of the creditors and the estate. This case has not been previously converted from a chapter 7. The court will dismiss the case.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion, the opposition, responses, and oral argument at the hearing, if any, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted. The debtor has failed to make all payments due under the chapter 13 plan in this case. Delinquency constitutes cause to dismiss this case. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1). The court hereby dismisses this case.

95. [24-22673](#)-A-13 **IN RE: ANNA ALMONTE**
[DPC-1](#)

CONTINUED MOTION TO CONVERT CASE FROM CHAPTER 13 TO CHAPTER
7 AND/OR MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
3-14-2025 [\[56\]](#)

ERIC SCHWAB/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed by the debtor

Disposition: Granted

Order: Civil minute order

Cause: 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(6) - Plan Delinquency

Best Interests of Creditors/Estate: Convert to Chapter 7

This matter had been continued multiple times from March 2025. The motion was last continued to allow the debtor to file a modified plan and become current. In the trustee's opposition to the modification, the trustee stated that the debtor is delinquent in the amount of \$6,405.00 for payments due through October 2025. As such, the case should be either dismissed or converted.

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)

Except as provided in subsection (f) of this section, on request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, or may dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause, including—

...

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

The court finds that conversion to Chapter 7 is in the best interests of the creditors and the estate. This case has not been previously converted from a chapter 7. The trustee states that there are significant non-exempt assets as follows:

[T]here is approximately \$72,978.00 in non-exempt assets from a some bank accounts and utility deposits listed on Schedule A/B

Declaration of Kristen Koo, ECF No. 58, 2:6-8.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss this chapter 13 case has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent debtor for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted because of the failure to confirm an amended chapter 13 plan in this case due to delinquency. The court hereby converts this case to a Chapter 7.

96. [24-22673](#)-A-13 **IN RE: ANNA ALMONTE**
[RDW-1](#)

CONTINUED MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY AND, OR
MOTION/APPLICATION FOR ADEQUATE PROTECTION
2-24-2025 [[40](#)]

ERIC SCHWAB/ATTY. FOR DBT.
REILLY WILKINSON/ATTY. FOR MV.
UMPQUA BANK VS.

No Ruling

97. [24-24074](#)-A-13 **IN RE: TRAVIS/LAURA GRIFFIN**
[DPC-1](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
12-19-2025 [\[19\]](#)

SCOTT JOHNSON/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed by debtors

Disposition: Continued to February 24, 2026, at 9:00 a.m.

Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: January 7, 2026

Opposition Filed: None

Motion to Modify Plan Filed: January 6, 2026 - timely

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this case, asserting that cause exists under § 1307(c)(1) and (6) as the debtor has failed to make all payments due under the plan. The trustee contends that the debtor is delinquent in the amount of \$3,600.00, with one payment(s) of \$1,800.00 due prior to the hearing date on this motion.

A modified plan has been timely filed and set for hearing in this case. The scheduled hearing on the modification is February 24, 2026, at 9:00 a.m. The court will continue the hearing on this motion to dismiss to coincide with the hearing on the plan modification. If the modification is disapproved, and the motion to dismiss has not been withdrawn or otherwise resolved, the court may dismiss the case at the continued hearing.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

IT IS ORDERED that the chapter 13 trustee's motion to dismiss is continued to February 24, 2026, at 9:00 a.m.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the trustee elects to oppose the debtor's motion to modify, then not later than 14 days prior to the continued hearing date the trustee shall file a status report updating this motion to dismiss. The status report shall provide a concise list explaining the remaining issues in the motion to dismiss and indicate the amount of any plan delinquency. The status report shall be succinct and shall not consist of a cut and paste of the opposition filed by the trustee in response to a motion to amend or modify the debtor's plan.

