

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
Eastern District of California  
Honorable Jennifer E. Niemann  
Hearing Date: Thursday, October 15, 2020  
Place: Department A - Courtroom #11  
Fresno, California

**ALL APPEARANCES MUST BE TELEPHONIC  
(Please see the court's website for instructions.)**

*Pursuant to District Court General Order 618, no persons are permitted to appear in court unless authorized by order of the court until further notice. All appearances of parties and attorneys shall be telephonic through CourtCall. The contact information for CourtCall to arrange for a phone appearance is: (866) 582-6878.*

**INSTRUCTIONS FOR PRE-HEARING DISPOSITIONS**

Each matter on this calendar will have one of three possible designations: No Ruling, Tentative Ruling, or Final Ruling. These instructions apply to those designations.

**No Ruling:** All parties will need to appear at the hearing unless otherwise ordered.

**Tentative Ruling:** If a matter has been designated as a tentative ruling it will be called. The court may continue the hearing on the matter, set a briefing schedule or enter other orders appropriate for efficient and proper resolution of the matter. The original moving or objecting party shall give notice of the continued hearing date and the deadlines. The minutes of the hearing will be the court's findings and conclusions.

**Final Ruling:** Unless otherwise ordered, there will be no hearing on these matters. The final disposition of the matter is set forth in the ruling and it will appear in the minutes. The final ruling may or may not finally adjudicate the matter. If it is finally adjudicated, the minutes constitute the court's findings and conclusions.

**Orders:** Unless the court specifies in the tentative or final ruling that it will issue an order, the prevailing party shall lodge an order within 14 days of the final hearing on the matter.

**THE COURT ENDEAVORS TO PUBLISH ITS RULINGS AS SOON AS POSSIBLE. HOWEVER, CALENDAR PREPARATION IS ONGOING AND THESE RULINGS MAY BE REVISED OR UPDATED AT ANY TIME PRIOR TO 4:00 P.M. THE DAY BEFORE THE SCHEDULED HEARINGS. PLEASE CHECK AT THAT TIME FOR POSSIBLE UPDATES.**

1. [18-15100](#)-A-13 IN RE: ANGELINA LOPEZ  
[NES-4](#)

MOTION TO AMEND ORDER  
9-14-2020 [[153](#)]

ANGELINA LOPEZ/MV  
NEIL SCHWARTZ/ATTY. FOR DBT.

FINAL RULING: There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION: Granted.

ORDER: The Moving Party shall submit a proposed order in conformance with the ruling below.

This motion was set for hearing on at least 28 days' notice pursuant to Local Rule of Practice ("LBR") 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of creditors, the U.S. Trustee, or any other party in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B) may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion. Cf. Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. See Boone v. Burk (In re Eliapo), 468 F.3d 592 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the above-mentioned parties in interest are entered and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. Upon default, factual allegations will be taken as true (except those relating to amount of damages). Televideo Systems, Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917 (9th Cir. 1987). Constitutional due process requires a moving party make a *prima facie* showing that they are entitled to the relief sought, which the movant has done here.

Angelina Lopez ("Debtor") requests that the court authorize Debtor's counsel to submit a corrected order reflecting the appropriate retainer amount of \$3,000. Doc. #153. Rule 9024 incorporates Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60 in this circumstance. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9024. Rule 60(a) permits the court to "correct a clerical mistake or a mistake arising from oversight or omission whenever one is found" in an order. Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(a).

Debtor alleges that her counsel incorrectly stated the amount of retainer held in the proposed order ultimately adopted by the court. Doc. #153. Debtor's counsel filed a declaration asserting the same. Doc. #156. The order incorrectly stated that Debtor's counsel held a retainer in the amount of \$0.00, although Debtor's counsel in fact held a retainer of \$3,000. Doc. #156; #155.

This motion is GRANTED. The Moving Party shall submit a proposed order granting this motion as well as a corrected order reflecting the appropriate retainer amount of \$3,000.

2. [20-10110](#)-A-13     **IN RE: ANGEL DIAZ**  
[MJH-2](#)

MOTION FOR COMPENSATION FOR MARK J. HANNON, DEBTORS  
ATTORNEY(S)  
9-15-2020   [[87](#)]

MARK HANNON/ATTY. FOR DBT.  
DISMISSED 05/11/2020; RESPONSIVE PLEADING

FINAL RULING:        There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION:         Continued to November 4, 2020, at 9:30 a.m.

