UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Honorable Fredrick E. Clement Sacramento Federal Courthouse 501 I Street, 7th Floor Courtroom 28, Department A Sacramento, California

DAY: MONDAY DATE: NOVEMBER 23, 2020 CALENDAR: 9:00 A.M. CHAPTER 7 CASES

RULINGS

Each matter on this calendar will have one of three possible designations: No Ruling, Tentative Ruling, or Final Ruling.

"No Ruling" means the likely disposition of the matter will not be disclosed in advance of the hearing. The matter will be called; parties wishing to be heard should rise and be heard.

"Tentative Ruling" means the likely disposition, and the reasons therefor, are set forth herein. The matter will be called. Aggrieved parties or parties for whom written opposition was not required should rise and be heard. Parties favored by the tentative ruling need not appear. Nonappearing parties are advised that the court may adopt a ruling other than that set forth herein without further hearing or notice.

"Final Ruling" means that the matter will be resolved in the manner, and for the reasons, indicated below. The matter will not be called; parties and/or counsel need not appear and will not be heard on the matter.

CHANGES TO PREVIOUSLY PUBLISHED RULINGS

On occasion, the court will change its intended ruling on some of the matters to be called and will republish its rulings. The parties and counsel are advised to recheck the posted rulings after 3:00 p.m. on the next business day prior to the hearing. Any such changed ruling will be preceded by the following bold face text: "[Since posting its original rulings, the court has changed its intended ruling on this matter]".

ERRORS IN RULINGS

Clerical errors of an insignificant nature, e.g. nomenclature ("2017 Honda Accord," rather than "2016 Honda Accord"), amounts, ("\$880," not "\$808"), may be corrected in (1) tentative rulings by appearance at the hearing; or (2) final rulings by appropriate ex parte application. Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(a) *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9024. All other errors, including those occasioned by mistake, inadvertence, surprise or excusable neglect, must be corrected by noticed motion. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 60(b), *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9023.

1. <u>20-23518</u>-A-7 **IN RE: AMY BOND** SSW-1

MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY 10-22-2020 [18]

CATHERINE KING/ATTY. FOR DBT. SCOTT WELTMAN/ATTY. FOR MV. MAX CREDIT UNION VS.; NON-OPPOSITION

Final Ruling

Motion: Stay Relief Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); trustee's non-opposition filed Disposition: Granted in part, denied as moot in part Order: Civil minute order

Subject: 2011 Chevrolet Truck Silverado 1500
Value of Collateral: \$11,050.00
Aggregate of Liens: \$11,752.66
Discharge: November 10, 2020

These minutes constitute the court's findings of fact and conclusions of law required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 52(a), *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7052, 9014(c). The findings of fact are as set forth above; the conclusions of law are as set forth below.

DEFAULT OF RESPONDENT

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

STAY RELIEF

"[A]fter notice and a hearing," the court may terminate, annul, modify or condition the stay: (1) "for cause, including the lack of adequate protection"; or (2) "with respect to a stay of an act against property [of the estate]" if the debtor lacks "equity" in that property and if that "property is not necessary for an effective reorganization." 11 U.S.C. § 362(d); see also Fed. R. Bankr. P. 4001(a)(1). The party seeking stay relief bears the burden of proof as to "the debtor's equity in the property" and on the validity and perfection of its security interest, as well as the amount of its debt. 11 U.S.C. § 362(g)(1); In re Dahlquist, 34 B.R. 476, 481 (Bankr. S.D. 1983). The party opposing stay relief, e.g., the debtor or Chapter 7 trustee, bears the burden of proof on all other issues. 11 U.S.C. § 362(g)(2).

As to the Debtor

The motion will be denied as moot in part to the extent it seeks stay relief as to the debtor. The stay that protects the debtor terminates at the entry of discharge. 11 U.S.C. § 362(c)(2). In

this case, discharge has been entered. As a result, the motion will be denied as moot as to the debtor.

As to the Estate

"[A]fter notice and a hearing," the court may terminate, annual, modify or condition the stay: (1) "for cause, including the lack of adequate protection"; or (2) "with respect to a stay of an act against property [of the estate]" if the debtor lacks "equity" in that property and if that "property is not necessary for an effective reorganization." 11 U.S.C. § 362(d); see also Fed. R. Bankr. P. 4001(a)(1). The party seeking stay relief bears the burden of proof as to "the debtor's equity in the property" and on the validity and perfection of its security interest, as well as the amount of its debt. 11 U.S.C. § 362(g)(1); In re Dahlquist, 34 B.R. 476, 481 (Bankr. S.D. 1983). The party opposing stay relief, e.g., the debtor or Chapter 7 trustee, bears the burden of proof on all other issues. 11 U.S.C. § 362(g)(2).

