UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Honorable Fredrick E. Clement Fresno Federal Courthouse 2500 Tulare Street, 5th Floor Courtroom 11, Department A Fresno, California

PRE-HEARING DISPOSITIONS

DAY: WEDNESDAY DATE: DECEMBER 12, 2018 CALENDAR: 10:00 A.M. CHAPTER 7 ADVERSARY PROCEEDINGS

Each matter on this calendar will have one of three possible designations: No Ruling, Tentative Ruling, or Final Ruling. These instructions apply to those designations.

No Ruling: All parties will need to appear at the hearing unless otherwise ordered.

Tentative Ruling: If a matter has been designated as a tentative ruling it will be called. The court may continue the hearing on the matter, set a briefing schedule or enter other orders appropriate for efficient and proper resolution of the matter. The original moving or objecting party shall give notice of the continued hearing date and the deadlines. The minutes of the hearing will be the court's findings and conclusions.

Final Ruling: Unless otherwise ordered, there will be <u>no hearing on</u> <u>these matters</u>. The final disposition of the matter is set forth in the ruling and it will appear in the minutes. The final ruling may or may not finally adjudicate the matter. If it is finally adjudicated, the minutes constitute the court's findings and conclusions.

Orders: Unless the court specifies in the tentative or final ruling that it will issue an order, the prevailing party shall lodge an order within 14 days of the final hearing on the matter.

1. $\frac{18-12802}{18-1074}$ -A-7 IN RE: LORELIE BEATON

STATUS CONFERENCE RE: COMPLAINT 10-11-2018 [1]

BEATON V. CAVALRY SPV I, LLC DAVID JENKINS/ATTY. FOR PL. DISMISSED 11/26/18

Final Ruling

The adversary proceeding dismissed, the status conference is concluded.

2. <u>18-10136</u>-A-7 **IN RE: DAVID/KARRIE WHEELER** 18-1015

MOTION TO ADD ALIAS NAMES TO JUDGMENT 10-25-2018 [37]

EMERSON ET AL V. WHEELER ROBERT KRASE/ATTY. FOR MV. CLOSED 09/17/2018

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Add Alias Names to Judgment Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required Disposition: Denied without prejudice Order: Civil minute order

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

DISCUSSION

The plaintiffs correctly note that federal courts follow state courts with respect to enforcement of judgments. Fed. R. Civ. P. 69, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7069.

California Code of Civil Procedure § 187 provides:

When jurisdiction is, by the Constitution or this Code, or by any other statute, conferred on a Court or judicial officer, all the means necessary to carry it into effect are also given; and in the exercise of this jurisdiction, if the course of proceeding be not specifically pointed out by this Code or the statute, any suitable process or mode of proceeding may be adopted which may appear most conformable to the spirit of this code.

Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 187.

That includes amended amendments to reflect the true name of the defendant:

A California court may use "all the means necessary" to carry its jurisdiction into effect. [CCP § 187; see \P 6:1]

This includes amending a judgment against a corporation to add a nonparty alter ego as a judgment debtor. [See Greenspan v. LADT, LLC (2010) 191 CA4th 486, 508, 121 CR3d 118, 134-135-judgment confirming arbitration award may be amended to add judgment debtor's alter ego; Hall, Goodhue, Haisley & Barker, Inc. v. Marconi Conference Ctr. Bd. (1996) 41 CA4th 1551, 1554-1555, 49 CR2d 286, 288 (same); see also Misik v. D'Arco (2011) 197 CA4th 1065, 1074-1075, 130 CR3d 123, 130-failure to allege alter ego doctrine in underlying lawsuit did not preclude court from amending judgment to add judgment debtor's alter ego].

Ahart, California Practice Guide: Enforcing Judgments and Debts, Enforcement of Judgments, Special Enforcement Procedures § 6:1565 (Rutter Group June 2018). Federal courts also allow amendment to add a defendant's true name. Madd Dogg Athletics, Inc. v. NYC Holdings, 565 F.Supp.2d 1127, 1129-30 (C.D. Cal. 2000).

