UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

Eastern District of California

Honorable Fredrick E. Clement Bankruptcy Judge

2500 Tulare Street, Fifth Floor Department A, Courtroom 11 Fresno, California

WEDNESDAY

July 2, 2014

PRE-HEARING DISPOSITIONS

GENERAL DESIGNATIONS

Each pre-hearing disposition is prefaced by the words "Final Ruling," "Tentative Ruling" or "No Tentative Ruling." Except as indicated below, matters designated "Final Ruling" will not be called and counsel need not appear at the hearing on such matters. Matters designated "Tentative Ruling" or "No Tentative Ruling" will be called.

MATTERS RESOLVED BEFORE HEARING

If the court has issued a final ruling on a matter and the parties directly affected by a matter have resolved the matter by stipulation or withdrawal of the motion before the hearing, then the moving party shall, not later than 4:00 p.m. (PST) on the day before the hearing, inform the following persons by telephone that they wish the matter to be dropped from calendar notwithstanding the court's ruling: (1) all other parties directly affected by the motion; and (2) Kathy Torres, Judicial Assistant to the Honorable Fredrick E. Clement, at (559) 499-5860.

ERRORS IN FINAL RULINGS

If a party believes that a final ruling contains an error that would, if reflected in the order or judgment, warrant a motion under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 52(b), 59(e) or 60, as incorporated by Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, 7052, 9023 and 9024, then the party affected by such error shall, not later than 4:00 p.m. (PST) on the day before the hearing, inform the following persons by telephone that they wish the matter either to be called or dropped from calendar, as appropriate, notwithstanding the court's ruling: (1) all other parties directly affected by the motion; and (2) Kathy Torres, Judicial Assistant to the Honorable Fredrick E. Clement, at (559) 499-5860. Absent such a timely request, a matter designated "Final Ruling" will not be called.

14-10502-A-13 GUADALUPE/MARIA CASTILLO 1. MHM-2MICHAEL MEYER/MV

UNREASONABLE DELAY THAT IS PREJUDICIAL TO CREDITORS AND/OR MOTION TO DISMISS CASE

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE FOR

6-4-14 [<u>38</u>]

THOMAS GILLIS/Atty. for dbt. WITHDRAWN

Final Ruling

The motion withdrawn, the matter is dropped as moot.

2. <u>13-18105</u>-A-13 CRAIG/SHEREE ALTOBELLE MHM-3MICHAEL MEYER/MV

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE FOR UNREASONABLE DELAY THAT IS PREJUDICIAL TO CREDITORS AND/OR MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 5-14-14 [42]

SCOTT LYONS/Atty. for dbt.

No tentative ruling.

13-18105-A-13 CRAIG/SHEREE ALTOBELLE MOTION TO DISMISS CASE FOR 3. MHM-4MICHAEL MEYER/MV SCOTT LYONS/Atty. for dbt.

FAILURE TO MAKE PLAN PAYMENTS 5-30-14 [<u>46</u>]

No tentative ruling.

<u>09-61806</u>-A-13 PATRICK/BARBARA 4. PLF-6 RIDDLESPRIGER

MOTION FOR COMPENSATION BY THE LAW OFFICE OF FEAR LAW GROUP, P.C. FOR PETER L. FEAR, DEBTOR'S ATTORNEY(S) 5-20-14 [<u>75</u>]

PETER FEAR/Atty. for dbt.

Final Ruling

Application: Final Compensation and Expense Reimbursement **Notice:** LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Approved

Order: Prepared by applicant

Applicant: Fear Law Group, P.C.

Compensation approved: \$1534.50 (in addition to the \$3500 flat fee

paid in accordance with LBR 2016-1(c)).

Costs approved: \$506.50

Aggregate fees and costs approved in this application: \$2041.00

Retainer held: \$0.00

Amount to be paid as administrative expense: \$2041.00

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

Section 330(a) of the Bankruptcy Code authorizes "reasonable compensation for actual, necessary services" rendered by a debtor's attorney in a Chapter 13 case and "reimbursement for actual, necessary expenses." 11 U.S.C. § 330(a)(1), (4)(B). Reasonable compensation is determined by considering all relevant factors. See id. § 330(a)(3).

