



**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
Eastern District of California**

Judge Fredrick E. Clement
Sacramento Federal Courthouse
501 I Street, 7th Floor
Courtroom 28, Department A
Sacramento, California

DAY: MONDAY
DATE: NOVEMBER 3, 2025
CALENDAR: 9:00 A.M. CHAPTER 9 AND 11 CASES

Unless otherwise ordered, all matters before Chief Judge Fredrick E. Clement shall be simultaneously: (1) **IN PERSON** at Sacramento Courtroom No. 28, (2) via **ZOOMGOV VIDEO**, (3) via **ZOOMGOV TELEPHONE**, and (4) via **COURTCALL**.

You may choose any of these options unless otherwise ordered or stated below.

All parties who wish to appear at a hearing remotely must sign up by 4:00 p.m. **one business** day prior to the hearing.

Information regarding how to sign up can be found on the **Court Appearances** page of our website at:

<https://www.caeb.uscourts.gov/Calendar/CourtAppearances>

Each party who has signed up will receive a Zoom link or phone number, meeting I.D., and password via e-mail.

If the deadline to sign up has passed, parties who wish to appear remotely must contact the Courtroom Deputy for the Department holding the hearing.

Please also note the following:

- Parties in interest may connect to the video or audio feed free of charge and should select which method they will use to appear when signing up.
- Members of the public and the press appearing by **ZoomGov** may only listen in to the hearing using the zoom telephone number. Video appearances are not permitted.
- Members of the public and the press may not listen in to the trials or evidentiary hearings, though they may appear in person in most instances.

To appear remotely for law and motion or status conference proceedings, you must comply with the following guidelines and procedures:

- Review the [Pre-Hearing Dispositions](#) prior to appearing at the hearing.
- Review the court's [Zoom Procedures and Guidelines](#) for these, and additional instructions.
- Parties appearing via CourtCall are encouraged to review the [CourtCall Appearance Information](#).

If you are appearing by ZoomGov phone or video, please join at least 10 minutes prior to the start of the calendar and wait with your microphone muted until the matter is called.

Unauthorized Recording is Prohibited: Any recording of a court proceeding held by video or teleconference, including screen shots or other audio or visual copying of a hearing is prohibited. Violation may result in sanctions, including removal of court-issued media credentials, denial of entry to future hearings, or any other sanctions deemed necessary by the court. For more information on photographing, recording, or broadcasting Judicial Proceedings, please refer to Local Rule 173(a) of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California.

PRE-HEARING DISPOSITION INSTRUCTIONS

RULINGS

Each matter on this calendar will have one of three possible designations: No Ruling, Tentative Ruling, or Final Ruling.

"No Ruling" means the likely disposition of the matter will not be disclosed in advance of the hearing. The matter will be called; parties wishing to be heard should rise and be heard.

"Tentative Ruling" means the likely disposition, and the reasons therefor, are set forth herein. The matter will be called. Aggrieved parties or parties for whom written opposition was not required should rise and be heard. Parties favored by the tentative ruling need not appear. However, non-appearing parties are advised that the court may adopt a ruling other than that set forth herein without further hearing or notice.

"Final Ruling" means that the matter will be resolved in the manner, and for the reasons, indicated below. The matter will not be called; parties and/or counsel need not appear and will not be heard on the matter.

CHANGES TO PREVIOUSLY PUBLISHED RULINGS

On occasion, the court will change its intended ruling on some of the matters to be called and will republish its rulings. The parties and counsel are advised to recheck the posted rulings after 3:00 p.m. on the next business day prior to the hearing. Any such changed ruling will be preceded by the following bold face text: **"[Since posting its original rulings, the court has changed its intended ruling on this matter]"**.

ERRORS IN RULINGS

Clerical errors of an insignificant nature, e.g., nomenclature ("2017 Honda Accord," rather than "2016 Honda Accord"), amounts, ("\$880," not "\$808"), may be corrected in (1) tentative rulings by appearance at the hearing; or (2) final rulings by appropriate ex parte application. Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(a) *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9024. All other errors, including those occasioned by mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect, must be corrected by noticed motion. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 60(b), *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9023.

1. [24-90615](#)-A-11 **IN RE: JEA2, LLC**
[CAE-1](#)

STATUS CONFERENCE RE: VOLUNTARY PETITION
10-17-2024 [[1](#)]

ANTHONY ASEBEDO/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

The status conference is concluded. No appearance is necessary. A civil minute order shall issue.

2. [24-90615](#)-A-11 **IN RE: JEA2, LLC**
[RLL-10](#)

MOTION TO COMPROMISE CONTROVERSY/APPROVE SETTLEMENT
AGREEMENT WITH G&F AG SERVICE, INC.
9-25-2025 [[168](#)]

ANTHONY ASEBEDO/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Motion: Approve Compromise of Controversy

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Civil minute order

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

APPROVAL OF COMPROMISE

In determining whether to approve a compromise under Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9019, the court determines whether the compromise was negotiated in good faith and whether the party proposing the compromise reasonably believes that the compromise is the best that can be negotiated under the facts. *In re A & C Props.*, 784 F.2d 1377, 1381 (9th Cir. 1986). More than mere good faith negotiation of a compromise is required. The court must also find that the compromise is fair and equitable. *Id.* "Fair and equitable" involves a consideration of four factors: (i) the probability of success in the litigation; (ii) the difficulties to be encountered in collection; (iii) the complexity of the litigation, and expense, delay and inconvenience necessarily attendant to litigation; and (iv) the paramount interest of creditors and a proper deference to the creditors' expressed wishes,

if any. *Id.* The party proposing the compromise bears the burden of persuading the court that the compromise is fair and equitable and should be approved. *Id.*

The movant requests approval of a compromise. The compromise is reflected in the settlement agreement attached to the motion as an exhibit. Based on the motion and supporting papers, the court finds that the compromise presented for the court's approval is fair and equitable considering the relevant *A & C Properties* factors. The compromise or settlement will be approved.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

JEA2, LLC's motion to approve a compromise has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted. The court hereby approves the compromise that is reflected in the settlement agreement attached to the motion as exhibit and filed at docket no. 172.