Section 362(d)(2) authorizes stay relief if the debtor lacks equity in the property and the property is not necessary to an effective reorganization. 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(2). Chapter 7 is a mechanism for liquidation, not reorganization, and, therefore, property of the estate is never necessary for reorganization. In re Casgul of Nevada, Inc., 22 B.R. 65, 66 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1982). In this case, the aggregate amount due all liens exceeds the value of the collateral and the debtor has no equity in the property. The motion will be granted, and the 14-day stay of Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 4001(a)(3) will be waived. No other relief will be awarded.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

Max Credit Union's motion for relief from the automatic stay has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted in part and denied as moot in part. The automatic stay is vacated with respect to the interest of the trustee in the property described in the motion, commonly known as 2011 Chevrolet Truck Silverado 1500. Relief from the automatic stay as to the interest of the debtor in such property is denied as moot given the entry of the discharge in this case. 11 U.S.C. § 362(c)(2)(C).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the 14-day stay of the order under Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 4001(a)(3) is waived. Any

party with standing may pursue its rights against the property pursuant to applicable non-bankruptcy law.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no other relief is awarded. To the extent that the motion includes any request for attorney's fees or other costs for bringing this motion, the request is denied.

2. <u>12-20725</u>-A-7 IN RE: BILLY/JUDY SMITH DNL-4

MOTION TO EMPLOY AYLSTOCK, WITKIN, KREIS & OVERHOLTZ, PLLC AS SPECIAL COUNSEL 10-26-2020 [68]

RONALD HOLLAND/ATTY. FOR DBT. J. CUNNINGHAM/ATTY. FOR MV. DEBTOR DISCHARGED: 4/24/12; JOINT DEBTOR DISCHARGED: 4/24/12

Final Ruling

Motion: Employ Special Counsel Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required Disposition: Continued to December 21, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. Order: Civil minute order

Special Counsel: Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC Subject of Representation: products liability claim Employment: 11 U.S.C. §§327, 328 Terms of Employment: contingent, 40%

Prior to the date of the petition, the debtor(s) sustained an injury for which a cause of action lies; that cause of action appears to be property of the estate, subject to applicable exemptions. 11 U.S.C. § 541. J. Michael Hopper, chapter 7 trustee, has moved to employ Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC as special counsel to represent the estate on a contingent basis with respect to the matters described herein. Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC has previously represented the debtors with respect to the same matter.

EMPLOYMENT

Chapter 7 trustees may employ counsel to represent the estate. 11 U.S.C. § 327. Employment may be for all purposes or for a limited purpose. The burden of proving eligibility is on the applicant. *In re Big Mac Marine, Inc.*, 326 B.R. 150, 154 (8th Cir. BAP 2005). Where the trustee seeks to employ special counsel that has previously represented the debtor employment is governed by § 327(e). That section provides:

The trustee, with the court's approval, may employ, for a specified special purpose, other than to represent the trustee in conducting the case, an attorney that has represented the debtor, if in the best interest of the

estate, and if such attorney does not represent or hold any interest adverse to the debtor or to the estate with respect to the matter on which such attorney is to be employed.

11 U.S.C. § 327(e).

In most instances, "in the best interest of the estate" means reasonably likely to recover non-exempt assets that may be administered for creditors, 11 U.S.C. § 704(a)(1). Proposed special counsel must not hold or represent "any adverse interest" to the debtor or to the estate "with respect to the matter on which the attorney is be employed." Adverse interest means "the (1) possession or assertion of an economic interest that would tend to lessen the value of the bankruptcy estate; or (2) possession or assertion of an economic interest that would create either an actual or potential dispute in which the estate is a rival claimant; or (3) possession of a predisposition under circumstances that create a bias against the estate." In re AFI Holding, Inc., 355 B.R. 139, 148-49 (9th Cir. BAP 2006), aff'd and adopted, 530 F.3d 832 (9th Cir. 2008). See In re Grant, 507 B.R. 306, 308-10 (Bankr. E.D. Cal. 2014) (holding that there is adverse interest where the attorney to be employed asserts a charging lien-at least if avoidable, or where the debtor argues that the proceeds of the action are exempt under applicable law).