The court finds that the compensation and expenses sought are reasonable, and the court will approve the application on a final basis.

5. 14-10511-A-13 SEAN SALEHI
SJS-2
SEAN SALEHI/MV
SUSAN SALEHI/Atty. for dbt.

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN 5-6-14 [33]

Final Ruling

Motion: Confirm Chapter 13 Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(d)(1), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Prepared by Chapter 13 trustee, approved by debtor's counsel

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 3015-1(d)(1), 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor bears the burden of proof as to each element. *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407 (9th Cir. 1994). The court finds that the debtor has sustained that burden, and the court will approve confirmation of the plan.

6. <u>13-13912</u>-A-13 LUIS/RUBY BURGOS

MICHAEL MEYER/MV

THOMAS GILLIS/Atty. for dbt. DISMISSED

No tentative ruling.

CONTINUED MOTION OF
NON-COMPLIANCE AND REQUEST TO
RE-ISSUE COURT'S ORDER TO SHOW
CAUSE
12-20-13 [50]

7. <u>13-13912</u>-A-13 LUIS/RUBY BURGOS TOG-15

THOMAS GILLIS/Atty. for dbt. DISMISSED

No tentative ruling.

MOTION FOR COMPENSATION FOR THOMAS O. GILLIS, DEBTOR'S ATTORNEY(S)
5-28-14 [78]

8. 14-11515-A-13 RICHARD/JUDEE MARTINEZ
MHM-1
MICHAEL MEYER/MV
PETER BUNTING/Atty. for dbt.

No tentative ruling.

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE FOR FAILURE TO MAKE PLAN PAYMENTS 5-30-14 [17]

9. 14-10218-A-13 JESUS CASTELLANO AND JDW-4 ANGIE VEGA JESUS CASTELLANO/MV JOEL WINTER/Atty. for dbt.

MOTION TO VALUE COLLATERAL OF PACIFIC SERVICES CREDIT UNION 5-8-14 [37]

Final Ruling

Motion: Value Collateral [Personal Property; Motor Vehicle]

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Prepared by the moving party consistent with this ruling's

instructions

Collateral Value: \$14,515

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. $TeleVideo\ Sys.$, $Inc.\ v.\ Heidenthal$, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

Chapter 13 debtors may value collateral by noticed motion. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3012. Section 506(a) of the Bankruptcy Code provides, "An

allowed claim of a creditor secured by a lien on property in which the estate has an interest . . . is a secured claim to the extent of the value of such creditor's interest in the estate's interest in such property" and is unsecured as to the remainder. 11 U.S.C. § 506(a). For personal property, value is defined as "replacement value" on the date of the petition. Id. § 506(a)(2). For "property acquired for personal, family, or household purposes, replacement value shall mean the price a retail merchant would charge for property of that kind considering the age and condition of the property at the time value is determined." Id. The costs of sale or marketing may not be deducted. Td

A debtor's ability to value collateral consisting of a motor vehicle is limited by the terms of the hanging paragraph of § 1325(a). See 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a) (hanging paragraph). Under this statute, a lien secured by a motor vehicle cannot be stripped down to the collateral's value if: (i) the lien securing the claim is a purchase money security interest, (ii) the debt was incurred within the 910-day period preceding the date of the petition, and (iii) the motor vehicle was acquired for the debtor's personal use. 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a) (hanging paragraph).

The motion names Pacific Services Credit Union as the respondent in the title of the motion and in the motion's first paragraph, and the proof shows service on this entity. But the prayer for relief seeks valuation of Ford Motor Credit's collateral. The court will treat this inconsistency in the prayer as a typographical error and value the collateral of the entity served and named in the motion's title and first paragraph, Pacific Services Credit Union.

In this case, the debtor seeks to value collateral consisting of a motor vehicle. The debt secured by the vehicle was not incurred within the 910-day period preceding the date of the petition. In the absence of any opposition to the motion, the court finds that the replacement value of the vehicle is the amount set forth above.

The order shall state only that the court (i) grants the motion, (ii) values the property at the amount shown above, and (iii) determines that the responding party has a secured claim in an amount equal to the value of the collateral shown above and a general unsecured claim for the balance of the claim. The order shall not include any other additional findings or information.

