UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Honorable Fredrick E. Clement Sacramento Federal Courthouse 501 I Street, 7th Floor Courtroom 28, Department A Sacramento, California

DAY: TUESDAY

DATE: JANUARY 5, 2021

CALENDAR: 1:30 P.M. ADVERSARY PROCEEDINGS

RULINGS

Each matter on this calendar will have one of three possible designations: No Ruling, Tentative Ruling, or Final Ruling.

"No Ruling" means the likely disposition of the matter will not be disclosed in advance of the hearing. The matter will be called; parties wishing to be heard should rise and be heard.

"Tentative Ruling" means the likely disposition, and the reasons therefor, are set forth herein. The matter will be called. Aggrieved parties or parties for whom written opposition was not required should rise and be heard. Parties favored by the tentative ruling need not appear. Non-appearing parties are advised that the court may adopt a ruling other than that set forth herein without further hearing or notice.

"Final Ruling" means that the matter will be resolved in the manner, and for the reasons, indicated below. The matter will not be called; parties and/or counsel need not appear and will not be heard on the matter.

CHANGES TO PREVIOUSLY PUBLISHED RULINGS

On occasion, the court will change its intended ruling on some of the matters to be called and will republish its rulings. The parties and counsel are advised to recheck the posted rulings after 3:00 p.m. on the next business day prior to the hearing. Any such changed ruling will be preceded by the following bold face text: "[Since posting its original rulings, the court has changed its intended ruling on this matter]".

ERRORS IN RULINGS

Clerical errors of an insignificant nature, e.g. nomenclature ("2017 Honda Accord," rather than "2016 Honda Accord"), amounts, ("\$880," not "\$808"), may be corrected in (1) tentative rulings by appearance at the hearing; or (2) final rulings by appropriate ex parte application. Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(a) incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9024. All other errors, including those occasioned by mistake, inadvertence, surprise or excusable neglect, must be corrected by noticed motion. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 60(b), incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9023.

1. $\frac{18-20906}{18-2077}$ IN RE: VLADIMIR NIKITIN

CONTINUED PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE RE: COMPLAINT TO DETERMINE DISCHARGEABILITY OF DEBTS 5-29-2018 [1]

MOROZOVA V. NIKITIN ALLA VOROBETS/ATTY. FOR PL.

Final Ruling

The pretrial conference is continued to February 17, 2021, at 1:30 p.m. If judgment or dismissal has not been entered, not later than February 3, 2021, the parties shall file a joint status report.

2. $\frac{14-31850}{20-2168}$ -A-13 IN RE: TUA/SHING VANG

STATUS CONFERENCE RE: COMPLAINT 11-5-2020 [1]

VANG ET AL V. LOANCARE, LLC PETER MACALUSO/ATTY. FOR PL. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

No Ruling

3. $\frac{19-23452}{20-2110}$ -A-7 IN RE: CIAO RESTAURANTS, LLC

STATUS CONFERENCE RE: THIRD-PARTY COMPLAINT 7-29-2020 [13]

HUSTED V. OLD REPUBLIC TITLE COMPANY
EDWARD SMITH/ATTY. FOR PL.

Final Ruling

The status conference is continued to January 20, 2021, at 1:30 p.m.

4. $\frac{20-23457}{20-2167}$ -A-7 IN RE: ERNESTO/MARILYN PATACSIL

STATUS CONFERENCE RE: COMPLAINT 11-2-2020 [1]

CABARDO ET AL V. PATACSIL ET AL

NATALIA RAMIREZ LEE/ATTY. FOR PL. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

No Ruling

5. $\frac{09-29162}{10-2117}$ A-11 IN RE: SK FOODS, L.P.

MOTION BY MEGAN A. LEWIS TO WITHDRAW AS ATTORNEY 12-8-2020 [287]

SHARP ET AL V. INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE ET AL

No Ruling

6. $\frac{09-29162}{11-2339}$ -A-11 IN RE: SK FOODS, L.P.

MOTION BY STEVEN J. WILLIAMSON TO WITHDRAW AS ATTORNEY $12-8-2020 \quad [410]$

BANK OF MONTREAL V. CALIFORNIA FRANCHISE TAX BOARD ET AL

No Ruling

7. $\frac{09-29162}{11-2340}$ -A-11 IN RE: SK FOODS, L.P.

MOTION BY MEGAN A. LEWIS TO WITHDRAW AS ATTORNEY $12-8-2020 \quad [\,467\,]$

BANK OF MONTREAL V. COLLINS ET AL

No Ruling

8. $\frac{20-23487}{20-2164}$ -A-7 IN RE: MARCIE OKPAKPOR

CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE RE: COMPLAINT 10-14-2020 [1]

OKPAKPOR V. OKPAKPOR FRED IHEJIRIKA/ATTY. FOR PL. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

No Ruling

9. $\frac{20-23487}{20-2164}$ -A-7 IN RE: MARCIE OKPAKPOR

MOTION TO SET ASIDE 12-7-2020 [15]

OKPAKPOR V. OKPAKPOR STEPHEN REYNOLDS/ATTY. FOR MV. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

No Ruling

10. $\frac{15-29890}{17-2249}$ -A-7 IN RE: GRAIL SEMICONDUCTOR

CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE RE: AMENDED COMPLAINT 4-17-2019 [63]

SEDGWICK FUNDINGCO, LLC V. 1ST CLASS LEGAL (IS) LIMITED ET AL ERIK IVES/ATTY. FOR PL.

No Ruling

11. $\frac{15-29890}{17-2249}$ -A-7 IN RE: GRAIL SEMICONDUCTOR FSL-17

MOTION TO DISMISS ADVERSARY PROCEEDING/NOTICE OF REMOVAL 12-8-2020 [189]

SEDGWICK FUNDINGCO, LLC V. 1ST CLASS LEGAL (IS) LIMITED ET AL ERIK IVES/ATTY. FOR MV.

No Ruling