98. [25-24775](#)-A-13 **IN RE: CONSTANCIO DEOCAMPO**
[DPC-1](#)

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P.
CUSICK
10-29-2025 [\[20\]](#)

MARC VOISENAT/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Tentative Ruling

Objection: Trustee's Objection to Confirmation of Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4), 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required

Disposition: Sustained and confirmation denied

Order: Civil minute order

No responding party is required to file written opposition to the objection; opposition may be presented at the hearing. LBR 3015-1(c)(4), 9014-1(f)(2)(C). If opposition is presented at the hearing, the court may rule on the merits or set a briefing schedule. Absent such opposition, the court will adopt this tentative ruling.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

PLAN FEASIBILITY

The proposed plan must be feasible. 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(6). Feasibility is a "factual determination" as to the plan's "reasonable likelihood of success." *First Nat'l Bank of Boston v. Fantasia (In re Fantasia)*, 211 B.R. 420, 423 (B.A.P. 1st Cir. 1997). The bankruptcy court needs to "be satisfied that the debtor has the present as well as the future financial capacity to comply with the terms of the plan." *Id.* As one court summarized feasibility, "Thus, a plan is not feasible and is not confirmable if a debtor's income will not support the plan's proposed payments. *In re Barnes*, 275 B.R. 889, 894 (Bankr. E.D. Cal.2002) ("[T]he debtors showed no disposable income with which to fund a plan.... [T]he debtors have been unable to actually pay the amount projected ... to the trustee."); *In re Bernardes*, 267 B.R. 690, 695 (Bankr. D.N.J. 2001) ("While the feasibility requirement is not rigorous ... the plan proponent must, at minimum, demonstrate that the Debtor's income exceeds expenses by an amount sufficient to make the payments proposed by the plan."); *In re Wilkinson*, 99 B.R. 366, 369 (Bankr. N.D. Ohio 1989) ("[D]ebtors will not be able to comply with the plan and make all payments thereunder."). *In re Buccolo*, 397 B.R. 527, 530 (Bankr. D.N.J. 2008), *aff'd*, 2009 WL 2132435 (D.N.J. July 13, 2009).

Plan Delinquency

The trustee indicates that the plan payments are delinquent in the amount of \$2,889.39. The plan cannot be confirmed if the plan payments are not current.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The chapter 13 trustee's objection to confirmation has been presented to the court. Having considered the objection, oppositions, responses and replies, if any, and having heard oral argument presented at the hearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the objection is sustained. The court denies confirmation of the chapter 13 plan.

99. [25-25475](#)-A-13 **IN RE: GABRIEL/TIFFANY SNOOK**
[CYB-2](#)

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN
12-15-2025 [\[20\]](#)

CANDACE BROOKS/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

Motion: Confirmation of Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(d)(1), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed by the trustee

Disposition: Continued to February 3, 2026, at 9:00 a.m.

Order: Civil minute order if appropriate

The plan confirmation relies on the motion to value collateral. As such, the motion to confirm will be continued to February 3, 2026, at 9:00 a.m. to coincide with the debtor's motion to value collateral. No later than 14 days before the hearing, the trustee and debtor shall file status reports stating if all issues have been resolved or clarify which issues are still outstanding.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is continued to February 3, 2026, at 9:00 a.m. No later than 14 days before the hearing, the trustee and debtor shall file status reports stating if all issues have been resolved or clarify which issues are still outstanding.

100. [24-24976](#)-A-13 **IN RE: MIGUEL VEGA**
[DPC-1](#)

CONTINUED MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
11-5-2025 [\[20\]](#)

MIKALAH LIVIAKIS/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: Continued from December 16, 2025

Disposition: Denied

Order: Civil minute order

The hearing on this motion was continued from December 16, 2025, to allow for hearing on the debtor's motion to modify the chapter 13 plan. The motion to modify, MRL-1, has been granted.

The trustee has filed a status report, ECF No. 36, indicating that he no longer wishes to pursue his motion to dismiss.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion, the opposition, responses, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is denied.

101. [24-24976](#)-A-13 **IN RE: MIGUEL VEGA**
[MRL-1](#)

MOTION TO MODIFY PLAN
11-20-2025 [[24](#)]

MIKALAH LIVIAKIS/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Confirm Chapter 13 Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(d)(1), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Prepared by the movant, approved by the trustee

Subject: Chapter 13 Plan, filed November 20, 2025

DEFAULT OF RESPONDENT

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

The debtor seeks confirmation of the Chapter 13 Plan, ECF No. 26. The plan is supported by Schedules I and J filed November 20, 2025, ECF No. 29. The Chapter 13 trustee has filed a non-opposition to the motion, ECF No. 38.