10. <u>13-16020</u>-A-13 BLANCA MARTINEZ MHM-2 MICHAEL MEYER/MV

THOMAS GILLIS/Atty. for dbt. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

The case dismissed, the motion is denied as moot.

CONTINUED MOTION TO CONVERT
CASE FROM CHAPTER 13 TO CHAPTER
7 AND/OR MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
11-26-13 [42]

11. <u>13-16020</u>-A-13 BLANCA MARTINEZ
MHM-3
MICHAEL MEYER/MV
THOMAS GILLIS/Atty. for dbt.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

CONTINUED OBJECTION TO DEBTOR'S CLAIM OF EXEMPTIONS 12-4-13 [50]

Final Ruling

The case dismissed, the motion is denied as moot.

12. <u>13-16020</u>-A-13 BLANCA MARTINEZ
UST-1
TRACY DAVIS/MV
THOMAS GILLIS/Atty. for dbt.
GREGORY POWELL/Atty. for mv.

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE AND WITH 180-DAY BAR 5-28-14 [149]

Final Ruling

The motion resolved by stipulation and the case dismissed, this matter is dropped as moot.

13. <u>14-11820</u>-A-13 TONY/CARMEN BAIZA MHM-1 MICHAEL MEYER/MV

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE FOR UNREASONABLE DELAY THAT IS PREJUDICIAL TO CREDITORS AND/OR MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 6-4-14 [41]

SCOTT LYONS/Atty. for dbt. WITHDRAWN

Final Ruling

The motion withdrawn, this matter is dropped as moot.

14. <u>14-10422</u>-A-13 MANUEL/RISSY MONTOYA MHM-2 MICHAEL MEYER/MV

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE FOR UNREASONABLE DELAY THAT IS PREJUDICIAL TO CREDITORS AND/OR MOTION TO DISMISS CASE FOR FAILURE TO MAKE PLAN PAYMENTS , MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 6-6-14 [56]

ADRIAN WILLIAMS/Atty. for dbt.

No tentative ruling.

13-15728-A-13 WADE WILLIAMS 15. MHM-4MICHAEL MEYER/MV

BRIAN HADDIX/Atty. for dbt.

No tentative ruling.

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE FOR UNREASONABLE DELAY THAT IS PREJUDICIAL TO CREDITORS AND/OR MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 5-8-14 [<u>205</u>]

14-11032-A-13 GLICERIO/PINILI GUZMAN 16. TCS-1 GLICERIO GUZMAN/MV

MOTION TO VALUE COLLATERAL OF WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK, FA AND/OR MOTION TO VALUE COLLATERAL OF JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A., MOTION TO VALUE COLLATERAL OF CHASE MANHATTAN MORTGAGE CORPORATION 5-22-14 [<u>19</u>]

TIMOTHY SPRINGER/Atty. for dbt.

Final Ruling

Motion: Value Collateral [Real Property; Principal Residence]

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Granted

Order: Prepared by the moving party consistent with this ruling's

instructions

Collateral Value: \$167,057.00 Senior Liens: \$182,943.00

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

Chapter 13 debtors may strip off a wholly unsecured junior lien encumbering the debtor's principal residence. 11 U.S.C. §§ 506(a), 1322(b)(2); In re Lam, 211 B.R. 36, 40-42 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1997); In re Zimmer, 313 F.3d 1220, 1222-25 (9th Cir. 2002). A motion to value the debtor's principal residence should be granted upon a threefold showing by the moving party. First, the moving party must proceed by noticed motion. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3012. Second, the motion must be served on the holder of the secured claim. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3012, 9014(a); LBR 3015-1(j). Third, the moving party must prove by admissible evidence that the debt secured by liens senior to the responding party's claim exceeds the value of the principal residence. 11 U.S.C. § 506(a); Lam, 211 B.R. at 40-42; Zimmer, 313 F.3d at 1222-25.

The motion seeks to value real property collateral that is the moving party's principal residence. Because the amount owed to senior lienholders exceeds the value of the collateral, the responding party's claim is wholly unsecured and no portion will be allowed as a secured claim. See 11 U.S.C. § 506(a).

