1		
2		
3	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT	
4	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
5	SACRAMENTO DIVISION	
6		
7		
8	In re:)
9	ROLAND/NANCY LITTLE,) Case No. 05-36759-B-7
10) Docket Control No. RJH-1
11	Debtors.) Date: September 26, 2006
12) _) Time: 9:30 a.m.
13	On or after the calendar set forth above, the court issued the following ruling. The official record of the ruling is appended to the minutes of the hearing.	
14		
15	Because the ruling constitutes a "reasoned explanation" of the court's decision under the E-Government Act of 2002 (the "Act"), a copy of the ruling is hereby posted on the court's Internet site, www.caeb.uscourts.gov, in a text-searchable format, as required by the Act. However, this posting does not constitute the official record, which is always the ruling appended to the minutes of the hearing.	
16		
17		
18		
19	DISPOSITION AFTER ORAL ARGUMENT	
20	Neither the respondent within the time for opposition nor the	
21	movant within the time for reply has filed a separate statement	
22	identifying each disputed material factual issue relating to the	
23	motion. Accordingly, both movant and respondent have consented to the	
24	resolution of the motion and all disputed material factual issues	
25	pursuant to FRCivP 43(e). LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(ii) and (iii).	
26	The motion is denied. "For an order for turnover to be	
2.7	appropriate, it is necessary that a trustee demonstrate, not only that	

28 the debtor received or had possession of estate property, but also

that the debtor was in possession of the property, or its value, at the time the turnover motion was filed." In re Muniz, 320 B.R. 697, 699-700 (Bankr. D. Colo. 2005). See also Maggio v. Zeitz, 333 U.S. 56, 63, 68 S.Ct. 401, 405, 92 L.Ed. 476 (1948); Hager v. Gibson, 109 F.3d 201, 210 (4th Cir. 1997); Lawrence v. Chapter 7 Trustee (In re Lawrence), 251 B.R. 630, 639 (D. Fla. 2000). In this instance, the debtors affirmatively state that they received their income tax refund and used the entire amount, including the estate's portion, to pay bills. The debtors no longer possess the property in question and thus a turnover order is inappropriate.

The court will issue a minute order.

2.0