Where the applicant wishes to define the terms of its employment it may also seek approval under § 328. The section provides:

The trustee...with the court's approval, may employ or authorize the employment of a professional person under section 327...on any reasonable terms and conditions of employment, including on a retainer, on an hourly basis, on a fixed or percentage fee basis, or on a contingent fee basis. Notwithstanding such terms and conditions, the court may allow compensation different from the compensation provided under such terms and conditions after the conclusion of such employment, if such terms and conditions prove to have been improvident in light of developments not capable of being anticipated at the time of the fixing of such terms and conditions.

11 U.S.C. § 328(a).

Here, the court finds the applicant did not sufficiently show the employment is in the best interests of the estate or the applicant's lack of an adverse interest. The court will continue the matter for a better showing.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

IT IS ORDERED that:

- 1. the motion is continued to December 21, 2020 at 9:00 a.m.;
- 2. not later than December 7, 2020, the movant shall file and serve on the U.S. Trustee:
 - A. a declaration by the applicant specifying (1) the date when it was first approached for representation by the debtor; (2) if and when the applicant and the debtor executed a fee agreement(s); (3) the date the applicant first became aware that the debtor had filed bankruptcy; (4) when the applicant first contacted the trustee or counsel about this matter and who initiated that contact; (5) whether an action has been filed on behalf of the debtor and the current status of any such action; (6) whether settlement discussions between the debtor and the tortfeasors have occurred; (7) whether the applicant has asserted that some, or all, of the proceeds of the action are exempt, 11 U.S.C. § 522(b); (8) a good faith estimate of the value of the case;
 - B. authenticated copies of (1) any and all fee agreements between the debtor and the applicant; (2) all communications sent to, or received from, the chapter 7 trustee and/or his counsel;
- 3. Not later than December 14, 2020 the U.S. Trustee is invited to rise and be heard be heard on these matters; and
- 4. The Clerk of the Court is ordered to restrict from public access the matters described in paragraph 2 hereof. 11 U.S.C. § 107; Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9018.

3. <u>20-23533</u>-A-7 IN RE: JOSEPH/VALERIE CLARK DNL-2

MOTION TO EMPLOY WEST AUCTIONS, INC. AS AUCTIONEER, AUTHORIZING SALE OF PROPERTY AT PUBLIC AUCTION AND AUTHORIZING PAYMENT OF AUCTIONEER FEES AND EXPENSES 10-26-2020 [54]

MARK SHMORGON/ATTY. FOR DBT. J. CUNNINGHAM/ATTY. FOR MV. DEBTOR DISCHARGED: 10/27/20; JOINT DEBTOR DISCHARGED: 10/27/20

Final Ruling

Motion: Sell Property and Employ and Compensate Auctioneer Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required Disposition: Granted Order: Prepared by moving party

Property: 2017 Chevy Camaro ("Camaro") and 1995 Seaswirl Boat Hull
with a 1995 Sport Carrier trailer ("Boat")
Sale Type: Public auction
Auctioneer: West Auctions
Compensation requested: 15% plus expenses not to exceed \$1,470.00

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

SALE UNDER SECTION 363(b) AS TO BOAT AND CAMARO

Section 363(b)(1) of Title 11 authorizes sales of property of the estate "other than in the ordinary course of business." 11 U.S.C. § 363(b)(1); see also In re Lionel Corp., 722 F.2d 1063, 1071 (2d Cir. 1983) (requiring business justification). The moving party is the Chapter 7 trustee and liquidation of property of the estate is a proper purpose. See 11 U.S.C. § 704(a)(1). As a result, the court will grant the motion to sell. The stay of the order provided by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 6004(h) will be waived.

SALE FREE AND CLEAR UNDER § 363(f) AS TO CAMARO

The term "bona fide dispute" in § 363(f)(4) means that "there is an objective basis for either a factual or legal dispute as to the validity of the debt." Union Planters Bank, N.A. v. Burns (In re Gaylord Grain L.L.C.), 306 B.R. 624, 627 (B.A.P. 8th Cir. 2004); see also 3 Collier on Bankruptcy ¶ 363.06[5], at 363-53 (Alan N. Resnick & Henry J. Sommer eds., 16th ed. rev. 2012) (citing cases). Under this subsection of § 363, the trustee has the burden of proof to show the existence of a bona fide dispute. See 3 Collier on Bankruptcy, supra, ¶ 363.06[5], at 363-53.