CHAPTER 13 PLAN CONFIRMATION

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court finds that the debtor has sustained that burden, and the court will approve confirmation of the plan.

102. [25-20676](#)-A-13 **IN RE: JOSEPHINE HODGES**
[DPC-2](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
12-19-2025 [\[26\]](#)

MOHAMMAD MOKARRAM/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

No Ruling

103. [23-24377](#)-A-13 **IN RE: ALEX/JACKIE MARTIN**
[LBF-1](#)

MOTION TO MODIFY PLAN
12-9-2025 [\[19\]](#)

LAUREN FRANZELLA/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Confirm Chapter 13 Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(d)(1), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Prepared by the movant, approved by the trustee

Subject: First Modified Chapter 13 Plan, filed December 9, 2025

DEFAULT OF RESPONDENT

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

The debtor seeks confirmation of the First Modified Chapter 13 Plan, ECF No. 23. The plan is supported by Schedules I and J filed December 9, 2025, ECF No. 24. The Chapter 13 trustee has filed a non-opposition to the motion, ECF No. 27.

CHAPTER 13 PLAN CONFIRMATION

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court finds that the debtor has sustained that burden, and the court will approve confirmation of the plan.

104. [24-20380](#)-A-13 **IN RE: TIMOTHY/KRISTYN MARTIN**
[DPC-2](#)

CONTINUED MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
11-5-2025 [\[27\]](#)

GABRIEL LIBERMAN/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: Continued from December 16, 2025

Disposition: Denied

Order: Civil minute order

The hearing on this motion was continued from December 16, 2025, to allow for hearing on the debtor's motion to modify the chapter 13 plan. The motion to modify, GEL-1, has been granted.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion, the opposition, responses, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is denied.

105. [24-20380](#)-A-13 **IN RE: TIMOTHY/KRISTYN MARTIN**
[GEL-1](#)

MOTION TO MODIFY PLAN
12-15-2025 [\[34\]](#)

GABRIEL LIBERMAN/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

Motion: Confirm Chapter 13 Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(d)(1), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Prepared by the movant, approved by the trustee

Subject: First Modified Chapter 13 Plan, filed December 15, 2025

DEFAULT OF RESPONDENT

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

The debtor seeks confirmation of the First Modified Chapter 13 Plan, ECF No. 36. The plan is supported by Schedules I and J filed December 15, 2025, ECF No. 37. The Chapter 13 trustee has filed a non-opposition to the motion, ECF No. 43.

CHAPTER 13 PLAN CONFIRMATION

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court finds that the debtor has sustained that burden, and the court will approve confirmation of the plan.

106. [25-21680](#)-A-13 **IN RE: ALIAYA PARKER**
[CYB-2](#)

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN
12-2-2025 [\[68\]](#)

CANDACE BROOKS/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Confirm Chapter 13 Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(d)(1), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed by the trustee

Disposition: Denied

Order: Civil minute order

The motion requests confirmation of the Chapter 13 plan in this case. See 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1323, 1325; Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(b); LBR 3015-1(d)(1)-(2). The Chapter 13 trustee opposes the motion, objecting to confirmation.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

PLAN FEASIBILITY

The proposed plan must be feasible. 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(6). Feasibility is a "factual determination" as to the plan's "reasonable likelihood of success." *First Nat'l Bank of Boston v. Fantasia (In re Fantasia)*, 211 B.R. 420, 423 (B.A.P. 1st Cir. 1997). The bankruptcy court needs to "be satisfied that the debtor has the present as well as the future financial capacity to comply with the terms of the plan." *Id.* As one court summarized feasibility, "Thus, a plan is not feasible and is not confirmable if a debtor's income will not support the plan's proposed payments. *In re Barnes*, 275 B.R. 889, 894 (Bankr. E.D. Cal.2002) ("[T]he debtors showed no disposable income with which to fund a plan.... [T]he debtors have been unable to actually pay the amount projected ... to the trustee."); *In re Bernardes*, 267 B.R. 690, 695 (Bankr. D.N.J. 2001) ("While the feasibility requirement is not rigorous ... the plan proponent must, at minimum, demonstrate that the Debtor's income exceeds expenses by an amount sufficient to make the payments proposed by the plan."); *In re Wilkinson*, 99 B.R. 366, 369 (Bankr. N.D. Ohio 1989) ("[D]ebtors will not be able to comply with the plan and make all payments thereunder."). *In re Buccolo*, 397 B.R. 527, 530 (Bankr. D.N.J. 2008), *aff'd*, 2009 WL 2132435 (D.N.J. July 13, 2009).

