UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

Eastern District of California

Honorable Fredrick E. Clement Bankruptcy Judge

2500 Tulare Street, Fifth Floor Department A, Courtroom 11 Fresno, California

THURSDAY

MAY 29, 2014

PRE-HEARING DISPOSITIONS

GENERAL DESIGNATIONS

Each pre-hearing disposition is prefaced by the words "Final Ruling," "Tentative Ruling" or "No Tentative Ruling." Except as indicated below, matters designated "Final Ruling" will not be called and counsel need not appear at the hearing on such matters. Matters designated "Tentative Ruling" or "No Tentative Ruling" will be called.

MATTERS RESOLVED BEFORE HEARING

If the court has issued a final ruling on a matter and the parties directly affected by a matter have resolved the matter by stipulation or withdrawal of the motion before the hearing, then the moving party shall, not later than 4:00 p.m. (PST) on the day before the hearing, inform the following persons by telephone that they wish the matter to be dropped from calendar notwithstanding the court's ruling: (1) all other parties directly affected by the motion; and (2) Kathy Torres, Judicial Assistant to the Honorable Fredrick E. Clement, at (559) 499-5860.

ERRORS IN FINAL RULINGS

If a party believes that a final ruling contains an error that would, if reflected in the order or judgment, warrant a motion under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 52(b), 59(e) or 60, as incorporated by Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, 7052, 9023 and 9024, then the party affected by such error shall, not later than 4:00 p.m. (PST) on the day before the hearing, inform the following persons by telephone that they wish the matter either to be called or dropped from calendar, as appropriate, notwithstanding the court's ruling: (1) all other parties directly affected by the motion; and (2) Kathy Torres, Judicial Assistant to the Honorable Fredrick E. Clement, at (559) 499-5860. Absent such a timely request, a matter designated "Final Ruling" will not be called. 1. <u>11-62704</u>-A-13 NORMAN PIMENTEL MNE-5 NORMAN PIMENTEL/MV M. ENMARK/Atty. for dbt. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

No tentative ruling.

2. <u>13-14006</u>-A-13 DENNIS NAJARIAN THA-1 DENNIS NAJARIAN/MV THOMAS ARMSTRONG/Atty. for dbt. RESPONSIVE PLEADING MOTION TO MODIFY PLAN 4-25-14 [<u>21</u>]

MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM

AUTOMATIC STAY

4-21-14 [<u>43</u>]

No tentative ruling.

3. <u>13-17007</u>-A-13 DANNY/LORI CARRELL APN-1 SANTANDER CONSUMER USA INC./MV GEOFFREY ADALIAN/Atty. for dbt. AUSTIN NAGEL/Atty. for mv.

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Stay Relief
Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required
Disposition: Denied as moot
Order: Civil minute order

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys.*, *Inc.* v. *Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

Federal courts have no authority to decide moot questions. Arizonans for Official English v. Arizona, 520 U.S. 43, 67-68, 72 (1997). "Mootness has been described as the doctrine of standing set in a time frame: The requisite personal interest that must exist at the commencement of the litigation (standing) must continue throughout its existence (mootness)." Id. at 68 n.22 (quoting U.S. Parole Comm'n v. Geraghty, 445 U.S. 388, 397 (1980)) (internal quotation marks omitted).

The confirmed chapter 13 plan in this case provides for the moving party's claim in Class 4. Class 4 secured claims are long-term claims that are not modified by the plan and that were not in default prior to the filing of the petition. They are paid directly by the debtor or a third party. Section 2.11 of the plan provides that "[u]pon confirmation of the plan, all bankruptcy stays are modified to allow

CONTINUED MOTION TO MODIFY PLAN 2-6-14 [96]

the holder of a Class 4 secured claim to exercise its rights against its collateral and any nondebtor in the event of a default under applicable law or contract."

Because the plan has been confirmed, the automatic stay has already been modified to allow the moving party to exercise its rights against its collateral. The motion will be denied as moot. No effective relief can be awarded.

4. <u>13-13912</u>-A-13 LUIS/RUBY BURGOS MICHAEL MEYER/MV CONTINUED MOTION OF NON-COMPLIANCE AND REQUEST TO RE-ISSUE COURT'S ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE 12-20-13 [50]

THOMAS GILLIS/Atty. for dbt.

No tentative ruling.

5. <u>13-12917</u>-A-13 JAMIE/MARY JANE GALVAN PLF-2 MOTION FOR COMPENSATION FOR PETER L. FEAR, DEBTOR'S ATTORNEY(S) 4-21-14 [<u>33</u>]

PETER FEAR/Atty. for dbt.

