

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT**

Eastern District of California

Honorable Fredrick E. Clement  
Bankruptcy Judge

2500 Tulare Street, Fifth Floor  
Department A, Courtroom 11  
Fresno, California

**Thursday**

**September 11, 2014**

**PRE-HEARING DISPOSITIONS**

**GENERAL DESIGNATIONS**

Each pre-hearing disposition is prefaced by the words "Final Ruling," "Tentative Ruling" or "No Tentative Ruling." Except as indicated below, matters designated "Final Ruling" will not be called and counsel need not appear at the hearing on such matters. Matters designated "Tentative Ruling" or "No Tentative Ruling" will be called.

**MATTERS RESOLVED BEFORE HEARING**

If the court has issued a final ruling on a matter and the parties directly affected by a matter have resolved the matter by stipulation or withdrawal of the motion before the hearing, then the moving party shall, not later than 4:00 p.m. (PST) on the day before the hearing, inform the following persons by telephone that they wish the matter to be dropped from calendar notwithstanding the court's ruling: (1) all other parties directly affected by the motion; and (2) Kathy Torres, Judicial Assistant to the Honorable Fredrick E. Clement, at (559) 499-5860.

**ERRORS IN FINAL RULINGS**

If a party believes that a final ruling contains an error that would, if reflected in the order or judgment, warrant a motion under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 52(b), 59(e) or 60, as incorporated by Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, 7052, 9023 and 9024, then the party affected by such error shall, not later than 4:00 p.m. (PST) on the day before the hearing, inform the following persons by telephone that they wish the matter either to be called or dropped from calendar, as appropriate, notwithstanding the court's ruling: (1) all other parties directly affected by the motion; and (2) Kathy Torres, Judicial Assistant to the Honorable Fredrick E. Clement, at (559) 499-5860. Absent such a timely request, a matter designated "Final Ruling" will not be called.

9:00 a.m.

1. [14-13500](#)-A-13 CARLOS PLACENCIA  
TOG-1  
CARLOS PLACENCIA/MV  
THOMAS GILLIS/Atty. for dbt.

MOTION TO VALUE COLLATERAL OF  
BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.  
8-5-14 [[13](#)]

### **Tentative Ruling**

**Motion:** Value Collateral [Real Property; Principal Residence]

**Notice:** LBR 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required

**Disposition:** Granted

**Order:** Civil minute order

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

### **VALUATION OF COLLATERAL**

Chapter 13 debtors may strip off a wholly unsecured junior lien encumbering the debtor's principal residence. 11 U.S.C. §§ 506(a), 1322(b)(2); *In re Lam*, 211 B.R. 36, 40-42 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1997); *In re Zimmer*, 313 F.3d 1220, 1222-25 (9th Cir. 2002) (holding that the trial court erred in deciding that a wholly unsecured lien was within the scope of the antimodification clause of § 1322(b)(2) of the Bankruptcy Code). A motion to value the debtor's principal residence should be granted upon a threefold showing by the moving party. First, the moving party must proceed by noticed motion. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3012. Second, the motion must be served on the holder of the secured claim. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3012, 9014(a); LBR 3015-1(j). Third, the moving party must prove by admissible evidence that the debt secured by liens senior to the responding party's claim exceeds the value of the principal residence. 11 U.S.C. § 506(a); *Lam*, 211 B.R. at 40-42; *Zimmer*, 313 F.3d at 1222-25. "In the absence of contrary evidence, an owner's opinion of property value may be conclusive." *Enewally v. Wash. Mut. Bank (In re Enewally)*, 368 F.3d 1165, 1173 (9th Cir. 2004).

The debtor requests that the court value real property collateral. The collateral is the debtor's principal residence located at 1414 N. Leslie Court, Visalia, California.

The court values the collateral at \$90,511.00. The debt secured by liens senior to the respondent's lien exceeds the value of the collateral. Because the amount owed to senior lienholders exceeds the collateral's value, the responding party's claim is wholly unsecured and no portion will be allowed as a secured claim. See 11 U.S.C. § 506(a).

