1 2	POSTED ON WEBSITE NOT FOR PUBLICATION
2	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
4	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
5	
6	In re:) Case No. 07-28488-D-13L
7	SHAFQUAT SHAH and) Docket Control No. SAC-1 SAMEENA SHAH,)
8 9	Debtors.) Date: September 23, 2008 Deptors.) Time: 1:00 p.m.) Dept: D
10	This memorandum decision is not approved for publication and may
11	not be cited except when relevant under the doctrine of law of the case or the rules of claim preclusion or issue preclusion.
12	MEMORANDUM DECISION
13	On August 22, 2008, the debtors herein, Shafquat Shah and
14	Sameena Shah ("the debtors"), filed a Motion to Avoid the Filing
15	of Liens Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. 522(f)(1)(A), ¹ bearing Docket
16	Control No. SAC-1 ("the Motion"), whereby the debtors seek to
17	avoid a lien of the County of Sacramento ("the County") under
18	\S 522(f), on the ground that the lien is a judicial lien that
19	impairs an exemption to which the debtors are entitled. The
20	County opposes the motion on the ground that the lien is not a
21	judicial lien, but a statutory tax lien. For the reasons set
22	forth below, the court will grant the Motion.
23	
24	
25	1. Unless otherwise indicated, all Code, chapter, section
26 27 28	and Rule references are to the Bankruptcy Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101- 1330, and to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, Rules 1001-9036, as enacted and promulgated after the effective date (October 17, 2005) of the Bankruptcy Abuse Prevention and Consumer Protection Act of 2005, Pub. L. 109-8, 119 Stat. 23 (2005).

1	I. INTRODUCTION
2	In their schedules of assets filed in this case, the debtors
3	identified the real property comprising their residence, located
4	at 10350 Cardiff Way, Rancho Cordova, California, valued the
5	property at \$185,000, claimed an exemption in the property of
6	\$150,000, pursuant to Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 704.730(a)(3), and
7	identified a deed of trust against the property in favor of Citi
8	Corp. Credit Services, securing a claim in the amount of
9	\$42,412.21.
10	In support of the Motion, the debtors submitted copies of
11	these schedules, along with a copy of a document entitled
12	Abstract of Judgment, recorded with the Sacramento County
13	Recorder on April 28, 2004, at Book 20040428, Page 0158. The
14	abstract of judgment identifies a judgment entered February 4,
15	2004 in favor of the County against debtor Shafquat Shah in the
16	amount of \$530.93. ²
17	II. ANALYSIS
18	It is undisputed that application of the formula set forth
19	in 11 U.S.C. § 522(f)(2)(A) to these facts yields the conclusion
20	that the County's lien impairs the homestead exemption to which
21	the debtors are entitled. The only question is whether the lien
22	is a judicial lien or a statutory lien. If it is a judicial
23	lien, the debtors can avoid it under § 522(f)(1)(A). If it is a
24	statutory lien, the debtors cannot avoid it, because judicial
25	
26 27	2. In the abstract of judgment, the debtor's first name is spelled "Shafqat." Both that spelling and the one used in this decision have been used by the debtors' counsel in documents filed in this case, and the court is unsure which is correct. In

²⁷ decision have been used by the debtors' counsel in documents filed in this case, and the court is unsure which is correct. In 28 any event, it is not disputed that the judgment referenced in the abstract is against the debtor in this case.

1 liens and statutory liens are mutually exclusive (see discussion 2 below), and § 522(f)(1)(A) applies only to the former. See also 3 § 522(c)(2)(B), which preserves, even as to exempt property, "a 4 tax lien, notice of which is properly filed."

5 The Bankruptcy Code defines a "judicial lien" as one "obtained by judgment, levy, sequestration, or other legal or 6 7 equitable process or proceeding." § 101(36). A "statutory lien" 8 is defined as one that arises "solely by force of a statute on 9 specified circumstances or conditions, . . . but does not include security interest or judicial lien " § 101(53). 10 Thus. 11 the definitions are mutually exclusive -- a lien is either a 12 judicial lien or a statutory lien; it cannot be both. See In re 13 Harpole, 260 B.R. 165, 171 (Bankr. D. Mont. 2001), citing H.R. Rep. No. 95-595, 95th Cong., 1st Sess. 312 (1977). Tax liens are 14 15 statutory liens. Harpole, 260 B.R. at 172, citing H.R. Rep. No. 16 95-595, 95th Cong., 1st Sess. 314 (1977).