"Moreover, courts have recognized that to qualify as a 'bona fide dispute' under § 363(f)(4), the propriety of the lien does not have

to be the subject of an immediate or concurrent adversary proceeding." Burns, 306 B.R. at 627. In Burns, the bankruptcy appellate panel for the Eighth Circuit found that an objective basis existed to avoid a bank's liens against two vehicles because the liens against those vehicles had not been perfected pursuant to the state statute governing perfection of liens against motor vehicles. Burns, 306 B.R. at 628-29.

Here, the motion presents sufficient facts showing that an objective factual or legal dispute exists as to the validity of the lien or the debt that the lien secures.

11 U.S.C. § 363(e) requires that "at any time, on request of an entity that has an interest in property used, sold, or leased, or proposed to be used, sold, or leased, by the trustee, the court, with or without a hearing, shall prohibit or condition such use, sale, or lease as is necessary to provide adequate protection of such interest" (emphasis added). Here the trustee disputes the validity of creditor GM Financial's lien against the Camaro, having determined that the lien was satisfied in 2017, ECF 54. The trustee provided proper F.R.B.P. 7004 service on the secured creditor, ECF 59. The creditor did not oppose this motion to sell. Having considered the motion, declarations, exhibits and the lack of opposition to this motion, the court does not deem it necessary to provide adequate protection in this instance under § 363(e).

The sale will be free and clear of GM Financial Service's lien on the 2017 Chevy Camaro described above, and such lien shall attach to the proceeds of the sale with the same priority and validity as it had before the sale. 11 U.S.C. § 363(f).

SECTION 328(a) EMPLOYMENT AND COMPENSATION

The Chapter 7 trustee may employ an auctioneer that does not hold or represent an interest adverse to the estate and that is disinterested. 11 U.S.C. §§ 101(14), 327(a). The auctioneer satisfies the requirements of § 327(a), and the court will approve the auctioneer's employment.

Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 6005, moreover, requires the court to "fix the amount or rate of compensation" whenever the court authorizes the employment of an auctioneer. Section 328(a) authorizes employment of a professional on any reasonable terms and conditions of employment. Such reasonable terms include a fixed or percentage fee basis. The court finds that the compensation sought is reasonable and will approve the application.

4. 20-21236-A-7 IN RE: THOMAS/ALICE CLARY

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE TO PAY FEES 11-4-2020 [34]

BRUCE DWIGGINS/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Tentative Ruling

If the filing fee has not been paid in full by the time of the hearing, the case may be dismissed without further notice or hearing.

5. <u>19-24641</u>-A-7 **IN RE: S P E DRYWALL, INC** MPD-6

MOTION FOR COMPENSATION FOR HATHAWAY, KSENZULAK, AND LAPP, LLP, ACCOUNTANT(S) 10-26-2020 [87]

BRUCE DWIGGINS/ATTY. FOR DBT. HOWARD NEVINS/ATTY. FOR MV.

Final Ruling

Application: Allowance of First and Final Compensation and Expense
Reimbursement
Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required
Disposition: Approved
Order: Civil minute order

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this application was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on the application. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

COMPENSATION AND EXPENSES

In this Chapter 7 case, Hathaway, Ksenzulak & Lapp, LLP, accountant for the trustee, has applied for an allowance of final compensation and reimbursement of expenses. The applicant requests that the court allow compensation and reimbursement of expenses in the aggregate amount of \$995.00.

Section 330(a) of the Bankruptcy Code authorizes "reasonable compensation for actual, necessary services" rendered by a trustee, examiner or professional person employed under § 327 or § 1103 and "reimbursement for actual, necessary expenses." 11 U.S.C. § 330(a)(1). Reasonable compensation is determined by considering all relevant factors. See id. § 330(a)(3).

The court finds that the compensation and expenses sought are reasonable, and the court will approve the application on a final basis.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

Hathaway, Ksenzulak & Lapp, LLP's application for allowance of final compensation and reimbursement of expenses has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the application,

IT IS ORDERED that the application is approved on a final basis. The court allows final compensation and reimbursement of expenses in the aggregate amount of \$995.00.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the trustee is authorized without further order of this court to pay from the estate the aggregate amount allowed by this order in accordance with the Bankruptcy Code and the distribution priorities of § 726.