The order shall state only that the court (i) grants the motion, (ii) values the property at the amount shown above, and (iii) determines that the responding party has a secured claim in the amount of \$0.00 and a general unsecured claim for the balance of the claim. The order shall not include any other additional findings or information.

17. <u>14-11233</u>-A-13 ALAN PATTERSON MHM-1

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY TRUSTEE MICHAEL H. MEYER 5-30-14 [31]

MARK ZIMMERMAN/Atty. for dbt.

Final Ruling

This matter is continued to July 31, 2014, at 9:00 a.m.

18. <u>10-60542</u>-A-13 ALFONSO/JOSEFINA BECERRA MHM-2 MICHAEL MEYER/MV

OBJECTION TO DEBTORS 11 U.S.C. SEC. 1328 CERTIFICATION BY MICHAEL H. MEYER 5-23-14 [86]

CHERYL JOLLEY-SMITH/Atty. for dbt. WITHDRAWN

Final Ruling

The objection withdrawn, this matter is dropped as moot.

19. <u>10-10550</u>-A-13 MITCHELL/SHARRON THORNTON PLF-9

MOTION FOR COMPENSATION BY THE LAW OFFICE OF FEAR LAW GROUP, P.C. FOR PETER L. FEAR, DEBTOR'S ATTORNEY(S) 5-21-14 [103]

PETER FEAR/Atty. for dbt.

Final Ruling

Application: Final Compensation and Expense Reimbursement **Notice:** LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Approved

Order: Prepared by applicant

Applicant: Fear Law Group, P.C.

Compensation approved: \$2065.50 (in addition to the \$5000 flat fee

paid in accordance with LBR 2016-1(c))

Costs approved: \$902.35

Aggregate fees and costs approved in this application: \$2967.85

Retainer held: \$0.00

Amount to be paid as administrative expense: \$2967.85 (in addition to \$3000 paid by the trustee through the plan as part of applicant's optin fee)

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

Section 330(a) of the Bankruptcy Code authorizes "reasonable compensation for actual, necessary services" rendered by a debtor's attorney in a Chapter 13 case and "reimbursement for actual, necessary expenses." 11 U.S.C. § 330(a)(1), (4)(B). Reasonable compensation is determined by considering all relevant factors. See id. § 330(a)(3).

The court finds that the compensation and expenses sought are reasonable, and the court will approve the application on a final basis.

20. 14-11553-A-13 MATTHEW/ANGELA KNOTT
MHM-1
MICHAEL MEYER/MV
JAMES MILLER/Atty. for dbt.
WITHDRAWN

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE FOR FAILURE TO MAKE PLAN PAYMENTS 5-30-14 [18]

Final Ruling

The motion withdrawn, this matter is dropped as moot.

21. 14-10854-A-13 TIMOTHY/MIJHA LEASURE
MHM-2
MICHAEL MEYER/MV
MARK ZIMMERMAN/Atty. for dbt.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE FOR FAILURE TO MAKE PLAN PAYMENTS 5-30-14 [40]

No tentative ruling.

22. <u>14-10855</u>-A-13 ELISEO OROZCO MHM-1 MICHAEL MEYER/MV

UNREASONABLE DELAY THAT IS
PREJUDICIAL TO CREDITORS AND/OR
MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
6-5-14 [26]

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE FOR

JEFFREY ROWE/Atty. for dbt.

No tentative ruling.

23. 14-11857-A-13 HAN/IN KIM MHM-1MICHAEL MEYER/MV

H. AHN/Atty. for dbt. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

No tentative ruling.

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE FOR UNREASONABLE DELAY THAT IS PREJUDICIAL TO CREDITORS AND/OR MOTION TO DISMISS CASE $6-4-14 \left[\frac{46}{46} \right]$

<u>12-17966</u>-A-13 ISMAEL RAMIREZ

PLG-2

24.

