

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

Eastern District of California

Honorable Robert S. Bardwil  
Bankruptcy Judge  
Sacramento, California

November 19, 2014 at 10:00 a.m.

---

INSTRUCTIONS FOR PRE-HEARING DISPOSITIONS

1. Matters resolved without oral argument:

Unless otherwise stated, the court will prepare a civil minute order on each matter listed. If the moving party wants a more specific order, it should submit a proposed amended order to the court. In the event a party wishes to submit such an Order it needs to be titled 'Amended Civil Minute Order.'

If the moving party has received a response or is aware of any reason, such as a settlement, that a response may not have been filed, the moving party must contact Nancy Williams, the Courtroom Deputy, at (916) 930-4580 at least one hour prior to the scheduled hearing.

2. The court will not continue any short cause evidentiary hearings scheduled below.
3. If a matter is denied or overruled without prejudice, the moving party may file a new motion or objection to claim with a new docket control number. The moving party may not simply re-notice the original motion.
4. If no disposition is set forth below, the matter will be heard as scheduled.

---

1. 14-29905-D-11 RAVINDER GILL STATUS CONFERENCE RE: VOLUNTARY  
PETITION  
10-2-14 [1]

Tentative ruling:

This is the initial status conference in this chapter 11 case. The court does not ordinarily issue tentative rulings for chapter 11 status conferences; however, the court has several concerns about this case.

By the terms of the Order to (1) File Status Report; and (2) Attend Status Conference (the "Scheduling Order"), the debtor was required to serve the Scheduling Order on the holders of the 20 largest unsecured claims. However, the debtors failed to serve the IRS and the Franchise Tax Board, who hold two of the 20 largest unsecured claims in the case. (At \$34,748, the IRS holds the second largest scheduled unsecured claim.) (For the benefit of the debtor and the debtor's counsel, the court notes that neither the IRS nor the Franchise Tax Board is listed on the debtor's Schedule E at its address on the Roster of Governmental Agencies, as required by LBR 2002-1(c).)

Further, the debtor failed to serve the Scheduling Order on Gold Country Bank,

which is listed on the List of 20 Largest Unsecured Creditors, and failed to serve the attorney for Stohlman & Rogers, Inc., who had earlier filed a request for special notice, as required by the Scheduling Order. Finally, the debtor failed to serve the status report on anyone, so far as the record reveals, although he was required by the Scheduling Order to serve the status report on the same parties who were required to be served with the Scheduling Order.

The court is also concerned about the issue of the debtor's use of cash collateral. In his status report, the debtor stated: "7-Eleven Corporation holds a cash collateral interest in the merchandise sold in Debtor's convenience store. A motion to use cash collateral has been drafted and reviewed by Debtor and will be filed and set for hearing at the first hearing date available that provides proper notice." Prelim. Status Report, filed Oct. 24, 2014, at 3:18-21. The court has a local rule that provides for preliminary hearings on "first-day" motions, including motions to use cash collateral, to be heard on as little as two days' notice. LBR 9014-1(f)(4). Yet this case has been pending and the debtor has been using cash collateral for over six weeks, and no motion to use cash collateral has been filed. Further, the status report stated that a motion had been drafted and reviewed by the debtor, yet none has been filed in the three weeks since the status report was filed. As a result, the court has serious concerns as to whether this case is being properly prosecuted.

Finally, the debtor filed an application to employ counsel on October 28, 2014. The United States Trustee filed an objection on November 3, 2014; however, neither the debtor nor his counsel has filed a response. The court has requested the debtor's counsel to set his employment application for hearing. If that has not been done by the time of the hearing, the debtor will need to address this issue, together with the cash collateral issue, at the hearing. The debtor will also need to advise the court whether anything has changed since the debtor's prior case was dismissed six months ago to suggest the debtor will be able to obtain confirmation of a plan of reorganization. In any event, however, the court intends to continue the hearing and require the debtor to file a notice of continued status conference and to serve it, together with his status report and the Scheduling Order, on all creditors. Although not required by the Scheduling Order, the court will require the debtor to serve the notice, status report, and Scheduling Order on his former spouse, Jaspreet Gill, who is listed on the debtor's Schedule H as a co-debtor on the \$1,316,611 debt secured by the debtor's commercial property.

