



**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
Eastern District of California**

Judge Fredrick E. Clement
Sacramento Federal Courthouse
501 I Street, 7th Floor
Courtroom 28, Department A
Sacramento, California

DAY: TUESDAY
DATE: JANUARY 6, 2026
CALENDAR: 9:00 A.M. CHAPTER 13 CASES

Unless otherwise ordered, all matters before Chief Judge Fredrick E. Clement shall be simultaneously: (1) **IN PERSON** at Sacramento Courtroom No. 28, (2) via **ZOOMGOV VIDEO**, (3) via **ZOOMGOV TELEPHONE**, and (4) via **COURTCALL**.

You may choose any of these options unless otherwise ordered or stated below.

All parties who wish to appear at a hearing remotely must sign up by 4:00 p.m. **one business** day prior to the hearing.

Information regarding how to sign up can be found on the **Court Appearances** page of our website at:

<https://www.caeb.uscourts.gov/Calendar/CourtAppearances>

Each party who has signed up will receive a Zoom link or phone number, meeting I.D., and password via e-mail.

If the deadline to sign up has passed, parties who wish to appear remotely must contact the Courtroom Deputy for the Department holding the hearing.

Please also note the following:

- Parties in interest may connect to the video or audio feed free of charge and should select which method they will use to appear when signing up.
- Members of the public and the press appearing by **ZoomGov** may only listen in to the hearing using the zoom telephone number. Video appearances are not permitted.
- Members of the public and the press may not listen in to the trials or evidentiary hearings, though they may appear in person in most instances.

To appear remotely for law and motion or status conference proceedings, you must comply with the following guidelines and procedures:

- Review the [Pre-Hearing Dispositions](#) prior to appearing at the hearing.
- Review the court's [Zoom Procedures and Guidelines](#) for these, and additional instructions.
- Parties appearing via CourtCall are encouraged to review the [CourtCall Appearance Information](#).

If you are appearing by ZoomGov phone or video, please join at least 10 minutes prior to the start of the calendar and wait with your microphone muted until the matter is called.

Unauthorized Recording is Prohibited: Any recording of a court proceeding held by video or teleconference, including screen shots or other audio or visual copying of a hearing is prohibited. Violation may result in sanctions, including removal of court-issued media credentials, denial of entry to future hearings, or any other sanctions deemed necessary by the court. For more information on photographing, recording, or broadcasting Judicial Proceedings, please refer to Local Rule 173(a) of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California.

PRE-HEARING DISPOSITION INSTRUCTIONS

RULINGS

Each matter on this calendar will have one of three possible designations: No Ruling, Tentative Ruling, or Final Ruling.

"No Ruling" means the likely disposition of the matter will not be disclosed in advance of the hearing. The matter will be called; parties wishing to be heard should rise and be heard.

"Tentative Ruling" means the likely disposition, and the reasons therefor, are set forth herein. The matter will be called. Aggrieved parties or parties for whom written opposition was not required should rise and be heard. Parties favored by the tentative ruling need not appear. However, non-appearing parties are advised that the court may adopt a ruling other than that set forth herein without further hearing or notice.

"Final Ruling" means that the matter will be resolved in the manner, and for the reasons, indicated below. The matter will not be called; parties and/or counsel need not appear and will not be heard on the matter.

CHANGES TO PREVIOUSLY PUBLISHED RULINGS

On occasion, the court will change its intended ruling on some of the matters to be called and will republish its rulings. The parties and counsel are advised to recheck the posted rulings after 3:00 p.m. on the next business day prior to the hearing. Any such changed ruling will be preceded by the following bold face text: **"[Since posting its original rulings, the court has changed its intended ruling on this matter]"**.

ERRORS IN RULINGS

Clerical errors of an insignificant nature, e.g., nomenclature ("2017 Honda Accord," rather than "2016 Honda Accord"), amounts, ("\$880," not "\$808"), may be corrected in (1) tentative rulings by appearance at the hearing; or (2) final rulings by appropriate ex parte application. Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(a) *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9024. All other errors, including those occasioned by mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect, must be corrected by noticed motion. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 60(b), *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9023.

1. [23-22000](#)-A-13 **IN RE: JEFFERSON/KRISTINE AGUIRRE**
[DPC-2](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
12-1-2025 [\[61\]](#)

THOMAS AMBERG/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Disposition: Denied

Order: Civil minute order

The trustee filed a motion to dismiss based on delinquency. The trustee has since filed a supplemental document stating that the debtors are current and request the motion be denied, ECF No. 69. The motion will be denied.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion, the opposition, responses, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is denied.

2. [24-20500](#)-A-13 **IN RE: MIGUEL/MARTHA GUTIERREZ**
[DPC-2](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
11-19-2025 [\[41\]](#)

PETER MACALUSO/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Disposition: Denied

Order: Civil minute order

The trustee filed a motion to dismiss based on delinquency. The trustee has since filed a supplemental document stating that the debtors are current and request the motion be denied, ECF No. 47. The motion will be denied.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion, the opposition, responses, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is denied.

3. [25-26000](#)-A-13 **IN RE: ROBERTO ANDRADE MONTEON**
[DPC-1](#)

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P. CUSICK
12-15-2025 [\[13\]](#)

T. O'TOOLE/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Objection: Trustee's Objection to Confirmation of Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4), 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required

Disposition: Continued to March 10, 2026, at 1:00 p.m. before the Honorable Christopher D. Jaime in Department B

Order: Civil minute order

The Chapter 13 trustee objects to confirmation of the debtor(s) plan.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court will continue the hearing on this objection to allow the parties to augment the evidentiary record.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on this objection will be continued to March 10, 2026, at 1:00 p.m. in front of the Honorable Christopher D. Jaime in Department B. The court may rule in this matter without further hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no later than January 20, 2026, the debtor(s) shall do one of the following:

(A) File a Statement of No Opposition. If the debtor(s) agree that the Chapter 13 trustee's objection is well taken, the debtor(s) shall concede the merits and file a statement of non-opposition to the objection. L.R. 230(c) ("A responding party who has no opposition to the granting of the motion shall serve and file a statement to that effect..."); LBR 1001-1(c)-(d) (omitting the applicability of L.R. 230 unless the court orders otherwise);

(B) Respond in Writing to the Objection. If the debtor(s) disagree with the trustee's objection, the debtor(s) shall file and serve a written response to the objection; the response shall specifically address each issue raised in the trustee's objection to confirmation, state whether the issue is disputed or undisputed, and include admissible evidence in support of the debtor's position. If the debtor(s) file a response under paragraph 3(B) of this order, then the trustee shall file and serve a reply, if any, no later than February 10, 2026. The evidentiary record will close after February 10, 2026; or

(C) File a Modified Plan. If the debtor(s) wish to resolve the Chapter 13 trustee's objection by filing a modified plan, then the debtor(s) shall: (1) file and serve a modified Chapter 13 plan; and (2) file and serve a motion to confirm the modified plan.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the parties resolve the trustee's objection, and there are no additional objections to confirmation pending, then the debtor(s) may submit an order confirming the plan which has been signed by the Chapter 13 trustee. The trustee's signature on the order confirming plan represents to the court that no further objections to confirmation of the proposed plan are pending.

4. [25-24803](#)-A-13 **IN RE: PAULA FRIEL**
[MRL-2](#)

MOTION TO SELL
12-15-2025 [\[35\]](#)

MIKALAH LIVIAKIS/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Sell Property

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Prepared by moving party pursuant to the instructions below and approved as to form and content by the Chapter 13 trustee

Property: 12368 Appaloosa Court, Grass Valley, California

Buyer: Pavel Miroshnichenko

Sale Price: \$587,000.00

Sale Type: Private sale subject to overbid opportunity

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

11 U.S.C. § 363(b)

Confirmation of a Chapter 13 plan revests property of the estate in the debtor unless the plan or order confirming the plan provides otherwise. 11 U.S.C. § 1327(b); *see also In re Tome*, 113 B.R. 626, 632 (Bankr. C.D. Cal. 1990). Here, the plan has not yet been confirmed, so the subject property remains property of the estate.

Section 363(b)(1) of Title 11 authorizes sales of property of the estate "other than in the ordinary course of business." 11 U.S.C. § 363(b)(1); *see also In re Lionel Corp.*, 722 F.2d 1063, 1071 (2d Cir. 1983) (requiring business justification). A Chapter 13 debtor has the rights and powers given to a trustee under § 363(b). 11 U.S.C. § 1303. Based on the motion and supporting papers, the court finds a proper reorganization purpose for this sale. The stay of the order provided by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 6004(h) will be waived.

GOOD FAITH PURCHASER

The court finds that the buyers are deemed good faith purchasers pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 363(m). They are seeking to purchase the property "in good faith" and "for value". *See In re Ewell*, 958 F. 2d 276, 281 (9th Cir. 1992).

The court will grant the motion to sell real property. The order shall be approved by the Chapter 13 trustee as to form and content. Additionally, the order shall contain language requiring the Chapter 13 trustee to approve the escrow instructions for the sale.

5. [25-24405](#)-A-13 **IN RE: JESSICA SODERLING**
[DPC-1](#)

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P
CUSICK
10-8-2025 [\[24\]](#)

PETER MACALUSO/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

Objection: Trustee's Objection to Confirmation of Plan

Notice: Continued from November 4, 2025

Disposition: Sustained and confirmation denied

Order: Civil minute order

No responding party is required to file written opposition to the objection; opposition may be presented at the hearing. LBR 3015-1(c)(4), 9014-1(f)(2)(C). If opposition is presented at the hearing, the court may rule on the merits or set a briefing schedule. Absent such opposition, the court will adopt this tentative ruling.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

PLAN FEASIBILITY

The proposed plan must be feasible. 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(6). Feasibility is a "factual determination" as to the plan's "reasonable likelihood of success." *First Nat'l Bank of Boston v. Fantasia (In re Fantasia)*, 211 B.R. 420, 423 (B.A.P. 1st Cir. 1997). The bankruptcy court needs to "be satisfied that the debtor has the present as well as the future financial capacity to comply with the terms of the plan." *Id.* As one court summarized feasibility, "Thus, a plan is not feasible and is not confirmable if a debtor's income will not support the plan's proposed payments. *In re Barnes*, 275 B.R. 889, 894 (Bankr. E.D. Cal.2002) ("[T]he debtors showed no disposable income with which to fund a plan.... [T]he debtors have been unable to actually pay the amount projected ... to the trustee."); *In re Bernardes*, 267 B.R. 690, 695 (Bankr. D.N.J. 2001) ("While the feasibility requirement is not rigorous ... the plan proponent must, at minimum, demonstrate that the Debtor's income

exceeds expenses by an amount sufficient to make the payments proposed by the plan."); *In re Wilkinson*, 99 B.R. 366, 369 (Bankr. N.D. Ohio 1989) ("[D]ebtors will not be able to comply with the plan and make all payments thereunder.").” *In re Buccolo*, 397 B.R. 527, 530 (Bankr. D.N.J. 2008), *aff’d*, 2009 WL 2132435 (D.N.J. July 13, 2009).

Plan Delinquency

The trustee indicates that the plan payments are delinquent in the amount of \$6,100.00 with another plan payment of \$6,100.00 due by this hearing. The plan cannot be confirmed if the plan payments are not current.

ABILITY TO MAKE PAYMENTS

Debtor is currently delinquent which shows an inability to make plan payments. Further, the trustee has opposed confirmation due to the lack of declarations from friends and family in support of contributions listed on debtor’s Schedule I. This issue is outstanding and is sufficient reason to deny confirmation.

MEANS TEST

Trustee has opposed confirmation due to the debtor’s failure to file an amended Form 122C-2. This issue remains outstanding.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The chapter 13 trustee’s objection to confirmation has been presented to the court. Having considered the objection, oppositions, responses and replies, if any, and having heard oral argument presented at the hearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the objection is sustained. The court denies confirmation of the chapter 13 plan.

6. [25-25505](#)-A-13 **IN RE: ELISABETH RICHARDSON**
[DPC-2](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
12-9-2025 [\[24\]](#)

No Ruling

7. [24-25208](#)-A-13 **IN RE: BERNARD/MARY LEE**
[DPC-2](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
11-19-2025 [\[60\]](#)

CANDACE BROOKS/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: December 23, 2025

Opposition Filed: Unopposed

Cause: 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(6) - Plan Delinquency

Best Interests of Creditors/Estate: Dismiss

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

CASE DISMISSAL

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this chapter 13 case for delinquency in payments under the confirmed chapter 13 plan. For the reasons stated in the motion, cause exists under § 1307(c)(6) to dismiss the case. Payments under the confirmed plan are delinquent in the amount of \$14,370.99 with two payment(s) of \$9,600.00 due prior to the hearing on this motion.

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)

Except as provided in subsection (f) of this section, on request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, or may dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause, including—

...

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

The court finds that dismissal is in the best interests of the creditors and the estate. This case has not been previously converted from a chapter 7.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss this chapter 13 case has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent debtor for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted because of the delinquency under the confirmed chapter 13 plan in this case. The court hereby dismisses this case.

8. [23-20711](#)-A-13 **IN RE: JOSEPH RIVERA**
[DPC-2](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
12-9-2025 [\[36\]](#)

MARY TERRANELLA/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed by debtors

Disposition: Continued to February 3, 2026, at 9:00 a.m.

Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: December 23, 2025

Opposition Filed: December 23, 2025- timely

Motion to Modify Plan Filed: December 26, 2025 - timely

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this case, asserting that cause exists under § 1307(c)(1) and (6) as the debtor has failed to make all payments due under the plan. The trustee contends that the debtor is delinquent in the amount of \$556.09, with one payment(s) of \$630.00 due prior to the hearing date on this motion. Additionally, the trustee has stated that the plan overextends now due to a claim filed by the Franchise Tax Board.

A modified plan has been timely filed and set for hearing in this case. The scheduled hearing on the modification is February 3, 2026, at 9:00 a.m. The court will continue the hearing on this motion to dismiss to coincide with the hearing on the plan modification. If the modification is disapproved, and the motion to dismiss has not been withdrawn or otherwise resolved, the court may dismiss the case at the continued hearing.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

IT IS ORDERED that the chapter 13 trustee's motion to dismiss is continued to February 3, 2026, at 9:00 a.m.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the trustee elects to oppose the debtor's motion to modify, then not later than 14 days prior to the continued hearing date the trustee shall file a status report updating this motion to dismiss. The status report shall provide a concise list explaining the remaining issues in the motion to dismiss and indicate the amount of any plan delinquency. The status report shall be succinct and shall not consist of a cut and paste of the opposition filed by the trustee in response to a motion to amend or modify the debtor's plan.

9. [25-23914](#)-A-13 **IN RE: MARIA HERNANDEZ**
[DPC-2](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
12-9-2025 [\[35\]](#)

KEVIN TANG/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed by debtors

Disposition: Continued to February 24, 2026, at 9:00 a.m.

Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: December 23, 2025

Opposition Filed: December 23, 2025 - timely

Motion to Modify Plan Filed: December 29, 2025 - timely

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this case, asserting that cause exists under § 1307(c)(1) and (6) as the debtor has failed to make all payments due under the plan. The trustee contends that the debtor is delinquent in the amount of \$500.00, with one payment(s) of \$250.00 due prior to the hearing date on this motion.