ORDER:                The court will issue an order.

This hearing will be continued to be heard in conjunction with continued hearing on the related Motion to Disgorge Fees (UST-1). Doc. #85.

3. [20-12228](#)-A-13     **IN RE: KHALID CHAOU**  
[MHM-2](#)

CONTINUED MOTION TO DISMISS CASE  
8-20-2020   [[58](#)]

MICHAEL MEYER/MV

FINAL RULING:        There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION:         Denied as moot.

ORDER:                The court will issue an order.

An order dismissing this case was already entered on October 14, 2020. Doc. #95. The motion will be DENIED AS MOOT.

4. [20-12228](#)-A-13     **IN RE: KHALID CHAOU**  
[MHM-3](#)

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE  
9-9-2020   [[74](#)]

MICHAEL MEYER/MV

FINAL RULING:        There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION:         Denied as moot.

ORDER:                The court will issue an order.

An order dismissing this case was already entered on October 14, 2020. Doc. #95. The motion will be DENIED AS MOOT.

MOTION FOR COMPENSATION BY THE LAW OFFICE OF FEAR WADDELL,  
P.C. FOR GABRIEL J. WADDELL, DEBTORS ATTORNEY(S)  
9-16-2020    [\[32\]](#)

GABRIEL WADDELL/ATTY. FOR DBT.

FINAL RULING:        There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION:        Granted.

ORDER:                The Moving Party shall submit a proposed order in conformance  
with the ruling below.

This motion was set for hearing on at least 28 days' notice pursuant to Local Rule of Practice ("LBR") 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of creditors, the debtors, the U.S. Trustee, or any other party in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B) may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion. Cf. Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. See Boone v. Burk (In re Eliapo), 468 F.3d 592 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the above-mentioned parties in interest are entered and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. Upon default, factual allegations will be taken as true (except those relating to amount of damages). Televideo Systems, Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917 (9th Cir. 1987). Constitutional due process requires a moving party make a *prima facie* showing that they are entitled to the relief sought, which the movant has done here.

Fear Waddell, P.C. ("Movant"), counsel for Jason John Anderson and Jodi Noel Anderson, the debtors in this chapter 13 case, requests allowance of interim compensation in the amount of \$1,991.00 and reimbursement for expenses in the amount of \$351.40 for services rendered August 7, 2019 through August 31, 2020. Doc. #32.

Section 330(a) of the Bankruptcy Code authorizes "reasonable compensation for actual, necessary services rendered" and "reimbursement for actual, necessary expenses" to a debtor's attorney in a chapter 13 case. 11 U.S.C. § 330(a)(1), (4)(B). In determining the amount of reasonable compensation, the court shall consider the nature, extent, and value of such services, taking into account all relevant factors. 11 U.S.C. § 330(a)(3). Here, Movant demonstrates services rendered relating to: (1) pre-petition consultation and fact gathering; (2) case administration; (3) original plan, hearings, and objections; and (4) claims administration and objections. Doc. #34. The court finds that the compensation and reimbursement sought are reasonable, actual, and necessary, and the court will approve the motion on an interim basis.

This motion is GRANTED. The court allows interim compensation in the amount of \$1,991.00 and reimbursement for expenses in the amount of \$351.40 to be paid in a manner consistent with the terms of the confirmed plan.

6. [20-12730](#)-A-13    **IN RE: RUSSELL GROSSBARD**  
[RAS-1](#)

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY U.S. BANK NATIONAL  
ASSOCIATION  
9-15-2020    [[32](#)]

U.S. BANK NATIONAL  
ASSOCIATION/MV  
BRIAN FOLLAND/ATTY. FOR DBT.  
SEAN FERRY/ATTY. FOR MV.

NO RULING.