Final Ruling

Application: Interim Compensation and Expense Reimbursement
Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required
Disposition: Approved
Order: Prepared by applicant

Applicant: Law Offices of Peter L. Fear Compensation approved: \$3336.50 Costs approved: \$94.15 Aggregate fees and costs approved in this application: \$3430.65 Retainer held: \$0.00 Amount to be paid as administrative expense: \$3430.65

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys.*, *Inc.* v. *Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

Section 330(a) of the Bankruptcy Code authorizes "reasonable compensation for actual, necessary services" rendered by a debtor's attorney in a Chapter 13 case and "reimbursement for actual, necessary expenses." 11 U.S.C. § 330(a)(1), (4)(B). Reasonable compensation is determined by considering all relevant factors. See id. § 330(a)(3).

The court finds that the compensation and expenses sought are reasonable, and the court will approve the application on an interim

basis. Such amounts shall be perfected, and may be adjusted, by a final application for compensation and expenses, which shall be filed prior to case closure. The moving party is authorized to draw on any retainer held.

6. <u>09-17228</u>-A-13 STEPHAN/JENNIFER BAGUE MOTION TO MODIFY PLAN MNE-3 4-10-14 [<u>81</u>] STEPHAN BAGUE/MV M. ENMARK/Atty. for dbt. RESPONSIVE PLEADING WITHDRAWN

Final Ruling

Motion: Confirm Modified Chapter 13 Plan
Notice: LBR 3015-1(d)(2), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required
Disposition: Granted
Order: Prepared by Chapter 13 trustee, approved by debtor's counsel

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 3015-1(d)(2), 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1323, 1325, 1329 and by Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(a)(5) and 3015(g) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor bears the burden of proof as to each element. *In re Barnes*, 32 F.3d 405, 407 (9th Cir. 1994). The court finds that the debtor has sustained that burden, and the court will approve modification of the plan.

7.	<u>14-11329</u> -A-13 ROY SALAZAR	MOTION TO DISMISS CASE FOR
	MHM-1	UNREASONABLE DELAY THAT IS
	MICHAEL MEYER/MV	PREJUDICIAL TO CREDITORS ,
		MOTION TO DISMISS CASE FOR
		FAILURE TO PROVIDE TAX
		DOCUMENTS , MOTION TO DISMISS
		CASE
		5-1-14 [<u>29</u>]
	TEEE DETCU/Atty for dbt	

JEFF REICH/Atty. for dbt.

No tentative ruling.

8. <u>14-11332</u>-A-13 RONALD ESCOBAR JKX-1 RONALD ESCOBAR/MV JAMIE XIONG-VANG/Atty. for dbt. MOTION TO VALUE COLLATERAL OF CITIMORTGAGE, INC. 4-24-14 [<u>19</u>]

Final Ruling

Motion: Value Collateral [Real Property; Principal Residence] Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required Disposition: Granted Order: Prepared by the moving party

Collateral Value: \$204,286.00 **Senior Liens**: \$263,199.99

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys.*, *Inc.* v. *Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

Chapter 13 debtors may strip off a wholly unsecured junior lien encumbering the debtor's principal residence. 11 U.S.C. §§ 506(a), 1322(b)(2); In re Lam, 211 B.R. 36, 40-42 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1997); In re Zimmer, 313 F.3d 1220, 1222-25 (9th Cir. 2002). A motion to value the debtor's principal residence should be granted upon a threefold showing by the moving party. First, the moving party must proceed by noticed motion. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3012. Second, the motion must be served on the holder of the secured claim. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3012, 9014(a); LBR 3015-1(j). Third, the moving party must prove by admissible evidence that the debt secured by liens senior to the responding party's claim exceeds the value of the principal residence. 11 U.S.C. § 506(a); Lam, 211 B.R. at 40-42; Zimmer, 313 F.3d at 1222-25.

The motion seeks to value real property collateral that is the moving party's principal residence. Because the amount owed to senior lienholders exceeds the value of the collateral, the responding party's claim is wholly unsecured and no portion will be allowed as a secured claim. See 11 U.S.C. § 506(a).