### **CIVIL MINUTE ORDER**

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The debtor's motion to value real property collateral has been presented to the court. Having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion, and having entered the default of respondent for failure to appear, timely oppose or otherwise defend in the matter,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted. The real property collateral located at 1414 N. Leslie Court, Visalia, California has a value of \$90,511.00. The collateral is encumbered by senior liens securing claims that exceed its value. The responding party has a secured claim in the amount of \$0.00 and a general unsecured claim for the balance of the claim.

2. [11-61913](#)-A-13 MARTIN/ADRIANA VALENCIA MOTION TO APPROVE LOAN  
DRJ-2 MODIFICATION  
MARTIN VALENCIA/MV 8-25-14 [[41](#)]  
DAVID JENKINS/Atty. for dbt.

### **Tentative Ruling**

**Motion:** Approval of Mortgage Loan Modification

**Notice:** LBR 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required

**Disposition:** Granted in part, denied in part

**Order:** Prepared by moving party according to the instructions below

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

The motion seeks approval of a loan modification agreement. A copy of the loan modification agreement accompanies the motion. See Fed. R. Bankr. 4001(c). The court will grant the motion in part to authorize the debtor and the secured lender to enter into the loan modification agreement subject to the parties' right to reinstatement of the original terms of the loan documents in the event conditions precedent to the loan modification agreement are not satisfied. 11 U.S.C. § 364(d); Fed. R. Bankr. P. 4001(c). To the extent the modification is inconsistent with the confirmed plan, the debtor shall continue to perform the plan as confirmed until it is modified.

By granting this motion, the court is not approving the terms of any loan modification agreement. The motion will be denied in part to the extent that the motion requests approval of the loan modification agreement or other declaratory relief. The order shall state only that the parties are authorized to enter into the loan modification agreement subject to the parties' right to reinstate the agreement if all conditions precedent are not satisfied. The order shall not recite the terms of the loan modification agreement or state that the court approves the terms of the agreement.

3. [14-10422](#)-A-13 MANUEL/RISSY MONTOYA ASW-2  
MANUEL MONTOYA/MV  
ADRIAN WILLIAMS/Atty. for dbt.  
DISMISSED
- MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN  
7-22-14 [[68](#)]

**Final Ruling**

The case dismissed, the motion is denied as moot.

4. [14-13130](#)-A-13 GRACIELA CONDE  
MHM-1  
MICHAEL MEYER/MV
- MOTION TO DISMISS CASE FOR  
UNREASONABLE DELAY THAT IS  
PREJUDICIAL TO CREDITORS AND/OR  
MOTION TO DISMISS CASE FOR  
FAILURE TO PROVIDE TAX  
DOCUMENTS , MOTION TO DISMISS  
CASE  
8-11-14 [[32](#)]
- HENRY NUNEZ/Atty. for dbt.  
WITHDRAWN

**Final Ruling**

The motion withdrawn, the matter is dropped as moot.

5. [14-11332](#)-A-13 RONALD ESCOBAR  
MHM-2  
MICHAEL MEYER/MV
- MOTION TO DISMISS CASE FOR  
UNREASONABLE DELAY THAT IS  
PREJUDICIAL TO CREDITORS AND/OR  
MOTION TO DISMISS CASE  
7-30-14 [[47](#)]
- JAMIE XIONG-VANG/Atty. for dbt.  
WITHDRAWN

**Final Ruling**

The motion withdrawn, the matter is dropped as moot.

6. [13-12433](#)-A-13 MARK SIDLEY  
SL-4  
MARK SIDLEY/MV  
SCOTT LYONS/Atty. for dbt.  
RESPONSIVE PLEADING
- MOTION TO MODIFY PLAN  
7-18-14 [[56](#)]

**Tentative Ruling**

**Motion:** Modify Chapter 13 Plan

**Notice:** LBR 3015-1(d)(2), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

**Disposition:** Pending

**Order:** Pending

The motion requests modification of the Chapter 13 plan in this case. See 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325, 1329; Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(b); LBR 3015-1(d)(2). The Chapter 13 trustee opposes the motion, objecting to the modification. But the moving party has not filed a reply to the opposition.