6. <u>20-21743</u>-A-7 IN RE: PATH LABS, LLC, A DELAWARE LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY HSM-10

MOTION TO EMPLOY JEFFREY OCHRACH AS SPECIAL COUNSEL 10-30-2020 [135]

ERIC SCHWAB/ATTY. FOR DBT. AARON AVERY/ATTY. FOR MV.

Tentative Ruling

Application: Approval of Employment
Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required
Disposition: Approved
Order: Prepared by applicant

Unopposed applications are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc.* v. *Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

The court may approve a trustee's employment of "a professional person under section 327 or 1103 of [Title 11] . . . on any reasonable terms and conditions of employment, including on a retainer, on an hourly basis, on a fixed or percentage fee basis, or on a contingent fee basis." 11 U.S.C. § 328(a). Employment under § 328(a) must also meet the requirements of § 327 by the express terms of § 328(a). Section 327(a) authorizes employment of only professional persons who "do not hold or represent an interest adverse to the estate, and that are disinterested persons." 11 U.S.C. § 327(a); see also id. § 101(14) (defining "disinterested person").

From the factual information provided in the motion and supporting papers, the court will approve the employment. The court does not authorize payment of the amount specified in the application as part of this order and requires a motion under 11 U.S.C. § 330 prior to payment of special counsel.

7. $\frac{19-23860}{MWP-1}$ -A-7 IN RE: SAMUEL/ERICA MOORE

MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY 10-26-2020 [48]

RICHARD HALL/ATTY. FOR DBT. MARTIN PHILLIPS/ATTY. FOR MV. DEBTORS DISCHARGED: 09/30/2019; BARRY MORSE VS.; NON-OPPOSITION

Final Ruling

Motion: Stay Relief Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); trustee's non-opposition filed Disposition: Granted in part, denied as moot in part Order: Civil minute order

Subject: 7595 Ridge Road, Newcastle, CA
Value of Collateral: \$580,000.00
Aggregate of Liens: \$590,579.27
Discharge: September 30, 2019

These minutes constitute the court's findings of fact and conclusions of law required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 52(a), *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7052, 9014(c). The findings of fact are as set forth above; the conclusions of law are as set forth below.

DEFAULT OF RESPONDENT

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

STAY RELIEF

"[A]fter notice and a hearing," the court may terminate, annul, modify or condition the stay: (1) "for cause, including the lack of adequate protection"; or (2) "with respect to a stay of an act against property [of the estate]" if the debtor lacks "equity" in that property and if that "property is not necessary for an effective reorganization." 11 U.S.C. § 362(d); see also Fed. R. Bankr. P. 4001(a)(1). The party seeking stay relief bears the burden of proof as to "the debtor's equity in the property" and on the validity and perfection of its security interest, as well as the amount of its debt. 11 U.S.C. § 362(g)(1); In re Dahlquist, 34 B.R. 476, 481 (Bankr. S.D. 1983). The party opposing stay relief, e.g., the debtor or Chapter 7 trustee, bears the burden of proof on all other issues. 11 U.S.C. § 362(g)(2).

As to the Debtor

The motion will be denied as moot in part to the extent it seeks stay relief as to the debtor. The stay that protects the debtor terminates at the entry of discharge. 11 U.S.C. § 362(c)(2). In this case, discharge has been entered. As a result, the motion will be denied as moot as to the debtor.

As to the Estate

"[A]fter notice and a hearing," the court may terminate, annual, modify or condition the stay: (1) "for cause, including the lack of adequate protection"; or (2) "with respect to a stay of an act against property [of the estate]" if the debtor lacks "equity" in that property and if that "property is not necessary for an effective reorganization." 11 U.S.C. § 362(d); see also Fed. R. Bankr. P. 4001(a)(1). The party seeking stay relief bears the burden of proof as to "the debtor's equity in the property" and on the validity and perfection of its security interest, as well as the amount of its debt. 11 U.S.C. § 362(g)(1); In re Dahlquist, 34 B.R. 476, 481 (Bankr. S.D. 1983). The party opposing stay relief, e.g., the debtor or Chapter 7 trustee, bears the burden of proof on all other issues. 11 U.S.C. § 362(g)(2).