9. <u>14-11332</u>-A-13 RONALD ESCOBAR MHM-1 MICHAEL MEYER/MV MOTION TO DISMISS CASE FOR UNREASONABLE DELAY THAT IS PREJUDICIAL TO CREDITORS AND/OR MOTION TO DISMISS CASE FOR FAILURE TO PROVIDE TAX DOCUMENTS , MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 5-1-14 [<u>33</u>]

JAMIE XIONG-VANG/Atty. for dbt. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

No tentative ruling.

14-10140-A-13 CRISTY HULSEY 10. MHM-1

MARCUS TORIGIAN/Atty. for dbt.

No tentative ruling.

11. 14-10840-A-13 SAMUEL/ISABEL CRUTCHFIELD AMENDED MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN WSC-1 4-16-14 [38] SAMUEL CRUTCHFIELD/MV WILLIAM COLLIER/Atty. for dbt.

Final Ruling

Motion: Confirm Chapter 13 Plan Notice: LBR 3015-1(d)(1), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required **Disposition:** Granted Order: Prepared by Chapter 13 trustee, approved by debtor's counsel

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 3015-1(d)(1), 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

Chapter 13 plan confirmation is governed by 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1325 and by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1. The debtor bears the burden of proof as to each element. In re Barnes, 32 F.3d 405, 407 (9th Cir. 1994). The court finds that the debtor has sustained that burden, and the court will approve confirmation of the plan.

12. <u>10-61643</u>-A-13 CHRISTOPHER/CLAUDIA MOTION TO INCUR DEBT GEG-3 JIMENEZ CHRISTOPHER JIMENEZ/MV GLEN GATES/Atty. for dbt.

5-15-14 [38]

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Approve Debtor's Incurring New Debt [Vehicle Loan] **Notice:** LBR 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required **Disposition:** Granted Order: Prepared by moving party

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

The debtor seeks to incur new debt to finance the purchase of a vehicle. Amended Schedules I and J have been filed indicating that the debtor can afford both the plan payment and the proposed monthly loan payment of principal and interest that would result from obtaining this financing. The court will grant the motion, and the trustee will approve the order as to form and content.

13. <u>14-10854</u>-A-13 TIMOTHY/MIJHA LEASURE MAZ-1 TIMOTHY LEASURE/MV MARK ZIMMERMAN/Atty. for dbt. MOTION TO AVOID LIEN OF KINGS CREDIT SERVICES, A CORP. 4-29-14 [29]

Final Ruling

Motion: Avoid Lien that Impairs Exemption Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required Disposition: Granted Order: Prepared by moving party

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys.*, *Inc.* v. *Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

Section 522(f) of the Bankruptcy Code authorizes the court to avoid a lien "on an interest of the debtor in property to the extent that such lien impairs an exemption to which the debtor would have been entitled." 11 U.S.C. § 522(f)(1). There are four elements to avoidance of a lien that impairs an exemption: (1) there must be an exemption to which the debtor would have been entitled; (2) the property must be listed on the schedules and claimed as exempt; (3) the lien must impair the exemption claimed; and (4) the lien must be a judicial lien or nonpossessory, nonpurchase-money security interest in property described in § 522(f)(1)(B). Goswami v. MTC Distrib. (In re Goswami), 304 B.R. 386, 390-91 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2003). Impairment is statutorily defined: a lien impairs an exemption "to the extent that the sum of -(i) the lien; (ii) all other liens on the property; and (iii) the amount of the exemption that the debtor could claim if there were no liens on the property; exceeds the value that the debtor's interest in the property would have in the absence of any liens." 11 U.S.C. § 522(f)(2)(A).

The responding party's judicial lien, all other liens, and the exemption amount together exceed the property's value by an amount greater than or equal to the debt secured by the responding party's lien. As a result, the responding party's judicial lien will be avoided entirely.

14. <u>11-12757</u>-A-13 JAMES/CAROLYN ELLIOTT TCS-3 JAMES ELLIOTT/MV MOTION FOR WAIVER OF CERTIFICATION UNDER 11 U.S.C. 1328 5-7-14 [50]

TIMOTHY SPRINGER/Atty. for dbt.

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Waiver of Certification under 11 U.S.C. § 1328 Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(2); no written opposition required Disposition: Granted subject to condition Order: Prepared by the moving part

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

The court has reviewed the motion and supporting papers. Co-debtor Carolyn Elliot has passed away. The requirement that Carolyn Elliot sign the § 1328 certification is waived subject to the following condition. As a representative of her estate and a person with personal knowledge as to the information required for Carolyn Elliot's certification, James Elliot, her husband, must sign the required § 1328 certification form on her behalf.