The court will hear the matter.

2.	13-24507-D-7 CWS-7	DKW PRECISION MACHINING INC.	MOTION TO ESTABLISH BAR DATE FOR FILING MOTIONS FOR ALLOWANCE OF CHAPTER 11 ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIMS 10-22-14 [184]
----	-----------------------	---------------------------------	--

3. 14-29314-D-7 MAKEBA BLACK MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM  
APN-1 AUTOMATIC STAY  
WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A. VS. 10-22-14 [13]

**Final ruling:**

This matter is resolved without oral argument. This is Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.'s motion for relief from automatic stay. The court's records indicate that no timely opposition has been filed. The motion along with the supporting pleadings demonstrate that there is no equity in the subject property and debtors are not making post petition payments. The court finds there is cause for relief from stay, including lack of adequate protection of the moving party's interest. Accordingly, the court will grant relief from stay by minute order. As the debtors are not making post-petition payments and the creditor's collateral is a depreciating asset, the court will also waive FRBP 4001(a)(3). There will be no further relief afforded. No appearance is necessary.

4. 14-25816-D-11 DEEPAL WANNAKUWATTE CONTINUED MOTION FOR RELIEF  
WFH-1 FROM AUTOMATIC STAY  
IMG FUNDING, LLC VS. 9-10-14 [169]

**Final ruling:**

The hearing on this motion is continued to December 3, 2014 at 10:00 a.m. No appearance is necessary on November 19, 2014.

5. 14-28524-D-7 LUIS/EVANGELINA FRANCO MOTION TO AVOID LIEN OF GCFS,  
RAC-1 INC.  
10-17-14 [11]

**Final ruling:**

The matter is resolved without oral argument. The court's records indicate that no timely opposition has been filed and the relief requested in the motion is supported by the record. The court finds the judicial lien described in the motion impairs an exemption to which the debtors are entitled. As a result, the court will grant the debtors' motion to avoid the lien. Moving party is to submit an appropriate order. No appearance is necessary.

6. 14-22526-D-7 DAVID JONES MOTION TO AMEND  
14-2133 HM-1 9-22-14 [17]  
CHEPLICK V. JONES

**Tentative ruling:**

As a preliminary matter the court notes that the plaintiff/moving party has not complied with Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(d) as the pleadings have been filed as a combined notice and motion, and improperly attached the proposed amended complaint as an exhibit. This is the plaintiff's motion for leave to amend his complaint. The defendant has not filed opposition. However, that does not by itself entitle the moving party to the relief requested. "[I]t is black-letter law that entry of default does not entitle a plaintiff to judgment as a matter of right or as a matter

of law." All Points Capital Corp. v. Meyer (In re Meyer), 373 B.R. 84, 88 (9th Cir. BAP 2007), citing Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(b)(2), incorporated herein by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055. "Settled precedent establishes that default judgment is a matter of discretion in which the court is entitled to consider, among other things, the merits of the substantive claim, the sufficiency of the complaint, the possibility of a dispute regarding material facts, whether the default was due to excusable neglect, and the 'strong policy' favoring decisions on the merits." Id., citing Eitel v. McCool, 782 F.2d 1470, 1471-72 (9th Cir. 1986). Thus, the court will consider the merits of the motion.

By his proposed amended complaint, the plaintiff seeks to add a § 727(a) cause of action, including claims under four different subdivisions of § 727(a), to the § 523(a)(2), (4), and (6) causes of action set forth in his original complaint. In particular, the plaintiff would add a request to deny the defendant's discharge pursuant to § 727(a)(2), (3), (4), and (7). Amendments to pleadings are to be liberally allowed in view of the policy favoring determination of disputes on their merits. See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7015, incorporating Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(2); Magno v. Rigsby (In re Magno), 216 B.R. 34, 38 (9th Cir. BAP 1997) (citation omitted). However, where an amendment would be futile, leave to amend will be denied. Kendall v. Visa U.S.A., Inc., 518 F.3d 1042, 1051 (9th Cir. 2008). In this case, the deadline for the plaintiff to file a § 727 complaint in the defendant's underlying chapter 7 case was June 23, 2014.<sup>1</sup> Thus, unless the plaintiff's § 727 claims would relate back to the date of filing of the original complaint, it would be futile for the plaintiff to amend the complaint to add those claims. Magno, 216 B.R. at 38 ["[I]f [the plaintiff's] amended complaint did not relate back to the original complaint, it would have been a futile gesture for the bankruptcy court to grant leave to amend."].