Without the benefit of a reply, the court cannot determine whether the grounds for the trustee's opposition are disputed or undisputed. As a result, the court does not consider the matter to be ripe for a decision in advance of the hearing.

If such grounds are undisputed, the moving party may appear at the hearing and affirm that they are undisputed. The moving party may opt not to appear at the hearing, and such nonappearance will be deemed by the court as a concession that the trustee's grounds for opposition are undisputed and meritorious.

If such grounds are disputed, the moving party shall appear at the hearing. The court may either (1) rule on the merits and resolve any disputed issues appropriate for resolution at the initial hearing, or (2) treat the initial hearing as a status conference and schedule an evidentiary hearing to resolve disputed, material factual issues or schedule a further hearing after additional briefing on any disputed legal issues.

7. [14-12234](#)-A-13 ALEXANDRA CHAMPAGNE  
MBB-1  
PLANET HOME LENDING, LLC/MV  
OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF  
PLAN BY PLANET HOME LENDING,  
LLC  
8-12-14 [[42](#)]  
TIMOTHY SPRINGER/Atty. for dbt.  
CORI JONES/Atty. for mv.

**No tentative ruling.**

8. [14-12234](#)-A-13 ALEXANDRA CHAMPAGNE  
MHM-1  
MICHAEL MEYER/MV  
MOTION TO DISMISS CASE FOR  
UNREASONABLE DELAY THAT IS  
PREJUDICIAL TO CREDITORS AND/OR  
MOTION TO DISMISS CASE FOR  
FAILURE TO MAKE PLAN PAYMENTS ,  
MOTION TO DISMISS CASE FOR  
FAILURE TO PROVIDE TAX  
DOCUMENTS , MOTION TO DISMISS  
CASE  
8-12-14 [[38](#)]  
TIMOTHY SPRINGER/Atty. for dbt.  
MICHAEL MEYER/Atty. for mv.  
WITHDRAWN

**Tentative Ruling**

**Motion:** Modify Chapter 13 Plan

**Notice:** LBR 3015-1(d)(2), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

**Disposition:** Pending

**Order:** Pending

The motion requests modification of the Chapter 13 plan in this case. See 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325, 1329; Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(b); LBR 3015-1(d)(2). The Chapter 13 trustee opposes the motion, objecting to the modification. But the moving party has not filed a reply to the opposition.

Without the benefit of a reply, the court cannot determine whether the grounds for the trustee's opposition are disputed or undisputed. As a result, the court does not consider the matter to be ripe for a decision in advance of the hearing.

If such grounds are undisputed, the moving party may appear at the hearing and affirm that they are undisputed. The moving party may opt not to appear at the hearing, and such nonappearance will be deemed by the court as a concession that the trustee's grounds for opposition are undisputed and meritorious.

If such grounds are disputed, the moving party shall appear at the hearing. The court may either (1) rule on the merits and resolve any disputed issues appropriate for resolution at the initial hearing, or (2) treat the initial hearing as a status conference and schedule an evidentiary hearing to resolve disputed, material factual issues or schedule a further hearing after additional briefing on any disputed legal issues.

9. [14-12535](#)-A-13 TAMARA STOCKS  
JDM-1  
TAMARA STOCKS/MV  
JAMES MILLER/Atty. for dbt.

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN  
7-23-14 [[19](#)]

#### **Final Ruling**

**Motion:** Confirm Chapter 13 Plan

**Notice:** LBR 3015-1(d)(1), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

**Disposition:** Granted

**Order:** Prepared by Chapter 13 trustee, approved by debtor's counsel

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 3015-1(d)(1), 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor bears the burden of proof as to each element. *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407 (9th Cir. 1994). The court finds that the debtor has sustained that burden, and the court will approve confirmation of the plan.

10. [14-13237](#)-A-13 RICHARD/TERESA CESENA  
SL-2  
RICHARD CESENA/MV  
SCOTT LYONS/Atty. for dbt.