15. <u>14-11059</u>-A-13 JORGE VELAZQUEZ-JARACUARO ALG-1 AND ADRIANA OROPEZA 5-6-14 [<u>38</u>] JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A./MV JANINE ESQUIVEL/Atty. for dbt. KRISTI WELLS/Atty. for mv. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

No tentative ruling.

16.	<u>14-10360</u> -A-13	KRISTEN JONES	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE
			TO PAY FEES
			5-5-14 [<u>44</u>]
	DISMISSED		

Final Ruling

The case dismissed, the order to show cause is discharged.

17. <u>14-10360</u>-A-13 KRISTEN JONES MHM-2 MICHAEL MEYER/MV MOTION TO DISMISS CASE FOR UNREASONABLE DELAY THAT IS PREJUDICIAL TO CREDITORS AND/OR MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 4-30-14 [39]

DISMISSED

Final Ruling

The case dismissed, the motion is denied as moot.

18. <u>14-10190</u>-A-13 MARIO/ZULEYKA NUNEZ EPE-1 MARIO NUNEZ/MV ERIC ESCAMILLA/Atty. for dbt. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN 4-9-14 [<u>37</u>]

Tentative Ruling

Motion: Confirm Chapter 13 Plan
Notice: LBR 3015-1(d)(1), 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required
Disposition: Pending
Order: Pending

The motion requests confirmation of the Chapter 13 plan in this case. 11 U.S.C. §§ 1322, 1323, 1325; Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(b); LBR 3015-1(d)(1). The Chapter 13 trustee opposes the motion, objecting to confirmation. But the moving party has not filed a reply to the opposition.

CONFIRMATION

Without the benefit of a reply, the court cannot determine whether the grounds for the trustee's opposition are disputed or undisputed. As a result, the court does not consider the matter to be ripe for a decision in advance of the hearing.

If such grounds are undisputed, the moving party may appear at the hearing and affirm that they are undisputed. The moving party may opt not to appear at the hearing, and such nonappearance will be deemed by the court as a concession that the trustee's grounds for opposition are undisputed and meritorious.

If such grounds are disputed, the moving party shall appear at the hearing. The court may either (1) rule on the merits and resolve any disputed issues appropriate for resolution at the initial hearing, or (2) treat the initial hearing as a status conference and schedule an evidentiary hearing to resolve disputed, material factual issues or schedule a further hearing after additional briefing on any disputed legal issues.

75 DAY ORDER

A Chapter 13 plan must be confirmed no later than the first hearing date available after the 75-day period that commences on the date of this hearing. If a Chapter 13 plan has not been confirmed by such date, the court may dismiss the case on the trustee's motion. See 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

19. <u>14-10190</u>-A-13 MARIO/ZULEYKA NUNEZ EPE-2 MARIO NUNEZ/MV MOTION TO VALUE COLLATERAL OF HSBC MORTGAGE SERVICES, INC. AND/OR MOTION TO AVOID LIEN OF HSBC MORTGAGE SERVICES, INC. 4-15-14 [46]

ERIC ESCAMILLA/Atty. for dbt.

Final Ruling

Motion: Value Collateral [Real Property; Principal Residence]
Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required
Disposition: Granted
Order: Prepared by the moving party

Collateral Value: \$245,500 Senior Liens: \$263,722

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys.*, *Inc.* v. *Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

Chapter 13 debtors may strip off a wholly unsecured junior lien encumbering the debtor's principal residence. 11 U.S.C. §§ 506(a), 1322(b)(2); In re Lam, 211 B.R. 36, 40-42 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1997); In re Zimmer, 313 F.3d 1220, 1222-25 (9th Cir. 2002). A motion to value the debtor's principal residence should be granted upon a threefold showing by the moving party. First, the moving party must proceed by noticed motion. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3012. Second, the motion must be served on the holder of the secured claim. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3012, 9014(a); LBR 3015-1(j). Third, the moving party must prove by admissible evidence that the debt secured by liens senior to the responding party's claim exceeds the value of the principal residence. 11 U.S.C. § 506(a); Lam, 211 B.R. at 40-42; Zimmer, 313 F.3d at 1222-25.

The motion seeks to value real property collateral that is the moving party's principal residence. Because the amount owed to senior lienholders exceeds the value of the collateral, the responding party's claim is wholly unsecured and no portion will be allowed as a secured claim. See 11 U.S.C. § 506(a).