Section 362(d)(2) authorizes stay relief if the debtor lacks equity in the property and the property is not necessary to an effective reorganization. 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(2). Chapter 7 is a mechanism for liquidation, not reorganization, and, therefore, property of the estate is never necessary for reorganization. In re Casgul of Nevada, Inc., 22 B.R. 65, 66 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1982). In this case, the aggregate amount due all liens exceeds the value of the collateral and the debtor has no equity in the property. The motion will be granted, and the 14-day stay of Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 4001(a)(3) will be waived. No other relief will be awarded.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

Barry W. Morse's motion for relief from the automatic stay has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted in part and denied as moot in part. The automatic stay is vacated with respect to the interest of the trustee in the property described in the motion, commonly known as 7595 Ridge Road, Newcastle, CA. Relief from the automatic stay as to the interest of the debtor in such property is denied as moot given the entry of the discharge in this case. 11 U.S.C. § 362(c)(2)(C).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the 14-day stay of the order under Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 4001(a)(3) is waived. Any party with standing may pursue its rights against the property pursuant to applicable non-bankruptcy law.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no other relief is awarded. To the extent that the motion includes any request for attorney's fees or other costs for bringing this motion, the request is denied.

8. $\frac{19-23860}{PP-1}$ -A-7 IN RE: SAMUEL/ERICA MOORE

MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY O.S.T. 10-28-2020 [55]

RICHARD HALL/ATTY. FOR DBT. DONNA PARKINSON/ATTY. FOR MV. DEBTORS DISCHARGED: 09/30/2019; KATE MOORE VS.; NON-OPPOSITION

Final Ruling

Motion: Stay Relief Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); trustee's non-opposition filed Disposition: Granted in part, denied as moot in part Order: Civil minute order

Subject: 7595 Ridge Road, Newcastle, CA
Value of Collateral: \$580,000.00
Aggregate of Liens: \$590,579.27
Discharge: September 30, 2019

These minutes constitute the court's findings of fact and conclusions of law required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 52(a), *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7052, 9014(c). The findings of fact are as set forth above; the conclusions of law are as set forth below.

DEFAULT OF RESPONDENT

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

STAY RELIEF

"[A]fter notice and a hearing," the court may terminate, annul, modify or condition the stay: (1) "for cause, including the lack of adequate protection"; or (2) "with respect to a stay of an act against property [of the estate]" if the debtor lacks "equity" in that property and if that "property is not necessary for an effective reorganization." 11 U.S.C. § 362(d); see also Fed. R. Bankr. P. 4001(a)(1). The party seeking stay relief bears the burden of proof as to "the debtor's equity in the property" and on the validity and perfection of its security interest, as well as the amount of its debt. 11 U.S.C. § 362(g)(1); In re Dahlquist, 34 B.R. 476, 481 (Bankr. S.D. 1983). The party opposing stay relief, e.g., the debtor or Chapter 7 trustee, bears the burden of proof on all other issues. 11 U.S.C. § 362(g)(2).

As to the Debtor

The motion will be denied as moot in part to the extent it seeks stay relief as to the debtor. The stay that protects the debtor terminates at the entry of discharge. 11 U.S.C. § 362(c)(2). In this case, discharge has been entered. As a result, the motion will be denied as moot as to the debtor.

As to the Estate

"[A]fter notice and a hearing," the court may terminate, annual, modify or condition the stay: (1) "for cause, including the lack of adequate protection"; or (2) "with respect to a stay of an act against property [of the estate]" if the debtor lacks "equity" in that property and if that "property is not necessary for an effective reorganization." 11 U.S.C. § 362(d); see also Fed. R. Bankr. P. 4001(a)(1). The party seeking stay relief bears the burden of proof as to "the debtor's equity in the property" and on the validity and perfection of its security interest, as well as the amount of its debt. 11 U.S.C. § 362(g)(1); In re Dahlquist, 34 B.R. 476, 481 (Bankr. S.D. 1983). The party opposing stay relief, e.g., the debtor or Chapter 7 trustee, bears the burden of proof on all other issues. 11 U.S.C. § 362(g)(2).