MOTION TO VALUE COLLATERAL OF  
GREEN TREE  
8-27-14 [[26](#)]

### **Tentative Ruling**

**Motion:** Value Collateral [Real Property; Principal Residence]

**Notice:** LBR 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required

**Disposition:** Granted

**Order:** Civil minute order

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

### **VALUATION OF COLLATERAL**

Chapter 13 debtors may strip off a wholly unsecured junior lien encumbering the debtor's principal residence. 11 U.S.C. §§ 506(a), 1322(b)(2); *In re Lam*, 211 B.R. 36, 40-42 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1997); *In re Zimmer*, 313 F.3d 1220, 1222-25 (9th Cir. 2002) (holding that the trial court erred in deciding that a wholly unsecured lien was within the scope of the antimodification clause of § 1322(b)(2) of the Bankruptcy Code). A motion to value the debtor's principal residence should be granted upon a threefold showing by the moving party. First, the moving party must proceed by noticed motion. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3012. Second, the motion must be served on the holder of the secured claim. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3012, 9014(a); LBR 3015-1(j). Third, the moving party must prove by admissible evidence that the debt secured by liens senior to the responding party's claim exceeds the value of the principal residence. 11 U.S.C. § 506(a); *Lam*, 211 B.R. at 40-42; *Zimmer*, 313 F.3d at 1222-25. "In the absence of contrary evidence, an owner's opinion of property value may be conclusive." *Enewally v. Wash. Mut. Bank (In re Enewally)*, 368 F.3d 1165, 1173 (9th Cir. 2004).

The debtor requests that the court value real property collateral. The collateral is the debtor's principal residence located at 703 East Myrtle Ave., Visalia, California.

The court values the collateral at \$98,034.00. The debt secured by liens senior to the respondent's lien exceeds the value of the collateral. Because the amount owed to senior lienholders exceeds the collateral's value, the responding party's claim is wholly unsecured and no portion will be allowed as a secured claim. See 11 U.S.C. § 506(a).

### **CIVIL MINUTE ORDER**

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The debtor's motion to value real property collateral has been presented to the court. Having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion, and having entered the default of respondent for failure

to appear, timely oppose or otherwise defend in the matter,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted. The real property collateral located at 703 East Myrtle Ave., Visalia, California has a value of \$98,034.00. The collateral is encumbered by senior liens securing claims that exceed its value. The responding party has a secured claim in the amount of \$0.00 and a general unsecured claim for the balance of the claim.

11. [14-12540](#)-A-13 ESTHER PALACIOS  
MHM-1  
MICHAEL MEYER/MV  
MOTION TO DISMISS CASE FOR  
UNREASONABLE DELAY THAT IS  
PREJUDICIAL TO CREDITORS AND/OR  
MOTION TO DISMISS CASE  
7-29-14 [[20](#)]
- F. GIST/Atty. for dbt.

**No tentative ruling.**

12. [14-12540](#)-A-13 ESTHER PALACIOS  
MHM-2  
MICHAEL MEYER/MV  
F. GIST/Atty. for dbt.  
WITHDRAWN  
MOTION TO DISMISS CASE FOR  
FAILURE TO MAKE PLAN PAYMENTS  
8-28-14 [[26](#)]

**Final Ruling**

The motion withdrawn, the matter is dropped as moot.

13. [12-12841](#)-A-13 THOMAS/SARAH CORREA  
PBB-1  
THOMAS CORREA/MV  
PETER BUNTING/Atty. for dbt.  
RESPONSIVE PLEADING,  
MODIFIED PLAN WITHDRAWN  
CONTINUED MOTION TO MODIFY PLAN  
6-10-14 [[33](#)]

**Final Ruling**

The modified plan withdrawn, the matter is dropped as moot.

14. [14-13043](#)-A-13 WILFREDO/YOLANDA FAELDO MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN  
VRP-1 7-17-14 [[18](#)]  
WILFREDO FAELDO/MV  
VARDUHI PETROSYAN/Atty. for dbt.  
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

**Final Ruling**

The plan withdrawn, the matter is dropped as moot.