A modified plan has been timely filed and set for hearing in this case. The scheduled hearing on the modification is February 24, 2026, at 9:00 a.m. The court will continue the hearing on this motion to dismiss to coincide with the hearing on the plan modification. If the modification is disapproved, and the motion to dismiss has not been withdrawn or otherwise resolved, the court may dismiss the case at the continued hearing.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

IT IS ORDERED that the chapter 13 trustee's motion to dismiss is continued to February 24, 2026, at 9:00 a.m.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the trustee elects to oppose the debtor's motion to modify, then not later than 14 days prior to the continued hearing date the trustee shall file a status report updating this motion to dismiss. The status report shall provide a concise list explaining the remaining issues in the motion to dismiss and indicate the amount of any plan delinquency. The status report shall be succinct and shall not consist of a cut and paste of the opposition filed by the trustee in response to a motion to amend or modify the debtor's plan.

10. [23-24215](#)-A-13 **IN RE: SANDRA LYMOND**
[MEV-3](#)

MOTION TO APPROVE LOAN MODIFICATION
12-2-2025 [[166](#)]

MARC VOISENAT/ATTY. FOR DBT.
DEBTOR DISMISSED: 12/18/25

Final Ruling

This case was dismissed on December 18, 2025. Accordingly, the motion will be removed from the calendar as moot. No appearances are required.

11. [25-26415](#)-A-13 **IN RE: NORNETTA PHILLIPS**

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE TO PAY FEES
12-19-2025 [[21](#)]

12/19/2025 INSTALLMENT FEE PAID \$79

Final Ruling

As the installment fee has been paid, the order to show cause is discharged. The case will remain pending.

12. [25-25816](#)-A-13 **IN RE: JAMES/SHYLENE MOIZE**
[DPC-1](#)

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P. CUSICK
12-9-2025 [\[14\]](#)

CARL GUSTAFSON/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Objection: Trustee's Objection to Confirmation of Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4), 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required

Disposition: Continued to March 10, 2026, at 1:00 p.m. before the Honorable Christopher D. Jaime in Department B

Order: Civil minute order

The Chapter 13 trustee objects to confirmation of the debtor(s) plan.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court will continue the hearing on this objection to allow the parties to augment the evidentiary record.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on this objection will be continued to March 10, 2026, at 1:00 p.m. in front of the Honorable Christopher D. Jaime in Department B. The court may rule in this matter without further hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no later than January 20, 2026, the debtor(s) shall do one of the following:

(A) File a Statement of No Opposition. If the debtor(s) agree that the Chapter 13 trustee's objection is well taken, the debtor(s) shall concede the merits and file a statement of non-opposition to the objection. L.R. 230(c) ("A responding party who has no opposition to the granting of the motion shall serve and file a statement to that effect..."); LBR 1001-1(c)-(d) (omitting the applicability of L.R. 230 unless the court orders otherwise);

(B) Respond in Writing to the Objection. If the debtor(s) disagree with the trustee's objection, the debtor(s) shall file and serve a written response to the objection; the response shall specifically address each issue raised in the trustee's objection to confirmation, state whether the issue is disputed or undisputed, and

include admissible evidence in support of the debtor's position. If the debtor(s) file a response under paragraph 3(B) of this order, then the trustee shall file and serve a reply, if any, no later than February 10, 2026. The evidentiary record will close after February 10, 2026; or

(C) File a Modified Plan. If the debtor(s) wish to resolve the Chapter 13 trustee's objection by filing a modified plan, then the debtor(s) shall: (1) file and serve a modified Chapter 13 plan; and (2) file and serve a motion to confirm the modified plan.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the parties resolve the trustee's objection, and there are no additional objections to confirmation pending, then the debtor(s) may submit an order confirming the plan which has been signed by the Chapter 13 trustee. The trustee's signature on the order confirming plan represents to the court that no further objections to confirmation of the proposed plan are pending.

13. [24-20018](#)-A-13 **IN RE: EDGAR MANDAP**
[DPC-1](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
12-1-2025 [\[21\]](#)

MARK SHMORGON/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed by the debtor

Disposition: Granted

Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: December 23, 2025

Opposition Filed: December 4, 2025 - timely

Cause: 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(6) - Plan Delinquency

Best Interests of Creditors/Estate: Dismiss

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this case, asserting that cause exists under § 1307(c)(6) as the debtor has failed to make all payments due under the confirmed plan. The trustee contends that the plan payments are delinquent in the amount of \$1,680.00, with one payment(s) of \$3,430.00 due before the hearing on this motion.

The debtor has filed a timely opposition which is accompanied by the Declaration of the Debtor, ECF Nos. 25 & 26. The debtor's declaration states that the debtor will bring the plan payment current by the date of the hearing on this motion. See Declaration, ECF No. 26.

The opposition does not fully resolve the grounds for dismissal. A delinquency still exists as of the date of the opposition. A statement of intent to pay the delinquency on or before a future date is not equivalent to cure of the delinquency. The court is unable to deny the motion given the outstanding delinquency.

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)

Except as provided in subsection (f) of this section, on request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, or may dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause, including—

...

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

The court finds that dismissal is in the best interests of the creditors and the estate. This case has not been previously converted from a chapter 7. The court will dismiss the case.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion, the opposition, responses, and oral argument at the hearing, if any, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted. The debtor has failed to make all payments due under the confirmed chapter 13 plan in this case. Delinquency constitutes cause to dismiss this case. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1), (6). The court hereby dismisses this case.

14. [25-25418](#)-A-13 **IN RE: ELIZABETH RIVAS**
[PGM-3](#)

MOTION TO VALUE COLLATERAL OF SANTANDER CONSUMER USA INC.
11-28-2025 [\[34\]](#)

PETER MACALUSO/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

No Ruling

15. [25-25519](#)-A-13 **IN RE: RICKY REYNOLDS**
[DPC-1](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
12-9-2025 [\[26\]](#)

MICHAEL HAYS/ATTY. FOR DBT.
DAVID CUSICK/ATTY. FOR MV.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed by debtors

Disposition: Continued to February 24, 2026, at 9:00 a.m.

Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: December 23, 2025

Opposition Filed: December 22, 2025 - timely

Motion to Modify Plan Filed: December 22, 2025 - timely

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this case, asserting that cause exists under § 1307(c)(1) and (6) as the debtor has failed to make all payments due under the plan. The trustee has filed the motion for debtor failure to appear at the § 341 meeting of creditors and failure to file a motion to confirm a plan.

A plan has been timely filed and set for hearing in this case. The scheduled hearing on the plan confirmation is February 24, 2026, at 9:00 a.m. The court will continue the hearing on this motion to dismiss to coincide with the hearing on the plan modification. If the plan confirmation is disapproved, and the motion to dismiss has not been withdrawn or otherwise resolved, the court may dismiss the case at the continued hearing.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

IT IS ORDERED that the chapter 13 trustee's motion to dismiss is continued to February 24, 2026, at 9:00 a.m.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the trustee elects to oppose the debtor's motion to confirm, then not later than 14 days prior to the continued hearing date the trustee shall file a status report updating this motion to dismiss. The status report shall provide a concise list explaining the remaining issues in the motion to dismiss and indicate the amount of any plan delinquency. The status report shall be succinct and shall not consist of a cut and paste of the opposition filed by the trustee in response to a motion to confirm the debtor's plan.

16. [25-25821](#)-A-13 **IN RE: KELLY/MELISSA RUIZ**
[KMM-1](#)

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT
CORPORATION
12-11-2025 [\[12\]](#)

CANDACE BROOKS/ATTY. FOR DBT.
KIRSTEN MARTINEZ/ATTY. FOR MV.

Final Ruling

Objection: Trustee's Objection to Confirmation of Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4), 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition
required

Disposition: Continued to March 10, 2026, at 1:00 p.m. before the
Honorable Christopher D. Jaime in Department B

Order: Civil minute order

The Chapter 13 trustee objects to confirmation of the debtor(s)
plan.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325
and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local
Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that
the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation.
In re Andrews, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*,
32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court will continue the hearing on this objection to allow the
parties to augment the evidentiary record.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms
substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on this objection will be continued
to March 10, 2026, at 1:00 p.m. in front of the Honorable Christopher
D. Jaime in Department B. The court may rule in this matter without
further hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no later than January 20, 2026, the
debtor(s) shall do one of the following:

(A) File a Statement of No Opposition. If the debtor(s) agree
that the Chapter 13 trustee's objection is well taken, the debtor(s)
shall concede the merits and file a statement of non-opposition to
the objection. L.R. 230(c) ("A responding party who has no
opposition to the granting of the motion shall serve and file a
statement to that effect..."); LBR 1001-1(c)-(d) (omitting the
applicability of L.R. 230 unless the court orders otherwise);

(B) Respond in Writing to the Objection. If the debtor(s) disagree with the trustee's objection, the debtor(s) shall file and serve a written response to the objection; the response shall specifically address each issue raised in the trustee's objection to confirmation, state whether the issue is disputed or undisputed, and include admissible evidence in support of the debtor's position. If the debtor(s) file a response under paragraph 3(B) of this order, then the trustee shall file and serve a reply, if any, no later than February 10, 2026. The evidentiary record will close after February 10, 2026; or

(C) File a Modified Plan. If the debtor(s) wish to resolve the Chapter 13 trustee's objection by filing a modified plan, then the debtor(s) shall: (1) file and serve a modified Chapter 13 plan; and (2) file and serve a motion to confirm the modified plan.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the parties resolve the trustee's objection, and there are no additional objections to confirmation pending, then the debtor(s) may submit an order confirming the plan which has been signed by the Chapter 13 trustee. The trustee's signature on the order confirming plan represents to the court that no further objections to confirmation of the proposed plan are pending.

17. [25-24422](#)-A-13 **IN RE: CATHERINE LOCKETT**
[DPC-1](#)

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P.
CUSICK
10-9-2025 [\[18\]](#)

PETER MACALUSO/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

No Ruling

18. [24-24823](#)-A-13 **IN RE: PAUL/LAURA SMITH**
[DPC-2](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
12-9-2025 [\[61\]](#)

PATRICIA WILSON/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed by debtors

Disposition: Continued to February 3, 2026, at 9:00 a.m.

Order: Civil minute order

Motion to Confirm Plan Filed: December 18, 2025

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this case, asserting that cause exists under § 1307(c)(1) for failure to set a plan for confirmation and confirm plan.

A plan has been timely filed and set for hearing in this case. The scheduled hearing on the plan confirmation is February 3, 2026, at 9:00 a.m. The court will continue the hearing on this motion to dismiss to coincide with the hearing on the plan confirmation. If the confirmation is disapproved, and the motion to dismiss has not been withdrawn or otherwise resolved, the court may dismiss the case at the continued hearing.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

IT IS ORDERED that the chapter 13 trustee's motion to dismiss is continued to February 3, 2026, at 9:00 a.m.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the trustee elects to oppose the debtor's motion to confirm, then not later than 14 days prior to the continued hearing date the trustee shall file a status report updating this motion to dismiss. The status report shall provide a concise list explaining the remaining issues in the motion to dismiss. The status report shall be succinct and shall not consist of a cut and paste of the opposition filed by the trustee in response to a motion to confirm the debtor's plan.

19. [25-25223](#)-A-13 **IN RE: QAIS POPAL**

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE TO PAY FEES
12-2-2025 [\[42\]](#)

12/11/2025 INSTALLMENT FEE PAID \$78

Final Ruling

As the installment fee has been paid, the order to show cause is discharged. The case will remain pending.

20. [25-25223](#)-A-13 **IN RE: QAIS POPAL**
[DPC-2](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
12-9-2025 [\[44\]](#)

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: December 23, 2025

Opposition Filed: Unopposed

Cause: 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1) - Plan Delinquency

Best Interests of Creditors/Estate: Dismiss

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

CASE DISMISSAL

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this chapter 13 case for delinquency in payments under the chapter 13 plan. For the reasons stated in the motion, cause exists under § 1307(c)(1) to dismiss the case. Payments under the plan are delinquent in the amount of \$15,826.44 with one payment(s) of \$7,913.32 due prior to the hearing on this motion. Additionally, there are current objections to the pending plan that will likely prevent confirmation, including the previously mentioned delinquency.

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)

Except as provided in subsection (f) of this section, on request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, or may dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause, including—

...

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

The court finds that dismissal is in the best interests of the creditors and the estate. This case has not been previously converted from a chapter 7.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss this chapter 13 case has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent debtor for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted because of the delinquency under the chapter 13 plan in this case. The court hereby dismisses this case.

21. [25-22228](#)-A-13 **IN RE: AMRIT LAL**
[AVN-1](#)

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN
11-23-2025 [\[28\]](#)

ANH NGUYEN/ATTY. FOR DBT.
TRUSTEE NON-OPPOSITION

Final Ruling

Motion: Confirm Chapter 13 Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(d)(1), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Prepared by the movant, approved by the trustee

Subject: Chapter 13 Plan, filed May 20, 2025

DEFAULT OF RESPONDENT

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

The debtor seeks confirmation of the Chapter 13 Plan, ECF No. 10. The plan is supported by Schedules I and J filed May 20, 2025, ECF No. 9. The Chapter 13 trustee has filed a non-opposition to the motion, ECF No. 36.

CHAPTER 13 PLAN CONFIRMATION

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court finds that the debtor has sustained that burden, and the court will approve confirmation of the plan.

22. [25-22228](#)-A-13 **IN RE: AMRIT LAL**
[DPC-1](#)

CONTINUED MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
10-22-2025 [[23](#)]

ANH NGUYEN/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: Continued from December 2, 2025

Disposition: Denied

Order: Civil minute order

The hearing on this motion was continued from December 2, 2025, to allow for hearing on the debtor's motion to confirm the chapter 13 plan. The motion to confirm, AVN-1, has been granted.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion, the opposition, responses, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is denied.

23. [25-25828](#)-A-13 **IN RE: JAKE JOHNSON**
[DPC-1](#)

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P. CUSICK
12-9-2025 [\[17\]](#)

GABRIEL LIBERMAN/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Objection: Trustee's Objection to Confirmation of Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4), 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required

Disposition: Continued to March 10, 2026, at 11:00 a.m. before the Honorable Christopher M. Klein in Department C

Order: Civil minute order

The Chapter 13 trustee objects to confirmation of the debtor(s) plan.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court will continue the hearing on this objection to allow the parties to augment the evidentiary record.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on this objection will be continued to March 10, 2026, at 11:00 a.m. before the Honorable Christopher M. Klein in Department C. The court may rule in this matter without further hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no later than January 20, 2026, the debtor(s) shall do one of the following:

(A) File a Statement of No Opposition. If the debtor(s) agree that the Chapter 13 trustee's objection is well taken, the debtor(s) shall concede the merits and file a statement of non-opposition to the objection. L.R. 230(c) ("A responding party who has no opposition to the granting of the motion shall serve and file a statement to that effect..."); LBR 1001-1(c)-(d) (omitting the applicability of L.R. 230 unless the court orders otherwise);

(B) Respond in Writing to the Objection. If the debtor(s) disagree with the trustee's objection, the debtor(s) shall file and serve a written response to the objection; the response shall specifically address each issue raised in the trustee's objection to confirmation, state whether the issue is disputed or undisputed, and include admissible evidence in support of the debtor's position. If the debtor(s) file a response under paragraph 3(B) of this order, then the trustee shall file and serve a reply, if any, no later than February 10, 2026. The evidentiary record will close after February 10, 2026; or

(C) File a Modified Plan. If the debtor(s) wish to resolve the Chapter 13 trustee's objection by filing a modified plan, then the debtor(s) shall: (1) file and serve a modified Chapter 13 plan; and (2) file and serve a motion to confirm the modified plan.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the parties resolve the trustee's objection, and there are no additional objections to confirmation pending, then the debtor(s) may submit an order confirming the plan which has been signed by the Chapter 13 trustee. The trustee's signature on the order confirming plan represents to the court that no further objections to confirmation of the proposed plan are pending.

