# UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT Eastern District of California

Honorable Christopher D. Jaime 1200 I Street, Suite 200 Modesto, California

# PRE-HEARING DISPOSITIONS COVER SHEET

DAY: TUESDAY DATE: January 19, 2021 CALENDAR: 1:00 P.M. CHAPTER 13

Each matter on this calendar will have one of three possible designations: No Ruling, Tentative Ruling, or Final Ruling. These instructions apply to those designations.

No Ruling: All parties will need to appear at the hearing unless otherwise ordered.

**Tentative Ruling:** If a matter has been designated as a tentative ruling it will be called. The court may continue the hearing on the matter, set a briefing schedule, or enter other orders appropriate for efficient and proper resolution of the matter. The original moving or objecting party shall give notice of the continued hearing date and the deadlines. The minutes of the hearing will be the court's findings and conclusions.

**Final Ruling:** Unless otherwise ordered, there will be <u>no hearing on these</u> <u>matters and no appearance is necessary</u>. The final disposition of the matter is set forth in the ruling and it will appear in the minutes. The final ruling may or may not finally adjudicate the matter. If it is finally adjudicated, the minutes constitute the court's findings and conclusions.

**Orders:** Unless the court specifies in the tentative or final ruling that it will issue an order, the prevailing party shall lodge an order within seven (7) days of the final hearing on the matter.

# **UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT**

Eastern District of California

Honorable Christopher D. Jaime Bankruptcy Judge **Modesto, California** 

# January 19, 2021 at 1:00 p.m.

1.	<u>19-91070</u> -B-13	RICHARD/HEATHER PETERS	MOTION TO MODIFY PLAN
	<u>JCK</u> -5	Gregory J. Smith	12-7-20 [ <u>71</u> ]

## Final Ruling

The motion has been set for hearing on the 35-days' notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1(d)(2), 9014-1(f)(1), and Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 3015(g). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). No opposition was filed. The matter will be resolved without oral argument. No appearance at the hearing is required.

The court's decision is to permit the requested modification and confirm the modified plan.

11 U.S.C. § 1329 permits a debtor to modify a plan after confirmation. The Debtors have filed evidence in support of confirmation. No opposition to the motion was filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee or creditors. The modified plan complies with 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325(a), and 1329, and is confirmed.

The motion is ORDERED GRANTED for reasons stated in the minutes. Counsel for the Debtors shall prepare an appropriate order confirming the Chapter 13 Plan, transmit the proposed order to the Chapter 13 Trustee for approval as to form, and if so approved, the Chapter 13 Trustee will submit the proposed order to the court.

The court will issue an order.

2. <u>20-90486</u>-B-13 JONATHAN STOKES <u>JAD</u>-2 Jessica A. Dorn OBJECTION TO CLAIM OF CACH, LLC, CLAIM NUMBER 2 12-10-20 [<u>42</u>]

### Final Ruling

The objection has been set for hearing on at least 44 days' notice to the claimant as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 3007-1(b)(1). The failure of the claimant to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). No opposition was filed. The matter will be resolved without oral argument. No appearance at the hearing is required.

The court's decision is to sustain the objection and require creditor Cach, LLC to file an amended proof of claim with legible accounting by Friday, January 22, 2020, at 5:00 p.m. The matter will be continued to Tuesday, January 26, 2020, at 1:00 p.m.

Creditor Cach, LLC ("Creditor") asserts a claim amount of \$14,880.25, Claim No. 2-1. This is greater than the amount owed of \$8,676.00 according to Debtor's credit report filed as exhibit B, dkt. 44. Although the Creditor has filed a breakdown of accounting with its proof of claim, the text is not legible. Debtor requests for a full, legible accounting and explanation as to the increased amount. Debtor further requests that, should the Creditor not be able to provide this information, that the claim disallowed in its entirety.