ISMAEL RAMIREZ/MV FRANK RUGGIER/Atty. for dbt. MOTION TO SELL 6-12-14 [51]

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Sell Property

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required

Disposition: Denied without prejudice

Order: Civil minute order

Property: 38 Marrion Avenue, Salinas, CA

Buyer: Unidentified Sale Price: \$280,000

Sale Type: Private sale subject to overbid opportunity

Section 363(b)(1) of Title 11 authorizes sales of property of the estate "other than in the ordinary course of business." 11 U.S.C. §§ 363(b)(1); see also In re Lionel Corp., 722 F.2d 1063, 1071 (2d Cir. 1983) (requiring business justification). A Chapter 13 debtor has the rights and powers given to a trustee under § 363(b). 11 U.S.C. § 1303.

Confirmation of a Chapter 13 plan revests property of the estate in the debtor unless the plan or order confirming the plan provides otherwise. 11 U.S.C. § 1327(b); see also In re Tome, 113 B.R. 626, 632 (Bankr. C.D. Cal. 1990).

Here, the debtor's confirmed plan provides that property of the estate shall revest in debtors upon confirmation. Although the court in general does not authorize sales of non-estate property, § 363(b)(1), the confirmed plan in this case imposes a duty on the debtor to obtain prior court authorization before transferring property. 1st Am. Ch. 13 Plan at § 5.02.

The court will deny the motion without prejudice on procedural grounds. The motion and notice of hearing does not identify the proposed buyer, and the notice does not state that the sale is subject to overbid at the hearing. The notice of a proposed private sale should contain all material terms and conditions of the sale. See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(c)(1) (requiring the terms and conditions of any private sale be included in the notice of hearing); see also LBR 9014-1(d)(4). The names of the parties-including the proposed buyer-are material terms of the sale. Conditioning a sale on the opportunity for higher and better bids is a material term of any

private sale because it may substantially alter the price term and change the identity of the buyer.

Additionally, the moving party did not provide a sufficient period of notice of the proposed sale. Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(a)(2) requires not less than 21 days' notice of a proposed use, sale or lease of property of the estate other than in the ordinary course of business unless the court shortens the time for notice for cause. The motion was served on June 12, 2014. To provide 21 days' notice of the hearing, the motion should have been served on June 11, 2014.

25. 13-17668-A-13 JULIAN/ANN SALINAS MHM-2MICHAEL MEYER/MV

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE FOR UNREASONABLE DELAY THAT IS PREJUDICIAL TO CREDITORS AND/OR MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 6-4-14 [<u>78</u>]

GARY HUSS/Atty. for dbt. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

No tentative ruling.

26. 14-11968-A-13 FERNANDO POO AND PALOMA MHM-1HERNANDEZ MICHAEL MEYER/MV

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE FOR UNREASONABLE DELAY THAT IS PREJUDICIAL TO CREDITORS AND/OR MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 6-4-14 [33]

THOMAS GILLIS/Atty. for dbt.

No tentative ruling.

27. 14-11968-A-13 FERNANDO POO AND PALOMA MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN TOG-4 HERNANDEZ FERNANDO POO/MV THOMAS GILLIS/Atty. for dbt. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

5-14-14 [19]

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Confirm Chapter 13 Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(d)(1), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Pending

Order: Pending

The motion requests confirmation of the Chapter 13 plan in this case. 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1323, 1325; Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(b); LBR 3015-1(d)(1). The Chapter 13 trustee opposes the motion, objecting to confirmation. But the moving party has not filed a reply to the opposition.

CONFIRMATION

Without the benefit of a reply, the court cannot determine whether the grounds for the trustee's opposition are disputed or undisputed. As a result, the court does not consider the matter to be ripe for a decision in advance of the hearing.

If such grounds are undisputed, the moving party may appear at the hearing and affirm that they are undisputed. The moving party may opt not to appear at the hearing, and such nonappearance will be deemed by the court as a concession that the trustee's grounds for opposition are undisputed and meritorious.

If such grounds are disputed, the moving party shall appear at the hearing. The court may either (1) rule on the merits and resolve any disputed issues appropriate for resolution at the initial hearing, or (2) treat the initial hearing as a status conference and schedule an evidentiary hearing to resolve disputed, material factual issues or schedule a further hearing after additional briefing on any disputed legal issues.