24. [25-22129](#)-A-13 **IN RE: JASMINE YOUNG**
[DPC-2](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
12-9-2025 [\[43\]](#)

GABRIEL LIBERMAN/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: December 23, 2025

Opposition Filed: Unopposed

Cause: 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1) - Plan Delinquency

Best Interests of Creditors/Estate: Dismiss

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

CASE DISMISSAL

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this chapter 13 case for delinquency in payments under the chapter 13 plan. For the reasons stated in the motion, cause exists under § 1307(c)(1) to dismiss the case. Payments under the plan are delinquent in the amount of \$4,530.00 with one payment(s) of \$1,510.00 due prior to the hearing on this motion. Additionally, the debtor has failed to file and amended plan and set it for confirmation after the trustee's objection to confirmation was sustained.

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)

Except as provided in subsection (f) of this section, on request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, or may dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause, including—

...

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

The court finds that dismissal is in the best interests of the creditors and the estate. This case has not been previously converted from a chapter 7.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss this chapter 13 case has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent debtor for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted because of the delinquency under the chapter 13 plan in this case. The court hereby dismisses this case.

25. [25-23429](#)-A-13 **IN RE: MARVIN GIBSON AND DWONNA WEST GIBSON**
[RAS-1](#)

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY FEDERAL HOME
LOAN MORTGAGE CORPORATION
7-30-2025 [\[24\]](#)

MIKALAH LIVIAKIS/ATTY. FOR DBT.
DAVID COATS/ATTY. FOR MV.

Final Ruling

Objection: Confirmation of Plan

Notice: Continued from November 18, 2025

Disposition: Continued to February 3, 2026, at 9:00 a.m.

Order: Civil Minute Order

The debtor has filed a status report stating that they are waiting on information from creditor Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation. This information regarding alleged pre-petition arrearages is material to the objection to confirmation. As such, the debtor is requesting a continuance. The court will continue this matter to February 3, 2026, at 9:00 a.m. No later than 14 days before the hearing, the movant shall file a status report regarding if the information has been provided to the debtor and whether they still object to the plan.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the objection is continued to February 3, 2026, at 9:00 a.m. No later than 14 days before the hearing, the movant shall file a status report regarding if the information has been provided to the debtor and whether they still object to the plan.

26. [25-25030](#)-A-13 **IN RE: DARRAL BARROW**
[DPC-2](#)

OBJECTION TO DISCHARGE BY DAVID P. CUSICK
11-25-2025 [\[16\]](#)

TIMOTHY WALSH/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Objection to Discharge

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Sustained

Order: Civil minute order

Instant Petition Filed: September 16, 2025

Previous Chapter: 7

Previous Petition Filed: January 12, 2022

Previous Discharge: April 25, 2022

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

The chapter 13 trustee has objected to the debtor(s) discharge in this case citing the debtor(s) ineligibility pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §1328(f).

OBJECTION TO DISCHARGE – 11 U.S.C. § 1328(f)

11 U.S.C. § 1328(f)(1)) provides:

Notwithstanding subsections (a) and (b), the court shall not grant a discharge of all debts provided for in the plan or disallowed under section 502, if the debtor has received a discharge-

- (1) in a case filed under chapter 7, 11, or 12 of this title during the 4-year period preceding the date of the order for relief under this chapter,
- (2) in a case filed under chapter 13 of this title during the 2-year period preceding the date of such order.

The statute has only three elements for the discharge bar to trigger under 1328(f)(1). First, the debtor must have received a prior bankruptcy discharge.

Second, the prior case must have been filed under Chapters 7, 11, or 12.

Third, the case in which the discharge was received must have been filed during the 4- year period preceding the date of the order for relief under this Chapter 13 chapter. The third element represents a significant change to the Bankruptcy Code, which previously imposed

no time limitations for obtaining a discharge in a chapter 13 case filed after issuance of a discharge in a chapter 7 case.

Before BAPCPA, chapter 20 debtors could obtain a chapter 13 discharge after having received a discharge in chapter 7 without restriction. The Bankruptcy Abuse Prevention and Consumer Protection Act ("BAPCPA") enacted in 2005 imposed a restriction by adding § 1328(f), which states that a court cannot grant debtors a discharge in a chapter 13 case filed within four years of the filing of a case wherein a discharge was granted in chapter 7. §1328(f)(1).

Boukatch v. MidFirst Bank (In re Boukatch), 533 B.R. 292, 297 (9th Cir. BAP 2015).

Regarding the circumstances wherein a debtor receives a chapter 7 discharge and then files a subsequent chapter 13 petition the statute is clear, and the court shall not grant a discharge in these circumstances.

Relatively unambiguously, new §1328(f)((1) states mandatorily that the court "shall not" grant a discharge if the debtor received a discharge in a Chapter 7, 11 or 12 case "filed...during the 4-year period preceding the date of the order for relief under this chapter." The counting rule here is clear: the 'order for relief under this chapter' would be the date of filing the current Chapter 13 petition; the four-year period would run from the date of filing of the prior case in which the debtor received a discharge. In other words, the four-year bar to successive discharges runs from the *filing* of a prior Chapter 7 (11 or 12) case to the *filing* of the current Chapter case."

Keith M. Lunden, *Lunden On Chapter 13*, §152.2 at ¶ 3 (2021).

Because less than 4 years has passed since the filing of debtor(s) previous chapter 7 case on January 12, 2022, debtor is not eligible for a discharge in this chapter 13 case. The court will sustain the trustee's objection to discharge.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court finds that the debtor is not entitled to a discharge in this case. The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's Objection to Discharge has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of the debtor for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the objection,

IT IS ORDERED that the objection is sustained; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the clerk shall not enter a discharge in this case.

27. [25-26430](#)-A-13 **IN RE: BROOKLYN GARCIA**
[BMO-1](#)

AMENDED MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY
12-9-2025 [\[31\]](#)

BRANDON ORMONDE/ATTY. FOR MV.
MERCED SCHOOL FEDERAL CREDIT UNION VS.

Final Ruling

Motion: Amended Motion for Relief from the Automatic Stay

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required

Disposition: Denied without prejudice

Order: Civil minute order

The creditor has filed this motion for relief from the automatic stay. The motion will be denied without prejudice due to insufficient notice.

NOTICE

The movant first served the debtor an amended notice of hearing that was filed on December 9, 2025. Certificate of Service, ECF No. 36. This notice stated that the hearing on the motion was to be held on January 6, 2026, at 10:30 a.m. First Amended Notice of Hearing, ECF No. 32. The movant then filed a second amended notice of hearing stating that the hearing would be on January 6, 2026, at 9:00 a.m., which is the correct hearing time. Second Amended Notice of Hearing, ECF No. 37.

While the certificate of service had service to the debtor checked off, there were no attachments or the clerk's matrix attached to the certificate of service. Certificate of Service, ECF No. 38. Accordingly, service of the motion does not comply with LBR 7005-1, and the court cannot determine if the debtor was served the correct hearing time. As such, the court will deny the motion without prejudice.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Merced School Federal Credit Union's motion for relief from the automatic stay has been presented to the court. Given the procedural deficiencies discussed by the court in its ruling,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is denied without prejudice.

28. [25-25631](#)-A-13 **IN RE: JAMES JOHNS**
[DPC-1](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
12-9-2025 [\[21\]](#)

BONNIE BAKER/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed by the debtor

Disposition: Granted

Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: December 23, 2025

Opposition Filed: December 22, 2025 - timely

Cause: 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1) - Plan Delinquency

Best Interests of Creditors/Estate: Dismiss

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this case, asserting that cause exists under § 1307(c)(1) as the debtor has failed to make all payments due under the plan. The trustee contends that the plan payments are delinquent in the amount of \$7,650.00, with one payment(s) of \$7,650.00 due prior to the hearing on this motion.

LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B)

Opposition. Opposition, if any, to the granting of the motion shall be in writing and shall be served and filed with the Court by the responding party at least fourteen (14) days preceding the date or continued date of the hearing. *Opposition shall be accompanied by evidence establishing its factual allegations.* Without good cause, no party shall be heard in opposition to a motion at oral argument if written opposition to the motion has not been timely filed. Failure of the responding party to timely file written opposition may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion or may result in the imposition of sanctions.

LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B) (emphasis added).

The opposition does not comply with LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). A declaration is required to prove the contentions in the opposition and to provide additional relevant information. For example, there is no evidence indicating that the debtor has delivered the payment to the chapter 13 trustee or the method of delivery. Neither is there evidence that the debtor will make additional plan payments.

The debtor's opposition does not fully resolve the grounds for dismissal. A delinquency still exists as of the date of the opposition. A statement of intent to pay the delinquency on or before a future date is not equivalent to cure of the delinquency.

The court is unable to deny the motion given the outstanding delinquency.

The court gives no weight to an opposition which fails to provide sworn testimony by the party opposing the motion. Unsworn statements by counsel are not evidence and will not be considered.

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)

Except as provided in subsection (f) of this section, on request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, or may dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause, including—

...

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

The court finds that dismissal is in the best interests of the creditors and the estate. This case has not been previously converted from a chapter 7. The court will dismiss the case.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion, the opposition, responses, and oral argument at the hearing, if any, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted. The debtor has failed to make all payments due under the chapter 13 plan in this case. Delinquency constitutes cause to dismiss this case. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1). The court hereby dismisses this case.

29. [24-21133](#)-A-13 **IN RE: RODOLFO/ROZABETH EVANGELISTA**
[DPC-1](#)

CONTINUED MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
11-14-2025 [\[37\]](#)

PETER MACALUSO/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: Continued from December 16, 2025

Disposition: Denied

Order: Civil minute order

The hearing on this motion was continued from December 16, 2025, to allow for hearing on the debtor's motion to modify the chapter 13 plan. The motion to modify, PGM-2, has been granted.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion, the opposition, responses, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is denied.

30. [24-21133](#)-A-13 **IN RE: RODOLFO/ROZABETH EVANGELISTA**
[PGM-2](#)

MOTION TO MODIFY PLAN
12-2-2025 [\[43\]](#)

PETER MACALUSO/ATTY. FOR DBT.
TRUSTEE NON-OPPOSITION

Final Ruling

Motion: Modify Chapter 13 Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(d)(2), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Prepared by movant, approved by the trustee

Subject: First Modified Chapter 13 Plan, filed December 2, 2025

DEFAULT OF RESPONDENT

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

The debtor(s) seek approval of the proposed modified Chapter 13 Plan. The plan is supported by Schedules I and J filed on December 2, 2025, ECF No. 41. The Chapter 13 trustee has filed a non-opposition to the motion, ECF No. 53.

CHAPTER 13 PLAN MODIFICATION

Chapter 13 plan modification is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1323, 1325, 1329 and by Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(a)(5) and 3015(g) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. "[T]he only limits on modification are those set forth in the language of the Code itself, coupled with the bankruptcy judge's discretion and good judgment in reviewing the motion to modify." *In re Powers*, 202 B.R. 618, 622 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1996).

Chapter 13 debtors seeking plan modification have the burden of proving that all requirements of § 1322(a) and (b) and § 1325(a) have been met. See 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322(a)-(b), 1325(a), 1329(b)(1); see also *In re Powers*, 202 B.R. at 622 ("[Section] 1329(b)(1) protects the parties from unwarranted modification motions by ensuring that the proposed modifications satisfy the same standards as required of the initial plan."); see also *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407 (9th Cir. 1994); *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1408 (9th Cir. 1995).

The court finds that the debtor has sustained this burden of proof. The court will grant the motion and approve the modification.

31. [24-21835](#)-A-13 **IN RE: MARISOL/PHILLIP CHAVEZ**
[DPC-1](#)

CONTINUED MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
10-21-2025 [\[73\]](#)

SETH HANSON/ATTY. FOR DBT.

No Ruling

32. [24-21835](#)-A-13 **IN RE: MARISOL/PHILLIP CHAVEZ**
[SLH-4](#)

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN
11-12-2025 [\[77\]](#)

SETH HANSON/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

Motion: Confirm Chapter 13 Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(d)(1), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Prepared by the movant, approved by the trustee

Subject: First Amended Chapter 13 Plan, filed December 22, 2025

DEFAULT OF RESPONDENT

The debtor seeks confirmation of the First Amended Chapter 13 Plan, ECF No. 88. The plan is supported by Schedules I and J filed, September 26, 2024, ECF No. 32. The Chapter 13 trustee has filed a response to the motion stating confirmation is recommended so long as the court is satisfied with service, ECF No. 90. The court is satisfied with service on the motion.

CHAPTER 13 PLAN CONFIRMATION

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court finds that the debtor has sustained that burden, and the court will approve confirmation of the plan.

33. [22-20436](#)-A-13 **IN RE: JEFFREY WEIDLER**
[DPC-2](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
12-1-2025 [\[29\]](#)

SCOTT JOHNSON/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed by the debtor

Disposition: Granted

Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: December 23, 2025

Opposition Filed: December 23, 2025 - timely

Cause: 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(6) - Plan Delinquency

Best Interests of Creditors/Estate: Dismiss

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this case, asserting that cause exists under § 1307(c)(6) as the debtor has failed to make all payments due under the confirmed plan. The trustee contends that the plan payments are delinquent in the amount of \$2,991.00, with one payment(s) of \$1,400.00 due before the hearing on this motion.

The debtor has filed a timely opposition which is accompanied by the Declaration of the Debtor, ECF Nos. 35 & 36. The debtor's declaration states that the debtor will bring the plan payment current by the date of the hearing on this motion. See Declaration, ECF No. 36.

The opposition does not fully resolve the grounds for dismissal. A delinquency still exists as of the date of the opposition. A statement of intent to pay the delinquency on or before a future date is not equivalent to cure of the delinquency. The court is unable to deny the motion given the outstanding delinquency.

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)

Except as provided in subsection (f) of this section, on request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, or may dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause, including—

...

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

The court finds that dismissal is in the best interests of the creditors and the estate. This case has not been previously converted from a chapter 7. The court will dismiss the case.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion, the opposition, responses, and oral argument at the hearing, if any, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted. The debtor has failed to make all payments due under the confirmed chapter 13 plan in this case. Delinquency constitutes cause to dismiss this case. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1), (6). The court hereby dismisses this case.

34. [24-22636](#)-A-13 **IN RE: MONICA SISCO**
[DPC-1](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
11-19-2025 [\[21\]](#)

MIKALAH LIVIAKIS/ATTY. FOR DBT.
DEBTOR DISMISSED: 12/02/25

Final Ruling

This case was dismissed on December 2, 2025. Accordingly, the motion will be removed from the calendar as moot. No appearances are required.

35. [25-26436](#)-A-13 **IN RE: REUBEN HAGA**

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE TO PAY FEES
12-19-2025 [\[20\]](#)

Tentative Ruling

If the filing fee has not been paid in full by the time of the hearing, the case may be dismissed without further notice or hearing.

36. [25-24237](#)-A-13 **IN RE: SERENA GARCIA**
[DPC-3](#)

OBJECTION TO DEBTOR'S CLAIM OF EXEMPTIONS
11-26-2025 [\[46\]](#)

JULIUS CHERRY/ATTY. FOR DBT.

