

1
2
3 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
4 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
5 SACRAMENTO DIVISION
6
7

8 In re:)
9) Case No. 06-22201-B-13
10 LARRY JOE LEE,)
11 Debtor(s).) Docket Control No. SDH-17
12) Date: December 5, 2006
Time: 9:30 a.m.

13 On or after the calendar set forth above, the court issued
14 the following ruling. The official record of the ruling is
appended to the minutes of the hearing.

15 Because the ruling constitutes a "reasoned explanation" of
16 the court's decision under the E-Government Act of 2002 (the
"Act"), a copy of the ruling is hereby posted on the court's
17 Internet site, www.caeb.uscourts.gov, in a text-searchable
18 format, as required by the Act. However, this posting does not
constitute the official record, which is always the ruling
appended to the minutes of the hearing.

19 **DISPOSITION AFTER ORAL ARGUMENT**

20 The motion is denied. Section 522(f)(1)(A) can only be used
21 to avoid judicial liens that impair a debtor's exemption. The
22 judicial lien in favor of Diamond Palace is not one such lien.
23 Debtor's residence is located at 12317 Bean Clipper Road, Clipper
24 Mills California 95930 (APN 073-220-050). That real property is
25 located in Butte County. Diamond Palace's lien is recorded in
26 Yuba County. In order for a judicial lien to attach to real
27 property in California, an abstract of judgment must be recorded
28 in the county in which the real property is located. See Cal.

1 Code of Civ. Proc. Section 697.310 (West 1987 & Supp. 2006);
2 Aldasoro v. Kennerson, 915 F.Supp. 188 (S.D. Cal. 1995); In re
3 Pederson, 230 B.R. 158 (9th Cir. BAP 1999). Because Diamond
4 Palace's lien is recorded in a different county it does not
5 attach to debtor's residence and therefore does not impair his
6 exemption. For that reason, the motion is denied.