U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee, successor in interest to Bank of America, National Association, as Trustee, successor by merger to LaSalle Bank National Association, as Trustee for Morgan Stanley Mortgage Loan Trust 2006-16AX, Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2006-16AX ("Creditor") filed a proof of service in connection with this motion that omitted the Notice of Hearing ("Notice") from the list of documents served. Doc. #34. Local Rule of Practice ("LBR") 3015-1(c)(4) states that, when a creditor objects to confirmation of a Chapter 13 plan, both an "objection and a notice of hearing must be filed and served upon the debtor, the debtor's attorney, and the trustee[.]"

Because a proper Notice was filed (Doc. #33), the court will allow Creditor to file an amended proof of service if Creditor is able to declare that the Notice was served properly with the objection. Such amended proof of service must be filed no later than 4:00 p.m. on October 14, 2020.

If Creditor timely files an amended proof of service showing that the Notice was served concurrently with the objection, the court will hear the objection as scheduled. However, if Creditor is unable to amend the proof of service as requested in this disposition, the objection will be overruled without prejudice for Creditor's failure to comply with LBR 3015-1(c)(4).

7. [20-12732](#)-A-13    **IN RE: JOSE CUIRIZ**  
[USA-1](#)

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY UNITED STATES INTERNAL  
REVENUE SERVICE  
9-25-2020    [[18](#)]

UNITED STATES INTERNAL REVENUE  
SERVICE/MV  
CHINONYE UGORJI/ATTY. FOR DBT.  
JEFFREY LODGE/ATTY. FOR MV.

TENTATIVE RULING:            This matter will proceed as scheduled.

DISPOSITION:                    Sustained.

ORDER:                            The minutes of the hearing will be the court's findings and conclusions. The Moving Party will submit a proposed order after the hearing.

This objection was filed and served pursuant to Local Rule of Practice ("LBR") 3015-1(c)(4) and will proceed as scheduled. Unless opposition is presented at the hearing, the court intends to enter the respondents' defaults and sustain the objection. If opposition is presented at the hearing, the court will consider the opposition and whether further hearing is proper pursuant to LBR 9014-1(f)(2). The court will issue an order if a further hearing is necessary.

The debtor filed his Chapter 13 plan ("Plan") on August 25, 2020. Doc. #10. The United States Internal Revenue Service ("Creditor") objects to confirmation of the Plan on the grounds that: (1) the Plan does not provide for interest on Creditor's secured claim; (2) the Plan does not provide for payment of Creditor's unsecured priority claim; and (3) the debtor has failed to file pre-petition tax returns. Doc. #18.

Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 3001(f) provides that "[a] proof of claim executed and filed in accordance with these rules shall constitute prima facie evidence of the validity and amount of the claim." 11 U.S.C. § 502(a) states that a claim or interest, evidenced by a proof of claim filed under section 501, is deemed allowed unless a party in interest objects. Creditor filed its proof of claim on September 9, 2020. Claim 3-1.

Section 3.02 of the Plan provides that the proof of claim determines the amount and classification of a claim. Doc. #10. The debtor's plan fails to account for Creditor's claim. Claim 3-1; Doc. #10.

Accordingly, pending any opposition at hearing, the objection will be SUSTAINED. To the extent Creditor seeks dismissal of this case for failure to file pre-petition tax returns, such relief requires a noticed motion.

8. [20-12636](#)-A-13      **IN RE: YADWINDER/JASPREET BASSI**

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE TO PAY FEES  
9-22-2020    [[33](#)]

MARCUS TORIGIAN/ATTY. FOR DBT.  
\$79.00 INSTALLMENT PAID 9/28/20

FINAL RULING:      There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION:      The OSC will be vacated.

ORDER:              The court will issue an order.

The record shows that the installment fees now due have been paid.

The order permitting the payment of filing fees in installments will be modified to provide that if future installments are not received by the due date, the case will be dismissed without further notice or hearing.

MOTION TO VALUE COLLATERAL OF WELLS FARGO AUTO  
9-8-2020    [[14](#)]

FREDDIE ESTRADA/MV  
PETER BUNTING/ATTY. FOR DBT.

TENTATIVE RULING:            This matter will proceed as scheduled.

DISPOSITION:                    Granted.

ORDER:                            The minutes of the hearing will be the court's findings and conclusions. The Moving Party shall submit a proposed order in conformance with the ruling below.