***[Since posting its original rulings, the court has changed its intended ruling on this matter.]**

Final Ruling

Objection: Objection to Claim of Exemptions
Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required
Disposition: Sustained
Order: Civil minute order

The trustee has filed an objection to debtor's claim of exemptions. The debtor is attempting to claim a 1980 Little Guy Rascal Teardrop Trailer as exempt under C.C.P. § 704.010.

ISSUE

Can a debtor claim a travel trailer that does not include a motor as exempt under C.C.P. § 704.010? No.

FACTS

The debtor has filed an Amended Schedule C, ECF No. 31, which lists a travel trailer as exempt. The debtor argues that a travel trailer is a motor vehicle under C.C.P. § 704.010. The debtor has not offered any facts to show that the travel trailer contains a motor.

PROCEDURE

The trustee has filed an objection to debtor's use of C.C.P. § 704.010 for a trailer, ECF No. 46. The trustee does not believe that a travel trailer qualifies as a motor vehicle subject to section 704.010.

DISCUSSION

Section 703.580 of the California Code of Civil Procedure allocates the burden of proof in state-law exemption proceedings. Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 703.580(b). The bankruptcy appellate panel in this circuit has concluded that "where a state law exemption statute specifically allocates the burden of proof to the debtor, Rule 4003(c) does not change that allocation." *In re Diaz*, 547 B.R. 329, 337 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2016). In this exemption proceeding in bankruptcy, therefore, the debtor bears the burden of proof.

Further, the preponderance-of-the-evidence standard applies. See *In re Pashenee*, 531 B.R. 834, 839 (Bankr. E.D. Cal. 2015).

It is the debtor's burden of proof to show that a travel trailer is what was meant by a "motor vehicle" under section 704.010. Looking at the plain language of the law, this would mean that the trailer consists of a motor to be considered a motor vehicle. The debtor has not provided any evidence that the trailer contains a motor. As such, the objection will be sustained as a trailer is not a motor vehicle pursuant to section 704.010.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

Trustee's objection to debtor's exemptions has been presented to the court. Having considered the objection, oppositions, and replies, if any,

IT IS ORDERED that the objection is sustained.

37. [25-24337](#)-A-13 **IN RE: RAKESH/ASHIKA REDDY**
[DPC-1](#)

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P.
CUSICK
10-8-2025 [\[21\]](#)

MARK WOLFF/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Objection: Trustee's Objection to Confirmation of Plan

Notice: Continued from November 11, 2025

Disposition: Sustained and confirmation denied

Order: Civil minute order

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

PLAN FEASIBILITY

The proposed plan must be feasible. 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(6). Feasibility is a "factual determination" as to the plan's "reasonable likelihood of success." *First Nat'l Bank of Boston v. Fantasia (In re Fantasia)*, 211 B.R. 420, 423 (B.A.P. 1st Cir. 1997). The bankruptcy court needs to "be satisfied that the debtor has the present as well as the future financial capacity to comply with the terms of the plan." *Id.* As one court summarized feasibility, "Thus, a plan is not feasible and is not confirmable if a debtor's income will not support the plan's proposed payments. *In re Barnes*, 275 B.R. 889, 894 (Bankr. E.D. Cal.2002) ("[T]he debtors showed no disposable income with which to fund a plan.... [T]he debtors have been unable to actually pay the amount projected ... to the trustee."); *In re Bernardes*, 267 B.R. 690, 695 (Bankr. D.N.J. 2001) ("While the feasibility requirement is not rigorous ... the plan proponent must, at minimum, demonstrate that the Debtor's income exceeds expenses by an amount sufficient to make the payments proposed by the plan."); *In re Wilkinson*, 99 B.R. 366, 369 (Bankr. N.D. Ohio 1989) ("[D]ebtors will not be able to comply with the plan and make all payments thereunder."). *In re Buccolo*, 397 B.R. 527, 530 (Bankr. D.N.J. 2008), *aff'd*, 2009 WL 2132435 (D.N.J. July 13, 2009).

Failure to Provide Income Information

The debtor has failed to provide the trustee with required income tax returns under 11 U.S.C. § 521(e)(2)(A). The tax returns are essential to the trustee's review of the proposed plan prior to the meeting of creditors.

The failure to provide tax returns makes it impossible for the chapter 13 trustee to accurately assess the debtor's ability to perform the proposed plan. As such, the trustee cannot represent that the plan, in his estimation is feasible, under 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(6).

The court notes that the failure to timely provide the tax returns is also a basis for the dismissal of the case as the debtor is required to provide the trustee with a tax return (for the most recent tax year ending immediately before the commencement of the case and for which a federal income tax return was filed) no later than 7 days before the date first set for the first meeting of creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 521(e)(2)(A)-(B).

Failure To Provide Financial/Business Documents

The debtors have failed to provide the trustee with required or requested documents. See 11 U.S.C. § 521(a)(3)-(4).

The trustee requested that the debtor provide him with documents which are required under § 521 of the Bankruptcy Code and with additional documents which the trustee required to properly prepare for the 341 meeting of creditors. The debtors failed to produce the following documents: business questionnaire, 2 years of tax returns, 6 months of profit and loss statements, 6 months of bank

statements, proof of license and insurance or written statements that no such documentation exists.

The failure to provide income information makes it impossible for the chapter 13 trustee to accurately assess the debtors' ability to perform the proposed plan. As such, the trustee cannot represent that the plan, in his estimation is feasible, under 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(6).

Schedules I

The debtor testified during the meeting of creditors that they received rental income. The trustee asked that debtor disclose that income in an Amended Schedule I. Debtor has failed to amend their schedule I. Without current income and expense information the court and the chapter 13 trustee are unable to determine whether the plan is feasible or whether the plan has been proposed in good faith. See 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(3), (6).

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The chapter 13 trustee's objection to confirmation has been presented to the court. Having considered the objection, oppositions, responses and replies, if any, and having heard oral argument presented at the hearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the objection is sustained. The court denies confirmation of the chapter 13 plan.

38. [25-24337](#)-A-13 **IN RE: RAKESH/ASHIKA REDDY**
[EAT-1](#)

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY GLOBAL
FEDERAL CREDIT UNION
10-7-2025 [\[14\]](#)

MARK WOLFF/ATTY. FOR DBT.
CASSANDRA RICHEY/ATTY. FOR MV.

Final Ruling

Objection: Creditor's Objection to Confirmation of Plan

Notice: Continued from November 4, 2025

Disposition: Sustained and confirmation denied

Order: Civil minute order

DEFAULT OF RESPONDENT

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

SECURED DEBT

11 U. S. C. § 1325(a)(5)(B)(ii): Improper Classification of Secured Claim

The creditor objects to confirmation, contending that as residential home mortgage payments were delinquent on the date of the petition that classification of that claim in Class 4 (direct payment) is improper.

Section 1325(a)(5) prescribes the treatment of an allowed secured claim provided for by the plan. This treatment must satisfy one of three alternatives described in paragraph (5) of § 1325(a). In summary, these mandatory alternatives are: (1) the secured claim holder's acceptance of the plan; (2) the plan's providing for both (a) lien retention by the secured claim holder and (b) payment distributions on account of the secured claim having a present value "not less than the allowed amount of such claim"; or (3) the plan's

providing for surrender of the collateral to the secured claim holder. See 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(5).

In most instances, the validity and amount of a secured debt is determined by state, not federal, law. 11 U.S.C. § 502(b)(1), §1322(e) ("the amount necessary to cure the default, shall be determined in accordance with the underlying agreement and applicable nonbankruptcy law"). Where, as here, the claim arises from a secured claim against the debtor's residence the "allowed amount of the secured claim" will be determined by the underlying note and deed of trust. A creditor expresses that "allowed amount" by filing a Proof of Claim; absent objection, the amount stated in the Proof of Claim, including the amount of the ongoing mortgage payment and any arrearage, is "deemed" allowed. 11 U.S.C. § 502(a).

Here, the plan places the secured creditor's claim in Class 4, yet the claim is in default and includes a pre-petition arrearage in the amount of \$6,257.55. Compare Claim No. 19 (reflecting delinquency) with 11 U.S.C. 502(a) (deemed allowance).

Two principles control this analysis. First, Chapter 13 debtors do not have an absolute right to make payments to unimpaired claims directly to the creditor effected. *In re Giesbrecht*, 429 B.R. 682, 685-86 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2010); *Cohen v. Lopez (In re Lopez)*, 372 B.R. 40 (9th Cir. BAP 2007), *aff'd*, and adopted by *Cohen v. Lopez (In re Lopez)*, 550 F.3d 1202 (9th Cir.2008) ("a debtor has no absolute right to make such [direct] payments"). The decision to allow, or to not allow, a Chapter 13 payments directly has always been discretionary. *Giesbrecht*, 429 B.R. at 690.

Thus, bankruptcy courts have been afforded the discretion to make the determination of when direct payments may or may not be appropriate based upon the confirmation requirements of § 1325, policy reasons, and the factors set forth by case law, local rules or guidelines. *Lopez*, 372 B.R. at 46-47 ("Reflecting the discretion granted by the Code, different courts and different circuits have different rules on the permissibility of direct payment, a fact unchanged by or since [*Fulkrod v. Barmettler (In re Fulkrod)*, 126 B.R. 584 (9th Cir. BAP 1991) *aff'd sub. nom.*, *Fulkrod v. Savage (In re Fulkrod)*, 973 F.2d 801 (9th Cir.1992)].")

In re Giesbrecht, 429 B.R. at 690 (emphasis added).

Second, at least where a residential mortgage is delinquent on the petition date, merely providing in the plan that the debtor will pay the claim directly does not satisfy § 1325(a)(5). As Judge Lundin commented:

A bald statement that a creditor will be dealt with "outside the plan" fails to satisfy any of the statutory ways in which the Chapter 13 plan can provide for an allowed secured claim under 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(5)-- unless the creditor "accepts" being "outside" for whatever it might mean. "Outside" does not preserve the

lien of the affected creditor and does not guarantee present value of collateral—rights the secured creditor otherwise has at confirmation under § 1325(a)(5). Placing a secured claim “outside the plan” cannot rescue confirmation of a plan that does not satisfy the confirmation tests for treatment of secured claims.

Keith M. Lundin, *Lundin On Chapter 13*, § 74.8, at ¶ 5.

Argument might be interposed to distinguish the classification problem described by Judge Lundin with respect to § 1325(a)(5) where the residential mortgage is not delinquent on the petition date because as a matter of law those mortgages cannot be modified. 11 U.S.C. § 1322(b)(2), (b)(5), (c)(2) (prohibiting a debtor from modifying a deed of trust applicable to their principal residence, except to cure a delinquency or extending the “last original payment schedule” to a date not later than plan completion).

Moreover, the mandatory form plan in the Eastern District of California Bankruptcy Court specifically contemplates and addresses this eventuality. LBR 3015-1(a). It provides:

Class 1 includes all delinquent secured claims that mature after the completion of this plan, including those secured by Debtor’s principal residence.

(a) Cure of defaults. All arrears on Class 1 claims shall be paid in full by Trustee. The equal monthly installment specified in the table below as the Arrearage dividend shall pay the arrears in full.

...

(b) Maintaining payments. Trustee shall maintain all post-petition monthly payments to the holder of each Class 1 claim whether or not this plan is confirmed or a proof of claim is filed.

Chapter 13 Plan § 3.07, EDC 3-080.

In contrast, Class 4 of the plan for the Eastern District of California contemplates a debtor whose mortgage is fully current on the date the case is filed. It provides:

Class 4 includes all secured claims paid directly by Debtor or third party. Class 4 claims mature after the completion of this plan, are not in default, and are not modified by this plan. These claims shall be paid by Debtor or a third person whether or not a proof of claim is filed[,] or the plan is confirmed.

Id. at § 3.10.

Here, the treatment of the delinquent mortgage in Class 4 (direct payment by the debtor) does not satisfy § 1325(a)(5). See 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(5)(B)(ii); *Lundin On Chapter 13* at § 74.8. The creditor has not expressly accepted this treatment in the plan; this court will not infer acceptance from the creditor's silence. 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(5)(A); *In re Pardee*, 218 B.R. 916, 939-40 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1998), *aff'd*, 193 F.3d 1083 (9th Cir. 1999) (Klein, J. concurring and dissenting) ("[I]mplied acceptance is a troublesome theory that has been largely discredited in all but one application: the formality of acceptance of a chapter 13 plan by a secured creditor whose claim is not being treated in accord with statutory standards may be implied from silence"). In the alternative, the plan does not provide for payment of the allowed amount of the claim, i.e., ongoing mortgage plus the arrearage. 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(5)(B). Finally, the plan does not provide for surrender of the collateral. 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(5)(C). Moreover, the classification does not comply with the terms of the mandatory form plan for the Eastern District. Plan § 3.07, EDC 03-080; LBR 3015-1(a).

As a result, the plan does not comply with § 1325(a)(5) and will not be confirmed.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

Global Federal Credit Union's objection to confirmation has been presented to the court. Having considered the objection, oppositions, responses and replies, if any, and having heard oral argument presented at the hearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the objection is sustained. The court denies confirmation of the chapter 13 plan.

39. [25-26238](#)-A-13 **IN RE: KENNETH VAVURIS**
[FWP-1](#)

MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY , MOTION TO CONFIRM
TERMINATION OR ABSENCE OF STAY
12-9-2025 [\[23\]](#)

ERIC SCHWAB/ATTY. FOR DBT.
THOMAS PHINNEY/ATTY. FOR MV.
GARY YOUNG VS.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Confirm Absence of Automatic Stay
Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed
Disposition: Granted
Order: Civil minute order

Previous Case: *In re Kenneth Edward Varius*, 25-22087-B-13
-Date filed: April 30, 2025
-Date dismissed: October 30, 2025

Present Case:
-Date filed: November 6, 2025
-Deadline for hearing on motion to extend stay: December 6, 2025
-Motion to extend stay: Not filed

These minutes constitute the court's findings of fact and conclusions of law required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 52(a), *incorporated* by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7052, 9014(c). The findings of fact are as set forth above; the conclusions of law are as set forth below. The trustee and debtor have filed opposition to the motion but have not addressed whether the stay has terminated pursuant to 11 U.S.C. 362(c)(3)(A).

CONFIRMATION OF THE STAY'S TERMINATION

If a debtor who files a petition has had one bankruptcy case pending within the preceding one-year period that was dismissed, then the automatic stay terminates with respect to the debtor on the 30th day after the filing of the later case, unless the stay is extended. 11 U.S.C. § 362(c)(3)(A). Upon request of a party in interest, the court may extend the automatic stay where the debtor has had one previous bankruptcy case that was pending within the 1-year period prior to the filing of the current bankruptcy case but was dismissed. See *id.* § 362(c)(3)(B). And a party in interest may request an order confirming that no stay is in effect. *Id.* § 362(j) (authorizing the court to issue orders confirming the termination of the automatic stay). In this case, the debtor has had 1 case pending within the preceding 1-year period that was dismissed. More than 30 days have passed since the petition date. The stay has terminated.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

Creditor's motion to confirm the termination of the stay has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion, oppositions, responses and replies, if any, and having heard oral argument presented at the hearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted. The court hereby confirms that the automatic stay is not in effect in this case.

40. [25-23440](#)-A-13 **IN RE: AJINESH PRASAD AND ASHNI DUTT**
[MJD-1](#)

CONTINUED MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN
10-21-2025 [\[24\]](#)

MATTHEW DECAMINADA/ATTY. FOR DBT.