75 DAY ORDER

A Chapter 13 plan must be confirmed no later than the first hearing date available after the 75-day period that commences on the date of this hearing. If a Chapter 13 plan has not been confirmed by such date, the court may dismiss the case on the trustee's motion. See 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

28. <u>11-62072</u>-A-13 FRANK/PATRICIA PADILLA PLF-3

MOTION FOR COMPENSATION BY THE LAW OFFICE OF PETER L. FEAR FOR PETER L. FEAR, DEBTOR'S ATTORNEY(S)
5-20-14 [46]

PETER FEAR/Atty. for dbt.

Final Ruling

Application: Interim Compensation and Expense Reimbursement

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Approved

Order: Prepared by applicant

Applicant: Law Offices of Peter L. Fear

Compensation approved: \$1939.00

Costs approved: \$138.69

Aggregate fees and costs approved in this application: \$2077.69

Retainer held: \$0.00

Amount to be paid as administrative expense: \$2077.69

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

Section 330(a) of the Bankruptcy Code authorizes "reasonable compensation for actual, necessary services" rendered by a debtor's attorney in a Chapter 13 case and "reimbursement for actual, necessary expenses." 11 U.S.C. § 330(a)(1), (4)(B). Reasonable compensation is determined by considering all relevant factors. See id. § 330(a)(3).

The court finds that the compensation and expenses sought are reasonable, and the court will approve the application on an interim basis. Such amounts shall be perfected, and may be adjusted, by a final application for compensation and expenses, which shall be filed prior to case closure. The moving party is authorized to draw on any retainer held.

29. <u>13-16274</u>-A-13 JOSEPH DESROSIERS MHM-4
MICHAEL MEYER/MV

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE FOR UNREASONABLE DELAY THAT IS PREJUDICIAL TO CREDITORS AND/OR MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 6-2-14 [105]

JERRY LOWE/Atty. for dbt. WITHDRAWN

Final Ruling

The motion withdrawn, the matter is dropped as moot.

30. 12-15180-A-13 LUIS/KELLIE LUJAN PLG-3
LUIS LUJAN/MV
RABIN POURNAZARIAN/Atty. for dbt.
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

MOTION TO MODIFY PLAN 5-9-14 [58]

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Modify Chapter 13 Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(d)(2), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Pending

Order: Pending

The motion requests modification of the Chapter 13 plan in this case. See 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325, 1329; Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(b); LBR 3015-1(d)(2). The Chapter 13 trustee opposes the motion, objecting to the modification. But the moving party has not filed a reply to the opposition.

Without the benefit of a reply, the court cannot determine whether the grounds for the trustee's opposition are disputed or undisputed. As a result, the court does not consider the matter to be ripe for a decision in advance of the hearing.

If such grounds are undisputed, the moving party may appear at the hearing and affirm that they are undisputed. The moving party may opt not to appear at the hearing, and such nonappearance will be deemed by the court as a concession that the trustee's grounds for opposition are undisputed and meritorious.

If such grounds are disputed, the moving party shall appear at the hearing. The court may either (1) rule on the merits and resolve any disputed issues appropriate for resolution at the initial hearing, or (2) treat the initial hearing as a status conference and schedule an evidentiary hearing to resolve disputed, material factual issues or schedule a further hearing after additional briefing on any disputed legal issues.

31. <u>13-16084</u>-A-13 JOHN/NANCY ALVA TCS-2 JOHN ALVA/MV TIMOTHY SPRINGER/Atty. for dbt.

MOTION TO MODIFY PLAN 5-12-14 [40]

Tentative Ruling

RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Motion: Modify Chapter 13 Plan

Notice: LBR 3015-1(d)(2), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Pending

Order: Pending

The motion requests modification of the Chapter 13 plan in this case. See 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325, 1329; Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(b); LBR 3015-1(d)(2). The Chapter 13 trustee opposes the motion, objecting to the modification. But the moving party has not filed a reply to the opposition.

Without the benefit of a reply, the court cannot determine whether the grounds for the trustee's opposition are disputed or undisputed. As a result, the court does not consider the matter to be ripe for a decision in advance of the hearing.

If such grounds are undisputed, the moving party may appear at the hearing and affirm that they are undisputed. The moving party may opt not to appear at the hearing, and such nonappearance will be deemed by the court as a concession that the trustee's grounds for opposition are undisputed and meritorious.