But such a procedure is not available where judgment was taken by default.

(1) Summary judgment: The court may amend a summary judgment to add the name of a nonparty alter ego. A fullblown trial on the merits in the underlying action is not required. [See *Dow Jones Co., Inc. v. Avenel* (1984) 151 CA3d 144, 148-149, 198 CR 457, 460-461]

(2) [6:1567] Exception-default judgment: But because of due process concerns, a default judgment is not subject to such an amendment. [See Motores de Mexicali, S.A. v. Super.Ct. (1958) 51 C2d 172, 175-176, 331 P2d 1, 3-4; Wolf Metals Inc. v. Rand Pacific Sales, Inc. (2016) 4 CA5th 698, 703-704, 708-709, 209 CR3d 198, 202-203, 206-207; NEC Electronics, Inc. v. Hurt (1989) 208 CA3d 772, 779, 256 CR 441, 444]

Id. at § 6:1566-67 (emphasis added).

Here, judgment was obtained by default. Default Judgment, August 29, 2018, ECF # 28. As a result, the plaintiffs may not invoke California Code of Civil Procedure 187 to amend the judgment. The motion will be denied.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Darrell Emerson and Carolyn Emmerson's motion has been presented to the court. Given the procedural deficiencies discussed by the court in its ruling,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is denied without prejudice.

3. <u>18-12737</u>-A-7 **IN RE: SONNY VASQUEZ** 18-1066

STATUS CONFERENCE RE: AMENDED COMPLAINT 10-5-2018 [6]

DOE V. VASQUEZ DAVID JENKINS/ATTY. FOR PL.

Final Ruling

The status conference is continued to January 30, 2019, at 10:00 a.m.

4. <u>17-10152</u>-A-7 **IN RE: CURTIS DAVIS** 18-1068

STATUS CONFERENCE RE: COMPLAINT 10-5-2018 [1]

SALVEN V. DAVIS, JR. ET AL PETER SAUER/ATTY. FOR PL.

No Ruling

5. <u>14-14453</u>-A-7 **IN RE: SAMUEL LOPEZ** <u>14-1141</u>

CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE RE: COMPLAINT 11-21-2014 [1]

CALLISON V. LOPEZ DANIEL BARADAT/ATTY. FOR PL.

Final Ruling

The reference withdrawn, the status conference is concluded.

6. <u>17-12866</u>-A-7 **IN RE: KHALID CHAOUI** 18-1075

STATUS CONFERENCE RE: COMPLAINT 10-16-2018 [1]

CHAOUI V. LYAHYAOUI

No Ruling

7. <u>16-10469</u>-A-7 **IN RE: JEFFREY BOHN** 18-1050

STATUS CONFERENCE RE: COUNTERCLAIM, AND THIRD-PARTY COMPLAINT 10-9-2018 [15]

SALVEN V. VETTER ET AL LISA HOLDER/ATTY. FOR PL.

No Ruling

8. $\frac{18-11471}{18-1036}$ -A-7 IN RE: ARTURO/MARIA DE LOS ANGELES MACIAS

STATUS CONFERENCE RE: AMENDED COMPLAINT 11-7-2018 [47]

CLARK V. MACIAS BRAD CLARK/ATTY. FOR PL.

Final Ruling

The status conference is continued to January 3, 2019, at 10:00 a.m. to coincide with the hearing on the motion to dismiss, November 28, 2018, ECF # 52.

9. <u>17-12272</u>-A-7 **IN RE: LEONARD/SONYA HUTCHINSON** 17-1076

CONTINUED PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE RE: CROSSCLAIM BY JAMES EDWARD SALVEN 9-7-2017 [7] HUTCHINSON ET AL V. SALVEN ET

AL RUSSELL REYNOLDS/ATTY. FOR PL.

No Ruling