This motion was set for hearing on at least 28 days' notice pursuant to Local Rule of Practice ("LBR") 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of creditors, the U.S. Trustee, or any other party in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B) may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion. Cf. Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Therefore, the defaults of the above-mentioned parties in interest are entered and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. Upon default, factual allegations will be taken as true (except those relating to amount of damages). Televideo Systems, Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917 (9th Cir. 1987).

However, constitutional due process requires a moving party make a *prima facie* showing that they are entitled to the relief sought. This motion seeks an order valuing collateral but does not cite any legal grounds upon which the debtors are entitled to the relief requested. Doc. #14. LBR 9014-1(d)(3)(A) requires a motion "set forth the relief or order sought" and "state with particularity the factual and legal grounds therefor. Legal grounds for the relief means citation to the statute, rule, case, or common law doctrine that forms the basis of the moving party's request but does not include a discussion of those authorities or argument for their applicability." LBR 9014-1(d)(3)(A). Here, the motion cites only procedural rule LBR 3015-1(i), which requires the debtor to file, serve, and set for hearing a valuation motion if a Chapter 13 debtor's proposed plan will reduce or eliminate a secured claim based on the value of its collateral. Rather than deny this motion without prejudice, the court will hear the matter and allow the movant to clarify the record and confirm the legal grounds upon which relief is sought.

Freddie Elvis Estrada and Desiree Reyna Estrada (collectively, "Debtors"), the debtors in this Chapter 13 case, move the court for an order valuing the Debtors' vehicle, a 2015 Chevrolet Equinox LT ("Vehicle"), which is the collateral of Wells Fargo Auto ("Creditor"). Doc. #14.

11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(\*) (the hanging paragraph) permits the debtor to value a motor vehicle acquired for the personal use of the debtor at its current value, as opposed to the amount due on the loan, if the loan was a purchase money security interest secured by the vehicle and the debt was not incurred within the 910-day period preceding the date of filing. 11 U.S.C. § 506(a)(1) limits a secured creditor's claim "to the extent of the value of such creditor's interest in the estate's interest in such property . . . and is an unsecured claim to the extent that the value of such creditor's interest . . . is less than the amount of such allowed claim." Section 506(a)(2) of the Bankruptcy

Code states that the value of personal property securing an allowed claim shall be determined based on the replacement value of such property as of the petition filing date. "Replacement value" where the personal property is "acquired for personal, family, or household purposes" means "the price a retail merchant would charge for property of that kind considering the age and condition of the property at the time value is determined." 11 U.S.C. § 506(a)(2).

Debtors ask the court for an order valuing the Vehicle at \$12,394.00 and assert a replacement value of the Vehicle of \$12,394.00. Doc. #14; Doc. #16. Desiree Reyna Estrada, co-debtor, is competent to testify as to the value of the Vehicle. Debtors assert the Vehicle was purchased in February 2015, more than 910 days before the filing of this case. Doc. #16. Given the absence of contrary evidence, Debtors' opinion of value may be conclusive. Enewally v. Wash. Mut. Bank (In re Enewally), 368 F.3d 1165, 1173 (9th Cir. 2004).

If Debtors can confirm the legal grounds upon which they seek relief, the court is inclined to grant the motion and fix Creditor's secured claim at the replacement value of \$12,394.00. The proposed order shall specifically identify the collateral, and if applicable, the proof of claim to which it relates. The order will be effective upon confirmation of the Chapter 13 plan.

10. [20-10548](#)-A-13     **IN RE: JEFFREY/EVANGELINE RIGGS**  
[SL-2](#)

MOTION TO VALUE COLLATERAL OF JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.  
9-11-2020   [[30](#)]

JEFFREY RIGGS/MV  
SCOTT LYONS/ATTY. FOR DBT.

FINAL RULING:     There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION:     Granted.

ORDER:            The Moving Party shall submit a proposed order in conformance with the ruling below.

This motion was set for hearing on 28 days' notice as required by Local Rule of Practice ("LBR") 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of creditors, the U.S. Trustee, or any other party in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B) may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion. Cf. Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. See Boone v. Burk (In re Eliapo), 468 F.3d 592 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the above-mentioned parties in interest are entered and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. Upon default, factual allegations will be taken as true (except those relating to amount of damages). Televideo Systems, Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917 (9th Cir. 1987). Constitutional due process requires a moving party make a *prima facie* showing that they are entitled to the relief sought, which the movant has done here.