The key to the determination of the type of lien in this 17 18 case is to be found in the California Revenue & Taxation Code, 19 which provides various ways in which a taxing agency can obtain a 20 lien on a taxpayer's property. What is at issue in this case is 21 a personal property tax for which the County is claiming a lien on the debtors' <u>real</u> property.³ Under the Revenue & Taxation 22 23 Code, there are several ways in which the County may obtain a 24 lien on a taxpayer's real property to secure a debt for personal 25 property taxes.

26 / / /

27

28 3. The debtors do not dispute that the debt underlying the lien is a debt for taxes.

- 3 -

1 First, "a tax on personal property is a lien on any real 2 property on the secured roll also belonging to the owner of the 3 personal property, if the personal property is located upon that real property on the lien date, and *if* the fact of the lien is 4 5 shown on the secured roll opposite the description of the real property." Cal. Rev. & Tax. Code § 2189 (emphasis added). 6 The County has submitted no evidence in this case that either of 7 8 these conditions was met in this case.

9 Second, a lien may be created by the filing of a certificate with the county recorder. Cal. Rev. & Tax. Code §§ 2189.3 and 10 11 2191.3. Under these sections, no judicial action is required; 12 the tax assessor or tax collector simply prepares a certificate 13 which is then filed with the county recorder. As with the § 2189 procedure, the County has submitted no evidence that a 14 15 certificate of the type provided for in either of these two 16 sections was ever recorded.

17 Finally, the Revenue & Taxation Code provides for a judicial 18 procedure whereby the taxing agency may obtain a lien on property 19 of the taxpayer. Cal. Rev. & Tax. Code §§ 3003 through 3107. 20 Under these sections, the County may sue the taxpayer (§ 3003), 21 obtain a judgment (§ 3102), and record an abstract of the judgment in the office of the county recorder of any county 22 23 (§ 3103). "From the time of the recording, the amount of the 24 tax, penalty, and interest set forth constitutes a lien upon all 25 property of the assessee in the county, owned by him or her or 26 afterward, and before the lien expires, acquired by him or her. 27 The lien has the force, effect, and priority of a judgment lien 28 " Cal. Rev. & Tax. Code § 3103. "Execution shall issue

- 4 -

upon the judgment upon request of the official collecting taxes
on the unsecured roll in the same manner as execution may issue
upon other judgments . . . " Cal. Rev. & Tax. Code § 3106.

4 The court finds that the County's lien in this case is a 5 lien obtained by resort to the judicial system. It appears there were other avenues the County could have pursued to obtain a lien 6 7 that would not have entailed use of the judicial system. For 8 whatever reason, the County chose not to use those procedures, 9 but instead to file suit in the Sacramento County Superior Court. 10 Through the judicial system, the County obtained a judgment 11 against the debtors and recorded an abstract of that judgment. 12 The recording of that judgment is the act that created the lien. 13 Thus, the lien is a judicial lien, like any other judicial lien. 14 The nature of the debt for which the lien provides security is 15 irrelevant. The important point is how the lien was obtained; in this case, the County's lien was obtained judicially. 16

17 The court recognizes that a taxing agency may obtain a tax 18 lien that is a statutory lien without resort to the judicial 19 system, and then later, seek the assistance of the courts to 20 enforce that lien. Such a proceeding does not turn the statutory 21 tax lien into a judicial lien. But that is not what happened 22 here. What happened here is that the lien was <u>created</u> through 23 use of the judicial system, and thus, it is a judicial lien. As 24 such, the debtors may avoid the lien under § 522(f).

The court will issue an appropriate order.

26

27

28

25

Dated: September 30, 2008

/s/ ROBERT S. BARDWIL United States Bankruptcy Judge