3. [24-90615](#)-A-11 **IN RE: JEA2, LLC**
[RLL-11](#)

MOTION FOR FINAL DECREE AND ORDER CLOSING CASE
9-25-2025 [[174](#)]

ANTHONY ASEBEDO/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

JEA2, LLC's motion to close the case is granted. The movant shall upload an order consistent with the finding herein.

4. [24-90615](#)-A-11 **IN RE: JEA2, LLC**
[RLL-9](#)

MOTION FOR COMPENSATION FOR W.F. BAMBAS APPRAISAL COMPANY,
APPRAISER(S)
9-25-2025 [\[164\]](#)

ANTHONY ASEBEDO/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Application: Allowance of First and Final Compensation and Expense Reimbursement

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Approved

Order: Civil minute order

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this application was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on the application. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

COMPENSATION AND EXPENSES

In this Chapter 11 case, the reorganized debtor has applied for an allowance of final compensation and reimbursement of expenses for W.F. Bambas Appraisal Company. The applicant requests that the court allow compensation in the amount of \$3,840.00 and reimbursement of expenses in the amount of \$0.00.

Section 330(a) of the Bankruptcy Code authorizes "reasonable compensation for actual, necessary services" rendered by an employed professional in a Chapter 11 case and "reimbursement for actual, necessary expenses." 11 U.S.C. § 330(a)(1). Reasonable compensation is determined by considering all relevant factors. See *id.* § 330(a)(3).

The court finds that the compensation and expenses sought are reasonable, and the court will approve the application on a final basis.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

JEA2, LLC's application for allowance of final compensation and reimbursement of expenses has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent for failure to appear, timely

oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the application,

IT IS ORDERED that the application is approved on a final basis. The court allows W.F. Bambas Appraisal Company final compensation in the amount of \$3,840.00 and reimbursement of expenses in the amount of \$0.00. The applicant is authorized to draw on any retainer held.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the debtor in possession is authorized to pay the fees allowed by this order from available funds only if the estate is administratively solvent and such payment will be consistent with the priorities of the Bankruptcy Code.

5. [25-23919](#)-A-11 **IN RE: PATRICK/PATRICIA MCCAULEY**
[CAE-1](#)

CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE RE: AMENDED VOLUNTARY PETITION
9-15-2025 [\[42\]](#)

No Ruling

6. [25-24136](#)-A-11 **IN RE: GREATER LIGHT BAPTIST CHURCH OF**
SACRAMENTO
[MJB-1](#)

CONTINUED MOTION TO USE CASH COLLATERAL
8-13-2025 [\[12\]](#)

MICHAEL BERGER/ATTY. FOR DBT.

No Ruling

7. [25-23639](#)-A-11 **IN RE: MATTHEW CRON**
[JHH-7](#)

MOTION TO VALUE COLLATERAL OF CASHMERE VALLEY BANK
10-6-2025 [\[75\]](#)

JUDSON HENRY/ATTY. FOR DBT.

***[Since posting its original rulings, the court has changed its intended ruling on this matter].**

Final Ruling

The motion is continued to November 17, 2025, at 9:00 a.m. Order, ECF No. 95, as requested by Stipulation, ECF No. 93.

8. [25-23844](#)-A-11 **IN RE: TIMOTHY STANDLEY**
[BJB-2](#)

MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY
9-30-2025 [\[50\]](#)

THOMAS URE/ATTY. FOR DBT.
BRANT BORDSEN/ATTY. FOR MV.
DANIEL LANGLOIS VS.

Final Ruling

The motion has been denied by separate order. No appearance is necessary.

9. [25-23844](#)-A-11 **IN RE: TIMOTHY STANDLEY**
[CAE-1](#)

CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE RE: VOLUNTARY PETITION
7-25-2025 [\[1\]](#)

THOMAS URE/ATTY. FOR DBT.

No Ruling

10. [24-20882](#)-A-11 **IN RE: RJQ COMPANIES, INC.**
[CAE-1](#)

CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE RE: VOLUNTARY PETITION
3-5-2024 [\[1\]](#)

STEPHEN REYNOLDS/ATTY. FOR DBT.

***[Since posting its original rulings, the court has changed its intended ruling on this matter].**

Final Ruling

The status conference is continued to December 15, 2025, at 9:00 a.m. to coincide with the hearing on plan confirmation.

11. [23-23996](#)-A-11 **IN RE: 9250 BIG HORN HOLDINGS, INC.**
[DL-4](#)

MOTION TO SELL AND/OR MOTION TO PAY
10-3-2025 [[240](#)]

GABRIEL LIBERMAN/ATTY. FOR DBT.
WALTER DAHL/ATTY. FOR MV.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

No Ruling