No Ruling

41. [25-24740](#)-A-13 **IN RE: JOSEPH/MELISSA COONEY**
[JCW-1](#)

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY AMERICAN HONDA FINANCE
CORPORATION
12-4-2025 [\[49\]](#)

PETER MACALUSO/ATTY. FOR DBT.
JENNIFER WONG/ATTY. FOR MV.

Final Ruling

Objection: Objection to Confirmation of Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4), 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required

Disposition: Overruled

Order: Civil minute order

When the chapter 13 plan is filed within 14 days of the petition and no motion to confirm is required, see LBR 3015-1(c)(1), the court's local rules require an objection to plan confirmation to be filed and served within 7 days after the first date set for the meeting of creditors, see LBR 3015-1(c)(4). The notice of the meeting of creditors includes notice of this deadline.

The deadline for filing an objection to confirmation was October 23, 2025. But the objection was filed on December 4, 2025. The court will overrule this objection as untimely.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Creditor American Honda Finance Corporation's objection to confirmation has been presented to the court. Having considered the objection together with papers filed in support and opposition, and having heard the arguments of counsel, if any,

IT IS ORDERED that the objection is overruled.

42. [25-24740](#)-A-13 **IN RE: JOSEPH/MELISSA COONEY**
[PGM-2](#)

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN
11-19-2025 [\[32\]](#)

PETER MACALUSO/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

Motion: Confirm Plan

Disposition: Continued to February 3, 2026, at 9:00 a.m.

Order: Civil Minute Order

The court will continue this matter to February 3, 2026, at 9:00 a.m. after the motion to value collateral has been heard on January 21, 2026. The ruling in this matter depends on the result of the motion to value collateral. No later than 14 days before the hearing the parties shall file a joint status report regarding whether the plan is confirmable or if issues are still outstanding.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the objection is continued to February 3, 2026, at 9:00 a.m. No later than 14 days before the hearing the parties shall file a joint status report regarding whether the plan is confirmable or if issues are still outstanding.

43. [25-25940](#)-A-13 **IN RE: KENNETH HEIERLE AND DARLENE BROCK**
[DPC-1](#)

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P. CUSICK
12-11-2025 [\[16\]](#)

BONNIE BAKER/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Objection: Trustee's Objection to Confirmation of Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4), 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required

Disposition: Continued to March 10, 2026, at 11:00 a.m. before the Honorable Christopher M. Klein in Department C

Order: Civil minute order

The Chapter 13 trustee objects to confirmation of the debtor(s) plan.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court will continue the hearing on this objection to allow the parties to augment the evidentiary record.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on this objection will be continued to March 10, 2026, at 11:00 a.m. before the Honorable Christopher M. Klein in Department C. The court may rule in this matter without further hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no later than January 20, 2026, the debtor(s) shall do one of the following:

(A) File a Statement of No Opposition. If the debtor(s) agree that the Chapter 13 trustee's objection is well taken, the debtor(s) shall concede the merits and file a statement of non-opposition to the objection. L.R. 230(c) ("A responding party who has no opposition to the granting of the motion shall serve and file a statement to that effect..."); LBR 1001-1(c)-(d) (omitting the applicability of L.R. 230 unless the court orders otherwise);

(B) Respond in Writing to the Objection. If the debtor(s) disagree with the trustee's objection, the debtor(s) shall file and serve a written response to the objection; the response shall specifically address each issue raised in the trustee's objection to confirmation, state whether the issue is disputed or undisputed, and include admissible evidence in support of the debtor's position. If the debtor(s) file a response under paragraph 3(B) of this order, then the trustee shall file and serve a reply, if any, no later than February 10, 2026. The evidentiary record will close after February 10, 2026; or

(C) File a Modified Plan. If the debtor(s) wish to resolve the Chapter 13 trustee's objection by filing a modified plan, then the debtor(s) shall: (1) file and serve a modified Chapter 13 plan; and (2) file and serve a motion to confirm the modified plan.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the parties resolve the trustee's objection, and there are no additional objections to confirmation pending, then the debtor(s) may submit an order confirming the plan which has been signed by the Chapter 13 trustee. The trustee's signature on the order confirming plan represents to the court that no further objections to confirmation of the proposed plan are pending.

44. [23-21744](#)-A-13 **IN RE: RAFAEL RAMIREZ GUTIERREZ AND JOHANNA RAMIREZ**
[DPC-1](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
11-19-2025 [\[21\]](#)

SCOTT JOHNSON/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed by debtors

Disposition: Continued to January 21, 2026, at 9:00 a.m.

Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: December 23, 2025

Motion to Modify Plan Filed: December 17, 2025 - timely

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this case, asserting that cause exists under § 1307(c)(1) and (6) as the debtor has failed to make all payments due under the plan. The trustee contends that the debtor is delinquent in the amount of \$2,600.00, with two payment(s) of \$1,300.00 due prior to the hearing date on this motion.

A modified plan has been timely filed and set for hearing in this case. The scheduled hearing on the modification is January 21, 2026, at 9:00 a.m. The court will continue the hearing on this motion to dismiss to coincide with the hearing on the plan modification. If the modification is disapproved, and the motion to

dismiss has not been withdrawn or otherwise resolved, the court may dismiss the case at the continued hearing.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

IT IS ORDERED that the chapter 13 trustee's motion to dismiss is continued to January 21, 2026, at 9:00 a.m.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the trustee elects to oppose the debtor's motion to modify, then not later than 14 days prior to the continued hearing date the trustee shall file a status report updating this motion to dismiss. The status report shall provide a concise list explaining the remaining issues in the motion to dismiss and indicate the amount of any plan delinquency. The status report shall be succinct and shall not consist of a cut and paste of the opposition filed by the trustee in response to a motion to amend or modify the debtor's plan.

45. [21-21745](#)-A-13 **IN RE: MICHAEL/CAROL STANFORD**

MOTION TO CORRECT TRUSTEE'S MISINTERPRETATION OF CLAIM
AMOUNT AND TO DISALLOW OVERPAYMENT AND CLAIM TRANSFER
11-18-2025 [\[195\]](#)

MICHAEL STANFORD/ATTY. FOR MV.

Final Ruling

The matter is dropped from calendar and no appearance is necessary. The court will issue an order from chambers.

46. [23-21546](#)-A-13 **IN RE: JAMES/KELLY STARLING**
[DPC-2](#)

CONTINUED MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
9-16-2025 [\[42\]](#)

MARY ANDERSON/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: Continued from December 2, 2025

Disposition: Denied

Order: Civil minute order

The hearing on this motion was continued from December 2, 2025, to allow for hearing on the debtor's motion to modify the chapter 13 plan. The motion to modify, MDA-1, has been granted.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion, the opposition, responses, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is denied.

47. [23-21546](#)-A-13 **IN RE: JAMES/KELLY STARLING**
[MDA-1](#)

MOTION TO MODIFY PLAN
11-26-2025 [\[57\]](#)

MARY ANDERSON/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Modify Chapter 13 Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(d)(2), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Prepared by movant, approved by the trustee

Subject: First Modified Chapter 13 Plan, filed November 26, 2025

DEFAULT OF RESPONDENT

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

The debtor(s) seek approval of the proposed modified Chapter 13 Plan. The plan is supported by Schedules I and J filed on November 26, 2025, ECF No. 62. The Chapter 13 trustee has filed a non-opposition to the motion, ECF No. 66.

CHAPTER 13 PLAN MODIFICATION

Chapter 13 plan modification is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1323, 1325, 1329 and by Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(a)(5) and 3015(g) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. "[T]he only limits on modification are those set forth in the language of the Code itself, coupled with the bankruptcy judge's discretion and good judgment in reviewing the motion to modify." *In re Powers*, 202 B.R. 618, 622 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1996).

Chapter 13 debtors seeking plan modification have the burden of proving that all requirements of § 1322(a) and (b) and § 1325(a) have been met. See 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322(a)-(b), 1325(a), 1329(b)(1); see also *In re Powers*, 202 B.R. at 622 ("[Section] 1329(b)(1) protects the parties from unwarranted modification motions by ensuring that the proposed modifications satisfy the same standards as required of the initial plan."); see also *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407 (9th Cir. 1994); *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1408 (9th Cir. 1995).

The court finds that the debtor has sustained this burden of proof. The court will grant the motion and approve the modification.

48. [25-25746](#)-A-13 **IN RE: MICHAEL NEVIS**
[DPC-1](#)

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P. CUSICK
12-9-2025 [\[12\]](#)

RABIN POURNAZARIAN/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Objection: Trustee's Objection to Confirmation of Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4), 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required

Disposition: Continued to March 10, 2026, at 11:00 a.m. before the Honorable Christopher M. Klein in Department C

Order: Civil minute order

The Chapter 13 trustee objects to confirmation of the debtor(s) plan.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court will continue the hearing on this objection to allow the parties to augment the evidentiary record.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on this objection will be continued to March 10, 2026, at 11:00 a.m. before the Honorable Christopher M. Klein in Department C. The court may rule in this matter without further hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no later than January 20, 2026, the debtor(s) shall do one of the following:

(A) File a Statement of No Opposition. If the debtor(s) agree that the Chapter 13 trustee's objection is well taken, the debtor(s) shall concede the merits and file a statement of non-opposition to the objection. L.R. 230(c) ("A responding party who has no opposition to the granting of the motion shall serve and file a statement to that effect..."); LBR 1001-1(c)-(d) (omitting the applicability of L.R. 230 unless the court orders otherwise);

(B) Respond in Writing to the Objection. If the debtor(s) disagree with the trustee's objection, the debtor(s) shall file and serve a written response to the objection; the response shall specifically address each issue raised in the trustee's objection to confirmation, state whether the issue is disputed or undisputed, and

include admissible evidence in support of the debtor's position. If the debtor(s) file a response under paragraph 3(B) of this order, then the trustee shall file and serve a reply, if any, no later than February 10, 2026. The evidentiary record will close after February 10, 2026; or

(C) File a Modified Plan. If the debtor(s) wish to resolve the Chapter 13 trustee's objection by filing a modified plan, then the debtor(s) shall: (1) file and serve a modified Chapter 13 plan; and (2) file and serve a motion to confirm the modified plan.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the parties resolve the trustee's objection, and there are no additional objections to confirmation pending, then the debtor(s) may submit an order confirming the plan which has been signed by the Chapter 13 trustee. The trustee's signature on the order confirming plan represents to the court that no further objections to confirmation of the proposed plan are pending.

49. [25-20948](#)-A-13 **IN RE: ARASH RAHIMI AND NOOSHIN NAMI**
[DPC-2](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
12-1-2025 [\[20\]](#)

MIKALAH LIVIAKIS/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed by the debtor

Disposition: Granted

Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: December 23, 2025

Opposition Filed: December 18, 2025 - timely

Cause: 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(6) - Plan Delinquency

Best Interests of Creditors/Estate: Dismiss

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this case, asserting that cause exists under § 1307(c)(6) as the debtor has failed to make all payments due under the confirmed plan. The trustee contends that the plan payments are delinquent in the amount of \$2,800.00, with one payment(s) of \$1,400.00 due before the hearing on this motion.

The debtor has filed a timely opposition which is accompanied by the Declaration of the Debtor, ECF Nos. 26 & 27. The debtor's declaration states that the debtor will bring the plan payment current by January 2026. They do not specify a date. See Declaration, ECF No. 27.

The opposition does not fully resolve the grounds for dismissal. A delinquency still exists as of the date of the opposition. A statement of intent to pay the delinquency on or before a future date is not equivalent to cure of the delinquency. The court is unable to deny the motion given the outstanding delinquency.

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)

Except as provided in subsection (f) of this section, on request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, or may dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause, including—

...

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

The court finds that dismissal is in the best interests of the creditors and the estate. This case has not been previously converted from a chapter 7. The court will dismiss the case.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion, the opposition, responses, and oral argument at the hearing, if any, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted. The debtor has failed to make all payments due under the confirmed chapter 13 plan in this case. Delinquency constitutes cause to dismiss this case. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1), (6). The court hereby dismisses this case.

50. [25-25650](#)-A-13 **IN RE: ALEXANDER PEREZ**
[DPC-2](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
12-9-2025 [\[16\]](#)

DAVID CUSICK/ATTY. FOR MV.

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: December 23, 2025

Opposition Filed: Unopposed

Cause: 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1) - Plan Delinquency

Best Interests of Creditors/Estate: Dismiss

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

CASE DISMISSAL

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this chapter 13 case for delinquency in payments under the chapter 13 plan. For the reasons stated in the motion, cause exists under § 1307(c)(1) to dismiss the case. Payments under the plan are delinquent in the amount of \$9,345.00 with one payment(s) of \$9,345.00 due prior to the hearing on this motion.

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)

Except as provided in subsection (f) of this section, on request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, or may dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause, including—

...

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

The court finds that dismissal is in the best interests of the creditors and the estate. This case has not been previously converted from a chapter 7.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss this chapter 13 case has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent debtor for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted because of the delinquency under the chapter 13 plan in this case. The court hereby dismisses this case.

51. [24-20154](#)-A-13 **IN RE: RICHARD/ANGELA PARRISH**
[DPC-2](#)

CONTINUED MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
10-21-2025 [[102](#)]

PETER MACALUSO/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: Continued from November 18, 2025

Disposition: Denied

Order: Civil minute order

The hearing on this motion was continued from November 18, 2025, to allow for hearing on the debtor's motion to confirm the chapter 13 plan. The motion to confirm, PGM-5, has been granted.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion, the opposition, responses, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is denied.

52. [24-20154](#)-A-13 **IN RE: RICHARD/ANGELA PARRISH**
[PGM-5](#)

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN
11-12-2025 [\[109\]](#)

PETER MACALUSO/ATTY. FOR DBT.
TRUSTEE NON-OPPOSITION

Final Ruling

Motion: Confirm Chapter 13 Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(d)(1), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Prepared by the movant, approved by the trustee

Subject: First Modified Chapter 13 Plan, filed November 12, 2025

DEFAULT OF RESPONDENT

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

The debtor seeks confirmation of the First Modified Chapter 13 Plan, ECF No. 113. The plan is supported by Schedules I and J filed November 12, 2025, ECF No. 114. The Chapter 13 trustee has filed a non-opposition to the motion, ECF No. 120.

CHAPTER 13 PLAN CONFIRMATION

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court finds that the debtor has sustained that burden, and the court will approve confirmation of the plan.

53. [24-22154](#)-A-13 **IN RE: DAVID DOMINGUEZ AND WENDY**
MOSS-DOMINGUEZ
[DPC-1](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
11-19-2025 [\[21\]](#)

MOHAMMAD MOKARRAM/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Disposition: Denied

Order: Civil minute order

The trustee filed a motion to dismiss based on delinquency. The trustee has since filed a supplemental document stating that the debtors are current and request the motion be denied, ECF No. 28. The motion will be denied.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion, the opposition, responses, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is denied.

54. [24-24754](#)-A-13 **IN RE: TONI HAMILTON**
[DPC-3](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
11-19-2025 [[92](#)]

RICHARD JARE/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: December 23, 2025

Opposition Filed: Unopposed

Cause: 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(6) - Plan Delinquency

Best Interests of Creditors/Estate: Dismiss

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

CASE DISMISSAL

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this chapter 13 case for delinquency in payments under the confirmed chapter 13 plan. For the reasons stated in the motion, cause exists under § 1307(c)(6) to dismiss the case. Payments under the confirmed plan are delinquent in the amount of \$4,098.00 with two payment(s) of \$3,699.00 due prior to the hearing on this motion.

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)

Except as provided in subsection (f) of this section, on request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, or may dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause, including—

...