Plan Delinquency

The trustee indicates that the plan payments are delinquent in the amount of \$735.00. The plan cannot be confirmed if the plan payments are not current.

Plan Overextension

The trustee calculates that the plan will take more than 60 months to complete. This exceeds the maximum length of 60 months allowed under 11 U.S.C. § 1322(d).

The trustee states that the plan appears to be underfunded since the IRS has amended its claim showing a priority amount of \$41,092.86 instead of \$25,346.00 as stated in the plan. Further, the trustee raises concerns that tax returns may not all be filed as required under 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(9).

PLAN RELIES ON MOTION TO AVOID LIEN

LBR 3015-1(i) provides that "[t]he hearing on a valuation motion or motion to avoid lien must be concluded before or in conjunction with the confirmation of the plan. If a motion is not filed, or it is unsuccessful, the Court may deny confirmation of the plan."

In this case, the feasibility of the plan relies upon the debtor's successful avoidance of the lien of creditor Synchrony Bank. But the debtor has not yet obtained a favorable order on a motion to avoid the creditor's lien. Accordingly, the court must deny confirmation of the plan.

Therefore, the plan is not feasible under 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(6).

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The debtor's motion to confirm a chapter 13 plan has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion together with papers filed in support and opposition to it, and having heard the arguments of counsel, if any, and good cause appearing, presented at the hearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is denied. The court denies confirmation of the chapter 13 plan.

107. [25-21680](#)-A-13 **IN RE: ALIAYA PARKER**
[DPC-2](#)

CONTINUED MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
11-17-2025 [\[61\]](#)

CANDACE BROOKS/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

No Ruling

108. [25-22184](#)-A-13 **IN RE: JAMES/CHRISTINA FRANK**
[DPC-1](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
12-19-2025 [\[24\]](#)

MARY TERRANELLA/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: January 6, 2026

Opposition Filed: Unopposed

Cause: 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(6) - Plan Delinquency

Best Interests of Creditors/Estate: Dismiss

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

CASE DISMISSAL

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this chapter 13 case for delinquency in payments under the confirmed chapter 13 plan. For the reasons stated in the motion, cause exists under § 1307(c)(6) to dismiss the case. Payments under the confirmed plan are delinquent in the amount of \$3,616.11 with one payment(s) of \$3,385.37 due prior to the hearing on this motion.

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)

Except as provided in subsection (f) of this section, on request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may

convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, or may dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause, including—

...

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

The court finds that dismissal is in the best interests of the creditors and the estate. This case has not been previously converted from a chapter 7.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss this chapter 13 case has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent debtor for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted because of the delinquency under the confirmed chapter 13 plan in this case. The court hereby dismisses this case.

109. [25-23084](#)-A-13 **IN RE: MARILYN/MELECIO NERA**
[DPC-3](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
12-19-2025 [\[82\]](#)

PETER MACALUSO/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed by the debtor

Disposition: Granted

Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: January 7, 2026

Opposition Filed: January 5, 2026, - timely

Cause: 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(6) - Plan Delinquency

Best Interests of Creditors/Estate: Dismiss

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this case, asserting that cause exists under § 1307(c)(6) as the debtor has failed to make all payments due under the confirmed plan. The trustee contends that the plan payments are delinquent in the amount of \$6,275.09, with one payment(s) of \$6,275.09 due before the hearing on this motion.

The debtor has filed a timely opposition which is accompanied by the Declaration of the Debtor, ECF Nos. 86 & 87. The debtor's declaration states that the debtor will bring the plan payment current by the date of the hearing on this motion. See Declaration, ECF No. 87.