15. [11-19146](#)-A-13 RAFAEL MERCADO AND ANA MOTION TO APPROVE LOAN  
SDM-3 RUELAS MODIFICATION  
RAFAEL MERCADO/MV 8-7-14 [[38](#)]  
SCOTT MITCHELL/Atty. for dbt.

**Final Ruling**

**Motion:** Approval of Mortgage Loan Modification

**Notice:** LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

**Disposition:** Granted in part, denied in part

**Order:** Prepared by moving party according to the instructions below

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

The motion seeks approval of a loan modification agreement. A copy of the loan modification agreement accompanies the motion. See Fed. R. Bankr. 4001(c). The court will grant the motion in part to authorize the debtor and the secured lender to enter into the loan modification agreement subject to the parties' right to reinstatement of the original terms of the loan documents in the event conditions precedent to the loan modification agreement are not satisfied. 11 U.S.C. § 364(d); Fed. R. Bankr. P. 4001(c). To the extent the modification is inconsistent with the confirmed plan, the debtor shall continue to perform the plan as confirmed until it is modified.

By granting this motion, the court is not approving the terms of any loan modification agreement. The motion will be denied in part to the extent that the motion requests approval of the loan modification agreement or other declaratory relief. The order shall state only that the parties are authorized to enter into the loan modification agreement subject to the parties' right to reinstate the agreement if all conditions precedent are not satisfied. The order shall not recite the terms of the loan modification agreement or state that the court approves the terms of the agreement.

16. [14-13157](#)-A-13 WILLIE THOMPSON  
MHM-1  
MICHAEL MEYER/MV

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE FOR  
UNREASONABLE DELAY THAT IS  
PREJUDICIAL TO CREDITORS AND/OR  
MOTION TO DISMISS CASE FOR  
FAILURE TO PROVIDE TAX  
DOCUMENTS , MOTION TO DISMISS  
CASE  
8-12-14 [[21](#)]

**No tentative ruling.**

17. [14-10858](#)-A-13 DAVID/TIFFANY PIERCE  
BCS-1

MOTION FOR COMPENSATION BY THE  
LAW OFFICE OF SHEIN LAW GROUP  
DEBTOR'S ATTORNEY(S).  
8-4-14 [[14](#)]

BENJAMIN SHEIN/Atty. for dbt.

**Final Ruling**

**Application:** Interim Compensation and Expense Reimbursement

**Notice:** LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

**Disposition:** Approved

**Order:** Prepared by applicant

**Applicant:** Shein Law Group, PC

**Compensation approved:** \$5200.00

**Costs approved:** \$352.73

**Aggregate fees and costs approved in this application:** \$5552.73

**Retainer held:** \$1684.00

**Amount to be paid as administrative expense:** \$3868.73

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

Section 330(a) of the Bankruptcy Code authorizes "reasonable compensation for actual, necessary services" rendered by a debtor's attorney in a Chapter 13 case and "reimbursement for actual, necessary expenses." 11 U.S.C. § 330(a)(1), (4)(B). Reasonable compensation is determined by considering all relevant factors. *See id.* § 330(a)(3).

The court finds that the compensation and expenses sought are reasonable, and the court will approve the application on an interim basis. Such amounts shall be perfected, and may be adjusted, by a final application for compensation and expenses, which shall be filed prior to case closure. The moving party is authorized to draw on any retainer held.



value, the responding party's claim is wholly unsecured and no portion will be allowed as a secured claim. See 11 U.S.C. § 506(a).

**CIVIL MINUTE ORDER**

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The debtor's motion to value real property collateral has been presented to the court. Having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion, and having entered the default of respondent for failure to appear, timely oppose or otherwise defend in the matter,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted. The real property collateral located at 913 N. Oakwood Ct., Visalia, California has a value of \$172,650. The collateral is encumbered by senior liens securing claims that exceed its value. The responding party has a secured claim in the amount of \$0.00 and a general unsecured claim for the balance of the claim.