Jeffrey David Riggs and Evangeline Clare Riggs (collectively, "Debtors"), the debtors in this Chapter 13 case, move the court for an order valuing the Debtors' vehicle, a 2016 Jeep Renegade ("Vehicle"), which is the collateral of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. ("Creditor"). Doc. #30.

11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(\*) (the hanging paragraph) permits the debtor to value a motor vehicle acquired for the personal use of the debtor at its current value, as opposed to the amount due on the loan, if the loan was a purchase money security interest secured by the vehicle and the debt was not incurred within the 910-day period preceding the date of filing. 11 U.S.C. § 506(a)(1) limits a secured creditor's claim "to the extent of the value of such creditor's interest in the estate's interest in such property . . . and is an unsecured claim to the extent that the value of such creditor's interest . . . is less than the amount of such allowed claim." Section 506(a)(2) of the Bankruptcy Code states that the value of personal property securing an allowed claim shall be determined based on the replacement value of such property as of the petition filing date. "Replacement value" where the personal property is "acquired for personal, family, or household purposes" means "the price a retail merchant would charge for property of that kind considering the age and condition of the property at the time value is determined." 11 U.S.C. § 506(a)(2).

Debtors assert a replacement value of the Vehicle of \$11,810.00 and ask the court for an order valuing the Vehicle at \$11,810.00. Doc. #30; Doc. #32. Evangeline Clare Riggs, co-debtor, is competent to testify as to the value of the Vehicle. Debtors assert the Vehicle was purchased in June 2016, more than 910 days before the filing of this case. Doc. #32. Given the absence of contrary evidence, Debtors' opinion of value may be conclusive. Enewally v. Wash. Mut. Bank (In re Enewally), 368 F.3d 1165, 1173 (9th Cir. 2004).

The motion is GRANTED. Creditor's secured claim will be fixed at \$11,810.00. The proposed order shall specifically identify the collateral, and if applicable, the proof of claim to which it relates. The order will be effective upon confirmation of the Chapter 13 plan.

11. [17-14873](#)-A-13     **IN RE: KATHERINE MUNSEY**  
[MHM-3](#)

MOTION TO RECONVERT CASE FROM CHAPTER 13 TO CHAPTER 7  
9-9-2020    [[107](#)]

PETER BUNTING/ATTY. FOR DBT.

FINAL RULING:                    There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION:                      Dropped from calendar.

NO ORDER REQUIRED:                Movant withdrew the motion on October 8, 2020. Doc. #113.

12. [20-12876](#)-A-13     **IN RE: DAVID JOHNSON**

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE TO PAY FEES  
9-16-2020    [[14](#)]

DISMISSED 9/21/20

FINAL RULING:                There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION:                 Dropped as moot.

NO ORDER REQUIRED:         An order dismissing the case was entered on September 21, 2020, Doc. #18. The Order to Show Cause will be dropped as moot. No appearance is necessary.

13. [19-14977](#)-A-13     **IN RE: JOSE/MARIA CHAVARRIA**  
[MAZ-2](#)

MOTION TO SELL  
9-17-2020    [[46](#)]

JOSE CHAVARRIA/MV  
MARK ZIMMERMAN/ATTY. FOR DBT.  
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

TENTATIVE RULING:         This matter will proceed as scheduled.

DISPOSITION:                 Granted.

ORDER:                        The minutes of the hearing will be the court's findings and conclusions. The Moving Party shall submit a proposed order in conformance with the ruling below.

This motion was set for hearing on at least 28 days' notice pursuant to Local Rule of Practice ("LBR") 9014-1(f)(1). Wilmington Trust, National Association, As Successor Trustee To Citibank, N.A., As Trustee For Structured Asset Mortgage Investments II Trust 2007-AR3, Mortgage Passthrough Certificates, Series 2007-AR3 ("Creditor") timely filed a conditional non-opposition on September 29, 2020. Doc. #51. The failure of other creditors, the U.S. Trustee, or any other party in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B) may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion. Cf. Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Therefore, the defaults of the non-responding parties in interest are entered. Upon default, factual allegations will be taken as true (except those relating to amount of damages). Televideo Systems, Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917 (9th Cir. 1987). Constitutional due process requires a moving party make a *prima facie* showing that they are entitled to the relief sought.