The opposition does not fully resolve the grounds for dismissal. A delinquency still exists as of the date of the opposition. A statement of intent to pay the delinquency on or before a future date is not equivalent to cure of the delinquency. The court is unable to deny the motion given the outstanding delinquency.

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)

Except as provided in subsection (f) of this section, on request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, or may dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause, including—

...

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

The court finds that dismissal is in the best interests of the creditors and the estate. This case has not been previously converted from a chapter 7. The court will dismiss the case.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion, the opposition, responses, and oral argument at the hearing, if any, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted. The debtor has failed to make all payments due under the confirmed chapter 13 plan in this case. Delinquency constitutes cause to dismiss this case. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1), (6). The court hereby dismisses this case.

110. [24-22587](#)-A-13 **IN RE: SUSAN BENJAMIN**
[DPC-1](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
12-19-2025 [\[25\]](#)

STEVEN ALPERT/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed by debtors

Disposition: Continued to February 24, 2026, at 9:00 a.m.

Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: January 7, 2026

Opposition Filed: January 7, 2026 - timely

Motion to Modify Plan Filed: January 12, 2026 - timely

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this case, asserting that cause exists under § 1307(c)(1) and (6) as the debtor has failed to make all payments due under the plan. The trustee contends that the debtor is delinquent in the amount of \$6,850.94 with one payment(s) of \$3,425.47 due prior to the hearing date on this motion.

A modified plan has been timely filed and set for hearing in this case. The scheduled hearing on the modification is February 24, 2026, at 9:00 a.m. The court will continue the hearing on this motion to dismiss to coincide with the hearing on the plan modification. If the modification is disapproved, and the motion to dismiss has not been withdrawn or otherwise resolved, the court may dismiss the case at the continued hearing.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

IT IS ORDERED that the chapter 13 trustee's motion to dismiss is continued to February 24, 2026, at 9:00 a.m.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the trustee elects to oppose the debtor's motion to modify, then not later than 14 days prior to the continued hearing date the trustee shall file a status report updating this motion to dismiss. The status report shall provide a concise list explaining the remaining issues in the motion to dismiss and indicate the amount of any plan delinquency. The status report shall be succinct and shall not consist of a cut and paste of the opposition filed by the trustee in response to a motion to amend or modify the debtor's plan.

111. [25-24788](#)-A-13 **IN RE: SHANTINA WARD**
[CCR-1](#)

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY SUMMIT RIDGE
CONDOMINIUM HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION
10-23-2025 [\[33\]](#)

ARETE KOSTOPOULOS/ATTY. FOR DBT.
CHERYL ROUSE/ATTY. FOR MV.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

Matter: Objection to Confirmation of Chapter 13 Plan
Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4); no written opposition required
Disposition: Overruled as moot
Order: Civil minute order

Chapter 13 debtors may modify the plan before confirmation. 11 U.S.C. § 1323(a). If the debtor files a modification of the plan under § 1323, the modified plan becomes the plan. 11 U.S.C. § 1323(b). Filing a modified plan renders moot any objection to confirmation of the prior plan. The debtor has filed a modified plan after this objection to confirmation was filed. The objection will be overruled as moot.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the objection to confirmation is overruled as moot.

112. [25-24788](#)-A-13 **IN RE: SHANTINA WARD**
[DPC-1](#)

CONTINUED MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
10-21-2025 [\[25\]](#)

ARETE KOSTOPOULOS/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

No Ruling

113. [25-24788](#)-A-13 **IN RE: SHANTINA WARD**
[DPC-2](#)

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID CUSICK
10-22-2025 [\[29\]](#)

ARETE KOSTOPOULOS/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

Matter: Objection to Confirmation of Chapter 13 Plan
Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4); no written opposition required
Disposition: Overruled as moot
Order: Civil minute order

Chapter 13 debtors may modify the plan before confirmation. 11 U.S.C. § 1323(a). If the debtor files a modification of the plan under § 1323, the modified plan becomes the plan. 11 U.S.C. § 1323(b). Filing a modified plan renders moot any objection to confirmation of the prior plan. The debtor has filed a modified plan after this objection to confirmation was filed. The objection will be overruled as moot.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the objection to confirmation is overruled as moot.