In this regard, this case is similar to Magno, and for the reasons set forth in that decision, the motion will be denied. The factual allegations in the original complaint in the present case pertain to the defendant's actions in roughly the year before his bankruptcy filing. The plaintiff alleges the defendant, in an attempt to evade an obligation owed to the plaintiff by a corporation of which the defendant was a majority shareholder, arranged to transfer all of the corporation's assets to a new corporation and then put the original corporation into bankruptcy. The proposed new fourth cause of action would add allegations that the defendant treated the original corporation as his alter ego. The cause of action then alleges that (1) with the intent to hinder, delay, or defraud a creditor, the defendant transferred, removed, destroyed, mutilated, or concealed property of the defendant during the year prior to his bankruptcy filing and/or property of the estate after the filing; (2) the defendant has concealed, destroyed, mutilated, falsified, or failed to keep records from which his financial condition or business transactions might be ascertained; (3) the defendant made a false oath or account and/or withheld from an officer of the estate books and records relating to his property or financial affairs; and (4) the defendant has failed to satisfactorily explain any loss or deficiency of assets to meet his liabilities.

As in Magno, these allegations constitute new factual allegations that were not included in the original complaint; that is, the proposed amended complaint would add not only new legal theories but new factual allegations. As a result, there is not a sufficient nexus between the original and amended complaints for the court to determine that the amended complaint relates back to the original (Magno, 216 B.R. at 40-42), and the amendment would therefore be futile.

For the procedural defects and the substantive reasons stated, the motion will

be denied by minute order. The court will hear the matter.

---

1 The deadline was June 23, 2014. The trustee has negotiated stipulated orders with the debtor extending the trustee's time to file a § 727 complaint. However, those orders are clear: they extend the deadline only for the trustee and not for any creditor.

7. 13-20636-D-7 GOLD FORK ENTERPRISES, MOTION FOR COMPENSATION FOR  
SMD-2 INC. GABRIELSON & COMPANY,  
ACCOUNTANT(S)  
10-23-14 [61]

**Final ruling:**

The matter is resolved without oral argument. The court's records indicate that no timely opposition has been filed. The record establishes, and the court finds, that the fees and costs requested are reasonable compensation for actual, necessary, and beneficial services under Bankruptcy Code § 330(a). As such, the court will grant the motion by minute order. No appearance is necessary.

8. 14-28836-D-7 MARIE THOMAS MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM  
PD-1 AUTOMATIC STAY  
WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A. VS. 10-14-14 [11]

**Final ruling:**

This matter is resolved without oral argument. This is Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.'s motion for relief from automatic stay. The court records indicate that no timely opposition has been filed. The motion along with the supporting pleadings demonstrate that there is no equity in the subject property and the property is not necessary for an effective reorganization. Accordingly, the court finds there is cause for granting relief from stay. The court will grant relief from stay by minute order. There will be no further relief afforded. No appearance is necessary.

9. 14-26740-D-7 CAROL JACKSON CONTINUED MOTION TO AVOID LIEN  
MOH-1 OF GCFS, INC.  
9-22-14 [16]

**Final ruling:**

The matter is resolved without oral argument. The court's records indicate that no timely opposition has been filed and the relief requested in the motion as supplemented by the supplemental documents is supported by the record. The court finds the judicial lien described in the motion impairs an exemption to which the debtor is entitled. As a result, the court will grant the debtor's motion to avoid the lien. Moving party is to submit an appropriate order. No appearance is necessary.

10. 14-30044-D-7 RACHELLE BENFORD

MOTION FOR WAIVER OF THE  
CHAPTER 7 FILING FEE OR OTHER  
FEE  
10-8-14 [5]

11. 14-28546-D-7 LAURA STIMSON  
JHW-1  
AMERICREDIT FINANCIAL  
SERVICES, INC. VS.

MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM  
AUTOMATIC STAY  
10-8-14 [10]

**Final ruling:**

**The matter is resolved without oral argument. The court's records indicate that no timely opposition has been filed and the relief requested in the motion is supported by the record. As such the court will grant relief from stay. As the debtor's Statement of Intentions indicates she will surrender the property, the court will also waive FRBP 4001(a)(3) by minute order. There will be no further relief afforded. No appearance is necessary.**

12. 14-25148-D-11 HENRY TOSTA  
WT-1  
ECHEVERRIA BROTHERS DAIRY  
VS.

CONTINUED MOTION FOR RELIEF  
FROM AUTOMATIC STAY AND/OR  
MOTION FOR SURRENDER OF REAL  
PROPERTY  
9-25-14 [207]

**This matter will not be called before 10:30 a.m.**

13. 14-22151-D-7 RAYMOND SADOWSKI  
PD-2

CONTINUED MOTION FOR APPROVAL  
OF STIPULATION REGARDING USE,  
DISTRIBUTION, AND SURCHARGE OF  
CASH COLLATERAL  
9-17-14 [41]

14. 14-28255-D-7 ZACHARY WRIGHT TRUSTEE'S MOTION TO DISMISS FOR  
FAILURE TO APPEAR AT SEC.  
341(A) MEETING OF CREDITORS  
9-23-14 [16]

**Final ruling:**

The debtor has requested this hearing on the trustee's motion to dismiss the case for failure to appear at the meeting of creditors. The record in the case reveals that the debtor and his attorney appeared at the continued meeting of creditors, the meeting was held and concluded, and the trustee has now issued a report of no distribution. Because the debtor appeared at the continued meeting, the trustee's motion to dismiss the case will be denied. No appearance is necessary at the hearing.

15. 13-34659-D-7 GERARDO CHAVEZ CONTINUED MOTION FOR TURNOVER  
SSA-2 OF PROPERTY  
8-21-14 [20]

16. 09-29162-D-11 SK FOODS, L.P. MOTION FOR COMPENSATION BY THE  
DB-30 LAW OFFICE OF DOWNEY BRAND LLP  
FOR JAMIE P. DREHER, CREDITOR  
COMM. ATY(S)  
10-22-14 [5339]

**This matter will not be called before 10:45 a.m.**

17. 09-29162-D-11 SK FOODS, L.P. AMENDED MOTION FOR COMPENSATION  
SH-295 BY THE LAW OFFICE OF SCHNADER  
HARRISON SEGAL & LEWIS LLP FOR  
KEVIN W. COLEMAN, TRUSTEE'S  
ATTORNEY(S)  
10-27-14 [5355]

**This matter will not be called before 10:45 a.m.**

18. 09-29162-D-11 SK FOODS, L.P. MOTION FOR COMPENSATION BY THE  
SH-297 LAW OFFICE OF NORTON ROSE  
FULBRIGHT SPECIAL COUNSEL(S)  
10-22-14 [5311]

**This matter will not be called before 10:45 a.m.**

19. 09-29162-D-11 SK FOODS, L.P. MOTION FOR COMPENSATION FOR  
SH-298 PLATINUM ADVISORS, LLC,  
CONSULTANT(S)  
10-22-14 [5331]

**This matter will not be called before 10:45 a.m.**

20. 09-29162-D-11 SK FOODS, L.P. MOTION FOR COMPENSATION BY THE  
SH-299 LAW OFFICE OF NORTON ROSE FOR  
GEORGE LUCARELLI, SPECIAL  
COUNSEL(S)  
10-22-14 [5335]

**This matter will not be called before 10:45 a.m.**

21. 09-29162-D-11 SK FOODS, L.P. MOTION FOR COMPENSATION BY THE  
SH-300 LAW OFFICE OF NORTON ROSE  
FULBRIGHT FOR FABIAN GLEESON,  
SPECIAL COUNSEL(S)  
10-22-14 [5327]

**This matter will not be called before 10:45 a.m.**

22. 09-29162-D-11 SK FOODS, L.P. MOTION FOR COMPENSATION BY THE  
SH-301 LAW OFFICE OF NORTON ROSE  
FULBRIGHT FOR DARYL WILLIAMS,  
SPECIAL COUNSEL(S)  
10-22-14 [5323]