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

The court finds that dismissal is in the best interests of the creditors and the estate. This case has not been previously converted from a chapter 7.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss this chapter 13 case has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent debtor for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted because of the delinquency under the confirmed chapter 13 plan in this case. The court hereby dismisses this case.

55. [24-25154](#)-A-13 **IN RE: GREGORY/KASHONDA LAWSON**
[GAL-1](#)

MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY
12-9-2025 [\[33\]](#)

MOHAMMAD MOKARRAM/ATTY. FOR DBT.
GARRY MASTERSON/ATTY. FOR MV.
NAVY FEDERAL CREDIT UNION VS.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

This motion was withdrawn by the moving party on December 30, 2025, ECF No. 47. Accordingly, the motion will be removed from the calendar as moot. No appearances are required.

56. [25-24358](#)-A-13 **IN RE: DORIAN CARTAGENA**
[DPC-1](#)

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P.
CUSICK
10-7-2025 [\[12\]](#)

STEVEN ALPERT/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Matter: Objection to Confirmation of Chapter 13 Plan
Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4); no written opposition required
Disposition: Overruled as moot
Order: Civil minute order

Chapter 13 debtors may modify the plan before confirmation. 11 U.S.C. § 1323(a). If the debtor files a modification of the plan under § 1323, the modified plan becomes the plan. 11 U.S.C. § 1323(b). Filing a modified plan renders moot any objection to confirmation of the prior plan. The debtor has filed a modified plan after this objection to confirmation was filed. The objection will be overruled as moot.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the objection to confirmation is overruled as moot.

57. [25-25959](#)-A-13 **IN RE: ELEASE CHEEK**
[DPC-1](#)

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P. CUSICK
12-16-2025 [\[13\]](#)

CANDACE BROOKS/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Objection: Trustee's Objection to Confirmation of Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4), 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required

Disposition: Continued to March 11, 2026, at 9:30 a.m. before the Honorable Rene Lastreto II in Courtroom 13, Fifth Floor, 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno

Order: Civil minute order

The Chapter 13 trustee objects to confirmation of the debtor(s) plan.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court will continue the hearing on this objection to allow the parties to augment the evidentiary record.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on this objection will be continued to March 11, 2026, at 9:30 a.m. before the Honorable Rene Lastreto II in Courtroom 13, Fifth Floor, 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno. The court may rule in this matter without further hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no later than January 20, 2026, the debtor(s) shall do one of the following:

(A) File a Statement of No Opposition. If the debtor(s) agree that the Chapter 13 trustee's objection is well taken, the debtor(s) shall concede the merits and file a statement of non-opposition to the objection. L.R. 230(c) ("A responding party who has no opposition to the granting of the motion shall serve and file a statement to that effect..."); LBR 1001-1(c)-(d) (omitting the applicability of L.R. 230 unless the court orders otherwise);

(B) Respond in Writing to the Objection. If the debtor(s) disagree with the trustee's objection, the debtor(s) shall file and serve a written response to the objection; the response shall specifically address each issue raised in the trustee's objection to

confirmation, state whether the issue is disputed or undisputed, and include admissible evidence in support of the debtor's position. If the debtor(s) file a response under paragraph 3(B) of this order, then the trustee shall file and serve a reply, if any, no later than February 10, 2026. The evidentiary record will close after February 10, 2026; or

(C) File a Modified Plan. If the debtor(s) wish to resolve the Chapter 13 trustee's objection by filing a modified plan, then the debtor(s) shall: (1) file and serve a modified Chapter 13 plan; and (2) file and serve a motion to confirm the modified plan.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the parties resolve the trustee's objection, and there are no additional objections to confirmation pending, then the debtor(s) may submit an order confirming the plan which has been signed by the Chapter 13 trustee. The trustee's signature on the order confirming plan represents to the court that no further objections to confirmation of the proposed plan are pending.

58. [20-20261](#)-A-13 **IN RE: EVAN OLSON**
[DPC-1](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
12-9-2025 [\[17\]](#)

TIMOTHY WALSH/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Disposition: Denied

Order: Civil minute order

The trustee filed a motion to dismiss based on delinquency. The trustee has since filed a supplemental document stating that the debtors are current and request the motion be denied, ECF No. 21. The motion will be denied.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion, the opposition, responses, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is denied.

59. [24-22164](#)-A-13 **IN RE: JOHN/KIMBERLY MCCABE**
[TLA-3](#)

OBJECTION TO CLAIM OF INTERIOR FEDERAL CREDIT UNION, CLAIM
NUMBER 36-1
11-18-2025 [\[79\]](#)

THOMAS AMBERG/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

Objection: Claim

Disposition: Overruled without prejudice

Order: Civil minute order

A claim objection is a contested matter. See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 advisory committee's note. As a contested matter, the objection must be served in the manner provided by Rule 7004. See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9014(b). Persons wishing to serve papers by mail on an insured depository institution, with exceptions not applicable, must use "certified mail addressed to an officer of the institution. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7004(h). See 11 U.S.C. § 101(34) (defining "insured credit union") & (35) (defining "insured depository institution" to include "insured credit union"); Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9001.

Service of the motion was insufficient. Interior Federal Credit Union is an "insured depository institution" within the meaning of Rule 7004(h). Service of the motion was not made by certified mail. Certificate of Service, ECF No. 81. No showing has been made that the exceptions in Rule 7004(h) are applicable. See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7004(h) (1)-(3).

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Debtor's objection to claim has been presented to the court. Given the procedural deficiencies discussed by the court in its ruling,

IT IS ORDERED that the objection is overruled without prejudice.

60. [25-25664](#)-A-13 **IN RE: MICHAEL/MICHELLE MAHER**
[DPC-1](#)

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P. CUSICK
12-9-2025 [\[23\]](#)

MICHAEL HAYS/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Objection: Trustee's Objection to Confirmation of Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4), 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required

Disposition: Continued to March 11, 2026, at 9:30 a.m. before the Honorable Rene Lastreto II in Courtroom 13, Fifth Floor, 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno

Order: Civil minute order

The Chapter 13 trustee objects to confirmation of the debtor(s) plan.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court will continue the hearing on this objection to allow the parties to augment the evidentiary record.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on this objection will be continued to March 11, 2026, at 9:30 a.m. before the Honorable Rene Lastreto II in Courtroom 13, Fifth Floor, 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno. The court may rule in this matter without further hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no later than January 20, 2026, the debtor(s) shall do one of the following:

(A) File a Statement of No Opposition. If the debtor(s) agree that the Chapter 13 trustee's objection is well taken, the debtor(s) shall concede the merits and file a statement of non-opposition to the objection. L.R. 230(c) ("A responding party who has no opposition to the granting of the motion shall serve and file a statement to that effect..."); LBR 1001-1(c)-(d) (omitting the applicability of L.R. 230 unless the court orders otherwise);

(B) Respond in Writing to the Objection. If the debtor(s) disagree with the trustee's objection, the debtor(s) shall file and serve a written response to the objection; the response shall specifically address each issue raised in the trustee's objection to confirmation, state whether the issue is disputed or undisputed, and include admissible evidence in support of the debtor's position. If the debtor(s) file a response under paragraph 3(B) of this order, then the trustee shall file and serve a reply, if any, no later than February 10, 2026. The evidentiary record will close after February 10, 2026; or

(C) File a Modified Plan. If the debtor(s) wish to resolve the Chapter 13 trustee's objection by filing a modified plan, then the debtor(s) shall: (1) file and serve a modified Chapter 13 plan; and (2) file and serve a motion to confirm the modified plan.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the parties resolve the trustee's objection, and there are no additional objections to confirmation pending, then the debtor(s) may submit an order confirming the plan which has been signed by the Chapter 13 trustee. The trustee's signature on the order confirming plan represents to the court that no further objections to confirmation of the proposed plan are pending.

61. [25-25964](#)-A-13 **IN RE: ELIZABETH FERRER**

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE TO PAY FEES
12-2-2025 [[17](#)]

12/5/2025 FINAL INSTALLMENT FEE PAID \$239

Final Ruling

As the installment fees have been paid in full, the order to show cause is discharged. The case will remain pending.

62. [25-25964](#)-A-13 **IN RE: ELIZABETH FERRER**
[DPC-1](#)

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P. CUSICK
12-15-2025 [\[19\]](#)

Final Ruling

Objection: Trustee's Objection to Confirmation of Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4), 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required

Disposition: Continued to March 11, 2026, at 9:30 a.m. before the Honorable Rene Lastreto II in Courtroom 13, Fifth Floor, 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno

Order: Civil minute order

The Chapter 13 trustee objects to confirmation of the debtor(s) plan.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court will continue the hearing on this objection to allow the parties to augment the evidentiary record.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on this objection will be continued to March 11, 2026, at 9:30 a.m. before the Honorable Rene Lastreto II in Courtroom 13, Fifth Floor, 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno. The court may rule in this matter without further hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no later than January 20, 2026, the debtor(s) shall do one of the following:

(A) File a Statement of No Opposition. If the debtor(s) agree that the Chapter 13 trustee's objection is well taken, the debtor(s) shall concede the merits and file a statement of non-opposition to the objection. L.R. 230(c) ("A responding party who has no opposition to the granting of the motion shall serve and file a statement to that effect..."); LBR 1001-1(c)-(d) (omitting the applicability of L.R. 230 unless the court orders otherwise);

(B) Respond in Writing to the Objection. If the debtor(s) disagree with the trustee's objection, the debtor(s) shall file and serve a written response to the objection; the response shall specifically address each issue raised in the trustee's objection to confirmation, state whether the issue is disputed or undisputed, and include admissible evidence in support of the debtor's position. If the debtor(s) file a response under paragraph 3(B) of this order, then the trustee shall file and serve a reply, if any, no later than February 10, 2026. The evidentiary record will close after February 10, 2026; or

(C) File a Modified Plan. If the debtor(s) wish to resolve the Chapter 13 trustee's objection by filing a modified plan, then the debtor(s) shall: (1) file and serve a modified Chapter 13 plan; and (2) file and serve a motion to confirm the modified plan.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the parties resolve the trustee's objection, and there are no additional objections to confirmation pending, then the debtor(s) may submit an order confirming the plan which has been signed by the Chapter 13 trustee. The trustee's signature on the order confirming plan represents to the court that no further objections to confirmation of the proposed plan are pending.

63. [25-20765](#)-A-13 **IN RE: STEVEN KING**
[DPC-1](#)

CONTINUED MOTION TO DISMISS CASE AND/OR MOTION TO CONVERT
CASE FROM CHAPTER 13 TO CHAPTER 7
7-22-2025 [\[27\]](#)

PETER CIANCHETTA/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: Continued from December 16, 2025

Disposition: Denied

Order: Civil minute order

The hearing on this motion was continued from December 16, 2025, to allow for hearing on the debtor's motion to confirm the chapter 13 plan. The motion to confirm, PLC-6, has been granted.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion, the opposition, responses, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is denied.

64. [25-20765](#)-A-13 **IN RE: STEVEN KING**
[PLC-6](#)

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN
12-1-2025 [[90](#)]

PETER CIANCHETTA/ATTY. FOR DBT.
TRUSTEE NON-OPPOSITION

Final Ruling

Motion: Confirm Chapter 13 Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(d)(1), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Prepared by the movant, approved by the trustee

Subject: Chapter 13 Plan, filed December 1, 2025

DEFAULT OF RESPONDENT

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

The debtor seeks confirmation of the Chapter 13 Plan, ECF No. 94. The plan is supported by Schedules I and J filed October 22, 2025, ECF No. 81. The Chapter 13 trustee has filed a non-opposition to the motion, ECF No. 103.

CHAPTER 13 PLAN CONFIRMATION

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court finds that the debtor has sustained that burden, and the court will approve confirmation of the plan.

65. [21-21467](#)-A-13 **IN RE: NANCY GUETTLE**
[DPC-1](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
12-9-2025 [[26](#)]

MIKALAH LIVIAKIS/ATTY. FOR DBT.
DEBTOR DISMISSED: 12/17/25

Final Ruling

This case was dismissed on December 17, 2025. Accordingly, the motion will be removed from the calendar as moot. No appearances are required.

66. [25-22969](#)-A-13 **IN RE: THOMAS ACKERNECHT**
[BB-2](#)

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN
11-14-2025 [[56](#)]

BONNIE BAKER/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

This motion was withdrawn by the moving party on December 30, 2025, ECF No. 75. Accordingly, the motion will be removed from the calendar as moot. No appearances are required.

67. [25-26069](#)-A-13 **IN RE: LISA MANGINO**
[DPC-1](#)

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P. CUSICK
12-17-2025 [\[17\]](#)

PAULDEEP BAINS/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Objection: Trustee's Objection to Confirmation of Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4), 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required

Disposition: Continued to March 11, 2026, at 9:30 a.m. before the Honorable Rene Lastreto II in Courtroom 13, Fifth Floor, 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno

Order: Civil minute order

The Chapter 13 trustee objects to confirmation of the debtor(s) plan.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court will continue the hearing on this objection to allow the parties to augment the evidentiary record.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on this objection will be continued to March 11, 2026, at 9:30 a.m. before the Honorable Rene Lastreto II in Courtroom 13, Fifth Floor, 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno. The court may rule in this matter without further hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no later than January 20, 2026, the debtor(s) shall do one of the following:

(A) File a Statement of No Opposition. If the debtor(s) agree that the Chapter 13 trustee's objection is well taken, the debtor(s) shall concede the merits and file a statement of non-opposition to the objection. L.R. 230(c) ("A responding party who has no opposition to the granting of the motion shall serve and file a statement to that effect..."); LBR 1001-1(c)-(d) (omitting the applicability of L.R. 230 unless the court orders otherwise);

(B) Respond in Writing to the Objection. If the debtor(s) disagree with the trustee's objection, the debtor(s) shall file and serve a written response to the objection; the response shall specifically address each issue raised in the trustee's objection to confirmation, state whether the issue is disputed or undisputed, and include admissible evidence in support of the debtor's position. If the debtor(s) file a response under paragraph 3(B) of this order, then the trustee shall file and serve a reply, if any, no later than February 10, 2026. The evidentiary record will close after February 10, 2026; or

(C) File a Modified Plan. If the debtor(s) wish to resolve the Chapter 13 trustee's objection by filing a modified plan, then the debtor(s) shall: (1) file and serve a modified Chapter 13 plan; and (2) file and serve a motion to confirm the modified plan.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the parties resolve the trustee's objection, and there are no additional objections to confirmation pending, then the debtor(s) may submit an order confirming the plan which has been signed by the Chapter 13 trustee. The trustee's signature on the order confirming plan represents to the court that no further objections to confirmation of the proposed plan are pending.

68. [25-23470](#)-A-13 **IN RE: KIRAN SANWAL**
[DPC-2](#)

CONTINUED MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
11-18-2025 [\[50\]](#)

PETER MACALUSO/ATTY. FOR DBT.

No Ruling

69. [25-23470](#)-A-13 **IN RE: KIRAN SANWAL**
[LCE-2](#)

CONTINUED MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
11-13-2025 [\[47\]](#)

PETER MACALUSO/ATTY. FOR DBT.
BRIAN CRONIN/ATTY. FOR MV.

No Ruling

70. [25-23470](#)-A-13 **IN RE: KIRAN SANWAL**
[LCE-3](#)

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY LC EQUITY GROUP, INC.
12-8-2025 [\[66\]](#)

PETER MACALUSO/ATTY. FOR DBT.
BRIAN CRONIN/ATTY. FOR MV.