Section 362(d)(2) authorizes stay relief if the debtor lacks equity in the property and the property is not necessary to an effective reorganization. 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(2). Chapter 7 is a mechanism for liquidation, not reorganization, and, therefore, property of the estate is never necessary for reorganization. *In re Casgul of Nevada, Inc.*, 22 B.R. 65, 66 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1982). In this case, the aggregate amount due all liens exceeds the value of the collateral and the debtor has no equity in the property. The motion will be granted, and the 14-day stay of Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 4001(a)(3) will be waived. No other relief will be awarded.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

Kate L. Moore's motion for relief from the automatic stay has been presented to the court. Having entered the default of respondent for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted in part and denied as moot in part. The automatic stay is vacated with respect to the interest of the trustee in the property described in the motion, commonly known as 7595 Ridge Road, Newcastle, CA. Relief from the automatic stay as to the interest of the debtor in such property is denied as moot given the entry of the discharge in this case. 11 U.S.C. § 362(c)(2)(C).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the 14-day stay of the order under Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 4001(a)(3) is waived. Any party with standing may pursue its rights against the property pursuant to applicable non-bankruptcy law.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no other relief is awarded. To the extent that the motion includes any request for attorney's fees or other costs for bringing this motion, the request is denied. 9. <u>19-23860</u>-A-7 IN RE: SAMUEL/ERICA MOORE RAH-1

MOTION TO COMPEL ABANDONMENT 11-5-2020 [64]

RICHARD HALL/ATTY. FOR DBT. DEBTORS DISCHARGED: 09/30/2019;

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Compel Abandonment of Property of the Estate Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(2); trustee's non-opposition filed Disposition: Granted Order: Prepared by moving party

Subject: 7595 Ridge Road in Newcastle, CA 95658
Value: \$562,000.00
1st Trust Deed: \$143,593.00
2nd Trust Deed: \$280,000.00
Exemption: \$160,000.00
Non-Exempt Equity: \$0.00

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

Property of the estate may be abandoned under § 554 of the Bankruptcy Code if property of the estate is "burdensome to the estate or of inconsequential value and benefit to the estate." See 11 U.S.C. § 554(a)-(b). Upon request of a party in interest, the court may issue an order that the trustee abandon property of the estate if the statutory standards for abandonment are fulfilled.

The real property described above is either burdensome to the estate or of inconsequential value to the estate. An order compelling abandonment is warranted.

PROOF OF SERVICE AND DECLARATIONS NOT FILED AS SEPARATE DOCUMENT

Local Bankruptcy Rule 9004-2(c)(1) provides, "Motions, notices, objections, responses, replies, declarations, affidavits, other documentary evidence, exhibits, memoranda of points and authorities, other supporting documents, proofs of service, and related pleadings shall be filed as separate documents."

In this case, the proof of service is attached to the Amended Notice of Hearing and the declarations are attached to the motion. The court finds the manner of service to violate Local Bankruptcy Rule 9004-2(c)(1). In the future, failure to following local rules may result in denial of the motion or other sanctions. LBR 1001-1(g).

10. <u>05-39978</u>-A-7 **IN RE: JOANNE RUDULPH** DNL-12

MOTION FOR COMPENSATION BY THE LAW OFFICE OF DESMOND, NOLAN, LIVAICH & CUNNINGHAM TRUSTEES ATTORNEY(S) 10-26-2020 [294]

MARK WOLFF/ATTY. FOR DBT. DEBTOR DISCHARGED: 4/21/06

Final Ruling

Application: Allowance of First and Final Compensation and Expense
Reimbursement
Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required
Disposition: Approved
Order: Civil minute order

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this application was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on the application. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

COMPENSATION AND EXPENSES

In this Chapter 7 case, Desmond, Nolan, Livaich & Cunningham, attorney for the trustee, has applied for an allowance of final compensation and reimbursement of expenses. The applicant requests that the court allow compensation in the amount of \$12,520.00 and reimbursement of expenses in the amount of \$1,130.60.

Section 330(a) of the Bankruptcy Code authorizes "reasonable compensation for actual, necessary services" rendered by a trustee, examiner or professional person employed under § 327 or § 1103 and "reimbursement for actual, necessary expenses." 11 U.S.C. § 330(a)(1). Reasonable compensation is determined by considering all relevant factors. See id. § 330(a)(3).

The court finds that the compensation and expenses sought are reasonable, and the court will approve the application on a final basis.

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

Desmond, Nolan, Livaich & Cunningham's application for allowance of final compensation and reimbursement of expenses has been presented

to the court. Having entered the default of respondent for failure to appear, timely oppose, or otherwise defend in the matter, and having considered the well-pleaded facts of the application,

IT IS ORDERED that the application is approved on a final basis. The court allows final compensation in the amount of \$12,520.00 and reimbursement of expenses in the amount of \$1,130.60.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the trustee is authorized without further order of this court to pay from the estate the aggregate amount allowed by this order in accordance with the Bankruptcy Code and the distribution priorities of § 726.