**This matter will not be called before 10:45 a.m.**

23. 09-29162-D-11 SK FOODS, L.P. MOTION FOR COMPENSATION BY THE  
SH-302 LAW OFFICE OF NORTON ROSE  
FULBRIGHT FOR PETER COLLINSON,  
SPECIAL COUNSEL(S)  
10-22-14 [5321]

**This matter will not be called before 10:45 a.m.**

24. 09-29162-D-11 SK FOODS, L.P. MOTION FOR COMPENSATION BY THE  
SH-303 LAW OFFICE OF NORTON ROSE  
FULBRIGHT FOR ANDREW BUCKLAND,  
SPECIAL COUNSEL(S)  
10-22-14 [5319]

**This matter will not be called before 10:45 a.m.**

25. 09-29162-D-11 SK FOODS, L.P. MOTION FOR COMPENSATION BY THE  
SH-304 LAW OFFICE OF NORTON ROSE FOR  
DORAN COOK, SPECIAL COUNSEL(S)  
10-22-14 [5317]

**This matter will not be called before 10:45 a.m.**

26. 09-29162-D-11 SK FOODS, L.P. MOTION FOR COMPENSATION FOR  
SH-305 GREELEY, LINDSAY CONSULTANT  
GROUP, OTHER PROFESSIONAL(S)  
10-22-14 [5315]

**This matter will not be called before 10:45 a.m.**

27. 09-29162-D-11 SK FOODS, L.P. MOTION FOR COMPENSATION FOR  
SH-306 GREENWOODS & FREEHILLS, OTHER  
PROFESSIONAL(S)  
10-22-14 [5309]

**This matter will not be called before 10:45 a.m.**

28. 09-29162-D-11 SK FOODS, L.P. MOTION FOR COMPENSATION FOR  
SH-307 REALIZE CPA, LLP, ACCOUNTANT(S)  
10-22-14 [5313]

**This matter will not be called before 10:45 a.m.**

29. 14-20064-D-7 GLENN GREGO MOTION TO DISMISS CASE  
10-22-14 [142]

30. 13-35066-D-7 JOAN POTTERTON  
JWR-1

MOTION FOR COMPENSATION FOR  
JOHN W. REGER, CHAPTER 7  
TRUSTEE(S)  
10-22-14 [64]

31. 14-26967-D-7 STEPHANIE ANIU  
VVF-1  
AMERICAN HONDA FINANCE  
CORPORATION VS.

MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM  
AUTOMATIC STAY AND/OR MOTION  
FOR ADEQUATE PROTECTION  
10-15-14 [79]

**Final ruling:**

This matter is resolved without oral argument. This is American Honda Finance Corporation's motion for relief from automatic stay. The court's records indicate that no timely opposition has been filed. The motion along with the supporting pleadings demonstrate that there is no equity in the subject property and debtor is not making post petition payments. The court finds there is cause for relief from stay, including lack of adequate protection of the moving party's interest. Accordingly, the court will grant relief from stay by minute order. As the debtor is not making post-petition payments and the creditor's collateral is a depreciating asset, the court will also waive FRBP 4001(a)(3). There will be no further relief afforded. No appearance is necessary.

32. 14-26967-D-7 STEPHANIE ANIU  
VVF-2  
AMERICAN HONDA FINANCE  
CORPORATION VS.

MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM  
AUTOMATIC STAY AND/OR MOTION  
FOR ADEQUATE PROTECTION  
10-15-14 [87]

**Final ruling:**

This matter is resolved without oral argument. This is American Honda Finance Corporation's motion for relief from automatic stay. The court's records indicate that no timely opposition has been filed. The motion along with the supporting pleadings demonstrate that there is no equity in the subject property and debtor is not making post petition payments. The court finds there is cause for relief from stay, including lack of adequate protection of the moving party's interest. Accordingly, the court will grant relief from stay by minute order. As the debtor is not making post-petition payments and the creditor's collateral is a depreciating asset, the court will also waive FRBP 4001(a)(3). There will be no further relief afforded. No appearance is necessary.

33. 10-36676-D-7 SUNDANCE SELF-STORAGE-EL CONTINUED OBJECTION TO CLAIM OF  
TAA-1 DORADO LP ANDREA BROWN, DBA BRYDEN  
PROPERTY MANAGEMENT, CLAIM  
NUMBER 9  
8-7-14 [569]

**Final ruling:**

The matter is resolved without oral argument. The court's record indicates that no timely opposition/response to the objection has been filed and the objection is supported by the record. Accordingly, the court will sustain the trustee's objection to claim. The trustee is to submit an appropriate order. No appearance is necessary.