Tentative Ruling

Objection: Objection to Confirmation of Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4), 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required

Disposition: Overruled

Order: Civil minute order

When the chapter 13 plan is filed within 14 days of the petition and no motion to confirm is required, see LBR 3015-1(c)(1), the court's local rules require an objection to plan confirmation to be filed and served within 7 days after the first date set for the meeting of creditors, see LBR 3015-1(c)(4). The notice of the meeting of creditors includes notice of this deadline.

The deadline for filing an objection to confirmation was August 29, 2025. But the objection was filed on December 8, 2025. The court will overrule this objection as untimely.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Creditor LC Equity Group, Inc.'s objection to confirmation has been presented to the court. Having considered the objection together with papers filed in support and opposition, and having heard the arguments of counsel, if any,

IT IS ORDERED that the objection is overruled.

71. [25-23470](#)-A-13 **IN RE: KIRAN SANWAL**
[PGM-2](#)

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN
12-2-2025 [\[56\]](#)

PETER MACALUSO/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

No Ruling

72. [23-23872](#)-A-13 **IN RE: BRENDA/NAI SAEPHANH**
[DPC-1](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
11-19-2025 [\[38\]](#)

SCOTT JOHNSON/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed by debtors

Disposition: Continued to February 3, 2026, at 9:00 a.m.

Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: December 23, 2025

Motion to Modify Plan Filed: December 23, 2025 - timely

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this case, asserting that cause exists under § 1307(c)(1) and (6) as the debtor has failed to make all payments due under the plan. The trustee contends that the debtor is delinquent in the amount of \$8,212.50 with two payment(s) of \$3,025.00 due prior to the hearing on this motion.

A modified plan has been timely filed and set for hearing in this case. The scheduled hearing on the modification is February 3, 2026, at 9:00 a.m. The court will continue the hearing on this motion to dismiss to coincide with the hearing on the plan modification. If the modification is disapproved, and the motion to dismiss has not been withdrawn or otherwise resolved, the court may dismiss the case at the continued hearing.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

IT IS ORDERED that the chapter 13 trustee's motion to dismiss is continued to February 3, 2026, at 9:00 a.m.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the trustee elects to oppose the debtor's motion to modify, then not later than 14 days prior to the continued hearing date the trustee shall file a status report updating this motion to dismiss. The status report shall provide a concise list explaining the remaining issues in the motion to dismiss and indicate the amount of any plan delinquency. The status report shall be succinct and shall not consist of a cut and paste of the opposition filed by the trustee in response to a motion to amend or modify the debtor's plan.

73. [25-26472](#)-A-13 **IN RE: DAVID CANNAVO**

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR FAILURE TO UPDATE CONTACT
INFORMATION IN PACER
12-4-2025 [\[26\]](#)

ANTHONY EGBASE/ATTY. FOR DBT.
12/10/2025 RESPONSIVE ENTRY

Final Ruling

The Order to Show Cause is discharged. No appearances are required.
The court will issue a civil minute order.

74. [25-25475](#)-A-13 **IN RE: GABRIEL/TIFFANY SNOOK**
[CYB-1](#)

MOTION TO VALUE COLLATERAL OF COMMUNITY FIRST CREDIT UNION
12-15-2025 [\[16\]](#)

CANDACE BROOKS/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Value Collateral [Personal Property; Motor Vehicle]

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Continued to February 3, 2026, to allow the filing of a supplemental declaration no later than 14 days prior to the date of the continued hearing

Order: Civil minute order

Chapter 13 debtors may value collateral by noticed motion. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3012. Section 506(a) of the Bankruptcy Code provides, "An allowed claim of a creditor secured by a lien on property in which the estate has an interest . . . is a secured claim to the extent of the value of such creditor's interest in the estate's interest in such property" and is unsecured as to the remainder. 11 U.S.C. § 506(a). For personal property, value is defined as "replacement value" on the date of the petition. *Id.* § 506(a)(2). For "property acquired for personal, family, or household purposes, replacement value shall mean the price a retail merchant would charge for property of that kind considering the age and condition of the property at the time value is determined." *Id.* The costs of sale or marketing may not be deducted. *Id.*

A debtor's ability to value collateral consisting of a motor vehicle is limited by the terms of the hanging paragraph of § 1325(a). See 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a) (hanging paragraph). Under this statute, a lien secured by a motor vehicle cannot be stripped down to the collateral's value if: (i) the lien securing the claim is a purchase money security interest, (ii) the debt was incurred within the 910-day period preceding the date of the petition, and (iii) the motor vehicle was acquired for the debtor's personal use. 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a) (hanging paragraph).

In this case, the debtors seek to value collateral consisting of a motor vehicle. The court cannot determine whether the hanging paragraph of 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a) applies to the respondent creditor's claim in this case. Thus, the motion does not sufficiently demonstrate an entitlement to the relief requested. See LBR 9014-1(d)(7). Factual information relevant to the hanging paragraph of § 1325(a) is also an essential aspect of the grounds for the relief requested that should be contained in the motion itself and stated with particularity. See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9013.

The hearing is continued to allow the debtor to amend the motion and provide additional evidence in support of the motion. In particular, the amended motion and supplemental declaration should state whether the respondent creditor has a purchase money security interest in the vehicle to secure its claim, whether the debt owed on such claim was incurred within the 910-day period preceding the date of the debtors' petition, and whether the vehicle was acquired for debtor's personal use.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is continued to February 3, 2026. No later than 14 days before the hearing, the movant shall file the amended motion and supplemental declaration which should state whether the respondent creditor has a purchase money security interest in the vehicle to secure its claim, whether the debt owed on such claim was incurred within the 910-day period preceding the date of the debtors' petition, and whether the vehicle was acquired for debtor's personal use.

75. [22-21379](#)-A-13 **IN RE: ELISEI BRANDUSA**
[DPC-2](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
12-9-2025 [\[38\]](#)

GARY FRALEY/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: December 23, 2025

Opposition Filed: Unopposed

Cause: 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(6) - Plan Delinquency

Best Interests of Creditors/Estate: Dismiss

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

CASE DISMISSAL

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this chapter 13 case for delinquency in payments under the confirmed chapter 13 plan. For the reasons stated in the motion, cause exists under § 1307(c)(6) to dismiss the case. Payments under the confirmed plan are delinquent in the amount of \$15,953.99 due prior to the hearing on this motion. The case is currently in month 43 of a 36-month plan so the delinquent amount is necessary to complete the case. No further payments will come due by the hearing.

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)

Except as provided in subsection (f) of this section, on request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, or may dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause, including—

...

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

The court finds that dismissal is in the best interests of the creditors and the estate. This case has not been previously converted from a chapter 7.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss this chapter 13 case has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent debtor for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted because of the delinquency under the confirmed chapter 13 plan in this case. The court hereby dismisses this case.

76. [22-21379](#)-A-13 **IN RE: ELISEI BRANDUSA**
[FF-2](#)

OBJECTION TO CLAIM OF ANA TRISCAS, CLAIM NUMBER 3
11-19-2025 [\[28\]](#)

GARY FRALEY/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

This case has been dismissed, DPC-2, and as such the matter is moot and will be dropped from calendar. No further appearances are required.

77. [25-24479](#)-A-13 **IN RE: MARGARET SOMKOPULOS**
[AP-1](#)

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DEUTSCHE BANK
NATIONAL TRUST COMPANY
10-8-2025 [\[32\]](#)

ARETE KOSTOPOULOS/ATTY. FOR DBT.
WENDY LOCKE/ATTY. FOR MV.

Final Ruling

Matter: Objection to Confirmation of Chapter 13 Plan
Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4); no written opposition required
Disposition: Overruled as moot
Order: Civil minute order

Chapter 13 debtors may modify the plan before confirmation. 11 U.S.C. § 1323(a). If the debtor files a modification of the plan under § 1323, the modified plan becomes the plan. 11 U.S.C. § 1323(b). Filing a modified plan renders moot any objection to confirmation of the prior plan. The debtor has filed a modified plan after this objection to confirmation was filed. The objection will be overruled as moot.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the objection to confirmation is overruled as moot.

78. [25-24479](#)-A-13 **IN RE: MARGARET SOMKOPULOS**
[DPC-1](#)

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P
CUSICK
10-8-2025 [\[36\]](#)

ARETE KOSTOPOULOS/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Matter: Objection to Confirmation of Chapter 13 Plan
Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4); no written opposition required
Disposition: Overruled as moot
Order: Civil minute order

Chapter 13 debtors may modify the plan before confirmation. 11 U.S.C. § 1323(a). If the debtor files a modification of the plan under § 1323, the modified plan becomes the plan. 11 U.S.C. § 1323(b). Filing a modified plan renders moot any objection to confirmation of the prior plan. The debtor has filed a modified

plan after this objection to confirmation was filed. The objection will be overruled as moot.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the objection to confirmation is overruled as moot.

79. [25-24479](#)-A-13 **IN RE: MARGARET SOMKOPULOS**
[DPC-2](#)

CONTINUED MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
11-4-2025 [[40](#)]

ARETE KOSTOPOULOS/ATTY. FOR DBT.

No Ruling

80. [25-24479](#)-A-13 **IN RE: MARGARET SOMKOPULOS**
[KLG-2](#)

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN
11-25-2025 [[51](#)]

ARETE KOSTOPOULOS/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

No Ruling

81. [25-26081](#)-A-13 **IN RE: JAY/BARBARA SUMERLIN**
[DPC-1](#)

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P CUSICK
12-16-2025 [\[17\]](#)

PATRICIA WILSON/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Objection: Trustee's Objection to Confirmation of Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(c) (4), 9014-1(f) (2); no written opposition required

Disposition: Continued to March 12, 2026, at 9:30 a.m. before the Honorable Jennifer Niemann in Courtroom 11, Fifth Floor, 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno

Order: Civil minute order

The Chapter 13 trustee objects to confirmation of the debtor(s) plan.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court will continue the hearing on this objection to allow the parties to augment the evidentiary record.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on this objection will be continued to March 12, 2026, at 9:30 a.m. before the Honorable Jennifer Niemann in Courtroom 11, Fifth Floor, 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno. The court may rule in this matter without further hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no later than January 20, 2026, the debtor(s) shall do one of the following:

(A) File a Statement of No Opposition. If the debtor(s) agree that the Chapter 13 trustee's objection is well taken, the debtor(s) shall concede the merits and file a statement of non-opposition to the objection. L.R. 230(c) ("A responding party who has no opposition to the granting of the motion shall serve and file a statement to that effect..."); LBR 1001-1(c)-(d) (omitting the applicability of L.R. 230 unless the court orders otherwise);

(B) Respond in Writing to the Objection. If the debtor(s) disagree with the trustee's objection, the debtor(s) shall file and serve a written response to the objection; the response shall specifically address each issue raised in the trustee's objection to confirmation, state whether the issue is disputed or undisputed, and include admissible evidence in support of the debtor's position. If the debtor(s) file a response under paragraph 3(B) of this order, then the trustee shall file and serve a reply, if any, no later than February 10, 2026. The evidentiary record will close after February 10, 2026; or

(C) File a Modified Plan. If the debtor(s) wish to resolve the Chapter 13 trustee's objection by filing a modified plan, then the debtor(s) shall: (1) file and serve a modified Chapter 13 plan; and (2) file and serve a motion to confirm the modified plan.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the parties resolve the trustee's objection, and there are no additional objections to confirmation pending, then the debtor(s) may submit an order confirming the plan which has been signed by the Chapter 13 trustee. The trustee's signature on the order confirming plan represents to the court that no further objections to confirmation of the proposed plan are pending.

82. [25-22484](#)-A-13 **IN RE: PAOLA RESCINO**
[RPH-4](#)

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN
11-15-2025 [[74](#)]

ROBERT HUCKABY/ATTY. FOR DBT.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

No Ruling

83. [25-24084](#)-A-13 **IN RE: AMBER NAVARRETTE**
[SKI-1](#)

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY CARMAX
BUSINESS SERVICES, LLC
9-23-2025 [[24](#)]

SCOTT SHUMAKER/ATTY. FOR DBT.
SHERYL ITH/ATTY. FOR MV.
DEBTOR DISMISSED: 12/04/25

Final Ruling

This case was dismissed on December 4, 2025. Accordingly, the objection will be removed from the calendar as moot. No appearances are required.

84. [24-23586](#)-A-13 **IN RE: JON NEWTON**
[DPC-2](#)

CONTINUED MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
9-16-2025 [\[55\]](#)

MICHAEL REID/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: Continued from December 2, 2025

Disposition: Withdrawn

Order: Civil minute order

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

CASE DISMISSAL

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this chapter 13 case for delinquency in payments under the confirmed chapter 13 plan. For the reasons stated in the motion, cause exists under § 1307(c)(6) to dismiss the case. Payments under the confirmed plan are delinquent in the amount of \$4,320.

TRUSTEE REPLY – Fed. R. Civ. P. 41

The trustee filed a timely request to dismiss his motion under Fed. R. Civ. P. 41; Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9014, 7041.

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41 governs the circumstances where a party may withdraw a motion or objection. Fed. R. Civ. P. 41, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7041, 9014(c) (applying rule dismissal of adversary proceedings to contested matters). A motion or objection may be withdrawn without a court order only if it has not been opposed or by stipulation “signed by all parties who have appeared.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A). In all other instances, a motion or objection may be withdrawn “only by court order, on terms that the court considers proper.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(2).

Here, the Chapter 13 trustee has signaled his abandonment of his motion to dismiss. Neither the debtor(s), nor any creditor, has expressed opposition to the withdrawal of the trustee’s motion. No unfair prejudice will result from withdrawal of the motion and the court will accede to the trustee’s request.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

IT IS ORDERED that the motion to dismiss is withdrawn.

85. [24-23586](#)-A-13 **IN RE: JON NEWTON**
[WLG-3](#)

CONTINUED MOTION TO MODIFY PLAN
10-15-2025 [\[63\]](#)

MICHAEL REID/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Modify Chapter 13 Plan
Notice: Continued to December 2, 2025
Disposition: Denied
Order: Civil minute order

The motion requests modification of the Chapter 13 plan in this case. See 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325, 1329; Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(b); LBR 3015-1(d)(2). The Chapter 13 trustee does not oppose the motion, however, the court believes that the plan is not currently confirmable.

Chapter 13 plan modification is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1323, 1325, 1329 and by Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(a)(5) and 3015(g) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. "[T]he only limits on modification are those set forth in the language of the Code itself, coupled with the bankruptcy judge's discretion and good judgment in reviewing the motion to modify." *In re Powers*, 202 B.R. 618, 622 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1996).

PLAN FEASIBILITY

The proposed plan must be feasible. 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(6). Feasibility is a "factual determination" as to the plan's "reasonable likelihood of success." *First Nat'l Bank of Boston v. Fantasia (In re Fantasia)*, 211 B.R. 420, 423 (B.A.P. 1st Cir. 1997). The bankruptcy court needs to "be satisfied that the debtor has the present as well as the future financial capacity to comply with the terms of the plan." *Id.* As one court summarized feasibility, "Thus, a plan is not feasible and is not confirmable if a debtor's income will not support the plan's proposed payments. *In re Barnes*, 275 B.R. 889, 894 (Bankr. E.D. Cal.2002) ("[T]he debtors showed no disposable income with which to fund a plan.... [T]he debtors have been unable to actually pay the amount projected ... to the trustee."); *In re Bernardes*, 267 B.R. 690, 695 (Bankr. D.N.J. 2001)

("While the feasibility requirement is not rigorous ... the plan proponent must, at minimum, demonstrate that the Debtor's income exceeds expenses by an amount sufficient to make the payments proposed by the plan."); *In re Wilkinson*, 99 B.R. 366, 369 (Bankr. N.D. Ohio 1989) ("[D]ebtors will not be able to comply with the plan and make all payments thereunder."). *In re Buccolo*, 397 B.R. 527, 530 (Bankr. D.N.J. 2008), *aff'd*, 2009 WL 2132435 (D.N.J. July 13, 2009).