114. [25-21289](#)-A-13 **IN RE: MELINDA WARD**
[BLG-2](#)

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN
12-2-2025 [\[76\]](#)

CHAD JOHNSON/ATTY. FOR DBT.
DEBTOR DISCHARGED: 07/01/25
TRUSTEE NON-OPPOSITION

Final Ruling

Motion: Confirm Chapter 13 Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(d)(1), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Prepared by the movant, approved by the trustee

Subject: First Amended Chapter 13 Plan, filed December 2, 2025

DEFAULT OF RESPONDENT

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

The debtor seeks confirmation of the First Amended Chapter 13 Plan, ECF No. 80. The plan is supported by Schedules I and J filed December 2, 2025, ECF No. 81. The Chapter 13 trustee has filed a non-opposition to the motion, ECF No. 92.

CHAPTER 13 PLAN CONFIRMATION

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court finds that the debtor has sustained that burden, and the court will approve confirmation of the plan.

115. [25-21289](#)-A-13 **IN RE: MELINDA WARD**
[DPC-2](#)

CONTINUED MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
11-18-2025 [[71](#)]

CHAD JOHNSON/ATTY. FOR DBT.
DEBTOR DISCHARGED: 07/01/25

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case
Notice: Continued from December 16, 2025
Disposition: Denied
Order: Civil minute order

The hearing on this motion was continued from December 16, 2025, to allow for hearing on the debtor's motion to confirm the chapter 13 plan. The motion to confirm, BLG-2, has been granted.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion, the opposition, responses, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is denied.

116. [25-24989](#)-A-13 **IN RE: MECHELE DEWS**
[DPC-1](#)

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P.
CUSICK
10-29-2025 [[18](#)]

DEBTOR DISMISSED: 12/04/25

Final Ruling

This case was dismissed on December 4, 2025. Accordingly, the objection will be removed from the calendar as moot. No appearances are required.

117. [25-24989](#)-A-13 **IN RE: MECHELE DEWS**
[RAS-1](#)

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DEUTSCHE BANK
NATIONAL TRUST COMPANY
10-30-2025 [[22](#)]

DAVID COATS/ATTY. FOR MV.
DEBTOR DISMISSED: 12/04/25

Final Ruling

This case was dismissed on December 4, 2025. Accordingly, the objection will be removed from the calendar as moot. No appearances are required.

118. [25-25890](#)-A-13 **IN RE: DONALD CLEVELAND**
[DPC-2](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
12-17-2025 [[26](#)]

JAMES SHEPHERD/ATTY. FOR DBT.

No Ruling

119. [25-26590](#)-A-13 **IN RE: MICHAEL JAMES MERCADO**
[FEC-1](#)

MOTION TO RECONSIDER DISMISSAL OF CASE, MOTION TO REINSTATE
AUTOMATIC STAY
12-17-2025 [[13](#)]

PETER MACALUSO/ATTY. FOR DBT.
MICHAEL JAMES MERCADO/ATTY. FOR MV.
DEBTOR DISMISSED: 12/05/25
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

The motion is granted, the case reinstated, and the stay to remain in effect. The court deems this a motion under Rule 60(b). The debtor has explained the reasons, i.e., serious medical problems, for his failure to file all of the required documents. The deficiencies have been corrected, and the debtor is current on his payments to the Chapter 13 trustee. No creditor has opposed the motion. A civil minute order shall issue.

120. [25-25492](#)-A-13 **IN RE: AMY EDELEN**
[DPC-2](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
12-17-2025 [[16](#)]

JAMES KEENAN/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: January 7, 2026

Opposition Filed: Unopposed

Cause: 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1) - Plan Delinquency

Best Interests of Creditors/Estate: Dismiss

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

CASE DISMISSAL

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this chapter 13 case for delinquency in payments under the chapter 13 plan. For the reasons stated in the motion, cause exists under § 1307(c)(1) to dismiss the case. Payments under the plan are delinquent in the amount of \$10,000.00 prior to the hearing on this motion.

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)

Except as provided in subsection (f) of this section, on request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, or may dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause, including—

...