20. [14-13263](#)-A-13 BERNADINE DAVIS  
MHM-1  
MICHAEL MEYER/MV

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE AND/OR  
MOTION TO DISMISS CASE FOR  
FAILURE TO MAKE PLAN PAYMENTS  
8-28-14 [[16](#)]

PETER BUNTING/Atty. for dbt.

**No tentative ruling.**

21. [12-17966](#)-A-13 ISMAEL RAMIREZ  
PLG-3  
ISMAEL RAMIREZ/MV  
FRANK RUGGIER/Atty. for dbt.

MOTION TO SELL  
8-19-14 [[65](#)]

**No tentative ruling.**

22. [13-17682](#)-A-13 EUGENE/MARILYN MORA  
GH-2  
EUGENE MORA/MV

OBJECTION TO CLAIM OF CHECK  
INTO CASH, INC., CLAIM NUMBER  
10  
7-22-14 [[32](#)]

GARY HUSS/Atty. for dbt.

### Final Ruling

**Objection:** Objection to Claim

**Notice:** LBR 3007-1(b)(1); written opposition required

**Disposition:** Sustained

**Order:** Prepared by objecting party

Unopposed objections are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c); LBR 9001-1(d), (n) (contested matters include objections). Written opposition to the sustaining of this objection was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

Ordinarily, late-filed claims are to be disallowed if an objection is made to the claim. 11 U.S.C. § 502(b)(9). The only exceptions to this rule are tardily filed claims permitted under § 726(a) or under the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure. *See id.*; Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3002(c)(1)-(6).

Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9006(b)(3) provides that "[t]he court may enlarge the time for taking action under [certain rules] only to the extent and under the conditions stated in those rules." Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9006(b)(3) (emphasis added). Rule 3002(c) is identified in Rule 9006(b)(3) as a rule for which the court cannot enlarge time except to the extent and under the conditions stated in the rule. *Id.*

Further, Ninth Circuit precedent makes clear that the court does not have discretion under Rule 9006 to enlarge the time for filing a proof of claim except as provided in Rule 3002(c). *See In re Gardenhire*, 209 F.3d 1145, 1148-49 (9th Cir. 2000); *In re Coastal Alaska Lines, Inc.*, 920 F.2d 1428, 1432-33 (9th Cir. 1990) (holding that court cannot enlarge time for filing a proof of claim unless one of the six grounds in Rule 3002(c) exists); *see also* Fed. R. Civ. P. 9006(b)(3). Equitable tolling cannot be applied to enlarge the time to file proofs of claim other than pursuant to the exceptions in Rule 3002(c). *See Gardenhire*, 209 F.3d at 1148.

Here, the responding party has not opposed the sustaining of the objection and asserted that any of the grounds for extending time to file a proof of claim under Rule 3002(c) are applicable. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3002(c)(1)-(6). The responding party's claim was filed after the deadline for filing proofs of claim, so the claim will be disallowed. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3002(c).

23. [14-13287](#)-A-13 BLAS AVILA  
MHM-1

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF  
PLAN BY TRUSTEE MICHAEL H.  
MEYER  
8-8-14 [[15](#)]

GARY HUSS/Atty. for dbt.

**Tentative Ruling**

The plan withdrawn, the objection itself is overruled; the request for a bar date for plan confirmation is granted.

A Chapter 13 plan must be confirmed no later than the first hearing date available after the 75-day period that commences on the date of this hearing. If a Chapter 13 plan has not been confirmed by such date, the court may dismiss the case on the trustee's declaration without further notice or hearing. See 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

24. [14-13789](#)-A-13 ERIC GARCIA

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE  
TO PAY FEES  
8-13-14 [[11](#)]

ANTHONY SALES/Atty. for dbt.  
DISMISSED

**Final Ruling**

The case dismissed, the order to show cause is discharged.

25. [10-62096](#)-A-13 LORENA SILVA  
PBB-1  
LORENA SILVA/MV  
PETER BUNTING/Atty. for dbt.