20. <u>14-10190</u>-A-13 MARIO/ZULEYKA NUNEZ MHM-1 MICHAEL MEYER/MV

CONTINUED MOTION TO DISMISS CASE FOR UNREASONABLE DELAY THAT IS PREJUDICIAL TO CREDITORS AND/OR MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 4-7-14 [<u>32</u>]

ERIC ESCAMILLA/Atty. for dbt.

No tentative ruling.

21. <u>13-16391</u>-A-13 JOSHUA/SOMMER RITTER MHM-2 MICHAEL MEYER/MV MICHAEL MEYER/MV MOTION TO DISMISS CASE FOR MOTION TO DISMISS CASE FOR MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 5-15-14 [<u>89</u>]

JOEL WINTER/Atty. for dbt. MICHAEL MEYER/Atty. for mv.

No tentative ruling.

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 4-23-14 [24]

22. <u>14-10696</u>-A-13 CRECENCIANO CHAVEZ MHM-1 MICHAEL MEYER/MV THOMAS GILLIS/Atty. for dbt. RESPONSIVE PLEADING

Final Ruling

The hearing is continued to June 12, 2014, at 9:00 a.m. Debtor shall file his opposition by June 5, 2014.

23. <u>14-11396</u>-A-13 BARBARA BRYAN MHM-1 MICHAEL MEYER/MV MOTION TO DISMISS CASE FOR UNREASONABLE DELAY THAT IS PREJUDICIAL TO CREDITORS AND/OR MOTION TO DISMISS CASE 5-1-14 [<u>21</u>]

JOEL WINTER/Atty. for dbt. WITHDRAWN

Final Ruling

The motion withdrawn, the matter is dropped from calendar as moot.

TIMOTHY SPRINGER/Atty. for dbt.

Final Ruling

The fee being paid, the order to show cause is discharged.

25. <u>13-10799</u>-A-13 GREGORY/SOMVANG BOTH PLF-2 MOTION FOR COMPENSATION BY THE LAW OFFICE OF PETER L. FEAR FOR PETER L. FEAR, DEBTOR'S ATTORNEY(S) 4-21-14 [<u>32</u>]

PETER FEAR/Atty. for dbt.

Final Ruling

Application: Interim Compensation and Expense Reimbursement
Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required
Disposition: Approved
Order: Prepared by applicant

Applicant: Law Offices of Peter L. Fear Compensation approved: \$3704.00 Costs approved: \$66.31 Aggregate fees and costs approved in this application: \$3770.31 Retainer held: \$0.00 Amount to be paid as administrative expense: \$3770.31

Unopposed motions are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys.*, *Inc.* v. *Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

Section 330(a) of the Bankruptcy Code authorizes "reasonable compensation for actual, necessary services" rendered by a debtor's attorney in a Chapter 13 case and "reimbursement for actual, necessary expenses." 11 U.S.C. § 330(a)(1), (4)(B). Reasonable compensation is determined by considering all relevant factors. See id. § 330(a)(3).

The court finds that the compensation and expenses sought are reasonable, and the court will approve the application on an interim basis. Such amounts shall be perfected, and may be adjusted, by a final application for compensation and expenses, which shall be filed prior to case closure. The moving party is authorized to draw on any retainer held.

<u>13-18099</u>-A-13 ROJELIO/JOANDREW ORTIZ MOTION TO CONFIRM PLAN 26. SL-1 ROJELIO ORTIZ/MV SCOTT LYONS/Atty. for dbt.

Final Ruling

The case dismissed, the matter is dropped from calendar as moot.

13-16020-A-13 BLANCA MARTINEZ 27. MHM-4MICHAEL MEYER/MV

> THOMAS GILLIS/Atty. for dbt. OST 5/23/14

No tentative ruling.

MOTION TO VACATE HEARING DATE AND TO RESTORE MATTER TO SCHEDULING CALENDAR 5-23-14 [<u>145</u>]

4-7-14 [39]

10:00 a.m.

12-19290-A-12 DIMAS/ROSA COELHO 1.

> THOMAS GILLIS/Atty. for dbt. DISMISSED

Final Ruling

The order to show cause is discharged.

<u>12-19291</u>-A-12 JOAO/LUZIA VAZ 2.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY FEES SHOULD NOT BE DISGORGED 4-23-14 [<u>204</u>]

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY FEES SHOULD NOT BE DISGORGED

4-23-14 [<u>189</u>]

THOMAS GILLIS/Atty. for dbt. DISMISSED

Final Ruling

The order to show cause is discharged.