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

The court finds that dismissal is in the best interests of the creditors and the estate. This case has not been previously converted from a chapter 7.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss this chapter 13 case has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent debtor for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted because of the delinquency under the chapter 13 plan in this case. The court hereby dismisses this case.

121. [21-22195](#)-A-13 **IN RE: OKHARINA HOLMES**
[DPC-7](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
12-19-2025 [[122](#)]

CANDACE BROOKS/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed by the debtor

Disposition: Granted

Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: January 7, 2026

Opposition Filed: January 6, 2026, - timely

Cause: 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(6) - Plan Delinquency

Best Interests of Creditors/Estate: Dismiss

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this case, asserting that cause exists under § 1307(c)(6) as the debtor has failed to make all payments due under the confirmed plan. The trustee contends that the plan payments are delinquent in the amount of \$3,852.40, with one payment(s) of \$3,820.70 due before the hearing on this motion.

The debtor has filed a timely opposition which is accompanied by the Declaration of the Debtor, ECF Nos. 126 & 127. The debtor's declaration states that the debtor will bring the plan payment current by the date of the hearing on this motion. See Declaration, ECF No. 127.

The opposition does not fully resolve the grounds for dismissal. A delinquency still exists as of the date of the opposition. A statement of intent to pay the delinquency on or before a future

date is not equivalent to cure of the delinquency. The court is unable to deny the motion given the outstanding delinquency.

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)

Except as provided in subsection (f) of this section, on request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, or may dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause, including—

...

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

The court finds that dismissal is in the best interests of the creditors and the estate. This case has not been previously converted from a chapter 7. The court will dismiss the case.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion, the opposition, responses, and oral argument at the hearing, if any, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted. The debtor has failed to make all payments due under the confirmed chapter 13 plan in this case. Delinquency constitutes cause to dismiss this case. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1), (6). The court hereby dismisses this case.

122. [25-22195](#)-A-13 **IN RE: MYNGOC TRAN**
[DPC-1](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
12-19-2025 [\[17\]](#)

DAVID BOONE/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed by the debtor

Disposition: Granted

Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: January 7, 2026

Opposition Filed: January 6, 2026 - timely

Cause: 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(6) - Plan Delinquency

Best Interests of Creditors/Estate: Dismiss

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this case, asserting that cause exists under § 1307(c)(6) as the debtor has failed to make all payments due under the confirmed plan. The trustee contends that the plan payments are delinquent in the amount of \$300.00, with one payment(s) of \$150.00 due before the hearing on this motion.

LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B)

Opposition. Opposition, if any, to the granting of the motion shall be in writing and shall be served and filed with the Court by the responding party at least fourteen (14) days preceding the date or continued date of the hearing. *Opposition shall be accompanied by evidence establishing its factual allegations.* Without good cause, no party shall be heard in opposition to a motion at oral argument if written opposition to the motion has not been timely filed. Failure of the responding party to timely file written opposition may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion or may result in the imposition of sanctions.

LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B) (emphasis added).

The opposition does not comply with LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). A declaration is required to prove the contentions in the opposition and to provide additional relevant information. For example, there is no evidence indicating that the debtor will make additional plan payments.

The debtor's opposition does not fully resolve the grounds for dismissal. A delinquency still exists as of the date of the opposition. A statement of intent to pay the delinquency on or before a future date is not equivalent to cure of the delinquency.

The court is unable to deny the motion given the outstanding delinquency.

The court gives no weight to an opposition which fails to provide sworn testimony by the party opposing the motion. Unsworn statements by counsel are not evidence and will not be considered.

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)

Except as provided in subsection (f) of this section, on request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, or may dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause, including—

...

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

The court finds that dismissal is in the best interests of the creditors and the estate. This case has not been previously converted from a chapter 7. The court will dismiss the case.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion, the opposition, responses, and oral argument at the hearing, if any, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted. The debtor has failed to make all payments due under the confirmed chapter 13 plan in this case. Delinquency constitutes cause to dismiss this case. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1), (6). The court hereby dismisses this case.