If such grounds are disputed, the moving party shall appear at the hearing. The court may either (1) rule on the merits and resolve any disputed issues appropriate for resolution at the initial hearing, or (2) treat the initial hearing as a status conference and schedule an evidentiary hearing to resolve disputed, material factual issues or schedule a further hearing after additional briefing on any disputed legal issues.

32. <u>14-11897</u>-A-13 JUSTINO LEON RCO-1 THE BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON/MV OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF PLAN BY THE BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON 6-17-14 [30]

SCOTT LYONS/Atty. for dbt. KRISTI WELLS/Atty. for mv.

Tentative Ruling

Matter: Creditor's Objection to Confirmation of Plan and Motion to

Dismiss

Notice: LBR 3015-1(c)(4), 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required

Disposition: Objection overruled; motion to dismiss denied

Order: Civil minute order

No responding party is required to file written opposition to the motion; opposition may be presented at the hearing. LBR 9014-1(f)(2)(C). If opposition is presented at the hearing, the court may rule on the merits or set a briefing schedule. Absent such opposition, the court will adopt this tentative ruling.

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION

When the chapter 13 plan is filed within 14 days of the petition and no motion to confirm is required, see LBR 3015-1(c)(1), the court's local rules require an objection to plan confirmation to be filed and served within 7 days after the first date set for the meeting of creditors, see LBR 3015-1(c)(4). The notice of the meeting of creditors includes notice of this deadline.

The meeting of creditors in this case was on May 27, 2014. The deadline for filing an objection to confirmation was June 3, 2014. But the objection was filed two weeks late. It was filed on July 17, 2014 and served on the same date. The court will overrule this objection as untimely.

MOTION TO DISMISS

In the prayer for relief, the creditor moves for dismissal of this case. The creditor has not filed a motion, though, and a motion is required by the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure when a request for an order is made. See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9013, 9014(a). The objection procedure applicable to confirmation does not suffice for a motion. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3015(f).

In addition, a motion may not be joined to an objection absent leave of court. Rule 7018, which incorporates the permissive joinder rule of Rule 18 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, does not apply to contested matters, see Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9014(c) unless orders otherwise. The motion, therefore, was improperly joined to the objection and will be denied on this procedural ground.

Even if the court were to find that the motion were properly joined, the creditor has given no grounds for such relief. Rule 9013 provides in pertinent part: "The motion shall state with particularity the grounds therefor, and shall set forth the relief or order sought." Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9013. Under this rule, a motion lacking proper grounds for relief does not comply with this rule even though the declaration, exhibits or other papers in support together can be read as containing the required grounds. If the court were to consider the motion to dismiss, then the court would find that the motion does not

state with particularity the grounds for the relief requested. The grounds of the objection are stated to support a denial of confirmation, and these grounds are not expressly stated as supporting dismissal. Although the argument that the debtor has unreasonably delayed curing the arrearage could be construed as a ground for dismissal under $\S 1307(c)(1)$, the creditor has not offered facts showing that the delay is unreasonable, especially when the Code permits a plan to cure such defaults within a reasonable time, and the court has not denied confirmation on that ground.

33. 10-64699-A-13 RONALD/JENNIFER SLOCUM

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE TO TENDER FEE FOR FILING TRANSFER OF CLAIM ECF NO. 50 (EFILINGID: 5180758) 6-5-14 [65]

TIMOTHY SPRINGER/Atty. for dbt.

Final Ruling

If all fees are not paid as of the hearing date, the court will strike the transfer of claim filed by BAC Home Loans Servicing LP from its docket.

34. <u>13-15181</u>-A-13 LINDSAY LEMONS GEG-2 WAYNE STORMS/MV

MOTION TO COMPEL AND/OR MOTION FOR SANCTIONS, MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF DISCOVERY DATES AND TRIAL DATE 6-30-14 [171]

SCOTT LYONS/Atty. for dbt. GLEN GATES/Atty. for mv.

No tentative ruling.

9:30 a.m.

1. <u>09-16160</u>-A-13 JUAN HURTADO <u>11-1102</u> JONES V. HURTADO SCOTT BURTON/Atty. for pl. CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE RE: AMENDED COMPLAINT 6-16-14 [203]

No tentative ruling.