34. 14-28694-D-11 RICHARD/JENNIFER GARCIA CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE RE:  
VOLUNTARY PETITION  
8-28-14 [1]

**This matter will not be called before 10:30 a.m.**

35. 14-28694-D-11 RICHARD/JENNIFER GARCIA MOTION TO EMPLOY C. ANTHONY  
CAH-2 HUGHES AS ATTORNEY(S)  
10-16-14 [28]

**This matter will not be called before 10:30 a.m.**

**Tentative ruling:**

This is the application of the debtors-in-possession to employ Hughes Financial Law as their bankruptcy counsel in this case.<sup>1</sup> The court has two concerns.

First, the application and supporting declaration of C. Anthony Hughes do not satisfy the requirements of Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2014(a). Under that rule, the person to be employed must disclose all of the person's connections with the debtors, creditors, any other party in interest, their respective attorneys and accountants, the United States Trustee, or any person employed in the office of the United States Trustee. A "person" includes an individual, partnership, and corporation. § 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code. The application states that the debtors seek to employ Hughes Financial Law; thus, the person to be employed, for purposes of Rule 2014(a), is Hughes Financial Law. The debtors' application does not satisfy Rule 2014(a) because it does not purport to state the connections between the "person" to be employed; that is, Hughes Financial Law, its shareholders, associates, and employees, on the one hand, and the debtors, creditors, any other party in interest, their respective attorneys and accountants, the United States Trustee, and employees of the United States Trustee, on the other hand. Instead, the application draws the

conclusion that Mr. Hughes (not Hughes Financial Law) "does not hold or represent any interest materially adverse to the Debtors or their estate or of any class of creditors or equity security holders, by reason of any direct or indirect relationship to, connection with, or interest in, the Debtors, or for any other reason . . . ." App., filed Oct. 16, 2014, at 3:1-4. The application also draws the conclusion that Mr. Hughes is a disinterested person as defined in the Bankruptcy Code. Mr. Hughes makes the same statements in his supporting declaration.

Mr. Hughes should be well aware by now that these are conclusions for the court, not him, to draw based on full disclosure of all connections of the sorts described in the rule. Neither the application nor the supporting declaration purports to disclose all of those connections.

Second, the application and declaration include a confusing explanation for the \$13,000 credit referred to in Counsel's original Rule 2016(b) statement, and the explanation raises additional questions. It refers to Judith Whitman as an "associate attorney of the firm [Hughes Financial Law]," whereas Ms. Whitman's address, according to the State Bar's website, is in Auburn, California, not Sacramento, where Hughes Financial Law is located, and there is no mention on the website of any connection between Ms. Whitman and Hughes Financial Law. In his Rule 2016(b) statement, Mr. Hughes states he has not agreed to share compensation with any other person except members and associates of his law firm. Further, the California Rules of Professional Conduct (the "Rules") prohibit an attorney from dividing a fee for legal services with a lawyer who is not a partner of, associate of, or shareholder with the member absent written consent of the client following full written disclosure. Rule 2-200(A). The Rules define an "associate" as an employee or fellow employee who is employed as a lawyer. Rule 1-100(B)(4). Mr. Hughes should be prepared at the hearing to confirm that, despite the lack of a disclosed connection on the State Bar's website, Ms. Whitman is an employee of Hughes Financial Law, and not simply in some sort of informal "association" with Hughes Financial Law.

Third, the Amended Disclosure of Compensation of Attorney filed October 7, 2014, further confuses and obfuscates the issue as to whether Counsel was owed money at the time the Petition was filed. Counsel should be prepared at the hearing to explain whether he or his firm paid any money from the firm trust account, or other account, subsequent to the filing of this Chapter 11 case on account of a claim for services rendered to the debtors pre-petition.

In any event, however, because the application and declaration do not purport to disclose the connections required by Rule 2014(a) to be disclosed, the application will be denied. Alternatively, the court will continue the hearing to allow Counsel to supplement the record with the information sufficient to address the issues outlined above. Counsel should note also that the local rules of this court require an additional statement after the disclosure of any actual connections. See LBR 2014-1. The court will hear the matter.

