

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
Eastern District of California

Honorable Michael S. McManus
Bankruptcy Judge
Sacramento, California

October 15, 2018 at 2:00 p.m.

1. 18-21226-A-13 KEKOA SINGSON AND LESLIE MOTION TO
JPJ-1 SINGSON VILLAGRANA DISMISS CASE
8-31-18 [31]

Final Ruling: The motion will be dismissed as moot. The case was dismissed on September 27.

2. 18-23232-A-13 LINDA CATRON MOTION TO
JPJ-2 DISMISS CASE
8-31-18 [70]

Tentative Ruling: The motion will be granted and the case dismissed.

The debtor has failed to pay to the trustee approximately \$10,000 as required by the initial plan proposed by the debtor. While the court has denied confirmation of that plan, the debtor has not proposed a modified plan. The foregoing has resulted in delay that is prejudicial to creditors and suggests that the plan is not feasible. This is cause for dismissal. See 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

This case was filed on May 23, 2018. The debtor proposed a plan within the time required by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3015(b) but was unable to confirm it. The debtor thereafter failed to promptly propose a modified plan and set it for a confirmation hearing. This fact suggests to the court that the debtor either does not intend to confirm a plan or does not have the ability to do so. This is cause for dismissal. See 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1) & (c)(5).

3. 18-21039-A-13 RICKIE RYAN MOTION TO
JPJ-2 DISMISS CASE
8-22-18 [34]

Tentative Ruling: The motion will be granted and the case dismissed.

This case was filed on February 26, 2018. The debtor proposed a plan within the time required by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3015(b) but was unable to confirm it. The debtor thereafter failed to promptly propose a modified plan and set it for a confirmation hearing. This fact suggests to the court that the debtor either does not intend to confirm a plan or does not have the ability to do so. This is cause for dismissal. See 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1) & (c)(5).

4. 18-21064-A-13 VIKASH SHARMA MOTION TO
JPJ-2 DISMISS CASE
8-22-18 [69]

Tentative Ruling: The motion will be granted and the case dismissed.

October 15, 2018 at 2:00 p.m.

This case was filed on February 26, 2018. The debtor proposed a plan within the time required by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3015(b) but was unable to confirm it. The debtor thereafter failed to promptly propose a modified plan and set it for a confirmation hearing. This fact suggests to the court that the debtor either does not intend to confirm a plan or does not have the ability to do so. This is cause for dismissal. See 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1) & (c)(5).