Plan Delinquency

The trustee indicates that the plan payments are delinquent in the amount of \$4,320. The plan cannot be confirmed if the plan payments are not current.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The debtor's motion to modify a chapter 13 plan has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion together with papers filed in support and opposition to it, and having heard the arguments of counsel, if any, and good cause appearing, presented at the hearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is denied. The court denies modification of the chapter 13 plan.

86. [25-25890](#)-A-13 **IN RE: DONALD CLEVELAND**
[DPC-1](#)

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P. CUSICK
12-17-2025 [\[22\]](#)

JAMES SHEPHERD/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Objection: Trustee's Objection to Confirmation of Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4), 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required

Disposition: Continued to March 12, 2026, at 9:30 a.m. before the Honorable Jennifer Niemann in Courtroom 11, Fifth Floor, 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno

Order: Civil minute order

The Chapter 13 trustee objects to confirmation of the debtor(s) plan.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court will continue the hearing on this objection to allow the parties to augment the evidentiary record.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on this objection will be continued to March 12, 2026, at 9:30 a.m. before the Honorable Jennifer Niemann in Courtroom 11, Fifth Floor, 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno. The court may rule in this matter without further hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no later than January 20, 2026, the debtor(s) shall do one of the following:

(A) File a Statement of No Opposition. If the debtor(s) agree that the Chapter 13 trustee's objection is well taken, the debtor(s) shall concede the merits and file a statement of non-opposition to the objection. L.R. 230(c) ("A responding party who has no opposition to the granting of the motion shall serve and file a statement to that effect..."); LBR 1001-1(c)-(d) (omitting the applicability of L.R. 230 unless the court orders otherwise);

(B) Respond in Writing to the Objection. If the debtor(s) disagree with the trustee's objection, the debtor(s) shall file and serve a written response to the objection; the response shall specifically address each issue raised in the trustee's objection to confirmation, state whether the issue is disputed or undisputed, and include admissible evidence in support of the debtor's position. If the debtor(s) file a response under paragraph 3(B) of this order, then the trustee shall file and serve a reply, if any, no later than February 10, 2026. The evidentiary record will close after February 10, 2026; or

(C) File a Modified Plan. If the debtor(s) wish to resolve the Chapter 13 trustee's objection by filing a modified plan, then the debtor(s) shall: (1) file and serve a modified Chapter 13 plan; and (2) file and serve a motion to confirm the modified plan.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the parties resolve the trustee's objection, and there are no additional objections to confirmation pending, then the debtor(s) may submit an order confirming the plan which has been signed by the Chapter 13 trustee. The trustee's signature on the order confirming plan represents to the court that no further objections to confirmation of the proposed plan are pending.

87. [20-25391](#)-A-13 **IN RE: MICHELE DENHAM**
[DPC-1](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
12-9-2025 [\[32\]](#)

PAULDEEP BAINS/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

This motion was withdrawn by the moving party on December 22, 2025, ECF No. 46. Accordingly, the motion will be removed from the calendar as moot. No appearances are required.

88. [25-24493](#)-A-13 **IN RE: MARILYN BARZELAY**
[DPC-1](#)

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID CUSICK
10-8-2025 [\[13\]](#)

MARK SHMORGON/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

An Order Confirming the Chapter 13 Plan was filed on November 30, 2025, ECF No. 20. Accordingly, this matter will be removed from the calendar as moot. No appearances are required.

89. [25-25293](#)-A-13 **IN RE: CRYSTAL SAGE**
[DPC-2](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
12-9-2025 [\[16\]](#)

DAVID CUSICK/ATTY. FOR MV.

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: December 23, 2025

Opposition Filed: Unopposed

Cause: 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1) - Plan Delinquency

Best Interests of Creditors/Estate: Dismiss

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

CASE DISMISSAL

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this chapter 13 case for delinquency in payments under the chapter 13 plan. For the reasons stated in the motion, cause exists under § 1307(c)(1) to dismiss the case. Payments under the plan are delinquent in the amount of \$1,711.56 with one payment(s) of \$855.78 due prior to the hearing on this motion.

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)

Except as provided in subsection (f) of this section, on request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, or may dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause, including—

...

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

The court finds that dismissal is in the best interests of the creditors and the estate. This case has not been previously converted from a chapter 7.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss this chapter 13 case has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent debtor for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted because of the delinquency under the chapter 13 plan in this case. The court hereby dismisses this case.

90. [25-25796](#)-A-13 **IN RE: JONATHAN CLINE**
[DPC-1](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
12-9-2025 [\[31\]](#)

JESSICA GALLETTA/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Dismiss Case

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition filed by the debtor

Disposition: Granted

Order: Civil minute order

Opposition Due: December 23, 2025

Opposition Filed: December 23, 2025 - timely

Cause: 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1) - Plan Delinquency

Best Interests of Creditors/Estate: Dismiss

The chapter 13 trustee moves to dismiss this case, asserting that cause exists under § 1307(c)(1) as the debtor has failed to make all payments due under the plan. The trustee states that there is no motion to confirm pending despite debtor knowing that an amended plan needs to be filed, the debtor has failed to file an attorney disclosure of compensation with the court, failure to file a motion to value collateral. Additionally, current creditors are improperly classed in the current plan and the plan lacks the debtor's signature.

LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B)

Opposition. Opposition, if any, to the granting of the motion shall be in writing and shall be served and filed with the Court by the responding party at least fourteen (14) days preceding the date or continued

date of the hearing. *Opposition shall be accompanied by evidence establishing its factual allegations.* Without good cause, no party shall be heard in opposition to a motion at oral argument if written opposition to the motion has not been timely filed. Failure of the responding party to timely file written opposition may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion or may result in the imposition of sanctions.

LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B) (emphasis added).

The opposition does not comply with LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). A declaration is required to prove the contentions in the opposition and to provide additional relevant information. For example, there is no evidence indicating that debtor has resolved the issues stated in the motion.

The debtor's opposition does not fully resolve the grounds for dismissal. A delinquency still exists as of the date of the opposition. A statement of intent to pay the delinquency on or before a future date is not equivalent to cure of the delinquency. The court is unable to deny the motion given the outstanding delinquency.

The court gives no weight to an opposition which fails to provide sworn testimony by the party opposing the motion. Unsworn statements by counsel are not evidence and will not be considered.

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)

Except as provided in subsection (f) of this section, on request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, or may dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause, including—

...

11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

The court finds that dismissal is in the best interests of the creditors and the estate. This case has not been previously converted from a chapter 7. The court will dismiss the case.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The trustee's motion to dismiss has been presented to the court. Having considered the motion, the opposition, responses, and oral argument at the hearing, if any, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted. The debtor has failed to make all payments due under the chapter 13 plan in this case. Delinquency constitutes cause to dismiss this case. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1). The court hereby dismisses this case.

91. [25-26496](#)-A-13 **IN RE: ROBERT HAMILTON**

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE TO PAY FEES
12-2-2025 [\[11\]](#)

DEBTOR DISMISSED: 12/08/25

Final Ruling

The case was dismissed on December 8, 2025, the order to show cause is discharged as moot.

92. [25-25897](#)-A-13 **IN RE: BRITNEY FERRON**
[DPC-1](#)

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY DAVID P. CUSICK
12-17-2025 [\[25\]](#)

DAVID RITZINGER/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Objection: Trustee's Objection to Confirmation of Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4), 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required

Disposition: Continued to March 12, 2026, at 9:30 a.m. before the Honorable Jennifer Niemann in Courtroom 11, Fifth Floor, 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno

Order: Civil minute order

The Chapter 13 trustee objects to confirmation of the debtor(s) plan.

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor has the burden of proving that the plan complies with all statutory requirements of confirmation. *In re Andrews*, 49 F.3d 1404, 1407-08 (9th Cir. 1995); *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407-08 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court will continue the hearing on this objection to allow the parties to augment the evidentiary record.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that the hearing on this objection will be continued to March 12, 2026, at 9:30 a.m. before the Honorable Jennifer Niemann in Courtroom 11, Fifth Floor, 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno. The court may rule in this matter without further hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no later than January 20, 2026, the debtor(s) shall do one of the following:

(A) File a Statement of No Opposition. If the debtor(s) agree that the Chapter 13 trustee's objection is well taken, the debtor(s) shall concede the merits and file a statement of non-opposition to the objection. L.R. 230(c) ("A responding party who has no opposition to the granting of the motion shall serve and file a statement to that effect..."); LBR 1001-1(c)-(d) (omitting the applicability of L.R. 230 unless the court orders otherwise);

(B) Respond in Writing to the Objection. If the debtor(s) disagree with the trustee's objection, the debtor(s) shall file and serve a written response to the objection; the response shall specifically address each issue raised in the trustee's objection to confirmation, state whether the issue is disputed or undisputed, and include admissible evidence in support of the debtor's position. If the debtor(s) file a response under paragraph 3(B) of this order, then the trustee shall file and serve a reply, if any, no later than February 10, 2026. The evidentiary record will close after February 10, 2026; or

(C) File a Modified Plan. If the debtor(s) wish to resolve the Chapter 13 trustee's objection by filing a modified plan, then the debtor(s) shall: (1) file and serve a modified Chapter 13 plan; and (2) file and serve a motion to confirm the modified plan.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the parties resolve the trustee's objection, and there are no additional objections to confirmation pending, then the debtor(s) may submit an order confirming the plan which has been signed by the Chapter 13 trustee. The trustee's signature on the order confirming plan represents to the court that no further objections to confirmation of the proposed plan are pending.

93. [25-25897](#)-A-13 **IN RE: BRITNEY FERRON**
[DPR-1](#)

MOTION TO VALUE COLLATERAL OF INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE
11-18-2025 [\[17\]](#)

DAVID RITZINGER/ATTY. FOR DBT.
TRUSTEE NON-OPPOSITION

Final Ruling

Matter: Motion to Value Collateral of IRS

Notice: LBR 7056-1, 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Denied without prejudice

Order: Civil minute order

The debtor has moved to value collateral of an IRS claim. The motion will be denied without prejudice as it is premature.

VALUATION OF COLLATERAL

Chapter 13 debtors may value collateral by noticed motion. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3012.

(c) Governmental Unit's Secured Claim. A request to determine the amount of a governmental unit's secured claim may be made only by motion—or in an objection to a claim—filed after:

- (1) the governmental unit has filed the proof of claim; or
- (2) the time to file it under Rule 3002(c)(1) has expired.

11 U.S.C. § 3012(c).

In this case, the IRS has not filed their proof of claim yet and the time to file under Rule 3002(c)(1) has not expired. The deadline for government claim filings is April 22, 2026, ECF No. 16. As such, this motion to value collateral is premature and will be denied without prejudice.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Debtor's motion to value collateral has been presented to the court. Given the procedural deficiencies discussed by the court in its ruling,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is denied without prejudice.

94. [25-26498](#)-A-13 **IN RE: SHERI MIDDLETON**

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE TO PAY FEES
12-2-2025 [\[11\]](#)

DEBTOR DISMISSED: 12/08/25

Final Ruling

The case was dismissed on December 8, 2025, the order to show cause is discharged as moot.

95. [25-27238](#)-A-13 **IN RE: CARMEN RIVERA**
[FEC-1](#)

MOTION TO EXTEND AUTOMATIC STAY
12-23-2025 [\[7\]](#)

CARMEN RIVERA/ATTY. FOR MV.

No Ruling

96. [25-24754](#)-A-13 **IN RE: DIEDRE HIGGINS**
[MOH-4](#)

MOTION TO VALUE COLLATERAL OF ONE MAIN FINANCIAL GROUP, LLC
12-23-2025 [\[53\]](#)

MICHAEL HAYS/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Value Collateral [Personal Property; Motor Vehicle]

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Civil minute order

Subject: 2014 Ford Explorer

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). The default of the respondent is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

VALUATION OF COLLATERAL

Chapter 13 debtors may value collateral by noticed motion. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3012. Section 506(a) of the Bankruptcy Code provides, "An allowed claim of a creditor secured by a lien on property in which the estate has an interest . . . is a secured claim to the extent of

the value of such creditor's interest in the estate's interest in such property" and is unsecured as to the remainder. 11 U.S.C. § 506(a). For personal property, value is defined as "replacement value" on the date of the petition. *Id.* § 506(a)(2). For "property acquired for personal, family, or household purposes, replacement value shall mean the price a retail merchant would charge for property of that kind considering the age and condition of the property at the time value is determined." *Id.* The costs of sale or marketing may not be deducted. *Id.*

A debtor's ability to value collateral consisting of a motor vehicle is limited by the terms of the hanging paragraph of § 1325(a). See 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a) (hanging paragraph). Under this statute, a lien secured by a motor vehicle cannot be stripped down to the collateral's value if: (i) the lien securing the claim is a purchase money security interest, (ii) the debt was incurred within the 910-day period preceding the date of the petition, and (iii) the motor vehicle was acquired for the debtor's personal use. 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a) (hanging paragraph).

In this case, the debtor seeks to value collateral consisting of a motor vehicle described as a 2014 Ford Explorer. The debt owed to the respondent is not secured by a purchase money security interest. See 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a) (hanging paragraph). The court values the vehicle at \$7,881.00.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The debtor's motion to value collateral consisting of a motor vehicle has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted. The personal property collateral described as a 2014 Ford Explorer has a value of \$7,881.00. No senior liens on the collateral have been identified. The respondent has a secured claim in the amount of \$7,881.00 equal to the value of the collateral that is unencumbered by senior liens. The respondent has a general unsecured claim for the balance of the claim.

97. [24-24264](#)-A-13 **IN RE: RACHEL BAGWELL**
[KMM-1](#)

MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY
12-4-2025 [\[127\]](#)

KIRSTEN MARTINEZ/ATTY. FOR MV.
FIFTH THIRD BANK VS.

Final Ruling

Motion: Stay Relief

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Prepared by moving party

Subject: 2020 GMC Sierra 2500

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

STAY RELIEF

Section 362(d)(1) authorizes stay relief for cause shown. 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(1). The debtor is obligated to make payments to the moving party pursuant to a lease agreement by which the debtor leases the vehicle described above. The debtor has defaulted under such lease agreement as 13 post-petition lease payments totaling \$15,379.94 are past due.

The moving party's interest in the vehicle is not being adequately protected due to the debtor's ongoing post-petition default. See 11 U.S.C. § 1326(a)(1)(B) (requiring personal property lease payments to commence not later than 30 days after the petition).

Therefore, cause exists to grant relief under § 362(d)(1). The motion will be granted, and the 14-day stay of Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 4001(a)(3) will be waived. No other relief will be awarded.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

Fifth Third Bank's motion for relief from the automatic stay has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of

respondent for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted. The automatic stay is vacated with respect to the property described in the motion, commonly known as a 2020 GMC Sierra 2500, as to all parties in interest. The 14-day stay of the order under Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 4001(a)(3) is waived. Any party with standing may pursue its rights against the property pursuant to applicable non-bankruptcy law.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no other relief is awarded. To the extent that the motion includes any request for attorney's fees or other costs for bringing this motion, the request is denied.