

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

Honorable Fredrick E. Clement  
Sacramento Federal Courthouse  
501 I Street, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Courtroom 28, Department A  
Sacramento, California

**DAY: WEDNESDAY**  
**DATE: JANUARY 5, 2022**  
**CALENDAR: 1:30 P.M. ADVERSARY PROCEEDINGS**

**RULINGS**

Each matter on this calendar will have one of three possible designations: No Ruling, Tentative Ruling, or Final Ruling.

**"No Ruling"** means the likely disposition of the matter will not be disclosed in advance of the hearing. The matter will be called; parties wishing to be heard should rise and be heard.

**"Tentative Ruling"** means the likely disposition, and the reasons therefor, are set forth herein. The matter will be called. Aggrieved parties or parties for whom written opposition was not required should rise and be heard. Parties favored by the tentative ruling need not appear. Non-appearing parties are advised that the court may adopt a ruling other than that set forth herein without further hearing or notice.

**"Final Ruling"** means that the matter will be resolved in the manner, and for the reasons, indicated below. The matter will not be called; parties and/or counsel need not appear and will not be heard on the matter.

**CHANGES TO PREVIOUSLY PUBLISHED RULINGS**

On occasion, the court will change its intended ruling on some of the matters to be called and will republish its rulings. The parties and counsel are advised to recheck the posted rulings after 3:00 p.m. on the next business day prior to the hearing. Any such changed ruling will be preceded by the following bold face text: **"[Since posting its original rulings, the court has changed its intended ruling on this matter]"**.

**ERRORS IN RULINGS**

Clerical errors of an insignificant nature, e.g., nomenclature ("2017 Honda Accord," rather than "2016 Honda Accord"), amounts, ("\$880," not "\$808"), may be corrected in (1) tentative rulings by appearance at the hearing; or (2) final rulings by appropriate ex parte application. Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(a) *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9024. All other errors, including those occasioned by mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect, must be corrected by noticed motion. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 60(b), *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9023.

1. [20-23726](#)-A-11     **IN RE: AME ZION WESTERN EPISCOPAL DISTRICT**  
[21-2077](#)

STATUS CONFERENCE RE: COMPLAINT  
10-25-2021    [[1](#)]

GREATER COOPER A.M.E. ZION  
CHURCH, OAKLAND, CALIFO V. AME  
HAGOP BEDOYAN/ATTY. FOR PL.

### **Final Ruling**

The adversary proceeding is not at issue. The status conference is continued to February 15, 2022, at 1:30 p.m. Not later than 14 days prior to the continued status conference, the parties shall file a joint status report. A civil minute order will issue.

2. [21-23035](#)-A-7     **IN RE: CHRISTOPHER WOOD**  
[21-2083](#)

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE TO PAY FEES  
12-6-2021    [[8](#)]

BEGGS V. WOOD

### **Tentative Ruling**

If the filing fee has not been paid in full by the time of the hearing, the adversary case may be dismissed without further notice or hearing.

3. [20-24339](#)-A-7     **IN RE: JOSHUA HENRY**  
[20-2185](#)

CONTINUED PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE RE: COMPLAINT TO DETERMINE  
DISCHARGEABILITY OF DEBT  
12-18-2020    [[1](#)]

TORRUELLA V. HENRY  
MARK KASSENBRICK/ATTY. FOR PL.

### **No Ruling**

4. [14-31850](#)-A-13     **IN RE: TUA/SHING VANG**  
[21-2079](#)

STATUS CONFERENCE RE: COMPLAINT  
11-9-2021    [[1](#)]

VANG ET AL V. FAY SERVICING, LLC  
PETER MACALUSO/ATTY. FOR PL.

**Final Ruling**

This status conference is continued to February 1, 2022, at 1:30 p.m., Order, ECF No. 9.

5. [20-24560](#)-A-7     **IN RE: MIGUEL RUIZ**  
[20-2180](#)

PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE RE: COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD AND  
DISCHARGABILITY  
12-2-2020    [[1](#)]

RIVAS V. RUIZ  
CHRISTOPHER FRY/ATTY. FOR PL.

**No Ruling**

6. [17-27892](#)-A-7     **IN RE: DALE EISNER**  
[19-2123](#)

PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE RE: COMPLAINT TO DETERMINE  
DISCHARGEABILITY OF TAX DEBT  
10-3-2019    [[1](#)]

EISNER V. INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE  
G. WILLIAMS/ATTY. FOR PL.

**No Ruling**