123. [23-23496](#)-A-13 **IN RE: DANA SEXTON**
[DPC-1](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
12-19-2025 [\[18\]](#)

ANH NGUYEN/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed by the debtor

Disposition: Granted

Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: January 7, 2026

Opposition Filed: January 7, 2026 - timely

Cause: 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(6) - Plan Delinquency

Best Interests of Creditors/Estate: Dismiss

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this case, asserting that cause exists under § 1307(c)(6) as the debtor has failed to make all payments due under the confirmed plan. The trustee contends that the plan payments are delinquent in the amount of \$1,016.00, with one payment(s) of \$508.00 due before the hearing on this motion.

LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B)

Opposition. Opposition, if any, to the granting of the motion shall be in writing and shall be served and filed with the Court by the responding party at least fourteen (14) days preceding the date or continued date of the hearing. *Opposition shall be accompanied by evidence establishing its factual allegations.* Without good cause, no party shall be heard in opposition to a motion at oral argument if written opposition to the motion has not been timely filed. Failure of the responding party to timely file written opposition may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion or may result in the imposition of sanctions.

LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B) (emphasis added).

The opposition does not comply with LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). A declaration is required to prove the contentions in the opposition and to provide additional relevant information. For example, there is no evidence indicating that the debtor will make additional plan payments.

The debtor's opposition does not fully resolve the grounds for dismissal. A delinquency still exists as of the date of the opposition. A statement of intent to pay the delinquency on or before a future date is not equivalent to cure of the delinquency.

The court is unable to deny the motion given the outstanding delinquency.

The court gives no weight to an opposition which fails to provide sworn testimony by the party opposing the motion. Unsworn statements by counsel are not evidence and will not be considered.

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)

Except as provided in subsection (f) of this section, on request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, or may dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause, including—

...

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

The court finds that dismissal is in the best interests of the creditors and the estate. This case has not been previously converted from a chapter 7. The court will dismiss the case.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion, the opposition, responses, and oral argument at the hearing, if any, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted. The debtor has failed to make all payments due under the confirmed chapter 13 plan in this case. Delinquency constitutes cause to dismiss this case. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1), (6). The court hereby dismisses this case.

124. [25-23698](#)-A-13 **IN RE: DARCY MARKLAND**
[MRL-1](#)

MOTION TO MODIFY PLAN
11-19-2025 [\[16\]](#)

MIKALAH LIVIAKIS/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

Motion: Modify Chapter 13 Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(d)(2), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Prepared by movant, approved by the trustee

Subject: Chapter 13 Plan, filed November 19, 2025

DEFAULT OF RESPONDENT

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

The debtor(s) seek approval of the proposed modified Chapter 13 Plan. The plan is supported by Schedules I and J filed on November 19, 2025, ECF No. 19. The Chapter 13 trustee has filed a response to the motion, ECF No. 23, asking that excess insurance proceeds be addressed in the plan. The debtor has since clarified that excess proceeds will be paid to Class 7 creditors. Response, ECF No. 26. No opposition exists at this time and the plan can be confirmed.

CHAPTER 13 PLAN MODIFICATION

Chapter 13 plan modification is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1323, 1325, 1329 and by Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(a)(5) and 3015(g) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. "[T]he only limits on modification are those set forth in the language of the Code itself, coupled with the bankruptcy judge's discretion and good judgment in reviewing the motion to modify." *In re Powers*, 202 B.R. 618, 622 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1996).

Chapter 13 debtors seeking plan modification have the burden of proving that all requirements of § 1322(a) and (b) and § 1325(a) have been met. See 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322(a)-(b), 1325(a), 1329(b)(1); see also *In re Powers*, 202 B.R. at 622 ("[Section] 1329(b)(1) protects the parties from unwarranted modification motions by ensuring that the proposed modifications satisfy the same standards as required of the initial plan."); see also *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407 (9th Cir. 1994); *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1408 (9th Cir. 1995).

The court finds that the debtor has sustained this burden of proof.
The court will grant the motion and approve the modification.

125. [25-26198](#)-A-13 **IN RE: FRANCISCO/PRISCILLA NERI**

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY HOMETAP INVESTMENT
PARTNERS III SPV, LLC
12-19-2025 [[18](#)]

MOHAMMAD MOKARRAM/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RUDY CERONE/ATTY. FOR MV.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

No Ruling