Jose L. Chavarria and Maria L. Chavarria (collectively, "Debtors") petition the court for an order authorizing Debtors to sell real property located at 113 Pauline Drive, Watsonville, CA 95076 ("Property) for \$600,000.00 to Sylvia Maria Chavarria ("Buyer"). Doc. #46. Debtors assert the offer is fair and reasonable and will benefit their reorganization efforts. Doc. #48.

Creditor supports Debtors' motion to sell on the conditions that (1) Creditor's claim shall be paid off in full through the close of escrow and (2) Creditor shall be permitted to submit an updated payoff demand to the applicable escrow or title company facilitating the sale so that Creditor's claim is paid in full at the time the sale of the Property is finalized.

The court is inclined to GRANT this motion if Debtors accept Creditor's conditions.

14. [19-12678](#)-A-13     **IN RE: ANTONIO HERNANDEZ SILVA**  
[SPE-1](#)

MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY  
9-16-2020    [[86](#)]

NATIONSTAR MORTGAGE LLC/MV  
JEFFREY ROWE/ATTY. FOR DBT.  
SONIA PLESSET/ATTY. FOR MV.  
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

FINAL RULING:        There will be no hearing on this matter.

DISPOSITION:        Denied without prejudice.

ORDER:                The court will issue an order.

This motion is DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. The motion and related pleadings as filed do not comply with Local Rule of Practice ("LBR") 9014-1(d)(4). The court urges counsel to review the local rules in order to be compliant in future matters. The rules can be accessed on the court's website at <http://www.caeb.circ9.dcn/LocalRules.aspx>.

1. [20-13293](#)-A-11 **IN RE: PATRICK JAMES, INC.**  
[MB-1](#)

MOTION TO USE CASH COLLATERAL  
10-13-2020 [[7](#)]

PATRICK JAMES, INC./MV  
HAGOP BEDOYAN/ATTY. FOR DBT.

NO RULING.

2. [20-13293](#)-A-11 **IN RE: PATRICK JAMES, INC.**  
[MB-2](#)

CHAPTER 11 FIRST DAY MOTION AND/OR MOTION FOR ORDER  
AUTHORIZING CONTINUED USE OF EXISTING CASH MANAGEMENT SYSTEM  
AND OPERATIONAL BANK ACCOUNTS; BUSINESS FORMS; AND TO EXCUSE  
COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 345(B)  
10-13-2020 [[13](#)]

PATRICK JAMES, INC./MV  
HAGOP BEDOYAN/ATTY. FOR DBT.

NO RULING.

3. [20-13293](#)-A-11 **IN RE: PATRICK JAMES, INC.**  
[MB-3](#)

CHAPTER 11 FIRST DAY MOTION AND/OR MOTION FOR ORDER  
PROHIBITING UTILITIES FROM ALTERING, REFUSING, OR  
DISCONTINUING SERVICE, AND DETERMINING ADEQUATE ASSURANCE OF  
PAYMENT FOR FUTURE UTILITY SERVICES  
10-13-2020 [[19](#)]

PATRICK JAMES, INC./MV  
HAGOP BEDOYAN/ATTY. FOR DBT.

NO RULING.

4. [20-13293](#)-A-11 **IN RE: PATRICK JAMES, INC.**  
[MB-4](#)

CHAPTER 11 FIRST DAY MOTION AND/OR MOTION FOR ORDER  
AUTHORIZING DEBTOR TO MAINTAIN AND ADMINISTER PREPETITION  
CUSTOMER PROGRAMS, PROMOTIONS AND PRACTICES; PAY AND HONOR  
RELATED PREPETITION OBLIGATIONS, AND GRANTING RELATED RELIEF  
10-13-2020 [[25](#)]

PATRICK JAMES, INC./MV  
HAGOP BEDOYAN/ATTY. FOR DBT.

NO RULING.