---

1 It appears from the first sentence of the parties' fee agreement, filed as an exhibit, that Hughes Financial Law is a dba of Attorneys United, PC. Thus, although the application does not say so, it appears the debtors are seeking to employ Attorneys United, PC as their counsel in this case.

36. 14-30802-D-7 BOBBIE SMITH MOTION TO COMPEL ABANDONMENT  
TAG-1 11-4-14 [8]
37. 14-28314-D-7 TGW, INC. MOTION FOR ORDER APPROVING  
TF-1 STIPULATION  
10-28-14 [12]
38. 14-25816-D-11 DEEPAL WANNAKUWATTE MOTION TO FILE CLAIM AFTER  
CLAIMS BAR DATE, MOTION TO  
RESTRICT PUBLIC ACCESS TO CLAIM  
#90-1 ATTACHMENTS 2 AND 3  
10-27-14 [257]
- This matter will not be called before 11:00 a.m.**
39. 14-27519-D-12 LOEK VAN WARMERDAM MOTION TO SELL  
WW-8 10-29-14 [117]

40. 14-25820-D-11 INTERNATIONAL MOTION TO FILE CLAIM AFTER  
MANUFACTURING GROUP, INC. CLAIMS BAR DATE, MOTION TO  
RESTRICT PUBLIC ACCESS TO CLAIM  
#69-1 ATTACHMENTS 2 AND 3  
10-27-14 [295]

**This matter will not be called before 11:00 a.m.**

41. 14-25820-D-11 INTERNATIONAL CONTINUED MOTION FOR RELIEF  
WFH-1 MANUFACTURING GROUP, INC. FROM AUTOMATIC STAY  
IMG FUNDING, LLC VS. 9-10-14 [224]

**Final ruling:**

**The hearing on this motion is continued to December 3, 2014 at 10:00 a.m. No appearance is necessary on November 19, 2014.**

42. 12-34034-D-7 JAMES/KRISTIE MATHEWS MOTION TO AVOID LIEN OF  
BLG-3 CITIBANK, NA  
11-5-14 [56]

43. 14-27645-D-7 BETSY WANNAKUWATTE MOTION TO FILE CLAIM AFTER  
CLAIMS BAR DATE, MOTION TO  
RESTRICT PUBLIC ACCESS TO CLAIM  
#90-1 ATTACHMENTS 2 AND 3  
10-27-14 [54]

**This matter will not be called before 11:00 a.m.**

44. 14-27645-D-7 BETSY WANNAKUWATTE AMENDED MOTION TO EXTEND  
DNL-1 DEADLINE TO FILE A COMPLAINT  
OBJECTING TO DISCHARGE OF THE  
DEBTOR  
11-6-14 [71]

**This matter will not be called before 11:00 a.m.**

45. 14-25148-D-11 HENRY TOSTA MOTION TO USE CASH COLLATERAL  
MF-19 11-5-14 [271]

**This matter will not be called before 10:30 a.m.**

46. 14-27660-D-7 JASMINE FARLEY MARTINEZ CONTINUED TRUSTEE'S MOTION TO  
DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO APPEAR  
AT SEC. 341(A) MEETING  
9-9-14 [16]

47. 14-24788-D-11 CHRISTIAN/AMANDA BADER CONTINUED APPROVAL OF  
RLC-4 DISCLOSURE STATEMENT FILED BY  
DEBTORS  
8-29-14 [63]

48. 14-28794-D-7 RICHARD/JANELEE CRANDALL MOTION TO COMPEL ABANDONMENT  
LBG-2 11-5-14 [20]

49. 14-25148-D-11 HENRY TOSTA MOTION TO SELL O.S.T.  
MF-23 11-10-14 [294]

**This matter will not be called before 10:30 a.m.**

50. 14-25820-D-11 INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION RE: TRUSTEE'S  
FWP-14 MANUFACTURING GROUP, INC. MOTION FOR ORDER AUTHORIZING  
PROCEDURES REGARDING MOTION FOR  
SETTLEMENT AND SUBSTANTIVE  
CONSOLIDATION O.S.T.  
11-14-14 [309]

**This matter will not be called before 11:00 a.m.**