## Discussion

Section 502(a) provides that a claim supported by a proof of claim is allowed unless a party in interest objects. See 11 U.S.C. § 502(a). Once an objection has been filed, the court may determine the amount of the claim after a noticed hearing. See 11 U.S.C. § 502(b). The party objecting to a proof of claim has the burden of presenting substantial factual basis to overcome the prima facie validity of a proof of claim and the evidence must be of probative force equal to that of the creditor's proof of claim. Wright v. Holm (In re Holm), 931 F.2d 620, 623 (9th Cir. 1991); see also United Student Funds, Inc. v. Wylie (In re Wylie), 349 B.R. 204, 210 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2006). Moreover, "[a] mere assertion that the proof of claim is not valid or that the debt is not owed is not sufficient to overcome the presumptive validity of the proof of claim." Local Bankr. R. 3007-1(a).

The court finds that the accounting filed in support of the proof of claim is illegible. Creditor shall file an amended proof of claim with legible accounting and a response by Friday, January 15, 2020, at 5:00 p.m. The hearing on the matter will be continued to Tuesday, January 26, 2020, at 1:00 p.m. If no accounting and response are filed, the Creditor's proof of claim will be disallowed.

The court will issue an order.

3. <u>15-90987</u>-B-13 ALAN/BARBARA PAYNE <u>JAD</u>-2 Jessica A. Dorn

MOTION TO MODIFY PLAN 12-10-20 [<u>53</u>]

## Final Ruling

The motion been set for hearing on the 35-days' notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rules 3015-1(d)(2), 9014-1(f)(1), and Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 3015(g). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Opposition was filed.

The court has determined this matter may be decided on the papers. See General Order No. 618 at p.3,  $\P$  3 (E.D. Cal. May 13, 2020) (ordering courthouse closure "until further notice" due to the COVID-19 pandemic and further ordering that all civil matters are to be decided on the papers unless the presiding judge determines a hearing is necessary). The court has also determined that oral argument will not assist in the decision-making process or resolution of the motion. See Local Bankr. R. 9014-1(h), 1001-1(f).

The court's decision is to continue the matter to February 2, 2020, at 1:00 p.m.

Debtors' plan is not feasible under 11 U.S.C. §1325(a)(6). Section 7.04 of Debtors' plan provides for post-petition tax debt owed to the Internal Revenue Service in the total amount of \$4,296.05 (\$2,023.05 for the year 2015 and \$2,273.00 for the year 2017). As of this date, a proof of claim that includes Debtors' post-petition taxes has not been filed pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §1305.

The Debtors filed a response stating that they have diligently been trying to get a hold of the IRS to amend its proof of claim but have been unsuccessful. Debtors request a two week continuance, which the court will allow.

The court will issue an order.

January 19, 2021 at 1:00 p.m. Page 3 of 4 4. <u>19-90897</u>-B-13 KATHLEEN ROWE-GLENDON <u>PLG</u>-2 Steven A. Alpert

MOTION TO MODIFY PLAN 12-9-20 [<u>36</u>]

## Final Ruling

The motion been set for hearing on the 35-days' notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rules 3015-1(d)(2), 9014-1(f)(1), and Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 3015(g). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(B) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Opposition was filed.

The court has determined this matter may be decided on the papers. See General Order No. 618 at p.3,  $\P$  3 (E.D. Cal. May 13, 2020) (ordering courthouse closure "until further notice" due to the COVID-19 pandemic and further ordering that all civil matters are to be decided on the papers unless the presiding judge determines a hearing is necessary). The court has also determined that oral argument will not assist in the decision-making process or resolution of the motion. See Local Bankr. R. 9014-1(h), 1001-1(f).

The court's decision is to permit the requested modification and confirm the modified plan.

The Chapter 13 Trustee objects to confirmation on grounds that the Debtor's schedules do not support an ability to pay the proposed monthly plan payment. The Debtor filed a response and amended Schedules I and J showing that she has enough disposable income to pay the proposed plan payment.

The modified plan complies with 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322 and 1325(a) and is confirmed.

The motion is ORDERED GRANTED for reasons stated in the minutes. Counsel for the Debtor shall prepare an appropriate order confirming the Chapter 13 Plan, transmit the proposed order to the Chapter 13 Trustee for approval as to form, and if so approved, the Chapter 13 Trustee will submit the proposed order to the court.

The court will issue an order.

January 19, 2021 at 1:00 p.m. Page 4 of 4