MOTION TO MODIFY PLAN  
7-30-14 [[22](#)]

**Final Ruling**

**Motion:** Confirm Modified Chapter 13 Plan

**Notice:** LBR 3015-1(d)(2), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

**Disposition:** Granted

**Order:** Prepared by Chapter 13 trustee, approved by debtor's counsel

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 3015-1(d)(2), 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1323, 1325, 1329 and by Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(a)(5) and 3015(g) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor bears the burden of proof as to each element. *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407 (9th Cir. 1994). The court finds that the debtor has sustained that burden, and the court will approve modification of the plan.

26. [14-12433](#)-A-13 RICHARD/MARIANNA RANDALL CONTINUED MOTION TO VALUE  
JDW-3 COLLATERAL OF WELLS FARGO BANK,  
RICHARD RANDALL/MV N.A.  
7-21-14 [40]

JOEL WINTER/Atty. for dbt.

### **Final Ruling**

**Motion:** Value Collateral [Real Property; Principal Residence]

**Notice:** LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

**Disposition:** Granted

**Order:** Civil minute order

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

### **VALUATION OF COLLATERAL**

Chapter 13 debtors may strip off a wholly unsecured junior lien encumbering the debtor's principal residence. 11 U.S.C. §§ 506(a), 1322(b)(2); *In re Lam*, 211 B.R. 36, 40-42 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1997); *In re Zimmer*, 313 F.3d 1220, 1222-25 (9th Cir. 2002) (holding that the trial court erred in deciding that a wholly unsecured lien was within the scope of the antimodification clause of § 1322(b)(2) of the Bankruptcy Code). A motion to value the debtor's principal residence should be granted upon a threefold showing by the moving party. First, the moving party must proceed by noticed motion. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3012. Second, the motion must be served on the holder of the secured claim. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3012, 9014(a); LBR 3015-1(j). Third, the moving party must prove by admissible evidence that the debt secured by liens senior to the responding party's claim exceeds the value of the principal residence. 11 U.S.C. § 506(a); *Lam*, 211 B.R. at 40-42; *Zimmer*, 313 F.3d at 1222-25. "In the absence of contrary evidence, an owner's opinion of property value may be conclusive." *Enewally v. Wash. Mut. Bank (In re Enewally)*, 368 F.3d 1165, 1173 (9th Cir. 2004).

The debtor requests that the court value real property collateral. The collateral is the debtor's principal residence located at 4571 East Fountain Way, Fresno, California.

The court values the collateral at \$135,000. The debt secured by liens senior to the respondent's lien exceeds the value of the collateral. Because the amount owed to senior lienholders exceeds the collateral's value, the responding party's claim is wholly unsecured and no portion will be allowed as a secured claim. See 11 U.S.C. § 506(a).

### **CIVIL MINUTE ORDER**

The court shall issue a civil minute order that conforms substantially to the following form:

Findings of fact and conclusions of law are stated in the civil minutes for the hearing.

The debtor's motion to value real property collateral has been presented to the court. Having considered the well-pleaded facts of the motion, and having entered the default of respondent for failure to appear, timely oppose or otherwise defend in the matter,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted. The real property collateral located at 4571 East Fountain Way, Fresno, California, has a value of \$135,000. The collateral is encumbered by senior liens securing claims that exceed its value. The responding party has a secured claim in the amount of \$0.00 and a general unsecured claim for the balance of the claim.

27. [14-12433](#)-A-13 RICHARD/MARIANNA RANDALL CONTINUED OBJECTION TO  
MHM-2 CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY TRUSTEE  
MICHAEL H. MEYER  
7-25-14 [[48](#)]
- JOEL WINTER/Atty. for dbt.  
WITHDRAWN

**Final Ruling**

The objection withdrawn, the matter is overruled as moot.

28. [10-14164](#)-A-13 NOE MALDONADO FERNANDEZ MOTION TO INCUR DEBT  
PLF-2 AND MARIA CISNEROS OROZCO 9-8-14 [[46](#)]  
NOE MALDONADO FERNANDEZ/MV  
PETER FEAR/Atty. for dbt.  
OST 9/9/14

**No tentative ruling.**